

# MA 3421 Assignment 6, Due 8 November 2018

## Solutions

Revision: 1.0

Date: 2018-11-13 20:52:53+00

Check <http://www.maths.tcd.ie/~stalker/3421/> for most recent version.

1. Suppose  $S$  is a set, not necessarily finite or countable,  $f: S \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  is a function,  $\mu, \nu \in \mathbf{R}$  and, for all finite subsets  $\subseteq S$  we have

$$\mu \leq \sum_{u \in S} f(u) \leq \nu.$$

Show that the set

$$N = \{u \in S: f(u) \neq 0\}$$

is at most countable.

*Note:* This is a generalisation of an argument given both in the notes and in lecture.

*Solution:* Set

$$S_{+\delta} = \{u \in S: f(u) \geq \delta\}$$

and

$$S_{-\delta} = \{u \in S: f(u) \leq -\delta\}$$

for  $\delta > 0$ . If  $T \subseteq S_{+\delta}$  is finite then

$$\sum_{u \in T} f(u) \geq n\delta$$

where  $n$  is the number of elements of  $T$ . It follows that

$$n \leq \mu/\delta.$$

Since this holds for all finite subsets  $T$  it follows that  $S_{+\delta}$  itself has at most that many elements. Similarly, if  $T \subseteq S_{-\delta}$  is finite then

$$\sum_{u \in T} f(u) \leq -n\delta$$

where  $n$  is the number of elements of  $T$ . It follows that

$$n \leq -\nu/\delta.$$

Since this holds for all finite subsets  $T$  it follows that  $S_{+\delta}$  itself has at most that many elements. So

$$N = \cup S_{\pm 1/n}$$

as a countable union of finite sets is at most countable.

2. The dual to a normed space  $E$  is, by definition,  $E' = \mathcal{L}(E, \mathbf{K})$ . This is a normed space, because  $\mathbf{K}$  is a normed space and  $\mathcal{L}(E, F)$  is a normed space for any normed spaces  $E$  and  $F$ . The double dual is the dual of the dual,  $E'' = \mathcal{L}(E', \mathbf{K}) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(E, \mathbf{K}), \mathbf{K})$ . Show that  $E'$  and  $E''$  are in fact Banach spaces.

*Solution:* We saw earlier that  $\mathcal{L}(E, F)$  is a Banach space whenever  $F$  is.  $\mathbf{K}$  is a Banach space, so  $E'$  is a Banach space. Applying the same argument with  $E'$  in place of  $E$  shows that  $E''$  is a Banach space.

3. Define  $J(x)$ , a function from  $E'$  to  $\mathbf{K}$ , by

$$(J(x))(f) = f(x)$$

for all  $x \in E$ ,  $f \in E'$ . Show the following:

- (a)  $J(x): E' \rightarrow \mathbf{K}$  is linear and bounded, so  $J(x) \in E''$ .
- (b)  $J: E \rightarrow E''$  is linear and bounded, so  $J \in \mathcal{L}(E, E'')$ .
- (c) If  $E$  is an inner product space then  $J$  is injective.

*Solution:*

- (a)

$$\begin{aligned} (J(x))(\alpha f + \beta g) &= (\alpha f + \beta g)(x) \\ &= \alpha f(x) + \beta g(x) \\ &= \alpha(J(x))(f) + \beta(J(x))(g) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbf{K}$  and  $f, g \in E'$ , so  $J(x)$  is linear.

$$\|(J(x))(f)\|_{\mathbf{K}} = \|f(x)\|_{\mathbf{K}} \leq \|f\|_{E'} \|x\|_E = \|x\|_E \|f\|_{E'}$$

for all  $f \in E'$  so  $J(x)$  is bounded,  $J(x) \in E''$  and

$$\|J(x)\|_{E''} \leq \|x\|_E.$$

- (b)

$$\begin{aligned} (J(\alpha x + \beta y))(f) &= f(\alpha x + \beta y) \\ &= \alpha f(x) + \beta f(y) \\ &= \alpha(J(x))(f) + \beta(J(y))(f) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbf{K}$ ,  $x, y \in E$  and  $f \in E'$ , so

$$J(\alpha x + \beta y) = \alpha J(x) + \beta J(y)$$

for all  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbf{K}$ ,  $x, y \in E$ . In other words,  $J$  is linear. Also

