# Math 261 - Final exam 

December 13, 2017
The use of calculators, notes, and books is NOT allowed.

## Exercise 1: Since today is the 13th... (10 pts)

Factor $1+3 i$ into irreducibles in $\mathbb{Z}[i]$.
Make sure to justify that your factorization is complete.

## Solution 1:

Let $\alpha=1+3 i$. We have $N(\alpha)=1^{2}+3^{2}=10=2 \times 5$ so $\alpha$ must be of the form $\pi_{2} \pi_{5}$ where $\pi_{2}$ (resp. $\pi_{5}$ ) is an irreducible of norm 2 (resp. 5).

As $\pi_{2}$ must be associate to $1+i$, after taking a unit out of $\pi_{2}$ and putting it in $\pi_{5}$, we can assume that $\pi_{2}=1+i$, so that

$$
\pi_{5}=\alpha /(1+i)=\frac{1+3 i}{1+i}=\frac{(1+3 i)(1-i)}{2}=2+i
$$

Thus $\alpha=(1+i)(2+i)$ is the complete factorization of $\alpha$.

## Exercise 2: Primes of the form $x^{2}+4 y^{2}$ (28 pts)

Let $p \in \mathbb{N}$ be a prime. The goal of this exercise is to give two proofs of the following statement:
$p$ is of the form $x^{2}+4 y^{2}$ with $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ if and only if $p \equiv 1(\bmod 4) .(\star)$
Suggestion: In some of the questions below, you may find it easier to treat the cases $p \neq 2$ and $p=2$ separately.

1. (10 pts) Find all primitive reduced quadratic forms of discriminant -16 .
2. (10 pts) Deduce a proof of $(\star)$ using the theory of quadratic forms.
3. ( 8 pts ) Use the theorem on the sum of 2 squares to find another proof of $(\star)$. Hint: $4 y^{2}=(2 y)^{2}$.

## Solution 2:

1. Let $(a, b, c)$ be a reduced form of discriminant -16 . The we know that $b$ must be even, and that $a \leqslant \sqrt{16 / 3}<\sqrt{6}<3$, so $a=1$ or 2 . Finally, $c=\frac{16+b^{2}}{4 a}$.
For $a=1$, we can only take $b=0$ since $|b| \leqslant a$. This yields $c=4$, so we record the form $x^{2}+4 y^{2}$.
For $a=2$ we can have $b=0$ or $b=2$, but not $b=-2$ (since then we'd have $|b|=a$ so $b$ would have to be positive). For $b=0$, we find $c=2$, whence the form $2 x^{2}+2 y^{2}$, but this form is not primitive so we throw it away. For $b=2$, we find $c=5 / 2$ which is not an integer.
In conclusion, there is only one reduced primitive form of discriminant -16 , namely $x^{2}+4 y^{2}$.
2. By the previous question, every primitive form of discriminant -16 is equivalent to $x^{2}+4 y^{2}$. Thus if $p \nmid 2 \times 16$ is a prime, then $p$ is of the form $x^{2}=4 y^{2}$ iff. $\left(\frac{-16}{p}\right)=1$.
The condition $p \nmid 2 \times 16$ is of course equivalent to $p \neq 2$; besides, for such $p$ we have
$\left(\frac{-16}{p}\right)=\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)\left(\frac{16}{p}\right)=\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)=(-1)^{p^{\prime}}=\left\{\begin{array}{clll}+1 & \text { if } & p \equiv 1 \quad(\bmod 4) \\ 3 & \text { if } & p \equiv-1 & (\bmod 4)\end{array}\right.$
Besides, $p=2$ is obviously not of the form $x^{2}+4 y^{2}$, whence $(\star)$.
3. Suppose first that $p=2$. Then $p \not \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$ and $p$ is clearly not fo the form $x^{2}+4 y^{2}$, so ( $\star$ ) holds.
Suppose now that $p \neq 2$. The $p$ is odd, so $p \equiv 1$ or $3(\bmod 4)$. Besides, since $p$ is prime, it is a sum of 2 squares iff. $p \not \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$. So if $p \equiv 3$ $(\bmod 4)$, then $p$ is not the sum of 2 squares; a fortiori it is not of the form $x^{2}+4 y^{2}=x^{2}+(2 y)^{2}$. Conversely, if $p \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$, then $p=a^{2}+b^{2}$ is a sum of 2 squares; then as $p$ is odd, $a$ and $b$ cannot have the same parity, so without loss of generality we may assume $a$ odd and $b$ even. If we write $b=2 y$, then we see that $p=a^{2}+(2 y)^{2}=x^{2}+4 y^{2}$ with $x=a$. So we have proved that $(\star)$ also holds when $p \neq 2$.

