

MA 419 Assignment 4

Due 31 January 2007

*Solutions*

1. Prove that any bounded weak solution of the Wave Equation

$$u_{tt} - c^2 u_{xx} = 0$$

is a solution in the sense of distributions. Is it true that any weak solution is a distribution solution?

*Solution:* After consulting the definition of distribution derivatives we see that we need to prove

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^2} u(\varphi_{tt} - c^2 \varphi_{xx}) = 0$$

for all  $\varphi$  in the Schwarz class  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^2)$ . From the definition of weak solutions we know that

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^2} u(\psi_{tt} - c^2 \psi_{xx}) = 0$$

for all compactly supported smooth  $\psi$ . This holds in particular for

$$\phi = \rho\varphi$$

where

$$\rho(t, x) = \theta(t/R, x/R),$$

$R > 0$  and  $\theta$  is a smooth compactly supported function equal to 1 in the unit ball. Then

$$\begin{aligned} u(\varphi_{tt} - c^2 \varphi_{xx}) &= u(\psi_{tt} - c^2 \psi_{xx}) - u\rho_t \varphi_t + c^2 u\rho_x \varphi_x - u\varphi(\rho_{tt} - c^2 \rho_{xx}) \\ &\quad + (1 - \rho)u(\varphi_{tt} - c^2 \varphi_{xx}) \end{aligned}$$

We now integrate both sides over  $\mathbf{R}^2$ . The integral of the first term on the right is then zero, as we just saw. The integral of the second term is bounded by

$$\|u\|_{L^\infty(\mathbf{R}^2)} \|\rho_t\|_{L^\infty(\mathbf{R}^2)} \|\varphi_t\|_{L^1(\mathbf{R}^2)}.$$

Note that

$$\|\rho_t\|_{L^\infty(\mathbf{R}^2)} = \frac{1}{R} \|\theta_t\|_{L^\infty(\mathbf{R}^2)},$$

so the integral of the second term tends to zero as  $R$  tends to infinity. Similar remarks apply to the third and fourth terms. The integral of the last term is

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^2} (1 - \rho) u (\varphi_{tt} - c^2 \varphi_{xx}),$$

but we may restrict the integral to the complement of the ball  $B_R$  of radius  $R$ , since the factor  $1 - \rho$  ensures that the integrand is zero on  $B_R$ . Thus the integral is bounded by

$$\|1 - \rho\|_{L^\infty(\mathbf{R}^2)} \|u\|_{L^\infty(\mathbf{R}^2)} \|\varphi_{tt} - c^2 \varphi_{xx}\|_{L^1(\mathbf{R}^2 - B_R)}.$$

The first two factors are independent of  $R$ , while the last tends to zero as  $R$  tends to infinity. Thus we see that

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^2} u (\varphi_{tt} - c^2 \varphi_{xx})$$

tends to zero as  $R$  tends to infinity. But the integral is independent of  $R$ , and must therefore be zero.

The hypothesis that  $u$  is bounded, which was used several times in the argument above, can be weakened, but cannot be removed entirely. The difficulty is that there are perfectly good weak solutions, like

$$\exp(x - ct)$$

which are not tempered distributions.

2. Consider the function

$$u(x, y) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \log(x^2 + y^2)$$

as a distribution in the usual way, *i.e.*

$$\langle u, \varphi \rangle = \int_{\mathbf{R}^2} u(x, y) \varphi(x, y) dx dy.$$

Prove that

$$u_{xx} + u_{yy} = \delta$$

where the derivatives are to be interpreted in the sense of distributions and  $\delta$  is the Dirac distribution

$$\langle \delta, \varphi \rangle = \varphi(0, 0).$$

*Solution:* What we need to prove is that

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^2} u(\varphi_{xx} + \varphi_{yy}) = \varphi(0, 0)$$

for all  $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^2)$ . This can be proved by splitting the integral into an integral over the ball  $B_R$  of radius  $R < 1$  and its complement. The integral over  $B_R$  is bounded by

$$\|\varphi_{xx} + \varphi_{yy}\| \int_{B_R} |u| = \|\varphi_{xx} + \varphi_{yy}\| \pi R^2 \left( \frac{1}{2} - \log R \right)$$

which tends to zero as  $R$  tends to zero. In the integral over the complement of  $B_R$  we apply Green's second identity. Since  $u_{xx} + u_{yy} = 0$  only the boundary terms survive.

