

## 3 Unital abelian Banach algebras

### 3.1 Characters and maximal ideals

Let  $A$  be a unital abelian Banach algebra.

**3.1.1 Definition.** A *character* on  $A$  is a non-zero homomorphism  $A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ ; that is, a non-zero linear map  $\tau: A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  which satisfies  $\tau(ab) = \tau(a)\tau(b)$  for  $a, b \in A$ . We write  $\Omega(A)$  for the set of characters on  $A$ .

**3.1.2 Example.** Let  $A = C(X)$  where  $X$  is a compact topological space. For each  $x \in X$ , the map  $\varepsilon_x: A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ ,  $f \mapsto f(x)$  is a character on  $A$ .

**3.1.3 Remark.** This definition makes sense even if  $A$  is not abelian. However,  $\Omega(A)$  is often not very interesting in that case.

For example, if  $A = M_n(\mathbb{C})$  and  $n > 1$  then  $\Omega(A) = \emptyset$ . Indeed, it is not hard to show that  $A$  is spanned by  $\{ab - ba: a, b \in A\}$ . If  $\varphi: A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is a homomorphism, then  $\varphi(ab - ba) = \varphi(a)\varphi(b) - \varphi(b)\varphi(a) = 0$ , so  $\varphi = 0$  by linearity; hence  $\Omega(A) = \emptyset$ .

**3.1.4 Lemma.** *If  $\tau \in \Omega(A)$  then  $\tau$  is continuous. More precisely,*

$$\|\tau\| = \tau(1) = 1.$$

*In particular,  $\Omega(A)$  is a subset of the closed unit ball of  $A^*$ .*

*Proof.* Observe that  $\tau(1) = \tau(1^2) = \tau(1)^2$ , so  $\tau(1) \in \{0, 1\}$ . If  $\tau(1) = 0$  then  $\tau(a) = \tau(a1) = \tau(a)\tau(1) = 0$  for any  $a \in A$ , so  $\tau = 0$ . But  $\tau \in \Omega(A)$  so  $\tau \neq 0$ , which is a contradiction. So  $\tau(1) = 1$ .

We have  $\tau(\text{Inv } A) \subseteq \text{Inv } \mathbb{C} = \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$  by 1.5.12(i). For any  $a \in A$  we have  $\tau(\tau(a)1 - a) = \tau(a)\tau(1) - \tau(a) = 0$ , so  $\tau(a)1 - a \notin \text{Inv } A$ . Hence  $\tau(a) \in \sigma(a)$ , so  $|\tau(a)| \leq \|a\|$  by Theorem 1.3.5 and so  $\|\tau\| \leq 1$ . Since  $|\tau(1)| = 1$  we conclude that  $\|\tau\| = 1$ .

Any  $\tau \in \Omega(A)$  is a linear map  $A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  by definition, and we have shown that it is continuous with norm 1. Hence  $\Omega(A)$  is contained in the closed unit ball of  $A^*$ .  $\square$

**3.1.5 Definition.** The *Gelfand topology* on  $\Omega(A)$  is the subspace topology obtained from the weak\* topology on  $A^*$ .

We will always equip  $\Omega(A)$  with this topology.

**3.1.6 Theorem.**  $\Omega(A)$  is a compact Hausdorff space.

*Proof.* We observed in Remark 2.4.2(iii) that the weak\* topology is Hausdorff, so  $\Omega(A)$  is a Hausdorff space. By Lemma 3.1.4,  $\Omega(A)$  is contained in the unit ball of  $A^*$ , which is compact in the weak\* topology by Theorem 2.4.3. A closed subset of a compact set is compact, so it suffices to show that  $\Omega(A)$  is weak\* closed in  $A^*$ . But

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega(A) &= \{\tau \in A^* : \tau(1) = 1, \tau(ab) = \tau(a)\tau(b) \text{ for } a, b \in A\} \\ &= \{\tau \in A^* : \tau(1) = 1\} \cap \bigcap_{a, b \in A} \{\tau \in A^* : \tau(ab) - \tau(a)\tau(b) = 0\} \\ &= J_1^{-1}(1) \cap \bigcap_{a, b \in A} (J_{ab} - J_a \cdot J_b)^{-1}(0).\end{aligned}$$

