

MAU22200 2021-2022 Practice Assignment 3, Due 1 March 2022  
Solutions

1.  $\ell^p(\mathbf{N})$  was defined for  $p \in [1, +\infty)$  as the space of sequences  $\alpha: \mathbf{N} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  such that

$$\sum_{j=0}^n |\alpha_j|^p$$

converges<sup>1</sup>, equipped with the norm

$$\|\alpha\|_p = \left( \sum_{j=0}^n |\alpha_j|^p \right)^{1/p}.$$

It was shown in the notes that this is indeed a norm.

- (a) It's usual to define  $\ell^\infty(\mathbf{N})$  as the space of bounded sequences with the norm

$$\|\alpha\|_\infty = \sup_{j \in \mathbf{N}} |\alpha_j|.$$

Although the connection with the  $\ell^p$  spaces for  $p < +\infty$  is not obvious from the definitions it is in fact true that  $\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \|\alpha\|_p = \|\alpha\|_\infty$ . You don't need to prove this however. Instead prove that

$$\|\alpha\|_\infty = \sup_{j \in \mathbf{N}} |\alpha_j|$$

is in fact a norm.

*Solution:* We need to check the three conditions which define a norm. The supremum of a set of non-negative numbers is non-negative so  $\|\alpha\|_\infty \geq 0$  for all  $\alpha \in \ell^\infty(\mathbf{N})$ . If  $\alpha \neq 0$  then  $\alpha_k \neq 0$  for some  $k \in \mathbf{N}$ , so

$$\|\alpha\|_\infty = \sup_{j \in \mathbf{N}} |\alpha_j| > |\alpha_k| > 0.$$

If  $\alpha = 0$  then  $\|\alpha\|_\infty = 0$ , so  $\|\alpha\|_\infty > 0$  if and only if  $\alpha \neq 0$ . This establishes the first property of norms.

If  $\alpha \in \ell^\infty(\mathbf{N})$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}$  then

$$\|\lambda\alpha\|_\infty = \sup_{j \in \mathbf{N}} |\lambda\alpha_j| = \sup_{j \in \mathbf{N}} |\lambda| |\alpha_j| = |\lambda| \sup_{j \in \mathbf{N}} |\alpha_j| = |\lambda| \|\alpha\|_\infty.$$

This is the second property of norms.

If  $\alpha, \beta \in \ell^\infty(\mathbf{N})$  then

$$\begin{aligned} \|\alpha + \beta\|_\infty &= \sup_{j \in \mathbf{N}} |\alpha_j + \beta_j| \leq \sup_{j \in \mathbf{N}} (|\alpha_j| + |\beta_j|) \\ &\leq \sup_{j \in \mathbf{N}} |\alpha_j| + \sup_{j \in \mathbf{N}} |\beta_j| = \|\alpha\|_\infty + \|\beta\|_\infty. \end{aligned}$$

This establishes the third and last property of norms.

---

<sup>1</sup>By this I mean converges in  $\mathbf{R}$ . Equivalently, these are the sequences such that  $\sum_{j=0}^n |\alpha_j|^p < +\infty$  in  $[-\infty, +\infty]$ .

(b) Show that for  $p \in (0, 1)$

$$\|\alpha\|_p = \left( \sum_{j=0}^n |\alpha_j|^p \right)^{1/p}$$

is not a norm on the space of sequences such that

$$\sum_{j=0}^n |\alpha_j|^p$$

converges.

*Solution:* Define  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  by

$$\alpha_j = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } j = 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } j \neq 0, \end{cases} \quad \beta_j = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } j = 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } j \neq 1. \end{cases}$$

Then  $\alpha \in \ell^\infty(\mathbf{N})$ ,  $\beta \in \ell^\infty(\mathbf{N})$ ,  $\alpha + \beta \in \ell^\infty(\mathbf{N})$ ,  $\|\alpha\|_\infty = 1$  and  $\|\beta\|_\infty = 1$ , but

$$\|\alpha + \beta\|_\infty = 2^{1/p} > 2 = \|\alpha\|_\infty + \|\beta\|_\infty$$

so the third property of norms is violated.

2. Suppose that  $\alpha: \mathbf{N} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  and  $\beta: \mathbf{N} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  are sequences such that  $\sum_{i \in \mathbf{N}} \alpha_i$  and  $\sum_{j \in \mathbf{N}} \beta_j$  converge. Show that  $\sum_{k \in \mathbf{N}} \gamma_k$  converges, where

$$\gamma_k = \sum_{i=0}^k \alpha_i \beta_{k-i}$$

and that

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbf{N}} \gamma_k = \left( \sum_{i \in \mathbf{N}} \alpha_i \right) \left( \sum_{j \in \mathbf{N}} \beta_j \right)$$

*Note:* These are sums in the more general sense considered in Chapter 6 of the notes, not series. The corresponding result for series isn't true without additional hypotheses.

*Hint:* As discussed in Lecture 34, it's often better to use theorems than definitions.

