・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・

₹ • • • 1/19

# Heegaard unions, generalized Property R, and the Akbulut-Gompf example

Dublin

August 2015

Let

• 
$$X_+ = X \cap S^3 \times [0,1]$$



・ロン ・四 と ・ ヨ と ・ ヨ と

3/19

Is reimbedding Y so  $X_0$  = handlebody useful? (Recall: can be done for genus  $\leq 3$ .)

Suppose  $X_0$ , is 3-dim. handlebody  $H_{\rho_0}$ . Then  $X = X_+ \cap_{X_0} X_-$  is a Heegaard union:

- Union of two 4-dimensional handlebodies  $J_{
  ho_-}, J_{
  ho_+}$
- along 3-dimensional handlebody  $H_{\rho_0} \subset \partial J_{\rho_{\pm}}$ .
- such that  $\partial H_{
  ho_0}$  Heegaard splits both  $\partial J_{
  ho_+}$  and  $\partial J_{
  ho_-}$



Connection: Gay-Kirby show any closed connected orientable 4-manifold can be "trisected": divided by Heegaard splittings into three 4-dimensional handlebodies.

'Heegaard union' is just 2 sectors of a trisection



Since  $P \cong S^3$  can set  $J_3 = D^4$  to get trisection of a homotopy 4-sphere. Then  $X = D^4 \iff$  homotopy sphere  $= S^4$ .

### Proposition

Suppose a 4-dimensional homology ball X is the Heegaard union of  $J_{\rho_-}, J_{\rho_+}$  along  $H_{\rho_0}$ , and  $\rho_- \leq \rho_+$ . Then X has a handle decomposition consisting of  $\rho_-$  1-handles and  $\rho_-$  2-handles.

#### Proof:

- X a homology ball  $\implies \rho_0 = \rho_+ + \rho_-$  (Mayer-Vietoris)
- Standard splitting of  $\partial J_{\rho_+} = \partial(H_{\rho_+} \times I)$  is  $H_{\rho_+} \times \{0\} \cup_{\partial H_{\rho_+}} H_{\rho_+} \times \{1\}$
- Our splitting is  $(\rho_0 \rho_+) = \rho_-$ -stabilization (Waldhausen)

Alternate picture of this stabilization:

- Begin with standard splitting on  $\partial J_{\rho_0}$ :  $H_{\rho_0} \times \{0\} \cup_{\partial H_{\rho_0}} H_{\rho_0} \times \{1\}$
- 0-surger core of  $\rho_-$  copies of  $S^1 \times B^2$  in  $H_{\rho_0} \times \{1\}$ .



- Surgery turns each  $S^1 imes S^2$ -summand to  $S^3$  (i. e. deletes it)
- Splitting becomes genus 1-split  $S^3$  (i. e. stabilization)

### Alternate picture of $J_{\rho_+}$ :

4-dimensional 'trace' of each 0-surgery is attachment of 2-handle.



So Heegaard union same as attaching  $\rho_-$  2-handles to  $J_{\rho_-}$ . (Along link in  $\partial J_{\rho_-}$  with tunnel  $\# \rho_0 - 1$ .)

### Corollary

Schoenflies Conjecture true for genus 3 case.

Proof:

- Reimbedding (via sutured manifold theory) makes X genus 3 Heegaard union.
- Since  $\rho_- + \rho_+ = 3, \rho_- \le \rho_+ \implies \rho_- = 1.$
- Single 1-handle and 2-handle is Gabai's famed Property R

Leads to general Property R question: If

- X is a homology ball
- $\partial X = S^3$
- X has only 1- and 2-handles,

Is  $X = B^4$ ?

Versions:

- Strict version (generalized Property R Conjecture:) Yes, and can do this without adding any other handles. Usually stated: If surgery on *n*-component link  $L \subset S^3$  gives  $\sharp_n(S^1 \times S^2)$ , can handle-slides turn L into the unlink?
- Weak version 1: Yes, and can do this by adding only canceling 1 and 2 handle pairs.
- Weakest version: Yes, if also use canceling 2- & 3-handles.

Latest betting: Strict version false.

Weakest version plus success at reimbedding  $X_0$  to handlebody would suffice for Schoenflies.

### On to genus 4?

#### Theorem (Akbulut-Kirby 1985)

There is a homotopy 4-sphere  $\Sigma$  whose handle structure naturally presents  $\pi_1(\Sigma) = \langle a, b \mid aba = bab, a^5 = b^4 \rangle$ .

#### Theorem (Gompf 1991)

- AK example extends to family with
   π<sub>1</sub>(Σ<sub>n</sub>) ≅< a, b | aba = bab, a<sup>n+1</sup> = b<sup>n</sup> > .
- Each is S<sup>4</sup>, via canceling 2-handle 3-handle pair
- $\exists$  Kearton-Lickorish Heegaard embedding  $\Sigma_4 B^4 \subset S^4$ .
- Presentation π<sub>1</sub>(X) =< a, b | aba = bab, a<sup>5</sup> = b<sup>4</sup> > probably cannot be Andrews-Curtis trivialized, e.g. via handle-slides.

## Gompf example very complicated:



Three questions:

- Can the example be simplified?
- Can embedding be extended to other n (even  $n \leq 3$  unknown)
- Can a side be trivialized (shown to be  $B^4$ ) without stabilization via reimbedding?

э

# Can reimbedding again save the day? Goals:

- Simplify Akbulut-Gompf example
- so that 'natural' picture suggests simpler reimbedding of Y
- perhaps extend to all n

Example: The first three 1-handles.



 $X_{-}$  unaffected by last 1-handle  $\implies \pi_1(X_{-}) \cong \langle a, b | \rangle \ge \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ 

Heegaard unions	Generalized Property R	AG example	Simplify & reimbed?
			1

#### The first 2-handle:



Now  $\pi_1(X_-) \cong \langle a, b \mid aba = bab \rangle = \pi_1(S^3 - trefoil knot).$ 

The last 1 handle & second 2-handles? If 2-handle in Y then relator for  $\pi_1(X_-)$ 



Computation shows:  $\pi_1(X) \cong \langle a, b \mid aba = bab, b = 1 \rangle$ .

Now need exactly 2 outside 2-handles:



We have them! Note:

• Since these are in  $X_0$ , no further effect on  $\pi_1(X)$ 

• In any case  $\pi_1(X) = 0$ . Let's pretend we know  $X = B^4$ . So what? Reimbed last 1-handle, then second 2-handle:



Topology of exterior the same: just unwind.



<ロ> <同> <同> < 回> < 回>

So can cap off with 2-handles in X. (But are they the same 2-handles? Or is Y now just a Mazur manifold?)

#### Hope

Y is a reimbedded  $B^4$ , indeed the Akbulut-Gompf example.

Additionally: could try reimbedding first 1-handle, also suggested by thin position:



Example above inspired by a true event. In Akbulut-Gompf, crucial 2-handle comes from Dehn twisting multiple times along specific embedded torus:



Seems beyond the range of graphics or computer check.

э

Last half take-away:

Perhaps in general reimbedding can substitute for stabilization, but

- So far only one interesting example and
- it's really difficult to work with this example.