## MAU22200 2021-2022 Practice Problem Set 5 Solutions

- 1. Suppose  $(X, \mathcal{T}_X)$  is a topological space,  $A \in \wp(X)$  and  $\mathcal{T}_A$  is the subspace topology on A.
  - (a) Show that if  $U \in \mathcal{T}_X$  and  $U \subseteq A$  then  $U \in \mathcal{T}_A$ . Solution:  $U = A \cap U$  since  $A \subseteq U$ . So  $U \in \mathcal{T}_A$  by Proposition 3.8.2.
  - (b) Show that if  $X \setminus V \in \mathcal{T}_X$  and  $V \subseteq A$  then  $A \setminus V \in \mathcal{T}_A$ . Solution:  $A \cap X = A$  and  $A \cap V = V$  so

$$A \setminus V = (A \cap X) \setminus (A \cap V) = A \cap (X \setminus V).$$

Therefore  $A \setminus V \in \mathcal{T}_A$  by Proposition 3.8.2.

- (c) Show that if  $A \in \mathcal{T}_X$ ,  $U \in \mathcal{T}_A$  and  $U \subseteq A$  then  $U \in \mathcal{T}_X$ . Solution: By Proposition 3.8.2 there is a  $W \in \mathcal{T}_X$  such that  $U = A \cap W$ . But then  $U \in \mathcal{T}_X$  because  $A \in \mathcal{T}_X$  and  $W \in \mathcal{T}_X$ .
- (d) Show that if  $X \setminus A \in \mathcal{T}_X$ ,  $A \setminus V \in \mathcal{T}_A$  and  $V \subseteq A$  so  $X \setminus V \in \mathcal{T}_X$  because intersections of open sets are open. Solution: By Proposition 3.8.2 there is a  $W \in \mathcal{T}_X$  such that  $A \cap W = A \setminus V$ .

$$X \setminus V = (X \setminus A) \cup (A \setminus V)(X \setminus A) \cup (A \cap W) = (X \setminus A) \cup W$$

This is in  $\mathcal{T}_X$  because intersections of open sets are open.

2. The cofinite topology on a set X was defined in Practice Problem Set 2, where you proved that it is indeed a topology and that it is a Hausdorff topology if and only if X is finite.

Proposition 3.10.9 says that  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  is Hausdorff if and only if the diagonal  $\Delta_X$  is closed. If X is infinite then it follows that  $\Delta_X$  is not closed, so by Proposition 3.2.2 Parts (b) and (g) the closure of  $\Delta_X$  is strictly larger than  $\Delta_X$ . What is the closure of  $\Delta_X$ ?

Solution: The closure is all of  $X \times X$ . Suppose  $(a, b) \in X \times X$  and U is a neighbourhood of (a, b) in  $X \times X$ . By Proposition 3.10.4 U is a union of sets of the form  $V \times W$ , where V and W are open sets in X. (a, b) must therefore be contained in such a set. V and W are non-empty since  $a \in V$  and  $b \in W$  so by the definition of the cofinite topology  $X \setminus V$  and  $X \setminus W$  are both finite. So

$$X \setminus (V \cap W) = (X \setminus V) \cup (X \setminus W)$$

is finite and therefore  $V \cap W$  is non-empty. If  $c \in V \cap W$  then  $(c,c) \in V \times W$  so  $(c,c) \in U$ . But  $(c,c) \in \Delta_X$  so  $\Delta_X \cap U \neq \emptyset$ . It follows from Proposition 3.2.2 Part (l) that  $(a,b) \in \overline{\Delta_X}$ . But (a,b) was an arbitrary element of  $X \times X$ , so  $\overline{\Delta_X} = X \times X$ .

3. (a) Suppose  $A \in \wp(\mathbf{R})$  is connected. First show that if x < y < z and  $x, z \in A$  then  $y \in A$ .

Solution: If  $x, z \in A$  and x < y < z but  $y \notin A$  then

$$A = A \cap (\mathbf{R} \setminus \{y\}) = A \cap ((-\infty, y) \cup (y, +\infty))$$
$$= (A \cap (-\infty, y)) \cup (A \cap (y, +\infty))$$

and

$$(A \cap (-\infty, y)) \cap (A \cap (y, +\infty)) = A \cap ((-\infty, y) \cap (y, +\infty))$$
$$= A \cap \emptyset = \emptyset.$$

Also  $x \in A \cap (-\infty, y)$  and  $z \in A \cap (y, +\infty)$  so  $A \cap (-\infty, y) \neq \emptyset$  and  $A \cap (y, +\infty) \neq \emptyset$ . Both  $A \cap (-\infty, y)$  and  $A \cap (y, +\infty)$  are elements of  $\mathcal{T}_A$  by Proposition 3.8.2, so A is disconnected. In other words, assuming  $x, z \in A$  and x < y < z, if  $y \notin A$  then A is disconnected. Equivalently, if  $x, z \in A, x < y < z$  and A is connected then  $y \in A$ .

(b) Show that if A is connected then A is an interval.

Solution: If A is empty then it's an an interval. Suppose A is nonempty and bounded. Let

$$a = \inf A, \qquad b = \sup A.$$

If a < y < b then y is neither an upper bound nor a lower bound for A so there are x < y and z > y such that  $x, z \in A$ . It follows from the previous part that  $y \in A$ . If x < a then  $x \notin A$  because a is a lower bound for A. If z > b then  $z \notin A$  because b is an upper bound for A. So

$$(a,b) \subseteq A \subseteq [a,b].$$

The only remaining question is whether  $a \in A$  and whether  $b \in A$ , so the only sets with this property are the intervals (a, b), (a, b], [a, b)and [a, b].

Suppose A is bounded neither from above nor below. If  $y \in \mathbf{R}$  then y is neither an upper nor a lower bound for A, so there are x < y and z > y such that  $x, z \in A$ . It follows from the previous part that  $y \in A$ . So  $A = \mathbf{R}$ .  $\mathbf{R} = (-\infty, +\infty)$  is an interval.

Suppose A is bounded from below but not from above. Let

$$a = \inf A.$$

If y > a then y is neither an upper nor a lower bound for A so  $y \in A$ . If x < a then  $x \notin A$  since a is a lower bound for A. So

$$(a, +\infty) \subseteq A \subseteq [a, +\infty).$$

The only sets with this property are the intervals  $(a, +\infty)$  and  $[a, +\infty)$ .

Suppose A is bounded from below but not from above. Let

$$b = \sup A.$$

If y < b then y is neither an upper nor a lower bound for A so  $y \in A$ . If z > b then  $z \notin A$  since b is an upper bound for A. So

$$(-\infty, b) \subseteq A \subseteq (-\infty, b].$$

The only sets with this property are the intervals  $(-\infty, b)$  and  $(-\infty, b]$ . The various cases considered above exhaust all the possibilities, so in every case A is an interval.