

## 231 Tutorial Sheet 11: due Friday February 3.<sup>12</sup>

27 January 2005

### Useful facts:

- Parseval's formula:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{l} \int_{-l/2}^{l/2} dx |f(x)|^2 &= \frac{1}{4} a_0^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (a_n^2 + b_n^2) \\ &= \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} |c_n|^2\end{aligned}$$

- The Fourier integral or Fourier transform:

$$\begin{aligned}f(x) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dk \widetilde{f(k)} e^{ikx} \\ \widetilde{f(k)} &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx f(x) e^{-ikx}\end{aligned}$$

- The Plancherel formula

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx |f(x)|^2 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dk |\widetilde{f(k)}|^2$$

### Questions

1. The Riemann zeta function is defined as follows

$$\zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s} \quad (s > 1).$$

- (a) By applying Parseval's theorem for Fourier series to the sawtooth  $f(x) = x$  for  $-\pi < x < \pi$  compute

$$\zeta(2) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2}.$$

- (b) Consider the Fourier expansion of  $f(x) = x^2$ ,  $-\pi < x < \pi$ , and use the result to show that

$$\zeta(4) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^4} = \frac{\pi^4}{90}.$$

---

<sup>1</sup>Conor Houghton, [houghton@maths.tcd.ie](mailto:houghton@maths.tcd.ie), see also <http://www.maths.tcd.ie/~houghton/231>

<sup>2</sup>Including material from Chris Ford, to whom many thanks.

Remark:

It is straightforward to compute  $\zeta(n)$  if  $n$  is an even integer. On the other hand, no simple formulae are available for the odd integers, i.e.  $\zeta(3)$ ,  $\zeta(5)$ , etc. Though, of course, they can be computed numerically.

The definition of  $\zeta(s)$  straightforwardly extends to complex values of  $s$ . The sum defining  $\zeta(s)$  clearly converges for any complex  $s$  where  $\operatorname{Re} s > 1$ . In fact, the function can be ‘analytically continued’ to *any* complex  $s$  (apart from  $s = 1$ ). Such a continuation boils down to finding an alternative expression for  $\zeta(s)$  that agrees with the given definition when  $\operatorname{Re} s > 1$  but makes sense for any  $s \neq 1$ . It is rather easy to continue to  $s$  values such that  $\operatorname{Re} s > 0$ . One recasts the zeta function as an alternating series

$$\zeta(s) = \frac{1}{1 - 2^{1-s}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n+1} \frac{1}{n^s}.$$

A long standing problem in mathematics is to find the zeros of  $\zeta(s)$ . It is known that  $\zeta(s)$  has zeros at the points  $s = -2n$  where  $n$  is a natural number and that all other zeros lie in the strip  $0 \leq \operatorname{Re} s \leq 1$ .

Riemann’s hypothesis: All zeros of  $\zeta(s)$  in the strip  $0 \leq \operatorname{Re} s \leq 1$  lie on the line  $\operatorname{Re} s = \frac{1}{2}$ .

2. Compute the Fourier transform of  $f(x) = e^{-a|x|}$  where  $a$  is a positive constant. Use the result to show that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dp \frac{\cos p}{1 + p^2} = \frac{\pi}{e}.$$

3. Determine the Fourier transform of the Gaussian function

$$f(x) = e^{-\alpha x^2},$$

where  $\alpha$  is a positive constant.

Suggestions: Compute the integral

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx e^{-\alpha x^2 + \beta x},$$

where  $\beta$  is real. To do this, first consider the case  $\beta = 0$ . Infer the result for  $\beta \neq 0$  by a simple change of variable in the integral. Then formally allow  $\beta$  to be imaginary (you may wish to postpone the justification of this procedure to a later date!).