

Massive Gauge Field

The Lagrangian density

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}(\phi^\mu) &= -\frac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu\phi^\nu)^2 + \frac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu\phi^\mu)^2 + \frac{m^2}{2}\phi_\mu\phi^\mu \\ &= -\frac{1}{2}\partial_\mu\phi^\nu\partial^\mu\phi_\nu + \frac{1}{2}\partial_\mu\phi^\mu\partial_\nu\phi^\nu + \frac{m^2}{2}\phi_\mu\phi^\mu\end{aligned}$$

describes a massive gauge field.

The field equations are derived via Euler–Lagrange*:

$$\partial_\lambda \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_\lambda\phi^\rho)} - \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial\phi^\rho} = 0. \quad (\mathcal{E}-\mathcal{L})$$

I’m going to go slowly here; we’ll pick up speed later.

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial\phi^\rho} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial\phi^\rho} \left[\frac{m^2}{2}\phi_\mu\phi^\mu \right] \\ &= \frac{m^2}{2} \left[\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\phi^\rho}\phi_\mu \right) \phi^\mu + \phi_\mu \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\phi^\rho}\phi^\mu \right) \right] \\ &= \frac{m^2}{2} \left[\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\phi^\rho}\eta_{\mu\nu}\phi^\nu \right) \phi^\mu + \phi_\mu\delta_\rho^\mu \right] \\ &= \frac{m^2}{2} \left[\eta_{\mu\nu} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\phi^\rho}\phi^\nu \right) \phi^\mu + \phi_\mu\delta_\rho^\mu \right] \\ &= \frac{m^2}{2} \left[\eta_{\mu\nu}\delta_\rho^\nu\phi^\mu + \phi_\mu\delta_\rho^\mu \right] \\ &= \frac{m^2}{2} [\eta_{\mu\rho}\phi^\mu + \phi_\rho] \\ &= \frac{m^2}{2} [\phi_\rho + \phi_\rho] = m^2\phi_\rho.\end{aligned}$$

*It seems natural to choose $\partial\phi^\rho$ rather than $\partial\phi_\rho$, but I appear to be going against the “literature” here. I remember differentiating against A_μ rather than A^μ in the $\mathcal{E}$ – $\mathcal{L}$ equations in classical field theory, but it doesn’t really matter. Also, *: looks like a face.

That was fun! We could have arrived at this much faster by just saying $\phi_\mu\phi^\mu = \phi^2$, so $\frac{\partial}{\partial\phi^\rho}\phi^2 = 2\phi_\rho$, where the lower ρ index is determined by the upper ρ on $\partial\phi^\rho$.

The next term is a bit trickier.

