

1. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{v} &= \frac{1}{2}((\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{w}) - (\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{v})), \\ \mathbf{w} &= \frac{1}{2}((\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{w}) + (\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{v})), \\ \mathbf{u} &= (\mathbf{u} - 2\mathbf{w}) + 2\mathbf{w} = (\mathbf{u} - 2\mathbf{w}) + (\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{w}) + (\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{v}), \end{aligned}$$

so each of the vectors \mathbf{u} , \mathbf{v} , and \mathbf{w} is a linear combination of those new vectors. Since every vector by assumption is a linear combination of \mathbf{u} , \mathbf{v} , \mathbf{w} , we may substitute the linear combinations obtained to conclude that every vector is a linear combination of the new vectors also.

2. (a) Yes. This is the solution set to a homogeneous system of linear equations, and therefore is a subspace as proved in class.

(b) No. For example, this subset is not closed under multiplication by real numbers: if $2x - y + z = 1$, then $2cx - cy + cz = c \neq 1$ for $c \neq 1$.

(c) No. For example, the vector $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ belongs to this set, as well as the vector $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}$,

but their sum, the vector $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}$ does not belong to \mathbf{U} .

3. This system is of the form $A\mathbf{x} = 0$, where $A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -4 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -2 & 0 & 5 \end{pmatrix}$. The reduced row echelon form of the matrix A is $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -2 & 0 & 5 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -9 \end{pmatrix}$, so x_2 and x_4 are free variables, and the general solution corresponding to the parameters $x_2 = s$, $x_4 = t$ is $\begin{pmatrix} 2s - 5t \\ s \\ 9t \\ t \end{pmatrix} = s \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + t \begin{pmatrix} -5 \\ 0 \\ 9 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$. Therefore we can take $\mathbf{v}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\mathbf{v}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} -5 \\ 0 \\ 9 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$.

4. The reduced row echelon of this matrix is $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 & 1/3 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -1/3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, so x_3 and x_4 are free variables, and the general solution corresponding to the parameters $x_3 = s$, $x_4 = t$ is $\begin{pmatrix} s - \frac{1}{3}t \\ \frac{1}{3}t \\ s \\ t \end{pmatrix} = s \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + t \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{3} \\ \frac{1}{3} \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$. Therefore we can take $\mathbf{v}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\mathbf{v}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{3} \\ \frac{1}{3} \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$.

5. (a) We have

$$\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{0} = \mathbf{x} + (\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{y}) = (\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{v}) + \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{0} + \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{y}.$$

(b) We have

$$\mathbf{v} + (-1) \cdot \mathbf{v} = 1 \cdot \mathbf{v} + (-1) \cdot \mathbf{v} = (1 + (-1)) \cdot \mathbf{v} = 0 \cdot \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0},$$

as proved in class. Similarly, $(-1) \cdot \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}$. Since the opposite element, as we just proved, is unique, we have $(-1) \cdot \mathbf{v} = -\mathbf{v}$.