$$\|J(x)\|_{E''} \leq \|x\|_E.$$

for all  $x \in E$ , so  $J$  is bounded and

$$\|J\|_{\mathcal{L}(E, E'')} \leq 1.$$

(c) Suppose

$$Jx = 0.$$

Then

$$(Jx)(f) = 0$$

for all  $f \in E'$ . In other words

$$f(x) = 0$$

for all  $f$  in  $E'$ . But

$$f(y) = (y|x)$$

defines an element  $f \in E'$ , so

$$(x|x) = 0$$

and hence

$$x = 0.$$

4. If  $E$  is a Hilbert space then it's a normed space and so  $E'$  is a Banach space. Show that it is, in fact, a Hilbert space, i.e. that the norm on  $E'$  is induced by an inner product on  $E'$ .

*Note:* Use the Riesz Representation Theorem.

*Solution:* Suppose  $f, g \in E'$ . By the Riesz Representation Theorem there are  $w, z \in E$  such that

$$f(x) = (x|w), \quad g(y) = (y|z)$$

for all  $x, y \in E$ . These are unique, because if, for example

$$f(x) = (x|u)$$

for all  $x \in E$  then

$$(x|u - w) = (x|u) - (x|w) = f(x) - g(x) = 0$$

for all  $x \in E$ , including  $x = u - w$ . Thus

$$\|u - w\|^2 = (u - w|u - w) = 0.$$

So it makes sense to define a function  $q: E' \times E' \rightarrow \mathbf{K}$  by

$$q(f, g) = (z|w).$$

We now show that this is an inner product. If  $h, k \in E'$  and  $f = h + k$  then, choosing  $u, v$  in  $E$  such that

$$h(x) = (x|u), \quad k(x) = (x|v)$$

for all  $x \in E$  we have

$$f(x) = h(x) + k(x) = (x|u) + (x|v) = (x|u + v) = (x|w)$$

for all  $x \in E$ , where

$$w = u + v.$$

So

$$q(h + k, g) = q(f, g) = (z|u + v) = (z|u) + (z|v) = q(h, g) + q(k, g).$$

If  $\alpha \in \mathbf{K}$  then

$$\alpha f(x) = \alpha (x|w) = (x|\bar{\alpha}w)$$

for all  $x \in E$  so

$$q(\alpha f, g) = (z|\bar{\alpha}w) = \alpha (z|w) = \alpha q(f, g).$$

Also

$$q(f, g) = (z|w) = \overline{(w|x)} = \overline{q(g, f)},$$

$$q(f, f) = (w|w) \geq 0,$$

and  $q(f, f) = 0$  only if  $w = 0$ , in which case  $f = 0$ . So  $q$  is an inner product on  $E'$ . We have

$$q(f, f) = (w|w) = \|w\|^2 = \|f\|^2,$$

so

$$\|f\| = \sqrt{q(f, f)}.$$

In other words, the norm on  $E'$  is the one induced by the inner product  $q$ .

5. Suppose that  $E$  and  $F$  are normed spaces and  $A: E \rightarrow F$  linear. and that the image of every bounded sequence in  $E$  has a convergent subsequence, i.e. that for every bounded sequence  $(x_1, x_2, \dots)$  in  $E$  the sequence  $(Ax_1, Ax_2, \dots)$  in  $F$  has a convergent subsequence. Show that  $A$  is compact.

*Note:* Your first task is to figure out why this is not just the definition of compactness.

*Solution:* The only part which is missing from the definition of compactness is the boundedness of  $A$ , which we can prove by contradiction. If  $A$  is not bounded then for each  $n \in \mathbf{Z}^+$  we have that  $n$  is not a bound, i.e. that there is an  $x_n \in E$  with

$$\|Ax_n\| > n\|x_n\|.$$

Let

$$y_n = \frac{x_n}{\|x_n\|}.$$

Then  $\|y_n\| = 1$  and  $\|Ay_n\| > n$ . The sequence  $(y_1, y_2, \dots)$  is bounded but the sequence  $(Ay_1, Ay_2, \dots)$  is not. Neither is any of its subsequences, so none of them is convergent, contradicting the compactness of  $A$ .

