

# 1212: Linear Algebra II

Dr. Vladimir Dotsenko (Vlad)

## Lecture 7

### Lengths and angles, Cauchy–Schwartz inequality

**Definition 1.** Let  $V$  be an Euclidean space. We define the length of a vector  $v$  as  $|v| = \sqrt{(v, v)}$ , and the angle between two nonzero vectors  $v$  and  $w$  as the only angle  $\alpha$  such that  $0 \leq \alpha \leq 180^\circ$  and

$$\cos \alpha = \frac{(v, w)}{|v||w|}.$$

**Remark 1.** In the case of usual 3D vectors we could *prove* that  $(v, w) = |v||w| \cos \alpha$ , because we worked with a particular scalar product that was *defined* on  $V = \mathbb{R}^3$ . Now, the scalar product is a part of the structure, and can be somewhat arbitrary, so we use our intuition from 3D to *define* the angle between two vectors.

Why are angles well defined?

**Theorem 1** (Cauchy–Schwartz Inequality). *For any two vectors  $v, w$  of a Euclidean space  $V$  we have*

$$(v, w)^2 \leq (v, v)(w, w),$$

*with equality attained if and only if  $v$  and  $w$  are proportional.*

*In particular, for nonzero vectors  $v$  and  $w$  this implies that*

$$-1 \leq \frac{(v, w)}{|v||w|} \leq 1,$$

*so the angle  $\alpha$  between  $v$  and  $w$  is well defined.*

*Proof.* If  $v = 0$ , the inequality states  $0 \leq 0$ , so there is nothing to prove. Otherwise, let us consider the function  $f(t) = (tv - w, tv - w)$  defined for a real argument  $t$ . Expanding the brackets using the bilinearity and symmetry of scalar products, we obtain

$$f(t) = t^2(v, v) - 2t(v, w) + (w, w),$$

so  $f(t)$  is, for fixed  $v$  and  $w$ , a quadratic polynomial in  $t$  whose leading coefficient  $(v, v)$  is positive. Also,  $f(t)$  assumes non-negative values for all  $t$ . This can only happen if the discriminant of  $f(t)$  is non-positive, for if it is positive, then  $f(t)$  has two distinct roots  $t_1$  and  $t_2$ , and we have  $f(t) < 0$  for  $t_1 < t < t_2$ . The discriminant of  $f(t)$  is  $(2(v, w))^2 - 4(v, v)(w, w) = 4((v, w)^2 - (v, v)(w, w))$ , so we conclude that

$$(v, w)^2 \leq (v, v)(w, w),$$

as required. The discriminant is zero if and only if  $f(t)$  assumes the value 0, and if  $t_0$  is the corresponding value of  $t$ , then  $t_0v = w$ , so  $v$  and  $w$  are proportional.  $\square$

## Orthogonal complements, and orthogonal direct sums

Now that we defined angles, we can in particular make better sense of orthogonality:  $(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}) = 0$  implies that the angle between  $\mathbf{v}$  and  $\mathbf{w}$  is equal to  $90^\circ$ , so  $\mathbf{v}$  and  $\mathbf{w}$  are orthogonal in the usual sense.

**Definition 2.** Let  $\mathbf{U}$  be a subspace of a Euclidean space  $\mathbf{V}$ . The set of all vectors  $\mathbf{v}$  such that  $(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{u}) = 0$  for all  $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbf{U}$  is called the orthogonal complement of  $\mathbf{U}$ , and is denoted by  $\mathbf{U}^\perp$ .

**Lemma 1.** For every subspace  $\mathbf{U}$ ,  $\mathbf{U}^\perp$  is also a subspace.

*Proof.* This follows immediately from the bilinearity property of scalar products: for example, if  $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2 \in \mathbf{U}^\perp$ , then for each  $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbf{U}$  we have  $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}_1 + \mathbf{v}_2) = (\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}_1) + (\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}_2) = 0$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.** For every subspace  $\mathbf{U}$ , we have  $\mathbf{U} \cap \mathbf{U}^\perp = \{0\}$ .

*Proof.* Indeed, if  $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbf{U} \cap \mathbf{U}^\perp$ , we have  $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}) = 0$ , so  $\mathbf{u} = 0$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.** For every finite-dimensional subspace  $\mathbf{U} \subset \mathbf{V}$ , we have  $\mathbf{V} = \mathbf{U} \oplus \mathbf{U}^\perp$ . (This justifies the name “orthogonal complement” for  $\mathbf{U}^\perp$ .)

*Proof.* Let  $\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_k$  be an orthonormal basis of  $\mathbf{U}$ . To prove that the direct sum coincides with  $\mathbf{V}$ , it is enough to prove  $\mathbf{V} = \mathbf{U} + \mathbf{U}^\perp$ , or in other words that every vector  $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{V}$  can be represented in the form  $\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}^\perp$ , where  $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbf{U}$ ,  $\mathbf{u}^\perp \in \mathbf{U}^\perp$ . Equivalently, we need to represent  $\mathbf{v}$  in the form  $c_1\mathbf{e}_1 + \dots + c_k\mathbf{e}_k + \mathbf{u}^\perp$ , where  $c_1, \dots, c_k$  are unknown coefficients. Computing scalar products with  $\mathbf{e}_j$  for  $j = 1, \dots, k$ , we get a system of equations to determine  $c_i$ :

$$(c_1\mathbf{e}_1 + \dots + c_k\mathbf{e}_k + \mathbf{u}^\perp, \mathbf{e}_j) = (\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{e}_j).$$

Due to orthonormality of our basis and the definition of the orthogonal complement, the left hand side of this equation is  $c_j$ . On the other hand, it is easy to see that for every  $\mathbf{v}$ , the vector

$$\mathbf{v} - (\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{e}_1)\mathbf{e}_1 - \dots - (\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{e}_k)\mathbf{e}_k$$

is orthogonal to all  $\mathbf{e}_j$ , and so to all vectors from  $\mathbf{U}$ , and so belongs to  $\mathbf{U}^\perp$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 1** (Bessel’s inequality). For any vector  $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{V}$  and any orthonormal system  $\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_k$  (not necessarily a basis) we have

$$(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}) \geq (\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{e}_1)^2 + \dots + (\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{e}_k)^2.$$

*Proof.* Indeed, we can take  $\mathbf{U} = \text{span}(\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_k)$  and represent  $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}^\perp$ . Then

$$(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}) = (\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}^\perp, \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}^\perp) = (\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}) + (\mathbf{u}^\perp, \mathbf{u}^\perp)$$

because  $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}^\perp) = 0$ , so

$$|\mathbf{v}|^2 = |\mathbf{u}|^2 + |\mathbf{u}^\perp|^2 \geq |\mathbf{u}|^2 = (\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{e}_1)^2 + \dots + (\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{e}_k)^2 = (\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{e}_1)^2 + \dots + (\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{e}_k)^2.$$

$\square$