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_\lambda\phi^\rho)} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial(\partial_\lambda\phi^\rho)} \left[-\frac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu\phi^\nu)^2 + \frac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu\phi^\mu)^2 \right] \\
&= \frac{\partial}{\partial(\partial_\lambda\phi^\rho)} \left[-\frac{1}{2}\partial_\mu\phi^\nu\partial^\mu\phi_\nu + \frac{1}{2}\partial_\mu\phi^\mu\partial_\nu\phi^\nu \right] \\
&= -\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial}{\partial(\partial_\lambda\phi^\rho)} [\partial_\mu\phi^\nu\partial^\mu\phi_\nu] + \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial}{\partial(\partial_\lambda\phi^\rho)} [\partial_\mu\phi^\mu\partial_\nu\phi^\nu] \\
&= -\frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{\partial(\partial_\mu\phi^\nu)}{\partial(\partial_\lambda\phi^\rho)}\partial^\mu\phi_\nu + \partial_\mu\phi^\nu\frac{\partial(\partial^\mu\phi_\nu)}{\partial(\partial_\lambda\phi^\rho)} \right] \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{\partial(\partial_\mu\phi^\mu)}{\partial(\partial_\lambda\phi^\rho)}\partial_\nu\phi^\nu + \partial_\mu\phi^\mu\frac{\partial(\partial_\nu\phi^\nu)}{\partial(\partial_\lambda\phi^\rho)} \right] \\
&= -\frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{\partial(\partial_\mu\phi^\nu)}{\partial(\partial_\lambda\phi^\rho)}\partial^\mu\phi_\nu + \partial_\mu\phi^\nu\eta^{\mu\alpha}\eta_{\nu\beta}\frac{\partial(\partial_\alpha\phi^\beta)}{\partial(\partial_\lambda\phi^\rho)} \right] \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{\partial(\partial_\mu\phi^\mu)}{\partial(\partial_\lambda\phi^\rho)}\partial_\nu\phi^\nu + \partial_\mu\phi^\mu\frac{\partial(\partial_\nu\phi^\nu)}{\partial(\partial_\lambda\phi^\rho)} \right] \\
&= -\frac{1}{2} \left[\delta_\mu^\lambda\delta_\rho^\nu\partial^\mu\phi_\nu + \partial_\mu\phi^\nu\eta^{\mu\alpha}\eta_{\nu\beta}\delta_\alpha^\lambda\delta_\rho^\beta \right] \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} \left[\delta_\mu^\lambda\delta_\rho^\mu\partial_\nu\phi^\nu + \partial_\mu\phi^\mu\delta_\nu^\lambda\delta_\rho^\nu \right] \\
&= -\frac{1}{2} \left[\delta_\mu^\lambda\delta_\rho^\nu\partial^\mu\phi_\nu + \partial_\mu\phi^\nu\eta^{\mu\lambda}\eta_{\nu\rho} \right] \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} \left[\delta_\mu^\lambda\delta_\rho^\mu\partial_\nu\phi^\nu + \partial_\mu\phi^\mu\delta_\nu^\lambda\delta_\rho^\nu \right] \\
&= -\frac{1}{2} \left[\partial^\lambda\phi_\rho + \partial^\lambda\phi_\rho \right] + \frac{1}{2} \left[\delta_\rho^\lambda\partial_\nu\phi^\nu + \partial_\mu\phi^\mu\delta_\rho^\lambda \right] \\
&= -\partial^\lambda\phi_\rho + \delta_\rho^\lambda\partial_\mu\phi^\mu.
\end{aligned}$$

If we used a lower ρ in our definition (see footnote *), we'd have a metric tensor instead of a Kronecker delta. The fast way:

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_\lambda\phi^\rho)} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial(\partial_\lambda\phi^\rho)} \left[-\frac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu\phi^\nu)^2 + \frac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu\phi^\mu)^2 \right] \\
&= -\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial}{\partial(\partial_\lambda\phi^\rho)}(\partial_\mu\phi^\nu)^2 + \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial}{\partial(\partial_\lambda\phi^\rho)}(\partial_\mu\phi^\mu)^2 \\
&= -\partial_\mu\phi^\nu\frac{\partial(\partial_\mu\phi^\nu)}{\partial(\partial_\lambda\phi^\rho)} + \partial_\mu\phi^\mu\frac{\partial(\partial_\nu\phi^\nu)}{\partial(\partial_\lambda\phi^\rho)}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= -\partial_\mu \phi^\nu \delta_\mu^\lambda \delta_\rho^\nu + \partial_\mu \phi^\mu \delta_\nu^\lambda \delta_\rho^\nu \\
&= -\eta^{\lambda\kappa} \eta_{\rho\sigma} \partial_\mu \phi^\nu \delta_{\mu\kappa} \delta^{\nu\sigma} + \partial_\mu \phi^\mu \delta_\rho^\lambda \\
&= -\eta^{\lambda\kappa} \eta_{\rho\sigma} \partial_\kappa \phi^\sigma + \delta_\rho^\lambda \partial_\mu \phi^\mu \\
&= -\partial^\lambda \phi_\rho + \delta_\rho^\lambda \partial_\mu \phi^\mu.
\end{aligned}$$

We are compelled to take the derivative ∂_λ of this.

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_\lambda \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_\lambda \phi^\rho)} &= \partial_\lambda [-\partial^\lambda \phi_\rho + \delta_\rho^\lambda \partial_\mu \phi^\mu] \\
&= -\partial_\lambda \partial^\lambda \phi_\rho + \partial_\lambda \delta_\rho^\lambda \partial_\mu \phi^\mu \\
&= -\square \phi_\rho + \partial_\rho \partial_\mu \phi^\mu.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by $(\mathcal{E}-\mathcal{L})$ the field equations are

$$-\square \phi_\rho + \partial_\rho \partial_\mu \phi^\mu - m^2 \phi_\rho = 0.$$

The derivative of the equations of motion gives

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_\rho [-\square \phi_\rho + \partial_\rho \partial_\mu \phi^\mu - m^2 \phi_\rho] &= 0 \\
m^2 \partial_\mu \phi^\mu &= 0 \\
\partial_\mu \phi^\mu &= 0 \quad \because m^2 \neq 0,
\end{aligned}$$

so the field is conserved.