## Exercise 3: A Pell-Fermat equation (18 pts)

1. $(10 \mathrm{pts})$ Compute the continued fraction of $\sqrt{37}$.

This means you should somehow find a formula for all the coefficients of the continued fraction expansion, not just finitely many of them.
2. ( 8 pts ) Use the previous question to find the fundamental solution to the equation $x^{2}-37 y^{2}=1$.

## Solution 3:

1. Let $x=\sqrt{37}$. Since $x$ is a quadratic number, its continued fraction expansion is ultimately periodic. Let us make this fact explicit.
We set $x_{0}=x, a_{0}=\left\lfloor x_{0}\right\rfloor=6$.
Then $x_{1}=\frac{1}{x_{0}-a_{0}}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{37}-6}=6+\sqrt{37}$, so $a_{1}=\left\lfloor x_{1}\right\rfloor=12$.
Then $x_{2}=\frac{1}{x_{1}-a_{1}}=\frac{1}{6+\sqrt{37}-12}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{37}-6}=x_{1}$, so we see by induction that $x_{n+1}=x_{n}$ and $a_{n+1}=a_{n}$ for all $n \geqslant 1$.
Thus $\sqrt{37}=[6, \overline{12}]=[6,12,12,12, \cdots]$.
2. The first convergent of the continued fraction computed above is $p_{0} / q_{0}=6 / 1$. Trying $x=6, y=1$, we find that $6^{2}-37 \times 1^{2}=-1$.
So in order to find the fundamental solution, all we have to do is square the number $6+1 \times \sqrt{37}$. We find that

$$
(6+\sqrt{37})^{2}=36+12 \sqrt{37}+37=73+12 \sqrt{37}
$$

so the fundamental solution is $x=73, y=12$.

## Exercise 4: Carmichael numbers (44 pts)

1. ( 8 pts ) State Fermat's little theorem, and explain why it implies that if $p \in \mathbb{N}$ is prime, then $a^{p} \equiv a(\bmod p)$ for all $a \in \mathbb{Z}$.

A Carmichael number is an integer $n \geqslant 2$ which is not prime, but nonetheless satisfies $a^{n} \equiv a(\bmod n)$ for all $a \in \mathbb{Z}$. Note that this can also be written $n \mid\left(a^{n}-a\right)$ for all $a \in \mathbb{Z}$.
2. ( 6 pts ) Let $n \geqslant 2$ be a Carmichael number, and let $p \in \mathbb{N}$ be a prime dividing $n$. Prove that $p^{2} \nmid n$.
Hint: Apply the definition of a Carmichael number to a particular value of a.
3. Let $n \geqslant 2$ be a Carmichael number. According to the previous question, we may write

$$
n=p_{1} p_{2} \cdots p_{r}
$$

where the $p_{i}$ are distinct primes. Let $p$ be one the the $p_{i}$.
(a) $(6 \mathrm{pts})$ Recall the definition of a primitive root $\bmod p$.
(b) ( 9 pts) Prove that $(p-1) \mid(n-1)$.

Hint: Consider an $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ which is a primitive root $\bmod p$.
4. ( 9 pts ) Conversely, prove that if an integer $m \in \mathbb{N}$ is of the form

$$
m=p_{1} p_{2} \cdots p_{r}
$$

where the $p_{i}$ are distinct primes such that $\left(p_{i}-1\right) \mid(m-1)$ for all $i=1,2, \cdots, r$, then $m$ is a Carmichael number.
Hint: Prove that $p_{i} \mid\left(a^{m}-a\right)$ for all $i=1, \cdots, r$ and all $a \in \mathbb{Z}$.
5. ( 6 pts ) Let $n \geqslant 2$ be a Carmichael number. The goal of this question is to prove that $n$ must have at least 3 distinct prime factors. Note that according to question 2 ., $n$ cannot have only 1 prime factor.
Suppose that $n$ has exactly 2 prime factors, so that we may write

$$
n=(x+1)(y+1)
$$

where $x, y \in \mathbb{N}$ are distinct integers such that $x+1$ and $y+1$ are both prime. Use question 3.(b) to prove that $x \mid y$, and show that this leads to a contradiction.

