

MAU22200 Lecture 38

John Stalker

Trinity College Dublin

1 February 2022

Limits and sums

We saw last time that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{s \in S} f_n(s) = \sum_{s \in S} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(s)$$

can fail even when all the limits and sums exist. We need theorems which tell us this equation does hold under some hypotheses on f , or that something weaker, e.g. an inequality, holds under weaker hypotheses. There are three main theorems of this type:

- ▶ The Monotone Convergence Theorem
- ▶ Fatou's Lemma
- ▶ The Dominated Convergence Theorem

Each of these will later be seen to be a special case of a theorem for integrals, but it's convenient to have the special cases earlier.

The Monotone Convergence Theorem (1/4)

Suppose S is a set and $f: \mathbf{N} \times S \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$ is a function such that if $m \leq n$ then $f_m(s) \leq f_n(s)$ for all $s \in S$. Then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{s \in S} f_n(s) = \sum_{s \in S} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(s).$$

This is called the Monotone Convergence Theorem (for sums).
Because $f_m(s) \leq f_n(s)$ if $m \leq n$ the limit

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(s)$$

exists (in $[0, +\infty]$) for all $s \in S$. Because $f_n(s) \in [0, +\infty]$ the sum

$$\sum_{s \in S} f_n(s)$$

exists (in $[0, +\infty]$) for all $n \in \mathbf{N}$.

The Monotone Convergence Theorem (2/4)

From $f_m(s) \leq f_n(s)$ it follows that

$$\sum_{s \in S} f_m(s) \leq \sum_{s \in S} f_n(s).$$

$\sum_{s \in S} f_n(s)$ is therefore monotone increasing in n . So

$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{s \in S} f_n(s)$ exists (in $[0, +\infty]$).

$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(s) \in [0, +\infty]$ for all $s \in S$ so $\sum_{s \in S} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(s)$ exists (in $[0, +\infty]$).

So both sides of the equation

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{s \in S} f_n(s) = \sum_{s \in S} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(s)$$

are meaningful. None of this would have worked in $[0, +\infty)$, which is why we introduced the extended reals. We still need to show both sides are equal though.

The Monotone Convergence Theorem (3/4)

$f_n(s)$ is an increasing sequence in n for each s so

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(s) = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} f_n(s).$$

So

$$f_n(s) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(s).$$

Therefore

$$\sum_{s \in S} f_n(s) \leq \sum_{s \in S} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(s).$$

Suppose F is a finite subset of S . Then

$$\sum_{s \in F} f_n(s) \leq \sum_{s \in S} f_n(s).$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{s \in F} f_n(s) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{s \in S} f_n(s).$$

The Monotone Convergence Theorem (4/4)

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{s \in F} f_n(s) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{s \in S} f_n(s).$$

We can interchange limits and finite sums so

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{s \in F} f_n(s) = \sum_{s \in F} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(s).$$

Therefore

$$\sum_{s \in F} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(s) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{s \in S} f_n(s).$$

Taking the limit over F ,

$$\sum_{s \in S} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(s) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{s \in S} f_n(s).$$

We now have the inequality in both directions.

Fatou's Lemma (1/3)

Suppose S is a set and $f : \mathbf{N} \times S \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$ is a function.

Then

$$\sum_{s \in S} \sup_{m \in \mathbf{N}} \inf_{n \geq m} f_n(s) \leq \sup_{m \in \mathbf{N}} \inf_{n \geq m} \sum_{s \in S} f_n(s).$$

This is called Fatou's Lemma. Define

$$g_m(s) = \inf_{n \geq m} f_n(s).$$

If $l \leq m$ then $g_l(s) \leq g_m(s)$. In other words, $g_m(s)$ is a monotone function of m for each $s \in S$. Also, $\sum_{s \in S} g_l(s) \leq \sum_{s \in S} g_m(s)$, so $\sum_{s \in S} g_m(s)$ is a monotone function of m . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} g_m(s) &= \sup_{m \in \mathbf{N}} g_m(s) \\ \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{s \in S} g_m(s) &= \sup_{m \in \mathbf{N}} \sum_{s \in S} g_m(s). \end{aligned}$$

Fatou's Lemma (2/3)

By the Monotone Convergence Theorem

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{s \in S} g_m(s) = \sum_{s \in S} \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} g_m(s).$$

$$\sup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{s \in S} g_m(s) = \sum_{s \in S} \sup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} g_m(s).$$

If $l \leq m$ then

$$g_l(s) = \inf_{n \geq l} f_n(s) \leq f_m(s).$$

so

$$\sum_{s \in S} g_l(s) \leq \sum_{s \in S} f_m(s).$$

Taking the infimum over $m \geq l$ gives

$$\sum_{s \in S} g_l(s) \leq \inf_{l \leq m} \sum_{s \in S} f_m(s).$$

Fatou's Lemma (3/3)

$$\sum_{s \in S} g_m(s) \leq \inf_{m \geq n} \sum_{s \in S} f_n(s).$$

$$\sup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{s \in S} g_m(s) \leq \sup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \inf_{m \geq n} \sum_{s \in S} f_n(s).$$

$$\sum_{s \in S} \sup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} g_m(s) \leq \sup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \inf_{m \geq n} \sum_{s \in S} f_n(s).$$

$$\sum_{s \in S} \sup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \inf_{n \geq m} f_n(s) \leq \sup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \inf_{m \geq n} \sum_{s \in S} f_n(s).$$

So we're done. We saw that $\inf_{n \geq m} f_n(s)$ is monotone in m so $\sup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \inf_{n \geq m} f_n(s)$ is the same as $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \inf_{n \geq m} f_n(s)$. That's why this is usually written as $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(s)$. Fatou's Lemma is usually written in terms of \liminf rather than \supinf but for our purposes \supinf is a more useful way to think about it.

A useful lemma

For sequences in \mathbf{R} it's true that

$$\sup_{m \in \mathbf{N}} \inf_{n \geq m} \varphi_n \leq \inf_{m \in \mathbf{N}} \sup_{n \geq m} \varphi_n$$

provided both sides exist. Furthermore, if

$$\inf_{m \in \mathbf{N}} \sup_{n \geq m} \varphi_n \leq \sup_{m \in \mathbf{N}} \inf_{n \geq m} \varphi_n$$

then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_n$ exists and

$$\sup_{m \in \mathbf{N}} \inf_{n \geq m} \varphi_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_n = \inf_{m \in \mathbf{N}} \sup_{n \geq m} \varphi_n.$$

This can be improved in two ways: We can replace sequences by nets. We can replace \mathbf{R} by any interval in $[-\infty, +\infty]$. See the notes for details. Also for any example of how to deal with $[-\infty, +\infty]$ without case by case analysis.