

8-10. Relations between irreps

Our aim is to (finally!) prove the innocent-looking Prop. 7, the deep consequences of which we have been exploiting for quite some time.

Prop. 7: The irreducible characters χ^V , $V \in \text{Irrep}(G)$, ^{finite group} form an orthonormal basis of $CF(G)$.
[↑]
class functions

Its proof is not straightforward. We will need several preliminary results.

Lemma 10: $V, W \in \text{Rep}(G)$, $\Phi \in \text{Hom}_G(V, W)$ (morphism between G -reps)
 $\Rightarrow \text{Ker } \Phi \subseteq V$ and $\text{Im } \Phi \subseteq W$ are sub-reps.

$\square$ $v \in \text{Ker } \Phi \Rightarrow \Phi(v) = 0 \Rightarrow \Phi(g \cdot v) = g \cdot \Phi(v) = g \cdot 0 = 0 \Rightarrow g \cdot v \in \text{Ker } \Phi$
 $w \in \text{Im } \Phi \Rightarrow w = \Phi(v)$ for some $v \in V \Rightarrow g \cdot w = g \cdot \Phi(v) = \Phi(g \cdot v) \Rightarrow g \cdot w \in \text{Im } \Phi$

Schur's lemma (1905): $V, W \in \text{Irrep}(G)$, $\Phi \in \text{Hom}_G(V, W) \Rightarrow$
(1) Φ is either 0 or an isomorphism
(2) $W = V \Rightarrow \Phi = \lambda \text{Id}_V$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$.
[↑]
homothety

This exceptional poverty of morphs between irreps will be at the heart of our proof of Prop. 7.

$\square$ (1) By L. 10, $\text{Ker } \Phi \subseteq V$ is a sub-rep. Since V is irred., $\text{Ker } \Phi$ is either $V (\Rightarrow \Phi = 0)$, or $0 (\Rightarrow \Phi$ injective). In the second case, consider the sub-rep. $\text{Im } \Phi \subseteq W$. It is non-zero, since $V \neq \{0\}$ and Φ is injective. But W is irred. Hence $\text{Im } \Phi = W$. So Φ is surjective, hence bijective.

(2) Φ is a lin. transformation from the finite-dim. vector space V over $\mathbb{C}$ to itself $\Rightarrow$ it has an eigenvalue $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, with an eigenvector $v \in V$. Then $\Phi - \lambda \text{Id}_V \in \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(V)$ is not injective ($\nexists v \in \text{Ker}(\Phi - \lambda \text{Id}_V)$), hence zero (by (1)). So $\Phi = \lambda \text{Id}_V$ $\square$

Rmk: Our proof for part (1) works for possibly infinite G , and possibly infinite-dim. reps V over any field. In (2), G can also be infinite, but the field should be algebraically closed, and $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} V < \infty$, since we need the existence of eigenvectors.

Lemma 1.1: $V, W \in \text{Rep}(G)$, $\Phi \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(V, W) \Rightarrow$

$$(1) \Phi^{av} := \frac{1}{\#G} \sum_{g \in G} \rho_W(g) \Phi \rho_V(g)^{-1} \in \text{Hom}_G(V, W).$$

(2) If $V, W \in \text{Irrep}(G)$, $V \neq W$, then $\Phi^{av} = 0$.

(3) If $V = W \in \text{Irrep}(G)$, then $\Phi^{av} = \lambda \text{Id}_V$, $\lambda = \frac{\text{tr}(\Phi)}{\dim_{\mathbb{C}} V}$.

(4) $\Phi = \Phi^{av} \Leftrightarrow \Phi \in \text{Hom}_G(V, W)$

We have already encountered this averaging procedure, turning $\mathbb{C}$ -linear morphisms into G -linear ones, in the proof of Maschke's theorem.

$\square$ (1) Φ^{av} is $\mathbb{C}$ -linear since Φ and the $\rho_V(g), \rho_W(g)$ are so.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Proof of } G\text{-linearity: } \rho_W(h) \Phi^{av} &= \frac{1}{\#G} \sum_{g \in G} \rho_W(h) \rho_W(g) \Phi \rho_V(g)^{-1} \\ &= \frac{1}{\#G} \sum_{g \in G} \rho_W(hg) \Phi (\rho_V(hg))^{-1} \rho_V(h) = \left(\frac{1}{\#G} \sum_{k \in G} \rho_W(k) \Phi \rho_V(k)^{-1} \right) \rho_V(h) \\ &= \Phi^{av} \rho_V(h). \end{aligned}$$

We used the variable change $g \rightsquigarrow k = hg$.