## Solution 4:

1. Fermat's little theorem states that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and for all $a \in(\mathbb{Z} / n \mathbb{Z})^{\times}$, we have $a^{\phi(n)}=1$. In other words, for all $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ coprime to $n$, we have $a^{\phi(n)} \equiv 1$ $(\bmod n)$.
In particular, if $n=p$ is prime, then $\phi(n)=p-1$, so that for all $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ not divisible by $p$ we have $a^{p-1} \equiv 1(\bmod p)$.
Multiplying both sides by $a$, we get that $a^{p} \equiv a(\bmod p)$ for all $a$ not divisible by $p$. This still holds even if $p \mid a$ since $a$ and $a^{p}$ are both $\equiv 0(\bmod p)$ in this case.
2. Let us take $a=p$; since $n$ is a Carmichael number, we have $n \mid\left(p^{n}-p\right)$. Now if $p^{2} \mid n$, we deduce that $p^{2} \mid\left(p^{n}-p\right)$, whence $p^{2} \mid p$ since $p \mid p^{n}$ as $n \geqslant 2$, which is obviously a contradiction.
3. (a) A primitive root $\bmod p$ is an element $x \in(\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z})^{\times}$of multiplicative order $p-1$; in other words, such that $x^{m} \neq 1$ for all $1 \leqslant m<p-1$.
(b) Let $a \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $(a \bmod p)$ is a primitive root $\bmod p$. Since $n$ is a Carmichael number, we have $n \mid\left(a^{n}-a\right)$, whence $p \mid\left(a^{n}-a\right)$ as $p \mid a$. Thus $a^{n} \equiv a(\bmod p)$. But $a \not \equiv 0(\bmod p)$ since $a$ is a primitive root $\bmod p$, so since $p$ is prime, $a$ is invertible $\bmod p$, so we can simplify by $a$ and get

$$
a^{n-1} \equiv 1 \quad(\bmod p)
$$

This says that $n-1$ is a multiple of the multiplicative order of $(a \bmod p)$, which is $p-1$ since $(a \bmod p)$ is a primitive root. Thus $(p-1) \mid(n-1)$.
4. Let $p$ be one of $p_{1}, \cdots, p_{r}$. By assumption, we have $m-1=(p-1) q$ for some $q \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let now $a \in \mathbb{Z}$. We have

$$
a^{m}-a=a\left(a^{m-1}-1\right)=a\left(\left(a^{p-1}\right)^{q}-1\right)
$$

so if $a \equiv 0(\bmod p)$ then $a^{m}-a \equiv 0(\bmod p)$, whereas if $a \not \equiv 0(\bmod p)$, then $a \in(\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z})^{\times}$, so by Fermat's little theorem we have $a^{p-1} \equiv 1(\bmod p)$ whence $\left(a^{p-1}\right)^{q}-1 \equiv 1^{q}-1=0(\bmod p)$; so either way $a^{m} \equiv a(\bmod p)$, i.e. $p \mid\left(a^{m}-a\right)$.
This holds for any $p \in\left\{p_{1}, \cdots, p_{r}\right\}$, and the $p_{i}$ are coprime since they are distinct primes, so

$$
m=p_{1} \cdots p_{r} \mid\left(a^{m}-a\right)
$$

Since this holds for all $a$, this means that $m$ is a Carmichael number.
5. By question 3.(b), $x=(x+1)-1$ divides $n-1=(x+1)(y+1)=x y+x+y$, so $x$ divides $x y+x+y-x(y+1)=y$. Similarly, we see that $y \mid x$, so that $x=y$, which contradicts the assumption that $x$ and $y$ are distinct.

Note: The smallest Carmichael number is $561=3 \times 11 \times 17$. There are infinitely many Carmichael numbers; more precisely, it was proved in 1992 that for large enough $X$, there are at least $X^{2 / 7}$ Carmichael numbers between 1 and $X$. The existence of Carmichael numbers means that a simple-minded primality test based on Fermat's last theorem would not be rigorous.

## END

