

26-27. Representation theory of general linear groups

In the last lectures, we will look at another family of infinite groups — the general linear groups

$$GL_n := GL_n(\mathbb{C}) = \text{Mat}_{n \times n}^*(\mathbb{C}) \cong \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^n).$$

The main brick of their representation theory is the natural rep.

$V = (\mathbb{C}^n, \text{Id})$, where Id is the identity map $GL_n \rightarrow GL_n$.

It is faithful, since Id is an injective map.

Recall that for a finite group G and a faithful $V \in \text{Rep}(G)$, one can recover the whole $\text{Irrep}(G)$ by decomposing into irreps the tensor powers $V^{\otimes n}$. For instance, when studying $\text{Rep}(S_n)$, we extensively used its faithful standard rep. $V^{\otimes 1}$.

For the GL_n the situation turns out to be similar, even though these groups are infinite.

Thus, $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}$, $V^{\otimes k} \in \text{Rep}(GL_n)$, with $g \cdot (v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_k) = g \cdot v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes g \cdot v_k$.

These tensor powers $V^{\otimes k}$ also carry another action:

Lemma 3.8: $\bullet V^{\otimes k} \in \text{Rep}(S_k)$, with $\sigma \cdot (v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_k) = v_{\sigma^{-1}(1)} \otimes \dots \otimes v_{\sigma^{-1}(k)}$

$\bullet \forall g \in GL_n, \forall \sigma \in S_k, \forall \bar{v} \in V^{\otimes k}, \underline{g \cdot (\sigma \cdot \bar{v}) = \sigma \cdot (g \cdot \bar{v})}$,

that is, the GL_n - and the S_k -actions on $V^{\otimes k}$ commute.

The proof is straightforward.

Note that the definition of the S_k -action will not work if the subscripts $\sigma^{-1}(i)$ are replaced with $\sigma(i)$.

In fact, the connection between the GL_n and the S_k -actions on $V^{\otimes k}$ is extremely strong: they are mutual centralisers. That is,

$$\boxed{\text{End}_{S_k}(V^{\otimes k}) \cong \mathbb{C}GL_n \quad \& \quad \text{End}_{GL_n}(V^{\otimes k}) \cong \mathbb{C}S_k}$$

Here we used the following notations:

$\bullet$ for a group G , its group algebra is the vector space $\underline{\mathbb{C}G} = \bigoplus_{g \in G} \mathbb{C}e_g$, with the algebra operations

$$\bullet \left(\sum_g A_g e_g \right) + \left(\sum_g B_g e_g \right) = \sum_g (A_g + B_g) e_g,$$

$$\bullet \left(\sum_g A_g e_g \right) \cdot \left(\sum_h B_h e_h \right) = \sum_k \left(\sum_{\substack{g,h,s,t \\ gh=k}} A_g B_h \right) e_k,$$

$\bullet$ for $(V, \rho) \in \text{Rep}(G)$, we abusively denote by $\underline{\mathbb{C}G}$ the linear

span of $\rho(G) \subseteq \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{C}}(V)$ in $\text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(V)$; it can also be seen as $\hat{\rho}(G)$, where $\hat{\rho}: G \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(V)$ is the obvious extension of the group action ρ into an algebra action.

From this connection and our complete understanding of $\text{Rep}(S_k)$, one can deduce crucial information on $\text{Rep}(GL_n)$.

Schur-Weyl duality: $\forall k, n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$V^{\otimes k} \cong \bigoplus_{\substack{\lambda \vdash k, \\ p(\lambda) \leq n}} V_{\lambda} \otimes W_{n, \lambda} \quad \text{both as } S_k \text{ and } GL_n\text{-reps,}$$

where $p(\lambda) = \#\{\text{parts of } \lambda\} = \#\{\text{rows in the Young diag. of } \lambda\}$

- the V_{λ} are the Specht reps of S_k

- the $W_{n, \lambda}$ are the Weyl reps of GL_n

(they are also called Weyl modules, or Weyl's constructions;

$\forall \lambda \vdash k$, the functor $\mathbb{C}^n \mapsto W_{n, \lambda}$ is called a Schur functor;

$W_{n, \lambda} \in \text{Irrep}(GL_n)$, and $W_{n, \lambda} \not\cong W_{n, \lambda'}$ for $\lambda \neq \lambda'$;

- $V_{\lambda} \otimes W_{n, \lambda}$ is an S_k -rep. via $\sigma \cdot (v \otimes w) = (\sigma \cdot v) \otimes w$,

- an GL_n -rep. via $g \cdot (v \otimes w) = v \otimes (g \cdot w)$.

