MAU34804—Fixed Point Theorems and Economic Equilibria
School of Mathematics, Trinity College Hilary Term 2024
Section 1: Review of Basic Results of Analysis in Euclidean Spaces

David R. Wilkins

1.1. Basic Properties of Vectors and Norms

We denote by \mathbb{R}^n the set consisting of all n-tuples (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n) of real numbers. The set \mathbb{R}^n represents n-dimensional Euclidean space (with respect to the standard Cartesian coordinate system). Let \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{y} be elements of \mathbb{R}^n , where

$$\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n), \quad \mathbf{y} = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n),$$

and let λ be a real number. We define

$$\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y} = (x_1 + y_1, x_2 + y_2, \dots, x_n + y_n),$$

$$\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y} = (x_1 - y_1, x_2 - y_2, \dots, x_n - y_n),$$

$$\lambda \mathbf{x} = (\lambda x_1, \lambda x_2, \dots, \lambda x_n),$$

$$\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y} = x_1 y_1 + x_2 y_2 + \dots + x_n y_n,$$

$$|\mathbf{x}| = \sqrt{x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \dots + x_n^2}.$$

The quantity $\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y}$ is the scalar product (or inner product) of \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{y} , and the quantity $|\mathbf{x}|$ is the Euclidean norm of \mathbf{x} . Note that $|\mathbf{x}|^2 = \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{x}$. The Euclidean distance between two points \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{y} of \mathbb{R}^n is defined to be the Euclidean norm $|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}|$ of the vector $\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}$.

Let **x** and **y** be elements in \mathbb{R}^n , Let $p(t) = |t\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}|^2$ for all real numbers t. Then

$$p(t) = (tx + y) \cdot (tx + y)$$

= $t^2|x|^2 + 2tx \cdot y + |y|^2$

for all real numbers t. But $p(t) \ge 0$ for all real numbers t. It follows that $|\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y}| \le |\mathbf{x}| |\mathbf{y}|$. This inequality is known as *Schwarz's Inequality*.

Moreover, given any elements \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{y} of \mathbf{R}^n ,

$$|\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}|^2 = (\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}).(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}) = |\mathbf{x}|^2 + |\mathbf{y}|^2 + 2\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y}$$

 $\leq |\mathbf{x}|^2 + |\mathbf{y}|^2 + 2|\mathbf{x}||\mathbf{y}| = (|\mathbf{x}| + |\mathbf{y}|)^2.$

It follows that $|\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}| \le |\mathbf{x}| + |\mathbf{y}|$. It follows from this inequality that

$$|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{z}| \le |\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}| + |\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{z}|$$

for all $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{R}^n$. This identity is known as the *Triangle Inequality*. It expresses the geometric result that the length of any side of a triangle in a Euclidean space of any dimension is the sum of the lengths of the other two sides of that triangle.

Definition

A sequence $\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \mathbf{x}_3, \ldots$ of points in \mathbb{R}^n is said to *converge* to a point \mathbf{p} if and only if the following criterion is satisfied:—
given any real number ε satisfying $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists some positive integer N such that $|\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{p}| < \varepsilon$ whenever $j \geq N$.

We refer to ${f p}$ as the $\liminf_{j \to +\infty} {f x}_j$ of the sequence ${f x}_1, {f x}_2, {f x}_3, \ldots$

Lemma 1.1

Let \mathbf{p} be a point of \mathbb{R}^n , where $\mathbf{p} = (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n)$. Then a sequence $\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \mathbf{x}_3, \dots$ of points in \mathbb{R}^n converges to \mathbf{p} if and only if the ith components of the elements of this sequence converge to p_i for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

A proof of Lemma 1.1 is to be found in Appendix A.

Definition

Let $\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \mathbf{x}_3, \ldots$ be an infinite sequence of points in *n*-dimensional Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n . A *subsequence* of this infinite sequence is a sequence of the form $\mathbf{x}_{j_1}, \mathbf{x}_{j_2}, \mathbf{x}_{j_3}, \ldots$ where j_1, j_2, j_3, \ldots is an infinite sequence of positive integers with

$$j_1 < j_2 < j_3 < \cdots$$
.