Each evaluation functional  $J_a : A^* \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ ,  $\tau \mapsto \tau(a)$  is weak\* continuous, so the maps  $J_{ab} - J_a \cdot J_b$  are also weak\* continuous. Hence the sets in this intersection are all weak\* closed, so  $\Omega(A)$  is weak\* closed.  $\square$

**3.1.7 Lemma.** Let  $A$  be a unital abelian Banach algebra.

- (i). If  $\tau \in \Omega(A)$  then  $\ker \tau$  is a maximal ideal of  $A$ .
- (ii). If  $M$  is a maximal ideal of  $A$ , then the map  $\mathbb{C} \rightarrow A/M$ ,  $\lambda \mapsto \lambda 1 + M$  is an isometric isomorphism.

*Proof.* (i) Let  $\tau \in \Omega(A)$ . Since  $\tau$  is a non-zero homomorphism, its kernel  $I = \ker \tau$  is a proper ideal of  $A$ . Suppose that  $J$  is an ideal of  $A$  with  $I \subsetneq J$  and let  $a \in J \setminus I$ . Then  $\tau(a) \neq 0$ , so  $b = \tau(a)^{-1}a \in J$  and  $\tau(b) = 1$ . Since  $\tau(1) = 1$  by Lemma 3.1.4, we have  $1 - b \in I$ , so  $1 = b + 1 - b \in J$ . By Lemma 1.5.5,  $J = A$ . This shows that  $I$  is not contained in any strictly larger proper ideal of  $A$ , so  $I$  is a maximal ideal of  $A$ .

(ii) Let  $M$  be a maximal ideal of  $A$ . By Theorems 1.5.6(ii) and 1.5.3,  $A/M$  is unital Banach algebra with unit  $1 + M$ . If  $a + M$  is a non-zero element of  $A/M$  then  $a \in A \setminus M$ . Let  $I = \{ab + m : m \in M, b \in A\}$ . Since  $A$  is abelian and  $M$  is an ideal, it is easy to see that  $I$  is an ideal of  $A$ , and  $M \subsetneq I$ . Since  $M$  is a maximal ideal,  $I = A$ . So  $1 \in I$ , and  $ab + m = 1$  for some  $b \in A$  and  $m \in M$ . Now

$$(a + M)(b + M) = ab + M = ab + m + M = 1 + M,$$

which is the unit of  $A/M$ . Hence  $b + M = (a + M)^{-1}$  and  $a + M$  is invertible in  $A/M$ . By the Gelfand-Mazur theorem 1.3.6,  $A/M = \mathbb{C}1_{A/M} = \mathbb{C}(1 + M)$ .

It is very easy to check that the map  $\mathbb{C} \rightarrow A/M$ ,  $\lambda \mapsto \lambda 1 + M$  is an isometric homomorphism, and we have just shown that it is surjective. Hence it is an isometric isomorphism.  $\square$

**3.1.8 Theorem.** *Let  $A$  be a unital abelian Banach algebra. The mapping*

$$\tau \mapsto \ker \tau$$

*is a bijection from  $\Omega(A)$  onto the set of maximal ideals of  $A$ .*

*Proof.* If  $\tau \in \Omega(A)$  then  $\ker \tau$  is a maximal ideal of  $A$ , by Lemma 3.1.7(i). Hence the mapping is well-defined.

The mapping  $\tau \mapsto \ker \tau$  is injective, since if  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  are in  $\Omega(A)$  with  $\ker \tau_1 = \ker \tau_2$ , then for any  $a \in A$  we have  $a - \tau_2(a)1 \in \ker \tau_2 = \ker \tau_1$  so  $\tau_1(a - \tau_2(a)1) = 0$ , hence  $\tau_1(a) = \tau_2(a)$ ; so  $\tau_1 = \tau_2$ .

