

MA1214  
**Introduction to group theory**

Prof. Zaitsev

**Solutions to Sheet 9**

leitner@stp.dias.ie

1. (a) Let  $G_1, G_2$  be two groups. We have to show that  $G_1 \times \{e_2\}$  is a *normal subgroup* in  $G_1 \times G_2$ , i.e.

$$\forall (g_1, g_2) \in G_1 \times G_2, \quad (g_1, g_2)^{-1} G_1 \times \{e_2\} (g_1, g_2) = G_1 \times \{e_2\}.$$

We have  $(g_1, g_2)^{-1} = (g_1^{-1}, g_2^{-1})$  and

$$\begin{aligned} (g_1, g_2)^{-1} G_1 \times \{e_2\} (g_1, g_2) &= (g_1^{-1} G_1 g_1) \times g_2^{-1} \{e_2\} g_2 \\ &= G_1 \times \{e_2\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let us consider the first factor:  $G_1$  is closed under inversion and under multiplication from either side, so  $\forall g_1 \in G_1$ , we have

$$g_1^{-1} \in G_1 \Rightarrow g_1^{-1} G_1 \subseteq G_1, \quad \text{and} \quad G_1 g_1 \subseteq G_1.$$

We also have

$$g_1^{-1} G_1 \supseteq G_1, \quad G_1 g_1 \supseteq G_1$$

(For the first relation, for instance, we observe that any  $h \in G_1$  is reached by applying  $g_1^{-1}$  from the left to  $g_1 h \in G_1$ .) We conclude that  $g_1^{-1} G_1 = G_1$ ,  $G_1 g_1 = G_1$ , and altogether  $g_1^{-1} G_1 g_1 = G_1$ .

- (b) From part 1a) we know that both  $G$  itself and  $\{e\}$  are normal subgroups of  $G$ . These are the largest and the smallest normal subgroup in  $G$ , respectively. Indeed, every subgroup of  $G$  must contain at least its identity. Thus the intersection of all normal subgroups is  $\{e\}$ , which is normal.
2. Recall from problem sheet 8 that for a homomorphism  $f : G_1 \rightarrow G_2$  of groups, where  $G_1$  is cyclic, is determined by specifying its value on the generating element of  $G_1$ . Moreover, a group homomorphism maps the identity to the identity. (Indeed, if  $G_1, G_2$  are multiplicative, then for any  $a \in G_1$ ,  $f(e_1) = f(a^0) = f(a)^0 = e_2$ . If  $G_1, G_2$  are additive, then  $f(e_1) = f(0 \cdot a) = 0 \cdot f(a) = e_2$ . For a map between a multiplicative and an additive group cf. problem sheet 8, problem 2.)

(a)  $f : \mathbb{Z}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_4$  homomorphic:

- The generating element of  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  is  $[1]$ . Define  $f$  on  $[1]$  by  $f([1]) = 0$ . Since by assumption  $f$  is a homomorphism, it follows that

$$f([0]) = f([1 + 1]) = f([1]) + f([1]) = [0] + [0] = [0].$$

So  $f$  is well-defined, since it maps the identity of  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  to the identity of  $\mathbb{Z}_4$ .

- Define  $f$  by  $f([1]) = 1$ . We have  $f([0]) = f([1 + 1]) = f([1]) + f([1]) = [1] + [1] = [2] \neq [0]$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_4$ . So  $f$  is **not** well-defined.
- Define  $f$  by  $f([1]) = 2$ . We have  $f([0]) = f([1 + 1]) = f([1]) + f([1]) = [2] + [2] = [4] = [0]$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_4$ . So  $f$  is well-defined.

- Define  $f$  by  $f([1]) = [3]$ . We have  $f([0]) = f([1+1]) = f([1]) + f([1]) = [3] + [3] = [6] = [2] \neq 0$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_4$ . So  $f$  is **not** well-defined.

The map  $f([1]) = [m]$  for any  $[m] \in \mathbb{Z}_4$  with

$$m + m = 2m \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$$

is well-defined.

(b)  $f : \mathbb{Z}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_5$  homomorphic:

- Define  $f$  by  $f([1]) = 0$ . We have  $f([0]) = f([1+1]) = f([1]) + f([1]) = [0] + [0] = [0]$ . So  $f$  is well-defined.
- Define  $f$  by  $f([1]) = 1$ . We have  $f([0]) = f([1+1]) = f([1]) + f([1]) = [1] + [1] = [2] \neq [0]$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_5$ . So  $f$  is **not** well-defined.
- Define  $f$  by  $f([1]) = 2$ . We have  $f([0]) = f([1+1]) = f([1]) + f([1]) = [2] + [2] = [4] \neq [0]$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_5$ . So  $f$  is **not** well-defined.
- Define  $f$  by  $f([1]) = [3]$ . We have  $f([0]) = f([1+1]) = f([1]) + f([1]) = [3] + [3] = [6] = [1] \neq [0]$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_4$ . So  $f$  is **not** well-defined.
- Define  $f$  by  $f([1]) = [4]$ . We have  $f([0]) = f([1+1]) = f([1]) + f([1]) = [4] + [4] = [8] = [3] \neq [0]$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_4$ . So  $f$  is **not** well-defined.

5 is not divisible by 2, so

$$m + m = 2m \equiv 0 \pmod{5}$$

is solved by  $[m] = [0]$  only. The only homomorphism is the trivial map.

3. Recall that the order of a group is the number of its elements.  $\mathbb{Z}_8 = \{[0], \dots, [7]\}$  has order 8.

(a) Denote by  $[4]$  be the equivalence class mod 8.  $\langle [4] \rangle = \{[0], [4]\}$  defines a subgroup of  $\mathbb{Z}_8$  of order two. So

$$\mathbb{Z}_8 / \langle [4] \rangle = \{[0], [1], [2], [3]\}$$

has order  $8 : 2 = 4$  and is cyclic, generated by  $[1]$ .

(b) We have

$$\begin{aligned} 3 &= 3 \equiv 3 \pmod{8} \\ 3 + 3 &= 6 \equiv 6 \pmod{8} \\ 3 + 3 + 3 &= 9 \equiv 1 \pmod{8} \\ 3 + 3 + 3 + 3 &= 12 \equiv 4 \pmod{8} \\ 3 + 3 + 3 + 3 + 3 &= 15 \equiv 7 \pmod{8} \\ 3 + 3 + 3 + 3 + 3 + 3 &= 18 \equiv 2 \pmod{8} \\ 3 + 3 + 3 + 3 + 3 + 3 + 3 &= 21 \equiv 5 \pmod{8} \\ 3 + 3 + 3 + 3 + 3 + 3 + 3 + 3 &= 24 \equiv 0 \pmod{8}. \end{aligned}$$

By adding another copy of 3, we restart from above. We observe that all numbers between 0 and 7 occur, so that the subgroup  $\langle [3] \rangle$  actually equals  $\mathbb{Z}_8$ :

$$\mathbb{Z}_8 / \langle [3] \rangle = \{[0]\},$$

whose order is  $8 : 8 = 1$ . It is trivially cyclic.

More generally, when  $\gcd(m, n) = 1$  and  $[m]$  denotes the equivalence class mod  $n$ , then  $\langle [m] \rangle = \mathbb{Z}_n$ .