

24/03/2015 MA1124 Analysis - Homework 9

1. - We wish to prove the Bolzano-Weierstrass theorem given that every Cauchy sequence $(x_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$ converges

~ So, we to prove that if $(x_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is a bounded sequence in $\mathbb{R}^n$ then there exists a convergent subsequence $(x_{n_i})_{i=0}^{\infty}$

~ We recall that $(x_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is Cauchy if given $\epsilon > 0$ there exists some $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n > N$ and $m > N$ we get

$$|x_n - x_m| < \epsilon$$

~ Moreover, $(x_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ converges to $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$ if given

$$\delta > 0$$

there exists some $M \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|x_n - x| < \delta$ for all $n > M$

~ Now, if $(x_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is bounded then an upper bound for $(x_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ exists and a lower bound for $(x_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ exists

~ In particular, $\inf_n(x_n)$ exists and $\sup_n(x_n)$ exists, with

$\inf_n(x_n) = a$ and $\sup_n(x_n) = b$ say, by the greatest lower bound principle and

the least upper bound principle respectively

~ In addition, we recall that the Nested Interval theorem states that if $I_1, I_2, \dots$ is a countably infinite sequence of nested intervals such that

$$I_1 \supset I_2 \supset \dots$$

with each I_j a closed bounded interval then $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n \neq \emptyset$

~ Well, we have $x_n \in [a, b]$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$

~ Let

$$O_1 = [a, b]$$

and let $y_1 \in O_1$

~ Then let

$$U_{2,1} = [a, \frac{1}{2}(a+b)] \text{ and } U_{2,2} = [\frac{1}{2}(a+b), b]$$

~ But there exists an accumulation point y_2 , say, such that either $y_2 \in U_{2,1}$ or $y_2 \in U_{2,2}$

~ Then put

$$O_2 = \begin{cases} U_{2,1} & \text{if } y_2 \in U_{2,1} \\ U_{2,2} & \text{if } y_2 \in U_{2,2} \end{cases}$$

~ Similarly, let

$$U_{3,1} = [a, \frac{1}{4}(a+b)], U_{3,2} = [\frac{1}{4}(a+b), \frac{1}{2}(a+b)]$$

and

$$U_{3,3} = [\frac{1}{2}(a+b), \frac{3}{4}(a+b)] \text{ and } U_{3,4} = [\frac{3}{4}(a+b), b]$$

~ Then there exists an accumulation point y_3

1. say, such that either $y_3 \in U_{3,1}$ or $y_3 \in U_{3,2}$ or $y_3 \in U_{3,3}$ or $y_3 \in U_{3,4}$

~ Then put

$$O_3 = \begin{cases} U_{3,1} & \text{if } y_3 \in U_{3,1} \\ U_{3,2} & \text{if } y_3 \in U_{3,2} \\ U_{3,3} & \text{if } y_3 \in U_{3,3} \\ U_{3,4} & \text{if } y_3 \in U_{3,4} \end{cases}$$

~ Likewise, let

$$U_{n,1} = \left[a, \frac{1}{2^{n-1}}(a+b) \right], U_{n,2} = \left[\frac{1}{2^{n-1}}(a+b), \frac{2}{2^{n-1}}(a+b) \right], \dots$$

and

$$U_{n,2^{n-1}} = \left[\frac{2^{n-1}-1}{2^{n-1}}(a+b), b \right]$$

such that

$$U_{n,k} = \left[\frac{k-1}{2^{n-1}}(a+b), \frac{k}{2^{n-1}}(a+b) \right]$$

for each

$$k = 2, 3, \dots, 2^{n-1} - 1$$

~ Then, again, there exists an accumulation point y_n , say, such that either $y_n \in U_{n,1}$ or $y_n \in U_{n,2}$ or ... or $y_n \in U_{n,2^{n-1}}$