Now we'll construct the canonical momenta conjugate to ϕ_μ .

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi_\mu &= \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_0 \phi^\mu)} \\
&= -\partial^0 \phi_\mu + \delta_\mu^0 \partial^\sigma \phi_\sigma.
\end{aligned}$$

This allows us to determine the Hamiltonian.

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{H} &= \pi_\mu \partial_0 \phi^\mu - \mathcal{L} \\
&= -\partial_0 \phi^\mu \partial^0 \phi_\mu + \delta_\mu^0 \partial_0 \phi^\mu \partial^\sigma \phi_\sigma - \mathcal{L} \\
&= -(\partial_0 \phi^\mu)^2 + \partial_0 \phi^0 \partial^\sigma \phi_\sigma + \frac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu \phi^\nu)^2 - \frac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu \phi^\mu)^2 - \frac{m^2}{2} \phi_\mu \phi^\mu, \\
H &= \int d^3x \left[\frac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu \phi^\nu)^2 - \frac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu \phi^\mu)^2 - \frac{m^2}{2} \phi_\mu \phi^\mu - (\partial_0 \phi^\mu)^2 + \partial_0 \phi^0 \partial^\sigma \phi_\sigma \right].
\end{aligned}$$

This theory is not invariant under the gauge transformation $\phi^\mu \rightarrow \phi^\mu + \partial^\mu \alpha$. To see why, we must study the dynamics of the transformed field. We have that

$$\mathcal{L}(\phi) = -\frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \phi^\nu \partial^\mu \phi_\nu + \frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \phi^\mu \partial_\nu \phi^\nu + \frac{m^2}{2} \phi_\mu \phi^\mu,$$

so under a gauge transformation $\phi \rightarrow \phi'$ our Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}(\phi')$ will read