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^2 - B_R} u(\varphi_{xx} + \varphi_{yy}) = \int_{C_R} \frac{\varphi}{2\pi R} ds - \int_{C_R} \frac{\log R}{2\pi} \varphi ds.$$

As  $R$  tends to zero the first term on the right tends to  $\varphi(0, 0)$  while the second tends to zero. Adding the integrals over  $B_R$  and its complement, we see that

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^2} u(\varphi_{xx} + \varphi_{yy})$$

tends to  $\varphi(0, 0)$  as  $R$  tends to zero. Since the integral is independent of  $R$  it must be identically equal to  $\varphi(0, 0)$ .

3. Prove, by evaluating the integral, that the Poisson Formula

$$u(r, \theta) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int \frac{a^2 - r^2}{a^2 - 2ar \cos(\theta - \varphi) + r^2} f(\varphi) d\varphi$$

gives the correct answer when  $f$  is constant.

*Solution:* First we make the substitution  $\psi = \varphi - \theta$  to get

$$u(r, \theta) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int \frac{a^2 - r^2}{a^2 - 2ar \cos(\psi) + r^2} f d\psi$$

and then the rationalising substitution

$$\cos \psi = \frac{1 - t^2}{1 + t^2} \quad \sin \psi = \frac{2t}{1 + t^2} \quad d\psi = \frac{dt}{1 + t^2}$$

which gives

$$u(r, \theta) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{a^2 - r^2}{(a - r)^2 + (a + r)^2 t^2} f dt.$$

Finally we make the substitution

$$s = \frac{a+r}{a-r}t$$

to get

$$u(r, \theta) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{f ds}{1+s^2}$$

This is just equal to  $f$ .

4. Suppose  $(x_P, y_P)$  and  $(x'_P, y'_P)$  are points in the unit disc  $x^2 + y^2 < 1$  and that the four points  $(-1, 0)$ ,  $(x_P, y_P)$ ,  $(x'_P, y'_P)$  and  $(1, 0)$  lie on a common circle. Prove that there is a symmetry of the Laplace equation which leaves the disc, the circle and the points  $(-1, 0)$  and  $(1, 0)$  invariant, while taking  $(x_P, y_P)$  to  $(x'_P, y'_P)$ .

*Solution:* There is, as shown in class, a Lorentz transformation which takes any three distinct points to any other three distinct points, and therefore there is one which takes  $(-1, 0)$ ,  $(1, 0)$  and  $(x_P, y_P)$  to  $(-1, 0)$ ,  $(1, 0)$  and  $(x'_P, y'_P)$ . Lorentz transformations take circles or lines to circles or lines and any three distinct points uniquely determine a circle or line. It follows that the Lorentz transformation must leave invariant the circle, which I will call  $C$ , through  $(-1, 0)$ ,  $(x_P, y_P)$ ,  $(x'_P, y'_P)$  and  $(1, 0)$ . All we have left to show is that the unit circle is also invariant. The unit circle is uniquely determined by the fact that it passes through  $(-1, 0)$  and  $(1, 0)$  by the angle at which it intersects  $C$  at those points. Since the Lorentz transformation leaves points  $(-1, 0)$  and  $(1, 0)$  and the circle  $C$  invariant it must also leave the unit circle invariant.

5. Let  $u$  be the solution to the Dirichlet problem

$$u_{xx} + u_{yy} = 0$$

$$u(\cos \theta, \sin \theta) = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } -\pi < \theta < 0 \\ +1 & \text{if } 0 < \theta < \pi \end{cases}$$

Prove that  $u$  is constant on each circle passing through the points  $(-1, 0)$  and  $(1, 0)$ . You may use the result of the preceding problem, even if you didn't succeed in proving it.

*Solution:* Let  $\varphi$  be the symmetry from the previous problem and let  $\tilde{u} = u \circ \varphi$ .  $u$  and  $\tilde{u}$  satisfy the Laplace equation, because  $u$  does and  $\varphi$  is a symmetry of the Laplace equation, and satisfy the same boundary conditions, because  $\varphi$  preserves the unit circle and the two points  $(-1, 0)$  and  $(1, 0)$  which separate the positive and negative angles  $\theta$ .

By the uniqueness of solutions to the Dirichlet problem  $u$  and  $\tilde{u}$  are therefore the same function. But then

$$u(x_P, y_P) = \tilde{u}(x_P, y_P) = u(x'_P, y'_P).$$

Since  $(x_P, y_P)$  were arbitrary points on the circle it follows that  $u$  is constant on the circle.