

3. Building Blocks: Irreducible Representations

Today we will see how general the phenomena observed in the example of S_3 -reps (Lecture 2) are.

Aim: Understand $\text{Rep}(G)$

$$\{ \rho: G \xrightarrow{\text{grp}} \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{C}}(V) \mid V \text{ f.-d. vector space over } \mathbb{C} \} / \text{iso}$$

up to isomorphism
(to be explained)

The S_3 example suggests a strategy:
decompose reps into elementary pieces.

Def: $V \in \text{Rep}(G)$ is ^(=simple) irreducible if it has precisely two sub-reps: V itself & $\{0\}$. $\text{Irrep}(G) = \{ \text{irreps of } G \} / \text{iso}$

Rmk: $\{0\}$ is not irreducible!
irreducible reps

Def: A sub-representation of $V \in \text{Rep}(G)$ is its

G -invariant sub-space $V' \subseteq V$.

$$\forall g \in G, g \cdot V' = V'$$

Spoiler: For a finite G ,

$$\bullet \text{Irrep}(G) = \{ V_1, V_2, \dots, V_{\text{finite}}(G) \},$$

$$\bullet \forall V \in \text{Rep}(G), V \cong \underbrace{m_1 V_1 \oplus m_2 V_2 \oplus \dots \oplus m_k V_k}_{m_i \text{ times}}$$

with uniquely defined multiplicities $m_i \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$.

Compare with the unique prime factorisation thm in arithmetics.

so, understand $\text{Rep}(G)$

describe $\text{Irrep}(G)$ $\leftarrow K(G) = ? \quad \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(V_i) = ?$

learn to decompose $\leftarrow m_i = ?$

Def.: (Homo-)morphisms and isomorphisms between G -reps (V, ρ) and (V', ρ') :

• $\text{Hom}_G(V, V') = \{ \varphi: V \rightarrow V' \mid \varphi \text{ is } \mathbb{C}\text{-linear \& } \underbrace{G\text{-linear}} (= \underbrace{G\text{-equivariant}}) \}$

$\forall g \in G, \varphi(\rho(g)v) = \rho'(g)\varphi(v) \quad (*)$

$(\Leftrightarrow \forall g \in G, v \in V, \varphi(g \cdot v) = g \cdot \varphi(v))$

• $\text{Iso}_G(V, V') = \{ \text{bijective } \varphi \in \text{Hom}_G(V, V') \}$

• $V \cong V'$ if $\text{Iso}_G(V, V') \neq \emptyset$.
 \uparrow
 isomorphic

Such definitions are standard in maths: (iso-)morphisms between two objects are (bijective) maps preserving all the structure. Here "structure" might be: group, vector space, topological object, or, in our case, G -rep.

Isomorphic G -reps should be thought of as "the same up to a change of basis" ($(*)$ is precisely the change of basis f.l.a. from linear algebra!). So it is natural to identify them when trying to describe all G -reps.

Prop. 1: • $\forall V, V' \in \text{Rep}(G), V \oplus V'$ is also a G -rep., with $g \cdot (v, v') = (g \cdot v, g \cdot v')$;
← the direct sum of vector spaces

• $(\text{Rep}(G), \oplus, \{0\})$ is a commutative monoid.
 \uparrow
 zero rep.

Exo: Prove:

$V \& V'$ are called direct summands of $V \oplus V'$

The construction above is called the direct sum of G -reps. The symbol " \oplus " may refer to a direct sum of spaces or of G -reps; when not clear from the context, its meaning will be given explicitly.

Maschke thm (1898): Let (V, ρ) be a rep. of a finite group G .

Then any sub-rep. $V' \subseteq V$ admits a G -invariant complement V'' .
i.e., $V = V' \oplus V''$ (as G -reps).

□ Linear algebra: $V = V' \oplus \hat{V}$ as vector spaces, for some subspace \hat{V} . In general, \hat{V} needs not be G -invariant! We will modify it so that it becomes so.