We now show that the mapping is surjective. Let  $M$  be a maximal ideal of  $A$ , and let  $q: A \rightarrow A/M$ ,  $a \mapsto a + M$  be the corresponding quotient map. Observe that  $q$  is a homomorphism and  $\ker q = M$ . By Lemma 3.1.7(ii), the map  $\theta: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow A/M$ ,  $\lambda \mapsto \lambda 1 + M$  is an isomorphism. Let  $\tau = \theta^{-1} \circ q: A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . Since  $\tau$  is the composition of two homomorphisms, it is a homomorphism, and  $\tau(1) = \theta^{-1}(q(1)) = \theta^{-1}(1 + M) = 1$ , so  $\tau \neq 0$ . Hence  $\tau \in \Omega(A)$ . Since  $\theta$  is an isomorphism, we have  $\ker \tau = \ker q = M$ .

This shows that  $\tau \mapsto \ker \tau$  is a bijection from  $\Omega(A)$  onto the set of all maximal ideals of  $A$ .  $\square$

**3.1.9 Examples.** (i). Let  $X$  be a compact Hausdorff space. For  $x \in X$ , the map  $\varepsilon_x: C(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ ,  $f \mapsto f(x)$  is a nonzero homomorphism, so  $\{\varepsilon_x: x \in X\} \subseteq \Omega(C(X))$ . We claim that we have equality.

For  $x \in X$ , let  $M_x = \ker \varepsilon_x = \{f \in C(X): f(x) = 0\}$ , which is a maximal ideal of  $C(X)$  by Theorem 3.1.8. Let  $I$  be an ideal of  $C(X)$ . If  $I \not\subseteq M_x$  for every  $x \in X$ , then for each  $x \in X$ , there is  $f_x \in I$  with  $f_x \neq 0$ . Since  $I$  is an ideal,  $g_x = |f_x|^2 = \overline{f_x} f_x \in I$ , and since  $g_x$  is continuous and non-negative with  $g_x(x) > 0$ , there is an open set  $U_x$  with  $x \in U_x$  and  $g_x(y) > 0$  for all  $y \in U_x$ . As  $x$  varies over  $X$ , the open sets  $U_x$  cover  $X$ . Since  $X$  is compact, there is  $n \geq 1$  and  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$  such that  $U_{x_1}, \dots, U_{x_n}$  cover  $X$ . Let  $g = g_{x_1} + \dots + g_{x_n}$ . Then  $g \in I$  and  $g(x) > 0$  for all  $x \in X$ , so  $g$  is invertible in  $C(X)$ . Hence  $I = C(X)$ .

This shows that every proper ideal  $I$  of  $C(X)$  is contained in  $M_x$  for some  $x \in X$ . Let  $\tau \in \Omega(C(X))$ . Since  $\ker \tau$  is a maximal (proper) ideal, we must have  $\ker \tau = M_x$  for some  $x \in X$ , so  $\tau = \varepsilon_x$  by Theorem 3.1.8.

Consider the map  $\theta: X \rightarrow \Omega(C(X))$ ,  $x \mapsto \varepsilon_x$ . We have just shown that this is surjective. Since  $X$  is compact and Hausdorff,  $C(X)$  separates the points of  $X$  by Urysohn's lemma. Hence if  $\varepsilon_x = \varepsilon_y$  then  $f(x) = f(y)$  for all  $f \in C(X)$ , so  $x = y$ . Hence  $\theta$  is a bijection.

We claim that  $\theta$  is a homeomorphism. Indeed,  $\theta$  is continuous since for  $f \in C(X)$  and  $x \in X$  we have

$$J_f(\theta(x)) = J_f(\varepsilon_x) = \varepsilon_x(f) = f(x),$$

so  $J_f \circ \theta$  (or, more precisely,  $J_f|_{\Omega(C(X))} \circ \theta$ ) is continuous  $X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  for every  $f \in C(X)$ . By Proposition 2.2.6,  $\theta$  is continuous. Since  $X$  is compact and  $\Omega(C(X))$  is Hausdorff, Lemma 2.1.1 shows that  $\theta$  is a homeomorphism.

