

1212: Linear Algebra II

Dr. Vladimir Dotsenko (Vlad)

Lecture 4

One more example for diagonalisation

Let V is the space of all polynomials in t of degree at most n , and let $\varphi: V \rightarrow V$ be the linear transformation given by $(\varphi(p(t)) = p(t) - 2p'(t))$. Let us find out whether φ can be diagonalised.

If $\varphi(p(t)) = \lambda p(t)$, we have $p(t) - 2p'(t) = \lambda p(t)$, or $(1 - \lambda)p(t) - 2p'(t) = 0$. If $\lambda \neq 1$, the leading term of $p(t)$ will not cancel, so there are no nontrivial solutions. If $\lambda = 1$, we have $-2p'(t) = 0$, so $p(t)$ is a constant. Clearly, for $n > 0$ there is basis consisting of eigenvectors (we cannot express polynomials of positive degree using eigenvectors), so the matrix of φ cannot be made diagonal by the change of basis.

All the same can be done using the basis $1, t, \dots, t^n$ of the space of polynomials; the matrix of our operator relative to this basis is, as it is easy to see,

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -2 & 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -4 & \dots & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \dots & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \ddots & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 & -2n \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

and all our statements easily follow from computations with matrices.

Sums and direct sums

Let V be a vector space. Recall that the *span* of a set of vectors $v_1, \dots, v_k \in V$ is the set of all linear combinations $c_1 v_1 + \dots + c_k v_k$. It is denoted by $\text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_k)$. Vectors v_1, \dots, v_k are linearly independent if and only if they form a basis of their linear span. Our next definition provides a generalization of what is just said, dealing with subspaces, and not vectors.

Definition 1. Let V_1, \dots, V_k be subspaces of V . Their *sum* $V_1 + \dots + V_k$ is defined as the set of vectors of the form $v_1 + \dots + v_k$, where $v_1 \in V_1, \dots, v_k \in V_k$. The sum of the subspaces V_1, \dots, V_k is said to be *direct* if $0 + \dots + 0$ is the only way to represent $0 \in V_1 + \dots + V_k$ as a sum $v_1 + \dots + v_k$. In this case, it is denoted by $V_1 \oplus \dots \oplus V_k$.

Lemma 1. $V_1 + \dots + V_k$ is a subspace of V .

Proof. It is sufficient to check that $V_1 + \dots + V_k$ is closed under addition and multiplication by numbers. Clearly,

$$(v_1 + \dots + v_k) + (v'_1 + \dots + v'_k) = ((v_1 + v'_1) + \dots + (v_k + v'_k))$$

and

$$c(v_1 + \dots + v_k) = ((cv_1) + \dots + (cv_k)),$$

and the lemma follows, since each V_i is a subspace and hence closed under the vector space operations. \square

Example 1. Let $V = \mathbb{R}^3$, and let $V_1 = \text{span}(\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2)$, $V_2 = \text{span}(\mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_3)$, where \mathbf{e}_i are standard unit vectors. Then the sum $V_1 + V_2$ consists of all combinations of $\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_3$, so $V_1 + V_2 = V$. This sum is not direct, since $\mathbf{0} = \mathbf{e}_2 - \mathbf{e}_2$ is a nontrivial representation of $\mathbf{0}$.

On the other hand, for $V_1 = \text{span}(\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2)$ and $V_2 = \text{span}(\mathbf{e}_3)$ the sum is still equal to V and is direct (exercise).

Example 2. For a collection of nonzero vectors $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k \in V$, consider the subspaces V_1, \dots, V_k , where V_i consists of all multiples of \mathbf{v}_i . Then, clearly, $V_1 + \dots + V_k = \text{span}(\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k)$, and this sum is direct if and only if the vectors \mathbf{v}_i are linearly independent.

Example 3. For two subspaces V_1 and V_2 , their sum is direct if and only if $V_1 \cap V_2 = \{\mathbf{0}\}$. Indeed, if $\mathbf{v}_1 + \mathbf{v}_2 = \mathbf{0}$ is a nontrivial representation of $\mathbf{0}$, $\mathbf{v}_1 = -\mathbf{v}_2$ is in the intersection, and vice versa.

Theorem 1. *If V_1 and V_2 are subspaces of V , we have*

$$\dim(V_1 + V_2) = \dim(V_1) + \dim(V_2) - \dim(V_1 \cap V_2).$$

In particular, the sum of V_1 and V_2 is direct if and only if $\dim(V_1 + V_2) = \dim(V_1) + \dim(V_2)$.

Proof. Let us pick a basis $\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_k$ of the intersection $V_1 \cap V_2$, and extend this basis to a bigger set of vectors in two different ways, one way obtaining a basis of V_1 , and the other way — a basis of V_2 . Let $\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_k, \mathbf{f}_1, \dots, \mathbf{f}_l$ and $\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_k, \mathbf{g}_1, \dots, \mathbf{g}_m$ be the resulting bases of V_1 and V_2 respectively. Let us prove that

$$\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_k, \mathbf{f}_1, \dots, \mathbf{f}_l, \mathbf{g}_1, \dots, \mathbf{g}_m$$

is a basis of $V_1 + V_2$. It is a complete system of vectors, since every vector in $V_1 + V_2$ is a sum of a vector from V_1 and a vector from V_2 , and vectors there can be represented as linear combinations of $\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_k, \mathbf{f}_1, \dots, \mathbf{f}_l$ and $\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_k, \mathbf{g}_1, \dots, \mathbf{g}_m$ respectively. To prove linear independence, let us assume that

$$\mathbf{a}_1 \mathbf{e}_1 + \dots + \mathbf{a}_k \mathbf{e}_k + \mathbf{b}_1 \mathbf{f}_1 + \dots + \mathbf{b}_l \mathbf{f}_l + \mathbf{c}_1 \mathbf{g}_1 + \dots + \mathbf{c}_m \mathbf{g}_m = \mathbf{0}.$$

Rewriting this formula as $\mathbf{a}_1 \mathbf{e}_1 + \dots + \mathbf{a}_k \mathbf{e}_k + \mathbf{b}_1 \mathbf{f}_1 + \dots + \mathbf{b}_l \mathbf{f}_l = -(\mathbf{c}_1 \mathbf{g}_1 + \dots + \mathbf{c}_m \mathbf{g}_m)$, we notice that on the left we have a vector from V_1 and on the right a vector from V_2 , so both the left hand side and the right hand side is a vector from $V_1 \cap V_2$, and so can be represented as a linear combination of $\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_k$ alone. However, the vectors on the right hand side together with \mathbf{e}_i form a basis of V_2 , so there is no nontrivial linear combination of these vectors that is equal to a linear combination of \mathbf{e}_i . Consequently, all coefficients \mathbf{c}_i are equal to zero, so the left hand side is zero. This forces all coefficients \mathbf{a}_i and \mathbf{b}_i to be equal to zero, since $\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_k, \mathbf{f}_1, \dots, \mathbf{f}_l$ is a basis of V_1 . This completes the proof of the linear independence of the vectors $\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_k, \mathbf{f}_1, \dots, \mathbf{f}_l, \mathbf{g}_1, \dots, \mathbf{g}_m$.

Summing up, $\dim(V_1) = k + l$, $\dim(V_2) = k + m$, $\dim(V_1 + V_2) = k + l + m$, $\dim(V_1 \cap V_2) = k$, and our theorem follows. \square

In practice, it is important sometimes to determine the intersection of two subspaces, each presented as a linear span of several vectors. We shall discuss it in the next class.