(2) $\Leftarrow$ (1) & Schur's lemma.

(3): (1) & Schur's lemma $\Rightarrow \Phi^{av} = \lambda \text{Id}_V$ for some λ .

To determine λ , compute the traces:

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \text{tr}(\Phi^{av}) &= \frac{1}{\#G} \sum_{g \in G} \text{tr}(\rho_V(g) \Phi \rho_V(g)^{-1}) = \frac{1}{\#G} \sum_{g \in G} \text{tr}(\Phi) = \text{tr}(\Phi) \\ \text{tr}(\lambda \text{Id}_V) &= \lambda \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(V) \end{aligned} \right\} \Rightarrow \lambda = \frac{\text{tr}(\Phi)}{\dim_{\mathbb{C}} V}$$

(4) $\Phi = \Phi^{av}$, $\Phi^{av} \in \text{Hom}_G(V, W) \Rightarrow \Phi \in \text{Hom}_G(V, W)$
 $\Phi \in \text{Hom}_G(V, W) \Rightarrow \forall g, \rho_W(g) \Phi \rho_V(g)^{-1} = \Phi \Rightarrow \Phi = \Phi^{av}$

$\square$

Now choose $V, W \in \text{Irrep}(G)$, and fix their bases.

This allows a translation of Lemma 1.1 into the matrix language:

lin. transformations

$\rightsquigarrow$ matrices

$$\rho_V(g)$$

$$R_{ij}(g)$$

$$1 \leq i, j \leq \dim_{\mathbb{C}} V =: n$$

$$\rho_W(g)$$

$$R'_{ij}(g)$$

$$1 \leq i, j \leq \dim_{\mathbb{C}} W =: n'$$

$$\varphi \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(V, W)$$

$$F_{ij}$$

$$1 \leq i \leq n', 1 \leq j \leq n$$

$$\varphi^{av}$$

$$\frac{1}{\#G} \sum_{g \in G} R'(g) F R(g)^{-1}$$

Case $V \not\cong W$: $\forall \varphi, \varphi^{av} = 0 \Rightarrow \forall F \in \text{Mat}_{n \times n'}(\mathbb{C}), \sum_{g \in G} R'(g) F R(g)^{-1} = 0$

$$\Rightarrow \forall 1 \leq i_1 \leq n', 1 \leq j_1 \leq n, \sum_{g \in G} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i_2 \leq n' \\ 1 \leq j_2 \leq n}} R'_{i_1 i_2}(g) F_{i_2 j_2} R_{j_2 j_1}(g^{-1}) = 0$$

$\uparrow$
consider each cell (i_1, j_1)

We get lin. combinations of the $F_{i_2 j_2}$ which vanish for all $F_{i_2 j_2} \in \mathbb{C}$. Thus their coefficients have to vanish:

$$\forall 1 \leq i_1, i_2 \leq n', \forall 1 \leq j_1, j_2 \leq n, \left\{ \sum_{g \in G} R'_{i_1 i_2}(g) R_{j_2 j_1}(g^{-1}) = 0 \right\} (*)$$

Now, consider two maps $G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$: 1) $R'_{i_1 i_2}: g \mapsto R'_{i_1 i_2}(g)$
2) $\widehat{R}_{j_2 j_1}: g \mapsto \overline{R_{j_2 j_1}(g^{-1})}$.