- (ii). Recall that  $A(\overline{\mathbb{D}})$  denotes the disc algebra. If  $w \in \mathbb{D}$  then  $\varepsilon_w : A(\overline{\mathbb{D}}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ ,  $f \mapsto f(w)$  is a character on  $A(\overline{\mathbb{D}})$ .

Again, we claim that every character arises in this way. To see this, consider the function  $z \in A(\overline{\mathbb{D}})$  defined by  $z(w) = w$ ,  $w \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}$ . If  $\tau \in \Omega(A(\overline{\mathbb{D}}))$  then  $\tau(1) = 1$  and  $|\tau(z)| \leq \|z\| = 1$ , so  $\tau(z) \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}$ . It is not hard to show that the polynomials  $\mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  form a dense unital subalgebra of  $A(\overline{\mathbb{D}})$ . If  $p : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is a polynomial then  $p = \lambda_0 1 + \lambda_1 z + \cdots + \lambda_n z^n$  for constants  $\lambda_i$ , so  $\tau(p) = \lambda_0 + \lambda_1 \tau(z) + \cdots + \lambda_n \tau(z)^n = p(\tau(z))$ . Since the polynomials are dense in  $A(\overline{\mathbb{D}})$ , this shows that  $\tau(f) = f(\tau(z))$  for all  $f \in A(\overline{\mathbb{D}})$ , so  $\tau = \varepsilon_{\tau(z)}$ . Hence  $\Omega(A(\overline{\mathbb{D}})) = \{\varepsilon_w : w \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}\}$ . Just as in (i), it is easy to see that the map  $w \mapsto \varepsilon_w$  is a homeomorphism  $\overline{\mathbb{D}} \rightarrow \Omega(A(\overline{\mathbb{D}}))$ .

- (iii). Recall the abelian Banach algebra  $\ell^1(\mathbb{Z})$  with product  $*$  from Example 1.1.3(v). For  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , let  $e_n = (\delta_{mn})_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}$ . Then  $e_n \in \ell^1(\mathbb{Z})$ , and the linear span of  $\{e_n : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  is dense in  $\ell^1(\mathbb{Z})$ . Moreover, it is easy to check that  $e_n * e_m = e_{n+m}$  for  $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$ . In particular,  $e_0 * e_m = e_m$ , hence  $e_0$  is the unit for  $\ell^1(\mathbb{Z})$ .

If  $\tau \in \Omega(\ell^1(\mathbb{Z}))$  then  $\tau(e_0) = 1$ . Moreover,  $e_n * e_{-n} = e_0$  so  $e_n = (e_{-n})^{-1}$  and  $|\tau(e_n)| \leq \|e_n\| = 1$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , so

$$1 \leq |\tau(e_{-n})|^{-1} = |\tau((e_{-n})^{-1})| = |\tau(e_n)| \leq 1.$$

So we have equality. In particular,  $|\tau(e_1)| = 1$ , so  $\tau(e_1) \in \mathbb{T}$ . Since  $e_n = e_1^n$ , we have  $\tau(e_n) = \tau(e_1)^n$ . Hence if  $x \in \ell^1(\mathbb{Z})$  then

$$\tau(x) = \tau\left(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} x_n e_n\right) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} x_n \tau(e_1)^n.$$

So  $\tau$  is determined by the complex number  $\tau(e_1) \in \mathbb{T}$ . Conversely, given any  $z \in \mathbb{T}$ , there is a character  $\tau_z \in \Omega(\ell^1(\mathbb{Z}))$  with  $\tau_z(e_1) = z$ . Indeed, let  $A_0 = \text{span}\{e_n : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ , which is a dense subalgebra of  $\ell^1(\mathbb{Z})$ . Let