~ Then put

$$O_n = \begin{cases} U_{n,1} & \text{if } y_n \in U_{n,1} \\ U_{n,2} & \text{if } y_n \in U_{n,2} \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ U_{n,2^{n-1}} & \text{if } y_n \in U_{n,2^{n-1}} \end{cases}$$

~ But $O_n \subset B(y_n, r_n)$ with

$$r_n = 2 \cdot \frac{1}{2^{n-1}} (a+b)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2^{n-2}} (a+b)$$

for each n

~ However

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} r_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^{n-2}} (a+b)$$

$$= 0$$

~ Hence given

$\varepsilon > 0$
there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all
 $n > N$ and $m > N$
we get

$$|y_n - y_m| < |r_n - r_m| < \varepsilon$$

~ Thus $(y_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is Cauchy

~ Accordingly $(y_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is convergent ■

24/03/2015 MA1124 Analysis - Homework 9

2. - We wish to prove the Heine-Borel theorem given that every Cauchy sequence $(x_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$ converges

~ So, we wish to prove that if $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a closed and bounded set then A is compact

~ Well, if A is bounded then an upper bound for A exists and a lower bound for A exists

~ In particular, $\inf(A)$ exists and $\sup(A)$ exists by the greatest lower bound principle and by the least upper bound principle respectively, with $\inf(A) = a$ and $\sup(A) = b$
say

~ Moreover, if A is a closed set then $\inf(A) \in A$ and $\sup(A) \in A$

~ Now, A is compact if every open cover $\mathcal{U}$ of A has a finite subcover

~ Let $\mathcal{U}$ not have a finite subcover

~ Put

$$O_1 = [a, b]$$

~ Let

$$U_1 = [a, \frac{1}{2}(a+b)] \quad \text{and} \quad U_2 = [\frac{1}{2}(a+b), b]$$

~ Then put

$$\cdot \mathcal{O}_2 = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} U_{2_1} & \text{if there does not exist a finite} \\ & \text{subcover for } U_{2_1} \\ U_{2_2} & \text{if there does not exist a finite} \\ & \text{subcover for } U_{2_2} \end{array} \right\}$$

~ Similarly, let

$$U_{n_1} = \left[a, \frac{1}{2^{n-1}}(a+b) \right], U_{n_2} = \left[\frac{1}{2^{n-1}}(a+b), \frac{2}{2^{n-1}}(a+b) \right], \dots$$

and

$$U_{n_{2^{n-1}}} = \left[\frac{2^{n-1}-1}{2^{n-1}}(a+b), b \right]$$

~ Then put

$$\mathcal{O}_n = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} U_{2_1} & \text{if there does not exist a finite} \\ & \text{subcover for } U_{2_1} \\ U_{2_2} & \text{if there does not exist a finite} \\ & \text{subcover for } U_{2_2} \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ U_{2_{2^{n-1}}} & \text{if there does not exist a finite} \\ & \text{subcover for } U_{2_{2^{n-1}}} \end{array} \right\}$$

~ Then

$$\mathcal{O}_1 \supset \mathcal{O}_2 \supset \dots \supset \mathcal{O}_n$$

with

$$\mathcal{O}_k \neq \emptyset$$

for each

$$k = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

by the Nested Interval theorem

~ Thus letting $y_k \in \mathcal{O}_k$ for each

$$k = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

we see that $\mathcal{O}_k \subset B(y_k, r_k)$ with

2.

$$r_k = 2 \cdot \frac{1}{2^{k-1}} (a+b)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2^{k-2}} (a+b)$$

and so given

$$\varepsilon > 0$$

there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all
 $n > N$ and $m > N$

we get

$$|f_n - f_m| < |r_n - r_m|$$

$$< \varepsilon$$

since

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} r_k = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^{k-2}} (a+b)$$

$$= 0$$

~ Hence $(f_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is Cauchy

~ Accordingly $(f_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ converges, with
 $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n = l$

say

~ But then $B(l, \delta)$ is a finite subcover for
 O_n with

$$\delta > r_n$$

~ However this is a contradiction

~ Therefore A is compact