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}(\phi') &= -\frac{1}{2}\partial_\mu(\phi^\nu + \partial^\nu\alpha)\partial^\mu(\phi_\nu + \partial_\nu\alpha) + \frac{1}{2}\partial_\mu(\phi^\mu + \partial^\mu\alpha)\partial_\nu(\phi^\nu + \partial^\nu\alpha) \\
&\quad + \frac{m^2}{2}[\phi_\mu\phi^\mu + 2\phi^\mu\partial_\mu\alpha + \partial_\mu\alpha\partial^\mu\alpha] \\
&= -\frac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu\phi^\nu + \partial_\mu\partial^\nu\alpha)(\partial^\mu\phi_\nu + \partial^\mu\partial_\nu\alpha) + \frac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu\phi^\mu + \partial_\mu\partial^\mu\alpha)(\partial_\nu\phi^\nu + \partial_\nu\partial^\nu\alpha) \\
&\quad + \frac{m^2}{2}[\phi_\mu\phi^\mu + 2\phi^\mu\partial_\mu\alpha + \partial_\mu\alpha\partial^\mu\alpha] \\
&= -\frac{1}{2}[\partial_\mu\phi^\nu\partial^\mu\phi_\nu + \partial_\mu\phi^\nu\partial^\mu\partial_\nu\alpha + \partial^\mu\phi_\nu\partial_\mu\partial^\nu\alpha + \partial_\mu\partial^\nu\alpha\partial^\mu\partial_\nu\alpha] \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2}[\partial_\mu\phi^\mu\partial_\nu\phi^\nu + \partial_\mu\phi^\mu\partial_\nu\partial^\nu\alpha + \partial_\nu\phi^\nu\partial_\mu\partial^\mu\alpha + \partial_\mu\partial^\mu\alpha\partial_\nu\partial^\nu\alpha] \\
&\quad + \frac{m^2}{2}[\phi_\mu\phi^\mu + 2\phi^\mu\partial_\mu\alpha + \partial_\mu\alpha\partial^\mu\alpha] \\
&= -\frac{1}{2}\partial_\mu\phi^\nu\partial^\mu\phi_\nu - \frac{1}{2}[\partial_\mu\phi^\nu\partial^\mu\partial_\nu\alpha + \partial^\mu\phi_\nu\partial_\mu\partial^\nu\alpha + \partial_\mu\partial^\nu\alpha\partial^\mu\partial_\nu\alpha] \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2}\partial_\mu\phi^\mu\partial_\nu\phi^\nu + \frac{1}{2}[\partial_\mu\phi^\mu\partial_\nu\partial^\nu\alpha + \partial_\nu\phi^\nu\partial_\mu\partial^\mu\alpha + \partial_\mu\partial^\mu\alpha\partial_\nu\partial^\nu\alpha] \\
&\quad + \frac{m^2}{2}\phi_\mu\phi^\mu + \frac{m^2}{2}[2\phi^\mu\partial_\mu\alpha + \partial_\mu\alpha\partial^\mu\alpha] \\
&= \mathcal{L}(\phi) - \frac{1}{2}[\partial_\mu\phi^\nu\partial^\mu\partial_\nu\alpha + \partial^\mu\phi_\nu\partial_\mu\partial^\nu\alpha + \partial_\mu\partial^\nu\alpha\partial^\mu\partial_\nu\alpha] \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2}[\partial_\mu\phi^\mu\partial_\nu\partial^\nu\alpha + \partial_\nu\phi^\nu\partial_\mu\partial^\mu\alpha + \partial_\mu\partial^\mu\alpha\partial_\nu\partial^\nu\alpha] \\
&\quad + \frac{m^2}{2}[2\phi^\mu\partial_\mu\alpha + \partial_\mu\alpha\partial^\mu\alpha] \\
&= \mathcal{L}(\phi) + \mathcal{L}_g(\phi)
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_g(\phi) &= -\frac{1}{2}[\partial_\mu\phi^\nu\partial^\mu\partial_\nu\alpha + \partial^\mu\phi_\nu\partial_\mu\partial^\nu\alpha + \partial_\mu\partial^\nu\alpha\partial^\mu\partial_\nu\alpha] \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2}[\partial_\mu\phi^\mu\partial_\nu\partial^\nu\alpha + \partial_\nu\phi^\nu\partial_\mu\partial^\mu\alpha + \partial_\mu\partial^\mu\alpha\partial_\nu\partial^\nu\alpha] \\
&\quad + \frac{m^2}{2}[2\phi^\mu\partial_\mu\alpha + \partial_\mu\alpha\partial^\mu\alpha].
\end{aligned}$$

The dynamics of the field ϕ' are thus determined by

$$\partial_\lambda \frac{\partial(\mathcal{L} + \mathcal{L}_g)}{\partial(\partial_\lambda\phi^\rho)} - \frac{\partial(\mathcal{L} + \mathcal{L}_g)}{\partial\phi^\rho} = 0.$$

However,

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_\lambda \frac{\partial(\mathcal{L} + \mathcal{L}_g)}{\partial(\partial_\lambda \phi^\rho)} - \frac{\partial(\mathcal{L} + \mathcal{L}_g)}{\partial \phi^\rho} &= \partial_\lambda \left[\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_\lambda \phi^\rho)} + \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_g}{\partial(\partial_\lambda \phi^\rho)} \right] - \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \phi^\rho} - \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_g}{\partial \phi^\rho} \\ &= \partial_\lambda \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_\lambda \phi^\rho)} - \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \phi^\rho} + \partial_\lambda \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_g}{\partial(\partial_\lambda \phi^\rho)} - \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_g}{\partial \phi^\rho},\end{aligned}$$

so our Euler–Lagrange equation is

$$\partial_\lambda \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_\lambda \phi^\rho)} - \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \phi^\rho} + \partial_\lambda \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_g}{\partial(\partial_\lambda \phi^\rho)} - \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_g}{\partial \phi^\rho} = 0.$$

We will examine the $\mathcal{L}_g$ terms.

