

MA2215: Fields, rings, and modules  
Homework problems due on October 8, 2012

**1. (a)** Each homomorphism takes  $0$  to  $0$ , and  $\varphi(\bar{2}) = \varphi(\bar{1} + \bar{1}) = \varphi(\bar{1}) + \varphi(\bar{1})$ , so we should just determine possible values of  $\varphi(\bar{1})$ . We have the constraint  $\varphi(\bar{1} \cdot \bar{1}) = \varphi(\bar{1}) \cdot \varphi(\bar{1})$ , so  $\varphi(\bar{1})(\varphi(\bar{1}) - 1) = 0$ . Since the target is  $\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$ , we conclude that the possible values are  $\bar{0}$  and  $\bar{1}$ . In fact, both are fine: for  $\varphi(\bar{1}) = \varphi(\bar{0}) = 0$  the four required properties reduce to  $0 + 0 = 0$ ,  $0 \cdot 0 = 0$ ,  $-0 = 0$ ,  $0 = 0$ , and for  $\varphi(\bar{1}) = \bar{1}$  and  $\varphi(\bar{0}) = \bar{0}$  the four required properties reduce to  $\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b} = \mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b}$ ,  $\mathbf{ab} = \mathbf{ab}$ ,  $-\mathbf{a} = -\mathbf{a}$ ,  $0 = 0$ .

**(b)** If we start as above, we see that we only need to define  $\varphi(\bar{1})$ . Since  $\bar{1} + \bar{1} + \bar{1} = \bar{3} = \bar{0}$  in  $\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$ , we want  $\varphi(\bar{1}) + \varphi(\bar{1}) + \varphi(\bar{1}) = \bar{0}$  to hold. But in  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  we have

$$\varphi(\bar{1}) + \varphi(\bar{1}) + \varphi(\bar{1}) = 3\varphi(\bar{1}) = \varphi(\bar{1}),$$

and we conclude that  $\varphi(\bar{1}) = 0$ . Therefore, in this case the only map which is a homomorphism sends all elements to zero.

**2.** Let us, as suggested, consider the map between these rings that takes the coset of  $f(x) + (x^2 - 1)\mathbb{R}[x]$  to the pair of numbers  $(f(1), f(-1))$ . Let us check that it is well defined. Indeed, if  $f(x)$  and  $g(x)$  are in the same coset, that is  $f(x) = g(x) + h(x)(x^2 - 1)$ , we have  $f(1) = g(1)$  and  $f(-1) = g(-1)$ . It is also obviously a ring homomorphism, since when we add or multiply two polynomials, their respective values at  $1$  and  $-1$  get multiplied as well. It remains to check that this map is a bijection. To check that it is injective, let us assume that  $f(x) + (x^2 - 1)\mathbb{R}[x]$  and  $g(x) + (x^2 - 1)\mathbb{R}[x]$  are mapped to the same pair  $(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})$ , that is  $f(1) = g(1) = \mathbf{a}$ ,  $f(-1) = g(-1) = \mathbf{b}$ . Then  $f(x) - g(x)$  has roots  $1$  and  $-1$ , so is divisible by  $(x - 1)$  and  $(x + 1)$ , hence by  $(x - 1)(x + 1) = x^2 - 1$ . To check that our map is surjective, it is necessary to check that for all pairs  $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}$  there exists a polynomial  $f(x)$  with  $f(1) = \mathbf{a}$ ,  $f(-1) = \mathbf{b}$ . All polynomials with  $f(1) = \mathbf{a}$  are of the form  $h(x)(x - 1) + \mathbf{a}$ . It is enough to pick  $h(x)$  so that  $-2h(-1) + \mathbf{a} = \mathbf{b}$ , so  $h(-1) = -\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{a})$ . For instance, the constant polynomial  $h(x) = -\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{a})$  would do. So we have a homomorphism which is injective and surjective, therefore an isomorphism.

**3. (a)** Of course:  $(\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{I})(\mathbf{b} + \mathbf{I})$  is, by definition,  $(\mathbf{ab} + \mathbf{I})$ , which because of commutativity is  $(\mathbf{ba} + \mathbf{I}) = (\mathbf{b} + \mathbf{I})(\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{I})$ .

**(b)** We have  $(1 + \mathbf{I})(\mathbf{r} + \mathbf{I}) = (1 \cdot \mathbf{r} + \mathbf{I}) = (\mathbf{r} + \mathbf{I}) = (\mathbf{r} \cdot 1 + \mathbf{I}) = (\mathbf{r} + \mathbf{I})(1 + \mathbf{I})$ , so  $(1 + \mathbf{I})$  is a unit of  $\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{I}$ .

**4.** Let us consider the map  $\varphi: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ ,  $\varphi\left(\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{a} & \mathbf{b} \\ 0 & \mathbf{c} \end{pmatrix}\right) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{a} & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbf{c} \end{pmatrix}$ . This map is a homomorphism: when we add or subtract triangular matrices, their diagonal elements add/subtract, when we multiply triangular matrices, their diagonal elements multiply. The image of  $\varphi$  is clearly  $\mathbf{S}$ , and the kernel of  $\varphi$  is  $\mathbf{I}$ , since  $\mathbf{I}$  consists precisely of triangular matrices with zero diagonal. By First Isomorphism Theorem,  $\mathbf{S}$  is a subring,  $\mathbf{I}$  is an ideal, and  $\mathbf{S} \simeq \mathbf{R}/\mathbf{I}$ . To show that  $\mathbf{S}$  is not an ideal, note that the product  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  is not in  $\mathbf{S}$ .