Thus, there is a bijection between the " $\leq n$ row part" of $\bigsqcup_k \text{Irrep}(S_k)$, and a part of $\text{Irrep}(GL_n)$. Not all irreps of GL_n are recovered this way. The dual irreps $W_{n, \lambda}^*$ are counter-examples. But, essentially, this is it: $\forall W \in \text{Irrep}(GL_n) \exists k, d \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\lambda \vdash k, p(\lambda) \leq n$, s.t.

$$W \cong W_{n, \lambda} \otimes (W_{n, 1^n}^{\otimes d})^*$$

Recall that, for $(V, \cdot) \in \text{Rep}(G)$, its dual rep. V^* is the vector space $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(V, \mathbb{C})$, with $(g \cdot f)(v) = f(g^{-1} \cdot v)$ for all $v \in V, f \in V^*, g \in G$.

Ex.: Take $n \geq 2$ and $k = 2$.

Then $V^{\otimes 2} = S^2(V) \oplus \wedge^2(V)$ as an S_n -rep., and $(12) \in S_2$ acts

- on $S^2(V)$ by 1 : $(12)(v_1 \otimes v_2 + v_2 \otimes v_1) = v_2 \otimes v_1 + v_1 \otimes v_2$;

- on $\wedge^2(V)$ by -1 : $(12)(v_1 \otimes v_2 - v_2 \otimes v_1) = v_2 \otimes v_1 - v_1 \otimes v_2$.

By the Schur-Weyl duality,

$$V^{\otimes 2} \cong V^{\text{tr}} \otimes W_{n,2} \oplus V^{\text{sgn}} \otimes W_{n,1^2}, \text{ and } (12) \in S_2 \text{ acts}$$

• on $V^{\text{tr}} \otimes W_{n,2}$ by 1;

• on $V^{\text{sgn}} \otimes W_{n,1^2}$ by -1.

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \text{But then } \underline{S^2(V)} \cong V^{\text{tr}} \otimes W_{n,2} \cong \underline{W_{n,2}} \\ \text{and } \underline{\Lambda^2(V)} \cong V^{\text{sgn}} \otimes W_{n,1^2} \cong \underline{W_{n,1^2}} \end{array} \right\} \text{ as } \underline{GL_n\text{-reps}},$$

since $V^{\text{tr}} \cong V^{\text{sgn}} \cong \mathbb{C}$ as vector spaces, and GL_n acts only on the second factor of $V_\lambda \otimes W_{n,\lambda}$.

Conclusion: $S^2(V)$ & $\Lambda^2(V) \in \text{Irrep}(S_n)$.

Ex0: Show this directly, without appealing to the SW duality.

Both the SW duality and the mutual centraliser property follow from the Double centraliser theorem for semisimple algebras.

These are algebras A which, seen as A -reps (via multiplication on the left), are completely reducible. By Maschke's thm, $\forall$ finite group G , its group algebra $\mathbb{C}G$ is semisimple. So, the Double centraliser thm applies to $\mathbb{C}S_k$, and yields the SW duality.

We will give no further proof details here.

Instead, we will list some useful properties of Weyl reps:

① Explicit description: $W_{n,\lambda} \cong \mathbb{C}_\lambda \cdot V^{\otimes k}$, for all $\lambda \vdash k$ with $p(\lambda) \leq k$, where $\cdot \mathbb{C}_\lambda = (\sum_{\sigma \in R(T)} e_\sigma) \cdot (\sum_{\tau \in C(T)} \text{sgn}(\tau) e_\tau) \in \mathbb{C}S_k$, called the Young symmetriser for λ .

$$\cdot T_\lambda = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 & \dots & \lambda_1 \\ \hline \lambda_1+1 & \dots & \lambda_1+\lambda_2 & \\ \hline \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \\ \hline \lambda_1+\dots+\lambda_r & \dots & \dots & \\ \hline \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \\ \hline 1 & \dots & \dots & k \\ \hline \end{array} \in SYT_\lambda$$

• $R(T)$ is the row group of T : $R(T) = \{\sigma \in S_k \mid \forall i, i \& \sigma(i) \text{ are in the same row of } T\}$

• $C(T)$ is the column group of T .

$$\text{Ex.: } \lambda = k, T_k = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 & \dots & k \\ \hline \end{array}, \mathbb{C}_k = (\sum_{\sigma \in S_k} e_\sigma) \cdot e_{\text{Id}} = \sum_{\sigma \in S_k} e_\sigma$$

$$W_{n,k} \cong \bigoplus_{1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_k \leq n} \mathbb{C} v_{i_1, \dots, i_k}, \text{ where } v_{i_1, \dots, i_k} = \sum_{\sigma \in S_k} v_{i_{\sigma^{-1}(1)}} \otimes \dots \otimes v_{i_{\sigma^{-1}(k)}}$$

and $v_1, \dots, v_n$ is a basis of $V = \mathbb{C}^n$.

