

The University of Dublin

2D foams above the jamming transition: **Deformation matters**

J. Winkelmann^{*a*}, F.F. Dunne^{*a*}, V.J. Langlois^{*b*}, M.E. Möbius^{*a*}, D. Weaire^{*a*} and S. Hutzler^{*a*} ^aSchool of Physics, Trinity College Dublin, The University of Dublin, Ireland ^bLaboratoire de Géologie de Lyon, Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1, France





Simulations of 2D foams above the jamming point suggest a different form for the variation of the average contact number with packing fraction from that in soft disk systems.

The soft disk simulation The Plat simulation for 2D foam (x_n, y_n) pressure p_i Fig. 3: A deformed bubble in Plat [1, 2]. **Fig. 1**: A soft disk with corresponding overlaps. Fig. 2: Soft disk packing at

Fig. 4: Plat foam at $\phi = 0.90$.

Interaction and minimisation:

- Random initial configuration at packing fraction ϕ
- Interaction dependents on overlap δ_{ij} :

$$J_{\text{soft}} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i < j}^{N} \delta_{ij}^2$$

- $\phi = 0.90.$
- Energy minimisation: Conjugate Gradient
- **Deformation:**
- Disks do not deform
- No cell area conservation

What is the shape $\delta r(\theta)$ of a single, incompressible

2D bubble, subject to a single contact force f?

Variables:

- Vertex coordinates (x_n, y_n)
- Cell and Plateau border pressures **Constraints for equilibrium:**
- Const. cell area
- Circular arcs meet tangentially at a ver-

 $\operatorname{tex}(x_n, y_n)$

• *Laplace–Young law* is fulfilled for cells and Plateau borders

Deformation:

• Bubbles change shape upon contact

The Morse–Witten theory for 2D bubbles



 $r(\theta) = R_0(1 + \delta r(\theta))$

Linear differential equation for the

$$-\left(\frac{d^2}{d\theta^2}\right)\delta r(\theta) = A + \frac{f}{\pi}\cos^2\theta$$
Solution for $\delta r(\theta)$:

$$\delta r(\theta) = \frac{f}{2\pi}g(\theta), \quad g(\theta) = (\pi - \theta)\sin^2\theta$$
• δr linear in $f \Rightarrow$ superposition for

D. Weaire, R. Höhler, and S. Hutzler. Bubble-bubble interactions in a 2d foam, close to the wet limit. Adv. Colloid Interface Sci, accepted, (2017). https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cis.2017.07.004.

The Morse–Witten (MW) simulation model



Fig. 6: Bubble deformation with multiple forces. **Shape** for multiple forces:

$$\delta r(\theta) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{c} f_{c} g(\theta_{c} - \theta)$$

Equilibration procedure 1. Identify contacts, update δr **2.** Calc. forces from δr , inverting Eq.



Fig. 7: MW foam at $\phi = 0.90$.

- **4.** Move bubble centers in force direction
- **5.** Recalculate δr with Eq.

Constraints for equilibrium

- $\delta r(\theta)$ consistent with f_i
- force balance at films

3. Balance forces at contacts

• force balance on each bubble

The average contact number with increasing packing fraction $Z(\phi)$

Soft disk simulation:

- $Z Z_c \propto \sqrt{\phi \phi_c}$
- Well known behaviour in soft disk systems [11, 9, 13]
- Square-root scaling also observed in experimental data for photo-elastic disks [7]
- Power law relationship $Z(\phi)$ for a confined bubble raft experiment [6], *however*:
- Identification of contacting bubbles?
- Definition of liquid fraction?



 $\delta r(\theta)$:

 $\cos \theta$

multiple *f*

Plat simulation:

- $Z Z_c \propto \phi \phi_c$
- Periodic system: $Z_c = 4(1 1/N)$
- data averaged over 10,000 simulations of N = 60 bubble systems
- hints of this in earlier simulations (Plat [1] and lattice gas model [12])

Morse–Witten (MW) model:

- Results are *preliminary*
- $Z Z_c \approx \phi \phi_c$
- data averaged over 5 simulations of N = 100 bubble systems

The separation between bubbles at the critical packing fraction



Is $Z(\phi)$ determined by the distribution separation between bubbles?

Crude argument [10]: For affine compressions at ϕ_c , $Z(\phi) - Z_c$ is given by the radial integral over the distribution of separation f(w) in the limit $\epsilon \approx w/D \rightarrow 0$



Fig. 9: Distribution of separation.

References

[1] F. Bolton and D. Weaire. The effects of Plateau borders in the two- pp. 1371–1383. [6] G. Katgert and M. van Hecke. Jamming and ge- packings. In: Phys. Rev. E 65 (2002). [12] Q. Sun and S. Hutzler. dimensional soap froth I. Decoration lemma and diffusion theorem. ometry of two-dimensional foams. In: EPL Europhys. Lett. 92 (2010), Lattice gas simulations of two-dimensional liquid foams. In: Rheo. In: Phil. Mag. B 63 (1991), pp. 795-809. [2] F. Bolton and D. Weaire. p. 34002. [7] T. S. Majmudar et al. Jamming Transition in Gran- Acta 43 (2004), pp. 567-574. [13] M. van Hecke. Jamming of soft The effects of Plateau borders in the two-dimensional soap froth. II. ular Systems. In: Phys. Rev. Let. 98 (2007). [8] D. C. Morse and particles: geometry, mechanics, scaling and isostaticity. In: J. Phys. General simulation and analysis of rigidity loss transition. In: Phil. T. A. Witten. Droplet Elasticity in Weakly Compressed Emulsions. Condens. Matter 22 (2010), p. 033101. [14] D. Weaire, R. Höhler, and Mag. B 65 (1992), pp. 473–487. [3] F. F. Dunne et al. Statistics and In: EPL (Europhysics Letters) 22 (1993), p. 549. [9] C. S. O'Hern et al. S. Hutzler. Bubble-bubble interactions in a 2D foam, close to the wet topological changes in 2D foam from the dry to the wet limit. In: Jamming at zero temperature and zero applied stress: The epitome limit. In: Adv. Colloid Interface Sci accepted (2017). [15] J. Winkel-Philosophical Magazine 97 (2017), pp. 1768–1781. [4] D. J. Durian. of disorder. In: Phys. Rev. E 68 (2003). [10] A. O. Siemens and M. mann et al. 2D foams above the jamming transition: Deformation Foam mechanics at the bubble scale. In: Phys. Rev. Lett. 75 (1995), van Hecke. Jamming: A simple introduction. In: Physica A: Statis- matters. In: Colloids Surf., A (2017). pp. 4780–4783. [5] R. Hoehler and S. Cohen-Addad. Many-body tical Mechanics and its Applications 389.20 (2010), pp. 4255–4264. interactions in soft jammed materials. In: Soft Matter 13 (2017), [11] L. E. Silbert et al. Geometry of frictionless and frictional sphere

