MA3466 Tutorial Sheet 3, outline solutions¹

22 March 2010

- 1. (C&T 2.2) Entropy of functions. Let X be a random variable taking on a finite number of values. What is the general inequality relating H(X) and H(Y) if
 - (a) $Y = 2^X$
 - (b) $Y = \cos X$

Solution: The key point here is that if Y = g(X) then X determines Y but the converse may or may not be true. This means that H(Y|X) = 0 since Y is not random is the outcome of X is known. However H(X|Y) may not be zero if the function is not invertible.

In the first example it is true because the function is one-to-one. First, because $Y = 2^X$

$$p(Y = 2^x | X = x) = 1 (1)$$

and so H(X,Y) = H(X) + H(Y|X) = H(X); H(Y|X) = 0 because

$$H(Y|X) = \sum p(x)H(Y|X=x) \tag{2}$$

and all the terms in the sum in

$$H(Y|X=x) = \sum p(y|x)\log p(y|x) \tag{3}$$

are zero, either because $y = 2^x$ so that p(y|x) = 1 and its log is zero, or $y \neq 2^x$ and $x \log x$ goes to zero as x goes to zero. The converse is also true, $X = \log X$ and so

$$H(X,Y) = H(Y) + H(X|Y) = H(Y)$$
 (4)

and so H(X) = H(Y). The situation is different for $Y = \cos X$, this is not, in general, an invertable function. Hence, it is still true that H(Y|X) = 0 because X still determines Y; however H(X|Y) may not be zero, there may be y such that the set $\cos^{-1}y = \{x \in \mathcal{X} | \cos x = y\}$ may have more than one element and so knowing Y = y tells you $x \in \cos^{-1}y$, but it doesn't tell you what X is. Hence

$$H(X) = H(X,Y) = H(Y) + H(X|Y) \ge H(Y)$$
 (5)

where we know $H(X|Y) \ge 0$ because entropy is always positive.

¹Conor Houghton, houghton@maths.tcd.ie, see also http://www.maths.tcd.ie/~houghton/MA3466

To illustrate this further, lets consider two examples, first, if $\mathcal{X} = \{0, \pi\}$ then the function is invertible, $\mathcal{Y} = \{1, -1\}$ and if Y = 1, X = 0, if Y = -1, $X = \pi$. Here the inequality will be sharp. On the other hand, say $\mathcal{X} = \{0, \pi, 2\pi\}$ and

$$p_X(0) = p_X(\pi) = p_X(2\pi) = 1/3 \tag{6}$$

now $\mathcal{Y} = \{1, -1\}$ with $p_Y(1) = 2/3$ and $p_Y(-1) = 1/3$. Hence

$$H(X) = \log 3$$

$$H(Y) = \log 3 - \frac{2}{3}$$

$$(7)$$

and H(Y) < H(X); the point being that $H(X|Y) \neq 0$, if Y = 1, X could be zero or 2π with equal probability so H(X|Y = 1) = 1 and

$$H(X|Y) = \frac{2}{3}H(X|Y=1) + \frac{1}{3}H(X|Y=-1) = \frac{2}{3}$$
 (8)

2. (C&T 2.4) Entropy of functions of a random variable. Let X be a discrete random variable. Show that the entropy of a function of X is less than or equal to the entropy of X by justifying the following steps

$$H(X, g(X)) = H(X) + H(g(X)|X)$$

$$= H(X),$$

$$H(X, g(X)) = H(g(X)) + H(X|g(X)) \ge H(g(X))$$
(9)

and hence $H(g(X)) \leq H(X)$.

Solution: The key point here is that if Y = g(X) then X determines Y but the converse may or may not be true. In the first example it is true because the function is one-to-one. First, because $Y = 2^X$

$$p(Y = 2^x | X = x) = 1 (10)$$

and so H(X,Y) = H(X) + H(Y|X) = H(X); H(Y|X) = 0 because

$$H(Y|X) = \sum p(x)H(Y|X=x) \tag{11}$$

and all the terms in the sum in

$$H(Y|X=x) = \sum p(y|x)\log p(y|x) \tag{12}$$

are zero, either because $y = 2^x$ so that p(y|x) = 1 and its log is zero, or $y \neq 2^x$ and $x \log x$ goes to zero as x goes to zero. The converse is also true, $X = \log X$ and so

$$H(X,Y) = H(Y) + H(X|Y) = H(Y)$$
 (13)

and so H(X) = H(Y). The situation is different for $Y = \cos X$, this is not, in general, an invertable function. Hence, it is still true that H(Y|X) = 0 because X still determines Y; however H(X|Y) may not be zero, there may be y such that the set $\cos^{-1}y = \{x \in \mathcal{X} | \cos x = y\}$ may have more than one element and so knowing Y = y tells you $x \in \cos^{-1}y$, but it doesn't tell you what X is. Hence

$$H(X) = H(X,Y) = H(Y) + H(X|Y) \ge H(Y)$$
 (14)

where we know $H(X|Y) \ge 0$ because entropy is always positive.