*Solution:* By Proposition 6.2.4

$$\sum_{i \in \mathbf{N}} |\alpha_i| < +\infty$$

and

$$\sum_{j \in \mathbf{N}} |\beta_j| < +\infty.$$

By Tonelli's Theorem, Theorem 6.4.3, then

$$\sum_{(i,j) \in \mathbf{N}^2} |\alpha_i \beta_j| < +\infty.$$

By Proposition 6.2.3 then

$$\sum_{(i,j) \in \mathbf{N}^2} \alpha_i \beta_j$$

converges. By Fubini's Theorem, Theorem 6.4.4, we have

$$\sum_{(i,j) \in \mathbf{N}^2} \alpha_i \beta_j = \sum_{i \in \mathbf{N}} \sum_{j \in \mathbf{N}} \alpha_i \beta_j = \sum_{i \in \mathbf{N}} \alpha_i \sum_{j \in \mathbf{N}} \beta_j$$

Let

$$S_k = \{(i, j) \in \mathbf{N}^2 : i + j = k\}.$$

Then  $S_k \cap S_l = \emptyset$  if  $k \neq l$  and  $\bigcup_{k \in \mathbf{N}} S_k = \mathbf{N}^2$ . Proposition 6.4.1, with  $S$  being  $\mathbf{N}^2$  and  $\mathcal{A}$  being the set of sets  $S_k$  for  $k \in \mathbf{N}$  and  $f((i, j)) = \alpha_i \beta_j$  gives

$$\sum_{(i,j) \in \mathbf{N}^2} \alpha_i \beta_j = \sum_{k \in \mathbf{N}} \sum_{(i,j) \in S_k} \alpha_i \beta_j.$$

But

$$\sum_{(i,j) \in S_k} \alpha_i \beta_j = \sum_{i=0}^k \alpha_i \beta_{k-i} = \gamma_k$$

so

$$\sum_{(i,j) \in \mathbf{N}^2} \alpha_i \beta_j = \sum_{k \in \mathbf{N}} \gamma_k.$$

Therefore

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbf{N}} \gamma_k = \sum_{i \in \mathbf{N}} \alpha_i \sum_{j \in \mathbf{N}} \beta_j.$$

3. Suppose  $F$  is a countable subset of  $\mathbf{R}$ ,  $\mathcal{B}$  is the Borel algebra on  $\mathbf{R}$  and  $\mathcal{J}$  is the Jordan algebra on  $\mathbf{R}$ .

- (a) Show that  $F \in \mathcal{B}$ .

*Solution:* For every  $x \in F$  the set  $\mathbf{R} \setminus \{x\} = (-\infty, x) \cup (x, +\infty)$  is open and hence Borel, therefore its complement,  $\{x\}$  is also Borel. But then

$$F = \bigcup_{x \in F} \{x\}$$

is a countable union of Borel sets and therefore also a Borel set.

- (b) Show that if  $F \in \mathcal{J}$  then  $F$  is bounded.

*Hint:* What are  $\mu^-(F)$  and  $\mu^+(F)$ ?

*Solution:* Suppose  $E \in \mathcal{I}$ , where  $\mathcal{I}$  is, as usual, the set of finite unions of intervals, and  $E \subseteq F$ .  $E$  is then a finite union of intervals. The

only countable intervals are the empty and the singletons  $\{x\}$ , which have length 0, so  $\mu(E) = 0$ . Taking the supremum over all such  $E$  we have

$$\mu^-(F) = \sup_{\substack{E \in \mathcal{I} \\ E \subseteq F}} \mu(E) = 0$$

since  $\mathcal{J}$  was defined as the completion of  $\mathcal{I}$ . It then follows that

$$\inf_{\substack{G \in \mathcal{I} \\ F \subseteq G}} \mu(G) = \mu^+(F) = \mu^-(F) = 0.$$

There is therefore a  $G \in \mathcal{I}$  such that  $F \subseteq G$  and  $\mu(G) < +\infty$ .  $G$  is therefore a finite union of bounded intervals and so is bounded. But then  $F$ , which is a subset of  $G$ , must also be bounded.

- (c) Give an example of a bounded  $F$  such that  $F \notin \mathcal{J}$ .

*Solution:*

$$F = [0, 1] \cup \mathbf{Q}$$

works. The argument is almost identical to the one given in Proposition 7.4.5 to show that  $\mathbf{Q} \notin \mathcal{J}$ . Between any two rationals in  $[0, 1]$  there is an irrational, also in  $[0, 1]$ , and between any two irrationals in  $[0, 1]$  there is a rational in  $[0, 1]$ . It follows that neither  $F$  nor  $[0, 1] \setminus F$  can contain an interval of positive length and therefore that  $\mu(E) = 0$  for any  $E \in \mathcal{J}$  such that  $E \subseteq F$  or  $E \subseteq [0, 1] \setminus F$ . If  $F \in \mathcal{J}$  then  $[0, 1] \setminus F \in \mathcal{J}$  as well and  $\mu(F) = \mu^-(F) = 0$  and  $\mu([0, 1] \setminus F) = \mu^-([0, 1] \setminus F) = 0$ . But then

$$1 = \mu([0, 1]) = \mu(F) + \mu([0, 1] \setminus F) = 0 + 0 = 0,$$

which is clearly false, so the assumption that  $F \in \mathcal{J}$  is incorrect.