Using the inner product on $\text{Maps}(G, \mathbb{C})$ (Prop. 6),

$$(*) \text{ becomes } (R'_{i_1 i_2}, \widehat{R}_{j_2 j_1}) = 0 \quad \forall i_1, i_2, j_1, j_2. \quad (**)$$

$$\text{Further, } \chi^V(g) = \text{tr}(\rho_V(g)) = \text{tr}(R(g)) = \sum_{j=1}^n R_{jj}(g) = \sum_{j=1}^n \widehat{R}_{jj}(g),$$

$$\text{and } \chi^W(g) = \sum_{i=1}^{n'} R'_{ii}(g).$$

$$\text{since } \overline{\chi^V(g^{-1})} = \chi^V(g)$$

$$\text{So } (***) \Rightarrow \underline{\chi^W, \chi^V = 0}.$$

Case $V=W$: $\forall \varphi, \varphi^{av} = \lambda \text{Id}_V, \lambda = \frac{\text{tr } \varphi}{\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(V)} \Rightarrow \forall F \in \text{Mat}_{n,n}(\mathbb{C}),$

$$\frac{1}{\#G} \sum_{g \in G} R(g) F R(g)^{-1} = \lambda I.$$

$$\Rightarrow \forall 1 \leq i_1, j_1 \leq n, \frac{1}{\#G} \sum_{g \in G} \sum_{1 \leq i_2, j_2 \leq n} R_{i_1 i_2}(g) F_{i_2 j_2} R_{j_2 j_1}(g^{-1}) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n F_{i,i}}{n} \delta_{i_1, j_1}$$

consider each cell

$$\Rightarrow \forall 1 \leq i_1, j_1, i_2, j_2 \leq n, \left\{ \frac{1}{\#G} \sum_{g \in G} R_{i_1 i_2}(g) R_{j_2 j_1}(g^{-1}) = \frac{\delta_{i_1, j_1} \delta_{i_2, j_2}}{n} \right\}$$

consider each F_{ij}

$$\Rightarrow (R_{i_1 i_2}, \widehat{R}_{j_2 j_1}) = \frac{1}{n} \delta_{i_1, j_1} \delta_{i_2, j_2}$$

$$\Rightarrow (R_{ii}, \widehat{R}_{jj}) = \frac{1}{n} \delta_{ij}$$

$$\Rightarrow \langle \chi^V, \chi^V \rangle = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n R_{ii}, \sum_{j=1}^n \widehat{R}_{jj} \right) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \delta_{ij} = 1.$$

Conclusion: $\chi^V, V \in \text{Irrep}(G)$, are orthonormal.

It remains to show that they span the whole $\text{CF}(G)$.

Assume the contrary: $\exists \varphi \in \text{CF}(G)$ with $\langle \chi^V, \varphi \rangle = 0 \ \forall V \in \text{Irrep}(G), \varphi \neq 0$.
Because of the complete reducibility, this implies $\langle \chi^V, \varphi \rangle = 0 \ \forall (V, \rho) \in \text{Rep}(G)$.

Consider $\rho_{\varphi} := \sum_{g \in G} \overline{\varphi(g)} \underbrace{\rho(g)}_{\in \mathbb{C}} \underbrace{\rho(g)}_{\in \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{C}}(V)} \in \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(V)$.

This lin. transformation has remarkable properties:

$$\begin{aligned} \bullet \rho_{\varphi}^{av} &= \frac{1}{\#G} \sum_{g \in G} \sum_{h \in G} \overline{\varphi(g)} \rho(h) \rho(g) \rho(h)^{-1} = \frac{1}{\#G} \sum_{h, g \in G} \overline{\varphi(g)} \rho(h g h^{-1}) = \frac{1}{\#G} \sum_{h, g \in G} \overline{\varphi(h g h^{-1})} \rho(h g h^{-1}) \\ &= \frac{1}{\#G} \sum_{h, g \in G} \overline{\varphi(h g h^{-1})} \rho(h g h^{-1}) \stackrel{\text{put } k=hgh^{-1}}{=} \frac{1}{\#G} \sum_{h, k \in G} \overline{\varphi(k)} \rho(k) = \end{aligned}$$

φ is a class function

$$= \rho_{\varphi}$$

(that is, $\rho_{\varphi} \in \text{End}_G(V)$);

$$\bullet \text{tr}(\rho_{\varphi}) = \sum_{g \in G} \overline{\varphi(g)} \text{tr}(\rho(g)) = \sum_{g \in G} \overline{\varphi(g)} \chi^V(g) = \#G \langle \chi^V, \varphi \rangle = 0$$

If V is irred., Lemma 1.1 gives $\rho_{\varphi} = \rho_{\varphi}^{av} = \frac{\text{tr}(\rho_{\varphi})}{\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(V)} \text{Id}_V = 0$.

