

1. (a)  $A + B$  and  $BA$  are not defined,  $AB = \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ ;

(b)  $A + B$  and  $BA$  are not defined,  $AB = \begin{pmatrix} 7 \\ 4 \end{pmatrix}$ ;

(c)  $A + B$  is not defined,  $BA = \begin{pmatrix} 9 & 5 & 8 \\ 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 15 & 7 & 10 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $AB = \begin{pmatrix} 5 & 21 \\ 5 & 15 \end{pmatrix}$ ;

(d)  $A + B = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 8 \\ 3 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $BA = \begin{pmatrix} 8 & 12 \\ 6 & 10 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $AB = \begin{pmatrix} 12 & 16 \\ 4 & 6 \end{pmatrix}$ .

2. The easiest thing to do is to apply the algorithm from the lecture: take the matrix  $(A \mid I_n)$  and bring it to the reduced row echelon form; the result is  $(I_n \mid A^{-1})$  if the matrix is invertible, and has  $(R \mid B)$  with  $R \neq I_n$  otherwise.

(a)  $\begin{pmatrix} 5 & 3 \\ 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  is invertible, the inverse is  $\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 3 \\ 2 & -5 \end{pmatrix}$ ;

(b)  $\begin{pmatrix} 6 & 3 \\ 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  is not invertible, since the reduced row echelon form of  $(A \mid I)$  is  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1/2 & 0 & 1/2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -3 \end{pmatrix}$ , and the matrix on the left is not the identity;

(c)  $\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  is not invertible, since in class we proved that only square matrices are invertible;

(d)  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 1 & 3 & 9 \end{pmatrix}$  is invertible; the inverse is  $\begin{pmatrix} -15/4 & 9/4 & 1/4 \\ 7/2 & -3/2 & -1/2 \\ -3/4 & 1/4 & 1/4 \end{pmatrix}$ .

3. (a) Suppose that  $A$  is a  $k \times l$ -matrix, and  $B$  is an  $m \times n$ -matrix. In order for  $AB$  to be defined, we must have  $l = m$ . In order for  $BA$  to be defined, we must have  $n = k$ . Consequently, the size of matrix  $AB$  is  $k \times n = n \times n$ , and the size of the matrix  $BA$  is  $m \times l = m \times m$ , which is exactly what we want to prove.

(b) We have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr}(\mathbf{UV}) &= (\mathbf{UV})_{11} + (\mathbf{UV})_{22} + \dots + (\mathbf{UV})_{nn} = (\mathbf{U}_{11}\mathbf{V}_{11} + \mathbf{U}_{12}\mathbf{V}_{21} + \dots + \mathbf{U}_{1n}\mathbf{V}_{n1}) + \\ &\quad (\mathbf{U}_{21}\mathbf{V}_{12} + \mathbf{U}_{22}\mathbf{V}_{22} + \dots + \mathbf{U}_{2n}\mathbf{V}_{n2}) + \dots + (\mathbf{U}_{n1}\mathbf{V}_{1n} + \mathbf{U}_{n2}\mathbf{V}_{2n} + \dots + \mathbf{U}_{nn}\mathbf{V}_{nn}), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr}(\mathbf{VU}) &= (\mathbf{VU})_{11} + (\mathbf{VU})_{22} + \dots + (\mathbf{VU})_{nn} = (\mathbf{V}_{11}\mathbf{U}_{11} + \mathbf{V}_{12}\mathbf{U}_{21} + \dots + \mathbf{V}_{1n}\mathbf{U}_{n1}) + \\ &\quad (\mathbf{V}_{21}\mathbf{U}_{12} + \mathbf{V}_{22}\mathbf{U}_{22} + \dots + \mathbf{V}_{2n}\mathbf{U}_{n2}) + \dots + (\mathbf{V}_{n1}\mathbf{U}_{1n} + \mathbf{V}_{n2}\mathbf{U}_{2n} + \dots + \mathbf{V}_{nn}\mathbf{U}_{nn}), \end{aligned}$$

so both traces are actually equal to the sum of all products  $\mathbf{U}_{ij}\mathbf{V}_{ji}$ , where  $i$  and  $j$  range from 1 to  $n$ . For the example  $\mathbf{U} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $\mathbf{V} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  from class, we have  $\mathbf{UV} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $\mathbf{VU} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ , so even though these matrices are not equal, their traces are equal (they both are equal to 1).

4. (a) For example,  $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  would work.

(b) The reduced row echelon form  $R$  of  $A$  must have a row of zeros, and therefore the product  $RB$  will have a row of zeros, and cannot be invertible, but  $RB$  is obtained from  $AB$  by elementary row operations, so it must be invertible if  $AB = I_3$  is invertible.