

# THREE FAMOUS THEOREMS ON FINITE SETS

DANIEL MULCAHY

## 1. INTRODUCTION

There are several results on combinatorics of subsets of a finite set  $N = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  that have been very historically significant and inspired the development of new areas of mathematics. We present three of these theorems following [1] and the talk by Aiden Mathieu: the theorems of Sperner and Erdős-Ko-Rado and Hall's "Marriage theorem"

## 2. SPERNER'S THEOREM

This question was proposed and solved by Emanuel Sperner in 1928, but the argument we present is by David Lubell. Let  $N = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$

**Definition 1.** An antichain in  $N$  is a family of subsets  $\mathcal{F}$  of  $N$  such that no subset in  $\mathcal{F}$  is contained in another.

We may ask what is the size of the largest antichain?

The family  $\mathcal{F}_k$  consisting of subsets of order  $k$  is an antichain of size  $\binom{n}{k} = \frac{n!}{k!(n-k)!}$ , and the largest of these is  $\binom{n}{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}$ . Sperner's theorem tells us that there is none larger.

**Theorem 1 (Sperner).** For any antichain  $\mathcal{F}$ ,

$$|\mathcal{F}| \leq \binom{n}{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}$$

*Proof.* Consider chains of subsets  $\emptyset = C_0 \subset C_1 \subset \dots \subset C_n = N$ . Each  $C_{i+1}$  must contain one more element than  $C_i$ , i.e. such a chain consists of adding each element of  $N$  one by one, so the number of such chains is  $n!$ , the number of permutations of  $N$ . Let  $A \subset N$  of size  $k$ . The number of chains containing  $A$  is the number of ways to form a chain  $\emptyset = C_0 \subset C_1 \subset \dots \subset C_k = A$  multiplied by the number of ways to form  $A = C_k \subset C_{k+1} \subset \dots \subset C_n = N$ , which is  $k!(n-k)!$ . Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be an antichain; then no two elements of  $\mathcal{F}$  can appear in the same chain. Thus if  $m_k = |\{A \in \mathcal{F} \mid |A| = k\}|$ , the total number of chains containing sets in  $\mathcal{F}$  is

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^n m_k k!(n-k)! &\leq n! \\ \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{m_k}{\binom{n}{k}} &\leq 1 \\ \min_k \left\{ \frac{1}{\binom{n}{k}} \right\} \sum_{k=0}^n m_k &\leq 1 \\ \frac{1}{\max_k \left\{ \binom{n}{k} \right\}} |\mathcal{F}| &\leq 1 \\ \frac{1}{\binom{n}{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}} |\mathcal{F}| &\leq 1 \\ |\mathcal{F}| &\leq \binom{n}{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \end{aligned}$$

□

## 3. ERDŐS-KO-RADO THEOREM

This result was found in 1938 by Paul Erdős, Chao Ko and Richard Rado, but this proof is due to Gyula Katona.

**Definition 2.** A family  $\mathcal{F}$  of subsets of  $N$  is called an intersecting family if  $A \cap B \neq \emptyset \forall A, B \in \mathcal{F}$ . An intersecting family consisting of sets of size  $k$  is called an intersecting  $k$ -family.

The largest intersecting family is of size  $2^{n-1}$ , e.g. the family of all subsets that contain 1. This is maximal as for any  $A \subset N$ ,  $A \cap (N \setminus A) = \emptyset$  so no intersecting family can contain more than half of the  $2^n$  subsets of  $N$ . If  $k > \frac{n}{2}$  then any two subsets of size  $k$  intersect. Otherwise by taking all sets of size  $k$  that contain 1, we obtain an intersecting  $k$ -family of size  $\binom{n-1}{k-1}$ .

**Theorem 2** (Erdős-Ko-Rado). *For any intersecting  $k$ -family  $\mathcal{F}$ ,  $|\mathcal{F}| \leq \binom{n-1}{k-1}$  if  $n \geq 2k$ .*

*Proof.* Consider a circle divided into  $n$  edges by  $n$  points. An arc of length  $k$  consists of  $k$  consecutive edges joining  $k+1$  points.