6. Suppose  $s \in \mathbf{K}$  and  $\operatorname{Re} s \geq 0$ . If  $x = (\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots) \in l^2$  then

$$A_n x = (1^{-s}\xi_1, 2^{-s}, \dots, n^{-s}\xi_n, 0, 0, \dots),$$

$$Bx = (1^{-s}\xi_1, 2^{-s}, \dots, n^{-s}\xi_n, (n+1)^{-s}\xi_{n+1}, \dots),$$

belong to  $l^2$  and define operators  $A_n, B \in \mathcal{L}(l^2)$ .

(a) Show that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n = B$$

if  $\operatorname{Re} s > 0$ .

(b) Show that  $A_n$  is compact if  $\operatorname{Re} s \geq 0$ .

*Hint:* Write  $A_n$  as a product of operators with finite dimensional domain or range.

(c) Show that  $B$  is compact if  $\operatorname{Re} s > 0$ .

(d) Show that  $B$  is symmetric if and only if  $s$  is real.

*Solution:*

(a)

$$(B - A_n)x = (0, 0, \dots, (n+1)^{-s}\xi_{n+1}, (n+2)^{-s}\xi_{n+2}, \dots),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|(A_n - B)x\|^2 &= ((B - A_n)x | (B - A_n)x) \\ &= (n+1)^{-2\operatorname{Re} s} |\xi_{n+1}|^2 + (n+2)^{-2\operatorname{Re} s} |\xi_{n+2}|^2 + \dots \end{aligned}$$

so

$$\|(A_n - B)x\|^2 \leq (n+1)^{-2\operatorname{Re} s} \|x\|^2,$$

$$\|(A_n - B)x\| \leq (n+1)^{-\operatorname{Re} s} \|x\|,$$

and, since  $x$  was arbitrary,

$$\|(A_n - B)\| \leq (n+1)^{-\operatorname{Re} s}.$$

The right hand side tends to zero as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , so

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|A_n - B\| = 0$$

and hence

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n = B.$$

(b) Write

$$A_n = R_n S_n T_n$$

where

$$R_n(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n, 0, 0, 0),$$

$$S_n(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) = (1^{-s}\xi_1, \dots, n^{-s}\xi_n),$$

and

$$T_n(\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots) = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n)$$

are operators in  $\mathcal{L}(l^2(n), l^2)$ ,  $\mathcal{L}(l^2(n), l^2(n))$  and  $\mathcal{L}(l^2, l^2(n))$  respectively. All of them are compact, since  $\mathcal{L}(E, F)$  is compact if either  $E$  or  $F$  is finite dimensional. The product  $R_n S_n T_n$  is therefore compact. It would in fact suffice if any one of the factors were compact.

(c) Using the two preceding parts  $B$  is a limit of compact operators and hence is compact.

(d) If  $s$  is real,  $x = (\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots) \in l^2$  and  $y = (\eta_1, \eta_2, \dots) \in l^2$  then then

$$(Bx|y) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j^{-s} \xi_j \overline{\eta_j} = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \xi_j \overline{j^{-s} \eta_j} = (x|By),$$

so  $B$  is symmetric. Conversely, suppose  $B$  is symmetric.

$$(Be_j|e_j) = j^{-s} = \exp(-s \log j)$$

and

$$(e_j|Be_j) = \overline{j^{-s}} = \exp(-\bar{s} \log j),$$

with  $\{e_1, e_2, \dots\}$  being the standard basis for  $l^2$ ,  $B$  is symmetric so the two are equal, which implies

$$(s - \bar{s}) \log j = 2\pi im$$

or

$$\frac{\operatorname{Im} s}{\pi} \log j = m$$

for some  $m \in \mathbf{Z}$ . We apply this with  $j = p$  and  $j = q$  where  $p$  and  $q$  are distinct primes.

$$\frac{\operatorname{Im} s}{\pi} \log p = m_p, \quad \frac{\operatorname{Im} s}{\pi} \log q = m_q$$

Then

$$m_p \log q = m_q \log p$$

and, exponentiating

$$q^{m_p} = p^{m_q}.$$

Because of unique factorisation

$$m_p = m_q = 0$$

and hence, because  $\log p \neq 0$ ,

$$\frac{\operatorname{Im} s}{\pi} = 0.$$