$$\lambda = 1^k, k \leq n, T_{1^k} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ \vdots \\ k \end{bmatrix}, C_{1^k} = e_{\text{Id}} \cdot \left(\sum_{\sigma \in S_k} \text{sgn}(\sigma) e_\sigma \right) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_k} \text{sgn}(\sigma) e_\sigma,$$

$$W_{n;1^k} \cong \bigoplus_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n} \mathbb{C} w_{i_1, \dots, i_k}, \text{ where } w_{i_1, \dots, i_k} = \sum_{\sigma \in S_k} \text{sgn}(\sigma) v_{i_{\sigma^{-1}(1)}} \otimes \dots \otimes v_{i_{\sigma^{-1}(k)}}.$$

$$\lambda = (2, 1), 2 \leq n, T_{2,1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}, C_{2,1} = (e_{\text{Id}} + e_{(12)})(e_{\text{Id}} - e_{(13)}) = e_{\text{Id}} + e_{(12)} - e_{(13)} - e_{(132)}$$

$$W_{n;2,1} \text{ is the linear span of } v_{i_1} \otimes v_{i_2} \otimes v_{i_3} + v_{i_2} \otimes v_{i_1} \otimes v_{i_3} \\ - v_{i_3} \otimes v_{i_2} \otimes v_{i_1} - v_{i_2} \otimes v_{i_3} \otimes v_{i_1}.$$

In fact, Young symmetrisers yield an alternative description of Specht reps: $V_\lambda \cong C_\lambda \cdot (\mathbb{C} S_k)$, for all $\lambda \vdash k$.

② Characters: $\chi^{W_{n;\lambda}}(g) = S_{n;\lambda}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ for all $\lambda \vdash k$ with $p(\lambda) \leq n$,

Here $S_{n;\lambda}$ is the Schur polynomial and $g \in GL_n$ with eigenvalues $x_1, \dots, x_n$.

$$S_{n;\lambda}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \frac{|x_j^{\lambda_i + n - i}|}{|x_j^{n-i}|} = \det \begin{pmatrix} x_1^{\lambda_1 + n - 1} & \dots & x_n^{\lambda_1 + n - 1} \\ x_1^{\lambda_2 + n - 2} & \dots & x_n^{\lambda_2 + n - 2} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ x_1^{\lambda_n} & \dots & x_n^{\lambda_n} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \det \begin{pmatrix} x_1^{n-1} & \dots & x_n^{n-1} \\ x_1^{n-2} & \dots & x_n^{n-2} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ x_1 & \dots & x_n \\ 1 & \dots & 1 \end{pmatrix}^{-1},$$

and we attach some 0's to λ to get a partition with n parts. From the form of $S_{n;\lambda}$, you probably guess that our formula for $\chi^{W_{n;\lambda}}$ follows from the Frobenius formula for χ^{V_λ} .

Recall that $|x_j^{n-i}| = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (x_i - x_j)$. Since $|x_j^{\lambda_i + n - i}|$ changes sign when one exchanges some x_s & x_t , this polynomial is divisible by $|x_j^{n-i}|$, and their ratio is a symmetric polynomial in the x_j .

$$\text{Ex: } S_{n;1^n}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \frac{|x_j^{n+1-i}|}{|x_j^{n-i}|} = \frac{x_1 \dots x_n |x_j^{n-i}|}{|x_j^{n-i}|} = x_1 \dots x_n.$$

This is coherent with ②: $W_{n;1^n} = \mathbb{C} w_{1, \dots, n}$, where

$$w_{1, \dots, n} = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \text{sgn}(\sigma) v_{\sigma^{-1}(1)} \otimes \dots \otimes v_{\sigma^{-1}(n)},$$

so, if (v_i) is the basis for which $p(g)$ becomes $\begin{pmatrix} x_1 & & 0 \\ & x_2 & \\ 0 & & x_n \end{pmatrix}$,

$$\text{then } g \cdot w_{1, \dots, n} = x_1 \dots x_n w_{1, \dots, n},$$

and $\chi^{W_{n;1^n}}(g) = x_1 \dots x_n$ as predicted.

(Jordan normal form)

More generally, for $k \leq n$, $S_{n;1^k} = \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n} x_{i_1} \dots x_{i_k}$.

Cr1: the $S_n; \lambda$ are linearly independent polynomials

$\Rightarrow$ the $W_n; \lambda$ are "lin. indep. reps", i.e.,

$$\bigoplus_{\lambda} m_{\lambda} W_n; \lambda = \bigoplus_{\lambda} m'_{\lambda} W_n; \lambda \quad \text{iff} \quad \forall \lambda, m_{\lambda} = m'_{\lambda};$$

$$\cdot \lim W_n; \lambda = S_n; \lambda (1, \dots, 1) = \lim_{x \rightarrow 1} S_n; \lambda (1, x, x^2, \dots, x^{n-1}) = \lim_{x \rightarrow 1} \frac{\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (x^{\lambda_i + n - i} - x^{\lambda_j + n - j})}{\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (x^{n-i} - x^{n-j})}$$

$$= \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \frac{\lambda_i - \lambda_j - i + j}{j - i}.$$