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_g}{\partial \phi^\rho} &= \frac{m^2}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi^\rho} [2\phi^\mu \partial_\mu \alpha] \\ &= m^2 \partial_\mu \alpha \frac{\partial \phi^\mu}{\partial \phi^\rho} \\ &= m^2 \partial_\mu \alpha \delta_\rho^\mu \\ &= m^2 \partial_\rho \alpha.\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_g}{\partial(\partial_\lambda \phi^\rho)} &= -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial(\partial_\lambda \phi^\rho)} [\partial_\mu \phi^\nu \partial^\mu \partial_\nu \alpha + \partial^\mu \phi_\nu \partial_\mu \partial^\nu \alpha + \partial_\mu \partial^\nu \alpha \partial^\mu \partial_\nu \alpha] \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial(\partial_\lambda \phi^\rho)} [\partial_\mu \phi^\mu \partial_\nu \partial^\nu \alpha + \partial_\nu \phi^\nu \partial_\mu \partial^\mu \alpha + \partial_\mu \partial^\mu \alpha \partial_\nu \partial^\nu \alpha] \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \left[\partial^\mu \partial_\nu \alpha \frac{\partial(\partial_\mu \phi^\nu)}{\partial(\partial_\lambda \phi^\rho)} + \partial_\mu \partial^\nu \alpha \frac{\partial(\partial^\mu \phi_\nu)}{\partial(\partial_\lambda \phi^\rho)} \right] \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \left[\partial_\nu \partial^\nu \alpha \frac{\partial(\partial_\mu \phi^\mu)}{\partial(\partial_\lambda \phi^\rho)} + \partial_\mu \partial^\mu \alpha \frac{\partial(\partial_\nu \phi^\nu)}{\partial(\partial_\lambda \phi^\rho)} \right] \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \left[\partial^\mu \partial_\nu \alpha \frac{\partial(\partial_\mu \phi^\nu)}{\partial(\partial_\lambda \phi^\rho)} + \partial_\mu \partial^\nu \alpha \eta^{\mu\alpha} \eta_{\nu\beta} \frac{\partial(\partial_\alpha \phi^\beta)}{\partial(\partial_\lambda \phi^\rho)} \right] \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \left[\partial_\nu \partial^\nu \alpha \frac{\partial(\partial_\mu \phi^\mu)}{\partial(\partial_\lambda \phi^\rho)} + \partial_\mu \partial^\mu \alpha \frac{\partial(\partial_\nu \phi^\nu)}{\partial(\partial_\lambda \phi^\rho)} \right] \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} [\partial^\mu \partial_\nu \alpha \delta_\mu^\lambda \delta_\rho^\nu + \partial_\mu \partial^\nu \alpha \eta^{\mu\alpha} \eta_{\nu\beta} \delta_\alpha^\lambda \delta_\rho^\beta] \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} [\partial_\nu \partial^\nu \alpha \delta_\mu^\lambda \delta_\rho^\mu + \partial_\mu \partial^\mu \alpha \delta_\nu^\lambda \delta_\rho^\nu] \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} [\partial^\lambda \partial_\rho \alpha + \partial^\lambda \partial_\rho \alpha] + \frac{1}{2} [\partial_\nu \partial^\nu \alpha \delta_\rho^\lambda + \partial_\mu \partial^\mu \alpha \delta_\rho^\lambda] \\ &= -\partial^\lambda \partial_\rho \alpha + \delta_\rho^\lambda \square \alpha.\end{aligned}$$

This has vanishing four-divergence, as

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_\lambda \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_g}{\partial (\partial_\lambda \phi^\rho)} &= \partial_\lambda \left[-\partial^\lambda \partial_\rho \alpha + \delta_\rho^\lambda \square \alpha \right] \\
&= -\partial_\lambda \partial^\lambda \partial_\rho \alpha + \delta_\rho^\lambda \partial_\lambda \square \alpha \\
&= -\square \partial_\rho \alpha + \partial_\rho \square \alpha = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

We can now construct the equations of motion for our field. By substitution,

$$-\square \phi_\rho + \partial_\rho \partial_\mu \phi^\mu - m^2 \phi_\rho - m^2 \partial_\rho \alpha = 0.$$

This will only collide with our original field equations if $\partial_\rho \alpha = 0$, which would not be much of a gauge transformation at all. Hence, our theory is not gauge invariant.