Theorem 1.2 (Multidimensional Bolzano-Weierstrass Theorem)

Every bounded sequence of points in a Euclidean space has a convergent subsequence.

A proof of Theorem 1.2 is to be found in Appendix A.

Definition

Let X be a subset of \mathbb{R}^n . Given a point \mathbf{p} of X and a non-negative real number r, the open ball $B_X(\mathbf{p}, r)$ in X of radius r about \mathbf{p} is defined to be the subset of X defined so that

$$B_X(\mathbf{p},r) = \{ \mathbf{x} \in X : |\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{p}| < r \}.$$

(Thus $B_X(\mathbf{p}, r)$ is the set consisting of all points of X that lie within a sphere of radius r centred on the point \mathbf{p} .)

Definition

Let X be a subset of \mathbb{R}^n . A subset V of X is said to be *open* in X if, given any point \mathbf{p} of V, there exists some strictly positive real number δ such that $B_X(\mathbf{p},\delta) \subset V$, where $B_X(\mathbf{p},\delta)$ is the open ball in X of radius δ about on the point \mathbf{p} . The empty set \emptyset is also defined to be an open set in X.

Lemma 1.3

Let X be a subset of \mathbb{R}^n , and let \mathbf{p} be a point of X. Then, for any positive real number r, the open ball $B_X(\mathbf{p}, r)$ in X of radius r about \mathbf{p} is open in X.

A proof of Lemma 1.3 is to be found in Appendix A.

Proposition 1.4

Let X be a subset of \mathbb{R}^n . The collection of open sets in X has the following properties:—

- (i) the empty set \emptyset and the whole set X are both open in X;
- (ii) the union of any collection of open sets in X is itself open in X;
- (iii) the intersection of any finite collection of open sets in X is itself open in X.

A proof of Proposition 1.4 is to be found in Appendix A.

Proposition 1.5

Let X be a subset of \mathbb{R}^n , and let U be a subset of X. Then U is open in X if and only if there exists some open set V in \mathbb{R}^n for which $U = V \cap X$.

A proof of Proposition 1.5 is to be found in Appendix A.

Lemma 1.6

A sequence $\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \mathbf{x}_3, \ldots$ of points in \mathbb{R}^n converges to a point \mathbf{p} if and only if, given any open set U which contains \mathbf{p} , there exists some positive integer N such that $\mathbf{x}_j \in U$ for all j satisfying $j \geq N$.

A proof of Lemma 1.6 is to be found in Appendix A.

Definition

Let X be a subset of \mathbb{R}^n . A subset F of X is said to be *closed* in X if and only if its complement $X \setminus F$ in X is open in X. (Recall that $X \setminus F = \{\mathbf{x} \in X : \mathbf{x} \not\in F\}$.)

Proposition 1.7

Let X be a subset of \mathbb{R}^n . The collection of closed sets in X has the following properties:—

- (i) the empty set \emptyset and the whole set X are both closed in X;
- (ii) the intersection of any collection of closed sets in X is itself closed in X:
- (iii) the union of any finite collection of closed sets in X is itself closed in X.

A proof of Proposition 1.7 is to be found in Appendix A.

Lemma 1.8

Let X be a subset of \mathbb{R}^n , and let F be a subset of X which is closed in X. Let $\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \mathbf{x}_3, \ldots$ be a sequence of points of F which converges to a point \mathbf{p} of X. Then $\mathbf{p} \in F$.

A proof of Lemma 1.8 is to be found in Appendix A.

Definition

Let X and Y be a subsets of \mathbb{R}^m and \mathbb{R}^n respectively. A function $f: X \to Y$ from X to Y is said to be *continuous* at a point \mathbf{p} of X if and only if the following criterion is satisfied:—

given any strictly positive real number ε , there exists some

strictly positive real number δ such that $|f(\mathbf{x}) - f(\mathbf{p})| < \varepsilon$ whenever $\mathbf{x} \in X$ satisfies $|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{p}| < \delta$.

The function $f: X \to Y$ is said to be continuous on X if and only if it is continuous at every point \mathbf{p} of X.

