

# MAU23206: Calculus on Manifolds

## Homework 6 due 18/03/2022

Ruaidhrí Campion  
19333850  
JS Theoretical Physics

### Problem 1

$$\begin{aligned}
 d\omega &= d(xy \, dx) + d(2z \, dy) - d(y \, dz) & \alpha^* \omega &= uvu^2 \, d(uv) + 2(3u + v) \, d(u^2) - u^2 \, d(3u + v) \\
 &= y \, dx \wedge dx + x \, dy \wedge dx + 2 \, dz \wedge dy - dy \wedge dz & &= u^3 v(v \, du + u \, dv) + (6u + 2v)(2u \, du) - u^2(3 \, du + dv) \\
 d\omega &= -x \, dx \wedge dy - 3 \, dy \wedge dz & &= u^3 v^2 \, du + u^4 v \, dv + 12u^2 \, du + 4uv \, du - 3u^2 \, du - u^2 \, dv \\
 & & \alpha^* \omega &= (u^3 v^2 + 9u^2 + 4uv) \, du + (u^4 v - u^2) \, dv
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \alpha^*(d\omega) &= -uv \, d(uv) \wedge d(u^2) - 3 \, d(u^2) \wedge d(3u + v) \\
 &= -uv(v \, du + u \, dv) \wedge (2u \, du) - 6u \, du \wedge (3 \, du + dv) \\
 &= -2u^2 v(v \, du \wedge du + u \, dv \wedge du) - 6u(3 \, du \wedge du + du \wedge dv) \\
 &= -2u^3 v \, dv \wedge du - 6u \, du \wedge dv \\
 \alpha^*(d\omega) &= (2u^3 v - 6u) \, du \wedge dv
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 d(\alpha^* \omega) &= d[(u^3 v^2 + 9u^2 + 4uv) \, du] + 4[(u^4 v - u^2) \, dv] \\
 &= (3u^2 v^2 \, du + 2u^3 v \, dv + 18u \, du + 4v \, du + 4u \, dv) \wedge du + (4u^3 v \, du + u^4 \, dv - 2u \, du) \wedge dv \\
 &= (2u^3 v + 4u) \, dv \wedge du + (4u^3 v - 2u) \, du \wedge dv \\
 d(\alpha^* \omega) &= (2u^3 v - 6u) \, du \wedge dv
 \end{aligned}$$

### Problem 2

Elements of  $\Omega^k(A)$  are of the form

$$\begin{aligned}
 v_1 \, dx + v_2 \, dy + v_3 \, dz &\in \Omega^1(A), \\
 w_1 \, dx \wedge dy + w_2 \, dy \wedge dz + w_3 \, dz \wedge dx &\in \Omega^2(A), \\
 c \, dx \wedge dy \wedge dz &\in \Omega^3(A).
 \end{aligned}$$

These elements are analogous to the elements of  $\mathfrak{X}(A)$  and  $\mathbb{R}$  of the form

$$\begin{aligned}
 v_1 \hat{e}_1 + v_2 \hat{e}_2 + v_3 \hat{e}_3 &\in \mathfrak{X}(A), \\
 w_1 \hat{e}_1 + w_2 \hat{e}_2 + w_3 \hat{e}_3 &\in \mathfrak{X}(A), \\
 c &\in C^\infty(A).
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $\Omega^1(A) \times \Omega^1(A) \rightarrow \Omega^2(A)$  is analogous to  $\mathfrak{X}(A) \times \mathfrak{X}(A) \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}(A)$ , and  $\Omega^1(A) \times \Omega^2(A) \rightarrow \Omega^3(A)$  to  $\mathfrak{X}(A) \times \mathfrak{X}(A) \rightarrow C^\infty(A)$ . These correspond to the **cross product** ( $\times$ ) and **dot product** ( $\cdot$ ), respectively, as  $\times : \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$  and  $\cdot : \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ .

### Problem 3

For convenience, denote  $\{\beta_i\} \equiv \{\beta : U \rightarrow V \mid \beta \text{ a positive chart}\}$  and  $\beta_j$  a positive chart.

We first need to show that  $\{\beta_i\}$  is an orientation, i.e. that  $\beta_j$  and  $\beta_k$  overlap positively for all  $\beta_j, \beta_k \in \{\beta_i\}$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
 \beta_j^{-1} \circ \beta_k &= \beta_j^{-1} \circ \alpha_l^{-1} \circ \alpha_l \circ \beta_k & (\alpha_l \in \{\alpha_i\}) \\
 &= (\alpha_l \circ \beta_j)^{-1} \circ (\alpha_l \circ \beta_k) \\
 D(\beta_j^{-1} \circ \beta_k)(x) &= D\left((\alpha_l \circ \beta_j)^{-1} \circ (\alpha_l \circ \beta_k)\right)(x) \\
 &= D\left((\alpha_l \circ \beta_j)^{-1}\right)(\alpha_l \circ \beta_k(x)) D(\alpha_l \circ \beta_k)(x) \\
 \det[D(\beta_j^{-1} \circ \beta_k)(x)] &= \det\left[D\left((\alpha_l \circ \beta_j)^{-1}\right)(\alpha_l \circ \beta_k(x)) D(\alpha_l \circ \beta_k)(x)\right] \\
 &= \det\left[D\left((\alpha_l \circ \beta_j)^{-1}\right)(\alpha_l \circ \beta_k(x))\right] \det[D(\alpha_l \circ \beta_k)(x)] \\
 &= a \cdot b
 \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\alpha_l$  overlaps positively with both  $\beta_j$  and  $\beta_k$ , then both  $a$  and  $b$  are positive, and so their product is positive. We thus have

$$\det[D(\beta_j^{-1} \circ \beta_k)(x)] > 0,$$

and so  $\beta_j$  and  $\beta_k$  overlap positively. Since this is true for any elements of  $\{\beta_i\}$  then the collection is an orientation.