But then $\rho_{\mathbb{Q}} = 0$ for general reps (V, ρ) , since

- the $\rho_{\mathbb{Q}}$ construction respects direct sums: $(\rho \oplus \rho')_{\mathbb{Q}} = \rho_{\mathbb{Q}} \oplus \rho'_{\mathbb{Q}}$
 { this is clearer at the level of matrices:

$$\sum_{g \in G} \overline{\rho(g)} \begin{pmatrix} \rho(g) & 0 \\ 0 & \rho'(g) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{g \in G} \overline{\rho(g)} \rho(g) & 0 \\ 0 & \sum_{g \in G} \overline{\rho'(g)} \rho'(g) \end{pmatrix}$$

- $V \cong V' = \bigoplus_i m_i V_i, (V_i, \rho_i) \in \text{Irrep}(G), (V', \rho') \in \text{Rep}(G)$

$$\rho'_{\mathbb{Q}} = \bigoplus_i m_i (\rho_i)_{\mathbb{Q}} = 0 \Leftarrow \forall i, (\rho_i)_{\mathbb{Q}} = 0.$$

- $V \cong V'$ means $\exists \psi \in \text{Iso}_G(V, V')$,
 ψ G -linear $\Rightarrow \psi \rho_{\mathbb{Q}} = \sum_{g \in G} \overline{\psi(g)} \psi \rho(g) = \sum_{g \in G} \overline{\psi(g)} \rho'(g) \psi = \rho'_{\mathbb{Q}} \psi$

$$\Rightarrow \rho_{\mathbb{Q}} = \psi^{-1} \rho'_{\mathbb{Q}} \psi = 0.$$

In particular, $\rho^{\text{reg}}_{\mathbb{Q}} = 0$ for the regular rep. $(V^{\text{reg}}, \rho^{\text{reg}})$.

$$\text{But then } 0 = \rho^{\text{reg}}_{\mathbb{Q}}(e_1) = \sum_{g \in G} \overline{\rho^{\text{reg}}(g)} \rho^{\text{reg}}(g)(e_1) = \sum_{g \in G} \overline{\rho^{\text{reg}}(g)} e_g.$$

Since the e_g form a basis of V^{reg} , $\overline{\rho^{\text{reg}}(g)} = 0$ for all $g \in G$,
 so $\rho = 0$ - contradiction.

This (finally!) terminates the proof of Prop. 7.

Let us now look at a (rescaled) character table as a matrix:

#C	n_1	...	n_k
χ	$\chi(g_1)$	...	$\chi(g_k)$
χ_1	$\sqrt{\frac{n_i}{n_j}} \chi^{V_i}(g_j)$		
$\vdots$			
$\vdots$			
χ_k			

} Conj. (G)

Irrep(G)

Schur's orthogonality relations!

The rows & the columns of this table form two families of orthonormal vectors.

- For the rows it is a part of Prop. 7:

$$\delta_{i,i'} = (\chi^{V_i}, \chi^{V_{i'}}) = \frac{1}{\#G} \sum_{g \in G} \chi^{V_i}(g) \overline{\chi^{V_{i'}}(g)} = \frac{1}{\#G} \sum_{j=1}^k n_j \chi^{V_i}(g_j) \overline{\chi^{V_{i'}}(g_j)} = \frac{1}{\#G} \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{n_j}{\sqrt{n_j}} \chi^{V_i}(g_j) \cdot \frac{\overline{\chi^{V_{i'}}(g_j)}}{\sqrt{n_j}} = \frac{1}{\#G} \sum_{j=1}^k \chi^{V_i}(g_j) \overline{\chi^{V_{i'}}(g_j)}$$

For the columns, it is proved in

Prop. 13: $\forall g, h \in G, \sum_{\chi \in \text{Irrep}(G)} \chi^v(g) \overline{\chi^v(h)} = \frac{\#G}{\#C(h)} \mathbb{1}_{g \sim h}$

Here: $\sim$ is the conjugacy relation

$\mathbb{1}_{g \sim h} = \begin{cases} 1, & g \sim h \\ 0, & g \not\sim h \end{cases}$

