

MA 1111: Linear Algebra I
Tutorial problems, October 4, 2018

1. (a) The easiest thing to do is to apply the algorithm from the lecture: take the matrix $(A | I)$ and bring it to the reduced row echelon form; for an invertible matrix A , the result is $(I | A^{-1})$. In this case, the inverse is $\begin{pmatrix} 3 & -3 & 1 \\ -5/2 & 4 & -3/2 \\ 1/2 & -1 & 1/2 \end{pmatrix}$.

(b) If $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$, then, multiplying by A^{-1} , we get $\mathbf{x} = A^{-1}\mathbf{b}$, so

$$\begin{cases} x_1 = 3\mathbf{a} - 3\mathbf{b} + \mathbf{c}, \\ x_2 = -\frac{5}{2}\mathbf{a} + 4\mathbf{b} - \frac{3}{2}\mathbf{c}, \\ x_3 = \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{a} - \mathbf{b} + \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{c}. \end{cases}$$

2. Let us write down the corresponding one-row representatives of these permutations (for which we permute the columns to create the natural order in the top row): the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 \\ 2 & 1 & 5 & 4 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$ corresponds to 2, 1, 5, 4, 3, the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 4 & 2 & 3 & 5 \\ 2 & 1 & 5 & 3 & 4 \end{pmatrix}$ corresponds to 2, 5, 3, 1, 4, and the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} 5 & 3 & 1 & 4 & 2 \\ 3 & 5 & 2 & 4 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ corresponds to 2, 1, 5, 4, 3. Therefore the first and the third matrix do represent the same permutation. The permutation 2, 1, 5, 4, 3 is even (it has 4 inversions), and the permutation 2, 5, 3, 1, 4 is odd (it has 3 inversions).

3. Clearly, we must have $i = 2$ (to have all the numbers present in the top row) and $\{j, k\} = \{3, 4\}$. For the choice $j = 3, k = 4$, the permutation is even (since there are 8 inversions in total in the two rows), so for the other choice the permutation is odd. *Answer:* $i = 2, j = 4, k = 3$.

4. (a) Let $A = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{a} & \mathbf{b} \\ \mathbf{c} & \mathbf{d} \end{pmatrix}$, so that $A^2 = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{a}^2 + \mathbf{b}\mathbf{c} & \mathbf{b}(\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{d}) \\ \mathbf{c}(\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{d}) & \mathbf{d}^2 + \mathbf{b}\mathbf{c} \end{pmatrix}$. Since $A^2 = I$, we have $\mathbf{b}(\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{d}) = \mathbf{c}(\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{d}) = 0$. If $\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{d} = 0$, we have $\text{tr}(A) = 0$, and everything is proved. Otherwise, if $\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{d} \neq 0$, we have $\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{c} = 0$, so $\mathbf{a}^2 = 1 = \mathbf{d}^2$, and either $\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{d} = 1$ or $\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{d} = -1$ or $\mathbf{a} = 1, \mathbf{d} = -1$ or $\mathbf{a} = -1, \mathbf{d} = 1$. In the first case $A = I_2$, in the second case $A = -I_2$, in the remaining two cases $\text{tr}(A) = \mathbf{a} + \mathbf{d} = 0$ (contradiction since we assumed $\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{d} \neq 0$). (b) For example $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$.

5. Let $(\mathbf{y}_1, \mathbf{y}_2)$ and $(\mathbf{z}_1, \mathbf{z}_2)$ be two different solutions. Then $(\mathbf{y}_1 - \mathbf{z}_1, \mathbf{y}_2 - \mathbf{z}_2)$ is a solution to the system

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{a}x_1 + \mathbf{b}x_2 = 0, \\ \mathbf{c}x_1 + \mathbf{d}x_2 = 0. \end{cases}$$

Indeed, $\mathbf{a}(\mathbf{y}_1 - \mathbf{z}_1) + \mathbf{b}(\mathbf{y}_2 - \mathbf{z}_2) = \mathbf{a}\mathbf{y}_1 + \mathbf{b}\mathbf{y}_2 - \mathbf{a}\mathbf{z}_1 - \mathbf{b}\mathbf{z}_2 = \mathbf{e} - \mathbf{e} = 0$, and the same for the second equation. This solution is different from $(0, 0)$ because the original solutions were different.