

# The Derivative of the Fourier Transformation

Fionn Fitzmaurice      fionnf@maths.tcd.ie

Let  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R})$  be a complex-valued function. We will use the convention that

$$\tilde{f}(k) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx f(x) e^{-ikx}, \quad f(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dk \tilde{f}(k) e^{ikx}$$

and the slightly clunky notation of  $\mathcal{F}(f(x)) = \tilde{f}(k)$  to denote the Fourier transformation.

We would like to find an expression for the Fourier transformation of  $f'(x)$ . The brutish way is to integrate by parts like

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}(f'(x)) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx f'(x) e^{-ikx} \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \left( [f(x) e^{-ikx}]_{-\infty}^{\infty} + ik \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx f(x) e^{-ikx} \right) \\ &= ik \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx f(x) e^{-ikx} \\ &= ik \tilde{f}(k), \end{aligned}$$

where the boundary term vanishes since

$$\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} f(x) = 0.$$

It's very easy to justify this limit physically; mathematically I think this works since the existence of the Fourier transformation of  $f$  and  $f'$  presumes the integrability of  $f$  and  $f'$ . If  $f$  is unbounded,  $\tilde{f}$  will be discontinuous. There's a myth that  $f(x) \rightarrow 0$  as  $x \rightarrow \infty$  because  $f \in L^2$ , which probably comes from this. Again, I think the only functions in  $L^2$  which won't satisfy this will be suitably pathological.

It is simpler to notice that

$$\begin{aligned} f'(x) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{d}{dx} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dk \tilde{f}(k) e^{ikx} \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dk ik \tilde{f}(k) e^{ikx}, \end{aligned}$$

where in the last line we make use of the Leibniz integral rule, and we are compelled to identify  $\mathcal{F}(f'(x)) = ik \tilde{f}(k)$ .

As an aside, the momentum operator  $P = -i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial x}$  has eigenvalues  $p$  which represent observable momenta. Given the above result and the fact that  $p = \hbar k$ , you should be able to see why (or at least that it is consistent).