$\tau_0: A_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be the unique linear map such that  $\tau_0(e_n) = z^n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . If  $x, y \in A_0$ , then

$$\begin{aligned}\tau_0(x * y) &= \tau_0\left(\sum_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}} x_m y_{n-m} e_n\right) = \sum_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}} x_m y_{n-m} z^n \\ &= \sum_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}} x_m z^m y_{n-m} z^{n-m} = \tau_0(x)\tau_0(y),\end{aligned}$$

so  $\tau_0$  is a homomorphism, and

$$|\tau_0(x)| = \left| \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} x_n z^n \right| \leq \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |x_n| = \|x\|,$$

so  $\tau_0$  is continuous. Hence  $\tau_0$  extends to a continuous linear homomorphism  $\tau_z: \ell^1(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , which is a character on  $\ell^1(\mathbb{Z})$ . Clearly,  $\tau_z(e_1) = z$ . This shows that the map  $\theta: \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \Omega(\ell^1(\mathbb{Z}))$ ,  $z \mapsto \tau_z$  is a bijection. We claim that  $\theta$  is a homeomorphism. Indeed,  $\theta$  is continuous since for  $x \in \ell^1(\mathbb{Z})$  and  $z \in \mathbb{T}$  we have

$$J_x(\theta(z)) = J_x(\tau_z) = \tau_z(x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} x_n z^n.$$

Since  $x \in \ell^1(\mathbb{Z})$ , this series converges absolutely (for  $z \in \mathbb{T}$ ). The partial sums of the series are continuous functions  $\mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , so  $z \mapsto J_x(\theta(z))$  is continuous  $\mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . By Proposition 2.2.6,  $\theta$  is continuous. Since  $\mathbb{T}$  is compact and  $\Omega(\ell^1(\mathbb{Z}))$  is Hausdorff, Lemma 2.1.1 shows that  $\theta$  is a homeomorphism.

The next lemma is purely algebraic.

**3.1.10 Lemma.** *Let  $A$  be a unital abelian Banach algebra and let  $a \in A$ . The following are equivalent:*

- (i).  $a \notin \text{Inv } A$ ;
- (ii).  $a \in I$  for some proper ideal  $I$  of  $A$ ;
- (iii).  $a \in M$  for some maximal ideal  $M$  of  $A$ .

*Proof.* (i)  $\implies$  (ii): If  $a \notin \text{Inv } A$ , consider the set  $I = \{ab: b \in A\}$ . Since  $A$  is abelian, this is an ideal of  $A$ , and since  $A$  is unital we have  $a = a1 \in I$ . If  $1 \in I$  then  $ab = 1$  for some  $b \in A$ , so  $a \in \text{Inv } A$ , a contradiction. So  $1 \notin I$  and  $I$  is a proper ideal.

(ii)  $\implies$  (iii): Suppose that  $a \in I$  where  $I$  is a proper ideal of  $A$ . By Remark 1.5.7(ii),  $I \subseteq M$  for some maximal ideal  $M$  of  $A$ ; so  $a \in M$ .

(iii)  $\implies$  (i): If  $M$  is a maximal ideal of  $A$  then  $M$  is a proper ideal, so  $M \cap \text{Inv } A = \emptyset$  by Lemma 1.5.5. Hence  $a \notin \text{Inv } A$  for every  $a \in M$ .  $\square$

**3.1.11 Corollary.** *Let  $A$  be a unital abelian Banach algebra and let  $a \in A$ .*

(i).  *$a \in \text{Inv } A$  if and only if  $\tau(a) \neq 0$  for all  $\tau \in \Omega(A)$ .*

(ii).  $\sigma(a) = \{\tau(a) : \tau \in \Omega(A)\}$ .

(iii).  $r(a) = \sup_{\tau \in \Omega(A)} |\tau(a)|$ .

