

## 1S2 (Timoney) Tutorial sheet 15

[March 4 – 8, 2008]

**Name:** Solutions

In this sheet, we consider 3 orthonormal vectors  $\mathbf{u} = u_1\mathbf{i} + u_2\mathbf{j} + u_3\mathbf{k}$ ,  $\mathbf{v} = v_1\mathbf{i} + v_2\mathbf{j} + v_3\mathbf{k}$  and  $\mathbf{w} = w_1\mathbf{i} + w_2\mathbf{j} + w_3\mathbf{k}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . Let

$$P = \begin{bmatrix} u_1 & u_2 & u_3 \\ v_1 & v_2 & v_3 \\ w_1 & w_2 & w_3 \end{bmatrix}, \quad Q = \begin{bmatrix} u_1 & u_2 & u_3 \\ w_1 & w_2 & w_3 \\ v_1 & v_2 & v_3 \end{bmatrix}$$

1. Show that the rotation matrix

$$R = P^t \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos \theta & -\sin \theta \\ 0 & \sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{bmatrix} P$$

is an orthogonal matrix.

*Solution:* The transpose of  $R$  is

$$R^t = P^t \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos \theta & -\sin \theta \\ 0 & \sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{bmatrix}^t (P^t)^t = P^t \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos \theta & \sin \theta \\ 0 & -\sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{bmatrix} P$$

(using the rule that the transpose of a product is the product of the transposes taken in reverse order). So

$$\begin{aligned} RR^t &= P^t \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos \theta & -\sin \theta \\ 0 & \sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{bmatrix} P P^t \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos \theta & \sin \theta \\ 0 & -\sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{bmatrix} P \\ &= P^t \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos \theta & -\sin \theta \\ 0 & \sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos \theta & \sin \theta \\ 0 & -\sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{bmatrix} P \\ &= P^t \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} P \\ &= P^t I_3 P = P^t P = I_3 \end{aligned}$$

since we know  $P$  is an orthogonal matrix.

This shows  $RR^t = I_3$  and shows that  $R^{-1} = R^t$ .

2. For the same  $R$ , show that  $\det(R) = 1$ .

*Solution:* Since  $P$  is orthogonal we know  $\det(P) = \pm 1$ . We also know that the determinant of a product is the product of the determinants. So

$$\begin{aligned}\det(R) &= \det(P^t) \det \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos \theta & -\sin \theta \\ 0 & \sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{bmatrix} \det(P) \\ &= \det(P) \det \begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta & -\sin \theta \\ \sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{bmatrix} \det(P) \\ &\quad \text{using } \det(P^t) = \det(P) \text{ and cofactor expansion} \\ &= \det(P)^2 (\cos^2 \theta + \sin^2 \theta) = (\pm 1)^2 (1) = 1\end{aligned}$$

3. For the same  $R$ , show that

$$R^{-1} = P^t \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos(-\theta) & -\sin(-\theta) \\ 0 & \sin(-\theta) & \cos(-\theta) \end{bmatrix} P$$

*Solution:* This makes sense geometrically as the matrix on the right is the rotation through minus the angle for  $R$ .

Algebraically we can work it out by observing  $\cos(-\theta) = \cos \theta$ ,  $\sin(-\theta) = -\sin \theta$  and so the matrix we have on the right is the same as

$$P^t \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos \theta & \sin \theta \\ 0 & -\sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{bmatrix} P$$

which coincides with  $R^t$  (see first question). And  $R^t = R^{-1}$ .

4. Show that  $\det(Q) = -\det(P) = \pm 1$  and that  $\det(P) = 1$  implies  $\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{w} = \mathbf{u}$ . [Hint for the second part: look at the scalar triple product  $\mathbf{u} \cdot (\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{w})$  first geometrically, and then as a determinant.]

*Solution:* Since  $Q$  is the result of swapping two rows of  $P$ ,  $\det(Q) = -\det(P)$ .

Since  $P$  is orthogonal,  $\det(P) = \pm 1$ .

Since  $\mathbf{u}$ ,  $\mathbf{v}$  and  $\mathbf{w}$  are orthonormal, we know that  $\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{w}$  is a vector in either the same or the opposite direction to  $\mathbf{u}$ . (Recall  $\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{w}$  is perpendicular to the plane of  $\mathbf{v}$  and  $\mathbf{w}$ , but so also is  $\mathbf{u}$ .) In fact as the length of  $\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{w}$  is

$$\|\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{w}\| = \|\mathbf{v}\| \|\mathbf{w}\| \sin(\pi/2) = 1 = \|\mathbf{u}\|$$

we must have  $\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{w} = \pm \mathbf{u}$ . So

$$\mathbf{u} \cdot (\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{w}) = \mathbf{u} \cdot (\pm \mathbf{u}) = \pm \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{u} = \pm 1$$

But we know

$$\mathbf{u} \cdot (\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{w}) = \det \begin{bmatrix} u_1 & u_2 & u_3 \\ v_1 & v_2 & v_3 \\ w_1 & w_2 & w_3 \end{bmatrix} = \det P$$

So  $\det(P) = 1$  in the case  $\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{w} = \mathbf{u}$ , while  $\det(P) = -1$  in the case  $\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{w} = -\mathbf{u}$ .

Richard M. Timoney