To illustrate this further, lets consider two examples, first, if $\mathcal{X} = \{0, \pi\}$ then the function is invertible, $\mathcal{Y} = \{1, -1\}$ and if Y = 1, X = 0, if Y = -1, $X = \pi$. Here the inequality will be sharp. On the other hand, say $\mathcal{X} = \{0, \pi, 2\pi\}$ and

$$p_X(0) = p_X(\pi) = p_X(2\pi) = 1/3 \tag{15}$$

now $\mathcal{Y} = \{1, -1\}$ with $p_Y(1) = 2/3$ and $p_Y(-1) = 1/3$. Hence

$$H(X) = \log 3$$

 $H(Y) = \log 3 - \frac{2}{3}$ (16)

and H(Y) < H(X); the point being that $H(X|Y) \neq 0$, if Y = 1, X could be zero or 2π with equal probability so H(X|Y = 1) = 1 and

$$H(X|Y) = \frac{2}{3}H(X|Y=1) + \frac{1}{3}H(X|Y=-1) = \frac{2}{3}$$
 (17)

3. (C&T 2.8) Drawing with and without replacement. An urn contains r red, w white and b black balls. Which has higher entropy, drawing $k \geq 2$ balls from the urn with replacement or without replacement?

Solution: So the answer to this question relies on the fact that the probability distribution for the n drawing is the same irrespive of whether there is replacement or not. Lets use X to denote drawing from an urn with r red balls, w white balls and b black balls, so, with n = b + r + w

$$p_X(c_r) = \frac{r}{n}$$

$$p_X(c_w) = \frac{w}{n}$$

$$p_X(c_b) = \frac{b}{n}$$
(18)

whre c_r is red and so on. Now, if X_i is the *i*th drawing with replacement, then clearly the X_i are independent and $p_{X_i}(x) = p_X(x)$ for $x \in \mathcal{X} = \{c_r, c_b, c_w\}$.

Now, let Y_i be the *i*th drawing with replacement: although the Y_i are not independent $p_{Y_i}(x) = p_X(x)$ for $x \in \mathcal{X}$. To see this, note $Y_1 = X$ and assume it is true for Y_i and consider Y_{i+1} :

$$p_{Y_{i+1}}(c_r) = p_{(Y_{i+1},Y_i)}(c_r,c_r) + p_{(Y_{i+1},Y_i)}(c_r,c_w) + p_{(Y_{i+1},Y_i)}(c_r,c_b)$$

$$= p_{Y_{i+1}|Y_i}(c_r|c_r)p_{Y_i}(c_r) + p_{Y_{i+1}|Y_i}(c_r|c_w)p_{Y_i}(c_w) + p_{Y_{i+1}|Y_i}(c_r|c_b)p_{Y_i}(c_b) = \frac{r-1}{n-1}\frac{r}{n} + \frac{r}{n-1}\frac{w}{n} + \frac{r}{n-1}\frac{b}{n} = \frac{r}{n} = p_X(c_r)$$
(19)

This means, using the chain rule and the conditioning theorem

$$H(Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n) = H(Y_1) + H(Y_2|Y_1) + H(Y_3|Y_2, Y_1) + \dots + H(Y_n|Y_{n-1}, \dots, Y_1)$$

$$\leq \sum H(Y_i) = nH(X) = H(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)$$
(20)

with equality if and only if the Y_i were independent which they aren't, hence

$$H(Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n) < H(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)$$
 (21)

- 4. (C&T 2.14) Enropy of a sum. Let X and Y be random variables that take on values x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_r and y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_s respectively. Let Z = X + Y.
 - (a) Show that H(Z|X) = H(Y|X). Argue that if X and Y are independent then $H(Y) \leq H(Z)$ and $H(X) \leq H(Z)$. Thus the addition of independent random variables add uncertainy.
 - (b) Give an example of random variables for which H(X) > H(Z) and H(Y) > H(Z).
 - (c) Under what conditions does H(Z) = H(X) + H(Y).

Solution: So, given X, Y determines Z and visa versa, so H(Z|X) = H(Y|X). Now, we know that

$$H(Y|X) = H(Z|X) \le H(Z) \tag{22}$$

but, if X and Y are independent, H(Y|X) = H(Y), so $H(Y) \le H(Z)$; $H(X) \le H(Z)$ follow by a similar argument. Thus, if we want H(X) > H(Z), we need X and Y dependent. In fact, we want X and Y to be dependent in such a way that adding them gives something less uncertain; as an example, let Y = -X so Z = 0 always and so, H(Z) = 0 and is less than H(X) = H(Y) for any non-trivial choice of X. Finally,

$$H(X+Y) = H(X,Y) \tag{23}$$

if the addition is invertible, that is, if there are unique X and Y for any X + Y; this would happen, for example, if $\mathcal{X} = \{1,2\}$ and $\mathcal{Y} = \{1,3\}$ since the possible values of the sum are 2, 3, 4 and 5 and each correponds to a different choice of X and Y; however, if $\mathcal{X} = \{1,2\}$ and $\mathcal{Y} = \{1,2\}$ then X = 1, Y = 2 and X = 2, Y = 1 both give X + Y = 3. Now

$$H(X,Y) = H(X) + H(Y|X)$$
(24)

and H(Y|X) + H(Y) if X and Y are independent.