*Proof.* (i) We have:

$$\begin{aligned} a \in \text{Inv } A &\iff a \notin M \text{ for all maximal ideals } M \text{ of } A, \text{ by Lemma 3.1.10} \\ &\iff a \notin \ker \tau \text{ for all } \tau \in \Omega(A), \text{ by Theorem 3.1.8} \\ &\iff \tau(a) \neq 0 \text{ for all } \tau \in \Omega(A). \end{aligned}$$

(ii) This follows from the equivalences:

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda \in \sigma(a) &\iff \lambda - a \notin \text{Inv } A \\ &\iff \tau(\lambda - a) = 0 \text{ for some } \tau \in \Omega(A), \text{ by (i)} \\ &\iff \lambda = \tau(a) \text{ for some } \tau \in \Omega(A), \text{ since } \tau(\lambda) = \lambda \text{ by Lemma 3.1.4.} \end{aligned}$$

(iii) follows immediately from (ii) and the definition of  $r(a)$ .  $\square$

## 3.2 The Gelfand representation

**3.2.1 Definition.** Let  $A$  be a unital abelian Banach algebra. For  $a \in A$ , the *Gelfand transform* of  $a$  is the mapping

$$\widehat{a}: \Omega(A) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad \tau \mapsto \tau(a).$$

In other words,  $\widehat{a} = J_a|_{\Omega(A)}$ .

**3.2.2 Examples.** (i). Let  $X$  be a compact Hausdorff space. We have seen that the map  $X \rightarrow \Omega(C(X))$ ,  $x \mapsto \varepsilon_x$  is a homeomorphism. If  $f \in C(X)$  then

$$\widehat{f}: \Omega(C(X)) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad \varepsilon_x \mapsto \varepsilon_x(f) = f(x).$$

This means that, if we identify  $\Omega(C(X))$  with  $X$  by pretending that  $x = \varepsilon_x$ , then  $\widehat{f} = f$ .

(ii). We have seen that  $\Omega(\ell^1(\mathbb{Z}))$  can be identified with  $\mathbb{T}$ , by pretending that  $z \in \mathbb{T}$  is the same as the character  $\tau_z: \ell^1(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ ,  $x \mapsto \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} x_n z^n$ . Hence for  $x \in \ell^1(\mathbb{Z})$ , we have

$$\widehat{x}: \Omega(\ell^1(\mathbb{Z})) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad \tau_z \mapsto \tau_z(x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} x_n z^n.$$

If we write  $z = e^{i\theta}$  and  $x_n = x(n)$  then this takes the form

$$\widehat{x}(e^{i\theta}) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} x(n) e^{in\theta},$$

so  $\widehat{x}$  may be viewed as the inverse Fourier transform of  $x$ .

**3.2.3 Theorem.** *Let  $A$  be a unital abelian Banach algebra. For each  $a \in A$ , the Gelfand transform  $\widehat{a}$  is in  $C(\Omega(A))$ . Moreover, the mapping*

$$\gamma: A \rightarrow C(\Omega(A)), \quad a \mapsto \widehat{a}$$

*is a unital, norm-decreasing (and hence continuous) homomorphism, and for each  $a \in A$  we have*

$$\sigma_A(a) = \sigma_{C(\Omega(A))}(\widehat{a}) = \{\widehat{a}(\tau) : \tau \in \Omega(A)\} \quad \text{and} \quad r(a) = \|\widehat{a}\|.$$

*Proof.* By the definition of the topology on  $\Omega(A)$ , each  $\widehat{a}$  is in  $C(\Omega(A))$ . It is easy to see that  $\gamma$  is a homomorphism, and it is unital by Lemma 3.1.4. The identification of  $\sigma_A(a)$  with  $\sigma_{C(\Omega(A))}(\widehat{a})$  follows from Corollary 3.1.11(ii) and Example 1.3.2(ii). Now  $r(a) = \|\widehat{a}\| \leq \|a\|$  by Corollary 3.1.11(iii) and Remark 1.3.10, so  $\gamma$  is linear and norm-decreasing, hence continuous.  $\square$

**3.2.4 Definition.** If  $A$  is a unital abelian Banach algebra then the unital homomorphism  $\gamma: A \rightarrow C(\Omega(A))$ ,  $a \mapsto \widehat{a}$  is called the *Gelfand representation* of  $A$ .

**3.2.5 Remark.** In general, the Gelfand representation is neither injective nor surjective. For example, the Gelfand representation of the disc algebra is the inclusion  $A(\overline{\mathbb{D}}) \rightarrow C(\overline{\mathbb{D}})$  which is not surjective.