

MA2215: Fields, rings, and modules
Homework problems due on October 15, 2012

1. Let us, as suggested by the hint, use the map $\varphi: \text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{R}/I)$,

$$\varphi((\mathbf{a}_{pq})_{p,q=1,\dots,n}) = (\mathbf{a}_{pq} + I)_{p,q=1,\dots,n}.$$

This map is a homomorphism because, for instance,

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(\mathbf{ab})_{pq} &= (\mathbf{ab})_{pq} + I = \left(\sum_i \mathbf{a}_{pi} \mathbf{b}_{iq}\right) + I = \sum_i (\mathbf{a}_{pi} \mathbf{b}_{iq} + I) = \\ &= \sum_i (\mathbf{a}_{pi} + I)(\mathbf{b}_{iq} + I) = (\varphi(\mathbf{a})\varphi(\mathbf{b}))_{pq} \end{aligned}$$

because of the definition of matrix product and the definition of operations in factor rings. The other properties of homomorphisms are checked similarly. Also, it is clear that $\text{Im}(\varphi) = \text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{R}/I)$ (every matrix with the matrix element in row p and column q being the coset $\mathbf{r}_{pq} + I$ is the image of the matrix with matrix elements \mathbf{r}_{pq}), and that $\text{Ker}(\varphi) = \text{Mat}_n(I)$ (if $\mathbf{r}_{pq} + I = 0 + I$ for all p, q , we have $\mathbf{r}_{pq} \in I$ for all p, q).

2. Let us, as suggested by the hint, use the map $\varphi: \mathbb{R}[t] \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}/I)[t]$,

$$\varphi(\mathbf{a}_0 + \mathbf{a}_1 t + \dots + \mathbf{a}_n t^n) = (\mathbf{a}_0 + I) + (\mathbf{a}_1 + I)t + \dots + (\mathbf{a}_n + I)t^n.$$

This map is a homomorphism because, for instance, for $f(t) = \mathbf{a}_0 + \mathbf{a}_1 t + \dots + \mathbf{a}_n t^n$ and $g(t) = \mathbf{b}_0 + \mathbf{b}_1 t + \dots + \mathbf{b}_m t^m$ the coefficient of t^k in $\varphi(f(t)g(t))$ is equal to

$$\left(\sum_{i+j=k} \mathbf{a}_i \mathbf{b}_j\right) + I = \sum_{i+j=k} (\mathbf{a}_i \mathbf{b}_j + I) = \sum_{i+j=k} (\mathbf{a}_i + I)(\mathbf{b}_j + I),$$

which is the coefficient of t^k of $\varphi(f(t))\varphi(g(t))$ because of the definition of the polynomial product and the definition of operations in factor rings. The other properties of homomorphisms are checked similarly. Also, it is clear that $\text{Im}(\varphi) = (\mathbb{R}/I)[t]$ (every polynomial with the coefficient of t^k being the coset $\mathbf{r}_k + I$ is the image of the polynomial with coefficients \mathbf{r}_k), and that $\text{Ker}(\varphi) = I[t]$ (if $\mathbf{r}_k + I = 0 + I$ for all k , we have $\mathbf{r}_k \in I$ for all k).

3. Let us, as suggested by the hint, use the map $\varphi: \mathbb{R}/J \rightarrow \mathbb{R}/I$, $\varphi(r + J) = r + I$. Since $J \subset I$, this map is well defined, and is a homomorphism: if r_1 and r_2 are in the same coset modulo J then they of course are in the same coset modulo I , and $(rs + I) = (r + I)(s + I)$ in \mathbb{R}/I , $(rs + J) = (r + J)(s + J)$ in \mathbb{R}/J etc. Also, this map is obviously surjective, since all cosets $r + I$ are in the image by inspection of the formula for φ , and its kernel is the ideal of \mathbb{R}/J which consists of all cosets $r + J$ for which $r + I = 0 + I$, so $r \in I$. This ideal is precisely I/J by Second Isomorphism Theorem.

4. (a) Yes, since for a nonzero polynomial in \mathbb{R} its leading coefficient is $\bar{1}$, so for two nonzero polynomials the leading coefficient of the product is nonzero. (b) No, $\bar{2} \cdot \bar{2} = \bar{4} = 0$. (c) Yes, since 5 is a prime number, so $\mathbb{Z}/5\mathbb{Z}$ is a field, and the argument from (a) applies. (d) No, $(t + 1 + (t^2 - 1)\mathbb{Z}[t])(t - 1 + (t^2 - 1)\mathbb{Z}[t]) = (t^2 - 1 + (t^2 - 1)\mathbb{Z}[t]) = 0$. (e) No, $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = 0$.