Consider the projection $p: V = V' \oplus \hat{V} \rightarrow V'$.

$$(v', \hat{v}) \mapsto v'$$

Put $p^{\text{av}} = \frac{1}{\#G} \sum_{g \in G} p(g) p p(g)^{-1}$.
averaged

⚠ Averaging is a key tool in the rep. theory of finite groups. For (locally) compact groups, it is replaced with an integral.

It still projects V onto V' :

(a) p^{av} is \mathbb{C} -linear

(b) $p^{\text{av}}(V) \subseteq V' \leftarrow p(g) p p(g)^{-1}(v) \in V'$

(c) $\forall v' \in V', p^{\text{av}}(v') = v' \leftarrow p(g) p p(g)^{-1}(v') = p(g) p \underbrace{p(g^{-1})(v')}_{\in V'} =$
 $= p(g) p(g^{-1})(v') = v'$

So $V = \underbrace{p^{\text{av}}(V)}_{=: V'} \oplus \underbrace{(Id_V - p^{\text{av}})(V)}_{=: V''}$ as vector spaces.

Let us prove this decomposition (true for any projection!)

(1) $\forall v \in V, v = p^{\text{av}}(v) + (Id_V - p^{\text{av}})(v)$, so the sum of V' and V'' covers the whole V .

(2) $v \in V' \cap V'' \Rightarrow p^{\text{av}}(v) = v$, but $v \in V'' \Rightarrow v = \hat{v} - p^{\text{av}}(\hat{v})$ for some $\hat{v} \Rightarrow v = p^{\text{av}}(v) = p^{\text{av}}(\hat{v}) - p^{\text{av}}(\underbrace{p^{\text{av}}(\hat{v})}_{\in V'}) =$
 $= p^{\text{av}}(\hat{v}) - p^{\text{av}}(\hat{v}) = 0$.

So $V' \cap V'' = \{0\}$, i.e., V' and V'' are in direct sum.

We now show that, contrary to \hat{V} , V'' is G -invariant.

First, observe that $p^{av} \in \text{Hom}_G(V, V')$. Indeed,

$$p^{av} p(h) = \frac{1}{\#G} \sum_{g \in G} p(g) p p(g)^{-1} p(h) = \frac{1}{\#G} \sum_{g \in G} p(g) p p(g^{-1}h)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\#G} \sum_{g' \in G} p(hg') p p(g')^{-1} = \frac{1}{\#G} \sum_{g' \in G} p(h) p(g') p p(g')^{-1} = p(h) p^{av}$$

change of variables: $g' = h^{-1}g$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now, } p(g)(V'') &= p(g)(\text{Id}_V - p^{av})(V) = (p(g) - p(g)p^{av})(V) = \\ &= (p(g) - p^{av}p(g))(V) = (\text{Id} - p^{av})(p(g)(V)) \in (\text{Id} - p^{av})(V) = V'', \end{aligned}$$

as announced \square .

Rmk: This proof works for reps over any field k ; provided that $\text{char } k$ does not divide $\#G$.

Cor 2: For finite G , $\forall V \in \text{Rep}(G)$ is completely reducible (= semi-simple): $V \cong V_1 \oplus \dots \oplus V_s$ for some $s \in \mathbb{N}$ and some $V_i \in \text{Irrep}(G)$.

\square Induction on $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(V)$:

• $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(V) = 1 \Rightarrow V \in \text{Irrep}(G)$

• $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(V) > 1 \Rightarrow$ either $V \in \text{Irrep}(G)$, or \exists a sub-rep. $V' \subset V$, $V' \neq \{0\}$.

In the 2nd case, Maschke $\Rightarrow V \cong V' \oplus V''$ as G -reps, with $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(V') < \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(V)$, $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(V'') < \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(V)$, \square

Def: $V \in \text{Rep}(G)$ is indecomposable if it has precisely two direct summands: V and $\{0\}$.

Lemma 3: Indecomposable \Leftarrow irreducible.

$\square V \cong V' \oplus V'' \Rightarrow V'$ is a sub-rep. of V \square

In general, \nrightarrow (see Tutorial 1 & Homework 1).

In the proof of Cor 2, we actually showed:

Cor 4: For finite G , indecomposable \Leftrightarrow irreducible