□ Recall the indicator class functions $\mathbb{1}_{C(h)}(g) = \begin{cases} 1, & g \in C(h) \\ 0, & g \notin C(h) \end{cases}$

Prop. 7 $\Rightarrow \mathbb{1}_{C(h)} = \sum_{\chi \in \text{Irrep}(G)} (\mathbb{1}_{C(h)}, \chi^v) \chi^v = \frac{1}{\#G} \sum_{\chi \in \text{Irrep}(G)} \sum_{g \in G} \mathbb{1}_{C(h)}(g) \overline{\chi^v(g)} \chi^v =$
 $= \frac{1}{\#G} \sum_{\chi \in \text{Irrep}(G)} \sum_{g \sim h} \overline{\chi^v(g)} \chi^v = \frac{\#C(h)}{\#G} \sum_{\chi \in \text{Irrep}(G)} \overline{\chi^v(h)} \chi^v$
 $\chi^v(g) = \chi^v(h) \text{ when } g \sim h$

Evaluate both sides on $g \in G$: $\mathbb{1}_{g \sim h} = \mathbb{1}_{C(h)}(g) = \frac{\#C(h)}{\#G} \sum_{\chi \in \text{Irrep}(G)} \overline{\chi^v(h)} \chi^v(g)$

Now let us return to the endomorphisms p_g from page 4. Their properties remain valid in a very general setting, with the same proofs.

Prop. 12: $\forall (V, \rho) \in \text{Rep}(G), \forall \varphi \in \text{CF}(G),$

(1) $p_g = \rho_g^{\text{ov}} \in \text{End}_G(V)$

(2) $\text{tr}(p_g) = \#G \cdot (\chi^v, \varphi).$

We'll use this result to show that, even if decomposition of reps into irreps is in general not unique (Lecture 5), there is a coarser version of this decomposition which is unique:

Prop. 14: $\text{Irrep}(G) = \{V_1, \dots, V_k\},$

$(V, \rho) \in \text{Rep}(G), V = \bigoplus_{i=1}^k W_i, \text{ where } W_i \cong m_i V_i \text{ for some } m_i \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$
 $\Rightarrow \underline{W_i = p_{\chi^{V_i}}(V)} \quad (*)$

Thus (*) uniquely determines the decomposition $V = \bigoplus_{i=1}^k W_i$, which is called the **canonical decomposition** of V .

The components W_i collect together isomorphic irreducible subreps of V , and are thus called the **isotypic components** of V .

□ Decompose each W_i into subreps $W_{i,1}, \dots, W_{i,m_i}$, with $W_{i,j} \cong V_i$.

All the $W_{i,j}$ are g -invariant $\Rightarrow p_{\chi^{ve}} = \sum_{g \in G} \overline{\chi^{ve}(g)} p(g)$ restricts to $W_{i,j}$ for all i, j . Its restriction is $(p_{i,j})_{\chi^{ve}}$, where $p_{i,j}$ is the restriction of p to $W_{i,j}$.

$W_{i,j} \cong V_i \Rightarrow W_{i,j}$ is irreducible, and $\chi^{W_{i,j}} = \chi^{V_i}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hence } \underline{(p_{i,j})_{\chi^{ve}}} &= \frac{\text{tr}((p_{i,j})_{\chi^{ve}})}{\dim_{\mathbb{C}} W_{i,j}} \text{Id}_{W_{i,j}} = \frac{\#G (\chi^{W_{i,j}}, \chi^{ve})}{\dim_{\mathbb{C}} V_i} \text{Id} = \frac{\#G}{\dim_{\mathbb{C}} V_i} (\chi^{V_i}, \chi^{ve}) \text{Id} \\ &= \underline{\delta_{i,e} \frac{\#G}{\dim_{\mathbb{C}} V_i} \text{Id}_{W_{i,j}}}. \end{aligned}$$

So $p_{\chi^{ve}}$ is zero on all W_i with $i \neq e$, and

• multiplication by $\frac{\#G}{\dim_{\mathbb{C}} V_e} \neq 0$ on W_e .

Conclusion: $p_{\chi^{ve}}(V) = \bigoplus_i p_{\chi^{ve}}(W_i) = W_e$.

