[Sir Thomas L. Heath, *The Thirteen Books of Euclid's Elements* (2nd edition), p. 171 (1925).]

[Heath's commentary on Euclid, *Elements*, Book I, Definition 6.]

DEFINITION 6.

²Επιφανείας δὲ πέρατα γραμμαί. The extremities of a surface are lines.

It being unscientific, as Aristotle says, to define a line as the extremity of a surface, Euclid avoids the error of defining the prior by means of the posterior in this way, and gives a different definition not open to this objection. Then, by way of compromise, and in order to show the connexion between a line and a surface, he adds the equivalent of the definition of a line previously current as an explanation.

As in the corresponding definition Def. 3 above, he omits to add what is made clear by Aristotle (*Metaph.* 1060 b 15) that a "division" ($\delta i\alpha i \rho \epsilon \sigma i \varsigma$) or "section" ($\tau o \mu \eta$) of a solid or body is also a surface, or that the common boundary at which two parts of a solid fit together (*Categories* 6, 5 a 2) may be a surface.

Proclus discusses how the fact stated in Def. 6 can be said to be true of surfaces like that of the sphere "which is bounded ($\pi \epsilon \pi \epsilon \rho \alpha \sigma \tau \alpha$), it is true, but not by lines." His explanation (p. 116, 8–14) is that, "if we take the surface (of a sphere), so far as it is extended two ways ($\delta i \chi \tilde{\eta} \delta i \alpha \sigma \tau \alpha \tau \dot{\eta}$), we shall find that it is bounded by lines as to length and breadth; and if we consider the spherical surface as possessing a form of its own and invested with a fresh quality, we must regard it as having fitted end on to beginning and made the two ends (or extremities) one, being thus one potentially only, and not in actuality."