**Lemma 1.** *Suppose we have  $t$  different arcs  $A_1, \dots, A_t$  of length  $k$ , where  $n \geq 2k$ , such that any two arcs have an edge in common. Then  $t \leq k$ .*

*Proof.* No two arcs may share an endpoint: if they did they would have to start in different directions as they are distinct, but then they could not share an edge as  $n \geq 2k$ . Each  $A_2, \dots, A_t$  overlaps with  $A_1$  and must therefore have a distinct endpoint at one of the  $k-1$  points inside  $A_1$ , meaning there are at most  $k-1$  of them.

$$\therefore t \leq k$$

□

Up to rotation there are  $(n-1)!$  ways of writing the numbers 1 to  $n$  on the edges of an  $n$ -edged circle. For a chosen such circle, there are by the lemma at most  $k$  sets  $A \in \mathcal{F}$  that appear as arcs on the circle; thus there are at most  $k(n-1)!$  ways of representing elements of  $\mathcal{F}$  on any such circle. Given  $A \in \mathcal{F}$ , there are  $k!(n-k)!$  possible ways to represent  $A$  on such a circle:  $k!$  ways to order the elements of  $A$  consecutively and  $(n-k)!$  for the rest. Therefore

$$|\mathcal{F}| \leq \frac{k(n-1)!}{k!(n-k)!} = \frac{(n-1)!}{(k-1)!(n-1-(k-1))!} = \binom{n-1}{k-1}$$

□

#### 4. MARRIAGE THEOREM

Proven by Philip Hall in 1935, this very important theorem led to the field of matching theory.

**Definition 3.** *Let  $A_1, \dots, A_n$  be subsets of a finite set  $X$ . A system of distinct representatives (SDR) of  $\{A_1, \dots, A_n\}$  is a sequence of distinct  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  such that  $x_i \in A_i \forall i$ .*

This was referred to as the marriage theorem as, if we have  $n$  girls and a set  $X$  of boys, and the  $i$ 'th girl is romantically interested in a set  $A_i$ , a system of distinct representatives provide each girl a distinct boy  $x_i$  to marry.

Clearly if an SDR exists then the union of any  $m$  distinct  $A_i$  must contain at least the  $m$  distinct elements  $x_i$ . It turns out that this condition is sufficient.

**Theorem 3.** *If the union of any  $m$  distinct  $A_i$  contains at least  $m$  elements ( $1 \leq m \leq n$ ), then an SDR exists*

*Proof.* Induction on  $n$ :  $n = 1$  trivial. Let  $n > 1$ . We call a collection of  $l$  sets  $A_i$  ( $1 \leq l \leq n$ ) whose union contains exactly  $l$  elements a *critical family*. Suppose no critical family exists. Let  $x_n$  be some element of  $A_n$  and  $\tilde{A}_i = A_i \setminus \{x_n\}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ . For any  $m$  sets  $A_{i_1}, \dots, A_{i_m}$  with  $i_k < n$  we know  $A_{i_1} \cup \dots \cup A_{i_m}$  contains at least  $m+1$  elements, so  $\tilde{A}_{i_1} \cup \dots \cup \tilde{A}_{i_m}$  contains at least  $m$  elements, so by induction  $\tilde{A}_1, \dots, \tilde{A}_{n-1}$  has an SDR  $x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}$  and thus  $x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_n$  is an SDR for  $A_1, \dots, A_n$ .

Suppose alternatively that a critical family exists, assume wlog it is  $A_1, \dots, A_l$ . Then  $\{A_1, \dots, A_l\}$  as subsets of  $X' = \bigcup_{i=1}^l A_i$  satisfy the condition of the theorem so has an SDR  $x_1, \dots, x_l$ . For any  $m$  of  $A_{l+1}, \dots, A_n$  their combined union with  $X'$  must contain at least  $l+m$  elements. Therefore if  $\tilde{A}_i = A_i \setminus X'$  for  $l+1 \leq i \leq n$  we have that the union of any  $m$   $\tilde{A}_i$  contains at least  $m$  elements, so these have an SDR  $x_{l+1}, \dots, x_n$  disjoint from  $X'$  (which is also an SDR for  $\{A_{l+1}, \dots, A_n\}$ ). Thus  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  is an SDR for  $\{A_1, \dots, A_n\}$  □

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Martin Aigner and Günter M. Ziegler. *Proofs from The Book*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, fifth edition, 2014. Including illustrations by Karl H. Hofmann.