Next we have to show that  $\{\alpha_i\} \subset \{\beta_i\}$ . Consider  $\alpha_j \in \{\alpha_i\}$ . By definition, this overlaps positively with all elements of  $\{\alpha_i\}$ , including  $\alpha_j$  itself, since  $\det[D(\alpha_j^{-1} \circ \alpha_j)(x)] = 1 > 0$ . Thus  $\alpha_j \in \{\beta_i\}$ . This is true for any  $\alpha_j \in \{\alpha_i\}$ , and so we have  $\{\alpha_i\} \subset \{\beta_i\}$ .

Finally we need to show that  $\{\beta_i\}$  is maximal, i.e. that it only contains all positive charts that pairwise positively overlap.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \gamma^{-1} \circ \beta_j &= \gamma^{-1} \circ \alpha_k \circ \alpha_k^{-1} \circ \beta_j \\
 &= (\gamma^{-1} \circ \alpha_k) \circ (\alpha_k^{-1} \circ \beta_j) \\
 D(\gamma^{-1} \circ \beta_j)(x) &= D((\gamma^{-1} \circ \alpha_k) \circ (\alpha_k^{-1} \circ \beta_j))(x) \\
 &= D(\gamma^{-1} \circ \alpha_k)(\alpha_k^{-1} \circ \beta_j(x)) D(\alpha_k^{-1} \circ \beta_j)(x) \\
 \det[D(\gamma^{-1} \circ \beta_j)(x)] &= \det[D(\gamma^{-1} \circ \alpha_k)(\alpha_k^{-1} \circ \beta_j(x)) D(\alpha_k^{-1} \circ \beta_j)(x)] \\
 &= \det[D(\gamma^{-1} \circ \alpha_k)(\alpha_k^{-1} \circ \beta_j(x))] \det[D(\alpha_k^{-1} \circ \beta_j)(x)] \\
 c &= d \cdot e
 \end{aligned}$$

Since each  $\beta_j$  overlaps positively with  $\alpha_k$  then  $e$  is positive. Thus the sign of  $c$  is positive if and only if the sign of  $d$  is positive. This is equivalent to saying that  $\gamma$  and  $\beta_j$  positively overlap if and only if  $\gamma$  and  $\alpha_k$  positively overlap. Since this is true for all  $\beta_j \in \{\beta_i\}$  and  $\alpha_k \in \{\alpha_i\}$  we have  $\gamma$  positively overlaps with all elements of  $\{\beta_i\}$  if and only if  $\gamma \in \{\beta_i\}$ . Therefore  $\{\beta_i\}$  is maximal.

## Problem 4

Consider coordinate patches  $\alpha_j, \alpha_k \in \{\alpha_i\}$  and points  $w, x, y$  such that  $\alpha_j(x) = w = \alpha_k(y)$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
D\left((\alpha_k \circ \tau)^{-1} \circ (\alpha_j \circ \tau)\right)(\tau^{-1}(x)) &= D(\tau^{-1} \circ \alpha_k^{-1} \circ \alpha_j \circ \tau)(\tau^{-1}(x)) \\
&= D(\beta_k^{-1} \circ \beta_j)(\tau^{-1}(x)) & (\beta_l \equiv \alpha_l \circ \tau) \\
&= D\beta_k^{-1}(\beta_j(\tau^{-1}(x))) D\beta_j(\tau^{-1}(x)) \\
&= D(\tau^{-1} \circ \alpha_k^{-1})(\alpha_j \circ \tau(\tau^{-1}(x))) D(\alpha_j \circ \tau)(\tau^{-1}(x)) \\
&= D\tau^{-1}(\alpha_k^{-1}(w)) D\alpha_k^{-1}(w) D\alpha_j(\tau(\tau^{-1}(x))) D\tau(\tau^{-1}(x)) \\
&= D\tau^{-1}(y) D(\alpha_k^{-1} \circ \alpha_j)(x) D\tau(\tau^{-1}(x)) \\
\det\left[D\left((\alpha_k \circ \tau)^{-1} \circ (\alpha_j \circ \tau)\right)(\tau^{-1}(x))\right] &= \det(D\tau^{-1}(y)) \det(D(\alpha_k^{-1} \circ \alpha_j)(x)) \det(D\tau(\tau^{-1}(x))) \\
&= \det(D(\alpha_k^{-1} \circ \alpha_j)(x)) \\
&\quad (\tau \text{ diffeomorphism} \implies \det(D\tau) \det(D\tau^{-1}) = 1) \\
&> 0 & (\alpha_j, \alpha_k \text{ overlap positively})
\end{aligned}$$

Thus if  $\{\alpha_i\}$  is an orientation of  $M$ , then so is  $\{\alpha_i \circ \tau\}$ .

If  $\{\alpha_i\} = \{\alpha_i \circ \tau\}$ , then we must have that for any  $\alpha_j \in \{\alpha_i\}$  there exists an  $\alpha_k \in \{\alpha_i\}$  such that  $\alpha_j \circ \tau = \alpha_k$ . Since  $\tau$  is a diffeomorphism then  $\alpha_j \circ \tau = \alpha_k \circ \tau \iff j = k$ , which supports the imposed condition. The two orientations are different when this condition is not met.