

## 2 Binary search

Summarising the previous section: we gave the usual method of searching an array of  $n$  elements in time  $O(n)$ . The code assumed an array of integers, but it would work with data of any type.

(2.1) Binary search works with a *sorted* array of  $n$  elements and has runtime  $O(\log n)$ <sup>1</sup>

Of course the array elements must come from an ordered type. Give it a name: **Sortable**. Our binary search routine will use a *3-way* comparison because sometimes comparing items can be expensive.

```
int threeway ( Sortable x, Sortable y ) ...
```

```
if x < y, returns a negative value
if x == y, returns 0
if x > y, returns a positive value
```

**Example:** `strcmp ()` is a 3-way comparison.

(2.2) Binary search program.

```
#include <stdio.h>
typedef int Sortable;

int threeway (Sortable x, Sortable y)
{
    if ( x<y )
        return -1;
    else if ( x == y )
        return 0;
    else
        return 1;
}

int bs_find ( Sortable x, int n, Sortable a[] )
{
    int i = 0, j = n-1;
    int place = -1;
    while ( i <= j && place < 0 )
    {
        int m = (i+j)/2;
        int comp = threeway ( x, a[m] );
        // negative: x precedes a[m], positive: x follows a[m]
        if ( comp == 0 )
            place = m;
    }
}
```

---

<sup>1</sup>The base of the logarithm doesn't matter, but here it will be  $\log_2$ .

```

        else if ( comp < 0 )
            j = m-1;
        else
            i = m+1;
    }
    return place;
}

main()
{
    int n = 7;
    SORTABLE a[7] = {-4, -2, 0, 1,2, 5, 7 };

    SORTABLE key[3] = {-3, -4, 3};

    int i;
    for (i=0; i<3; ++i)
    {
        int place = bs_find ( key[i], n, a );
        if ( place >= 0 )
            printf("%d is at position %d\n", key[i], place);
        else
            printf("%d is not stored\n", key[i]);
    }
}
-----
-3 is not stored
-4 is at position 0
3 is not stored

```

**(2.3)** *Correctness.* There is an invariant condition ensuring correctness:

*if  $x$  occurs in the array then it occurs between  $i$  and  $j$ , i.e.,  $x = a[r]$  for some  $r$  where  $i \leq r \leq j$ .*

This is preserved. If  $i \leq j$  and the range of possible indexes where  $x$  occurs is between  $i$  and  $j$ , then this range can be split as shown:

$$i \dots m-1, \quad m, \quad m+1 \dots j.$$

If  $a[m] == x$  then the loop breaks and location  $m$  is returned.

Otherwise suppose that  $x$  does occur, so  $x == a[r]$ , say, with  $i \leq r \leq j$ .

The array is sorted, so if  $x$  precedes  $a[m]$  then  $x$  precedes every item in  $a[m \dots j]$  and therefore  $x \in a[i \dots m-1]$  and  $j$  is correctly updated.

Similarly, if  $x$  follows  $a[m]$  then  $i$  is correctly updated. Thus the condition: if  $x$  occurs in the array then it occurs between  $i$  and  $j$ , is preserved.

**(2.4)** *Runtime.* The *search range*, the range of positions where  $x$  can be found, is  $i \dots j$  and its size is  $j - i + 1$ . Roughly speaking, the range is halved at each step.

Now

$$m = \lfloor \frac{i+j}{2} \rfloor$$

( $m$  is rounded down, though the code would work just as well if  $m$  were rounded up.)

The new search range has size

$$\begin{aligned} (m-1) - i + 1 \quad \text{or} \quad j - (m+1) + 1 : \\ m - i \quad \text{or} \quad j - m; \\ \frac{i+j-1}{2} \leq m \leq \frac{i+j}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

If  $x$  precedes  $a[m]$ , the revised range has size  $m - i$ . Since  $m \leq (i+j)/2$ , the revised range has length at most

$$\frac{i+j}{2} - i = \frac{j-i}{2} \leq \frac{1}{2} \times (j-i+1).$$

If  $x$  follows  $a[m]$ , the revised range has size  $m - i$  and

$$m \geq \frac{i+j-1}{2}$$

so the revised range has length at most

$$\frac{j-i+1}{2} \leq \frac{1}{2}(j-i+1)$$

In all cases, if  $R = j - i + 1$  and  $S$  is the size of the revised range,

$$S \leq R/2.$$

The initial range size is  $n$ . After  $r$  iterations, if there are  $r$  iterations, the revised range is at most

$$2^{-r}n$$

So if  $2^{-r}n < 1$ , the range is empty and the loop breaks. This will certainly happen if

$$\begin{aligned} n+1 &\leq 2^r \iff \\ r &\geq \log_2(n+1) \iff \\ r &\geq \lceil \log_2(n+1) \rceil \end{aligned}$$

So the maximum number of iterations is at most  $\lceil \log_2(n+1) \rceil$ , so

**(2.5) Corollary** *Binary search has runtime  $O(\log n)$ .*