Lemma 1.9

Let X, Y and Z be subsets of \mathbb{R}^m , \mathbb{R}^n and \mathbb{R}^k respectively, and let $f: X \to Y$ and $g: Y \to Z$ be functions satisfying $f(X) \subset Y$. Suppose that f is continuous at some point \mathbf{p} of X and that g is continuous at $f(\mathbf{p})$. Then the composition function $g \circ f: X \to Z$ is continuous at \mathbf{p} .

A proof of Lemma 1.9 is to be found in Appendix A.

Lemma 1.10

Let X and Y be a subsets of \mathbb{R}^m and \mathbb{R}^n respectively, and let $f: X \to Y$ be a continuous function from X to Y. Let $\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \mathbf{x}_3, \ldots$ be a sequence of points of X which converges to some point \mathbf{p} of X. Then the sequence $f(\mathbf{x}_1), f(\mathbf{x}_2), f(\mathbf{x}_3), \ldots$ converges to $f(\mathbf{p})$.

A proof of Lemma 1.10 is to be found in Appendix A.

Let X and Y be a subsets of \mathbb{R}^m and \mathbb{R}^n respectively, and let $f: X \to Y$ be a function from X to Y. Then

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = (f_1(\mathbf{x}), f_2(\mathbf{x}), \dots, f_n(\mathbf{x}))$$

for all $\mathbf{x} \in X$, where f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n are functions from X to \mathbb{R} , referred to as the *components* of the function f.

Proposition 1.11

Let X and Y be a subsets of \mathbb{R}^m and \mathbb{R}^n respectively, and let $\mathbf{p} \in X$. A function $f: X \to Y$ is continuous at the point \mathbf{p} if and only if its components are all continuous at \mathbf{p} .

A proof of Proposition 1.11 is to be found in Appendix A.

Proposition 1.12

Let X be a subset of \mathbb{R}^n , and let $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$ and $g: X \to \mathbb{R}$ be continuous functions from X to \mathbb{R} . Then the functions f+g, f-g and $f\cdot g$ are continuous. If in addition $g(\mathbf{x}) \neq 0$ for all $\mathbf{x} \in X$ then the quotient function f/g is continuous.

A proof of Proposition 1.12 is to be found in Appendix A.

Lemma 1.13

Let X be a subset of \mathbb{R}^m , let $f: X \to \mathbb{R}^n$ be a continuous function mapping X into \mathbb{R}^n , and let $|f|: X \to \mathbb{R}$ be defined such that $|f|(\mathbf{x}) = |f(\mathbf{x})|$ for all $\mathbf{x} \in X$. Then the real-valued function |f| is continuous on X.

A proof of Proposition 1.13 is to be found in Appendix A.

Given any function $f: X \to Y$, we denote by $f^{-1}(V)$ the *preimage* of a subset V of Y under the map f, defined by $f^{-1}(V) = \{\mathbf{x} \in X : f(\mathbf{x}) \in V\}$.

Proposition 1.14

Let X and Y be subsets of \mathbb{R}^m and \mathbb{R}^n , and let $f: X \to Y$ be a function from X to Y. The function f is continuous if and only if $f^{-1}(V)$ is open in X for every open subset V of Y.

A proof of Proposition 1.14 is to be found in Appendix A.

Let X be a subset of \mathbb{R}^n , let $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$ be continuous, and let c be some real number. Proposition 1.14 ensures that the sets $\{\mathbf{x} \in X: f(\mathbf{x}) > c\}$ and $\{\mathbf{x} \in X: f(\mathbf{x}) < c\}$ are open in X. Moreover given real numbers a and b satisfying a < b, the set $\{\mathbf{x} \in X: a < f(\mathbf{x}) < b\}$ is open in X.

Corollary 1.15

Let X and Y be subsets of \mathbb{R}^n and \mathbb{R}^m respectively, and let $\varphi \colon X \to Y$ be a continuous function from X to Y. Then $\varphi^{-1}(F)$ is closed in X for every subset F of Y that is closed in Y.

A proof of Corollary 1.15 is to be found in Appendix A.

Lemma 1.16

Let X be a closed subset of n-dimensional Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n . Then a subset of X is closed in X if and only if it is closed in \mathbb{R}^n .

A proof of Lemma 1.16 is to be found in Appendix A.

1.3. The Multidimensional Extreme Value Theorem

Theorem 1.17 (The Multidimensional Extreme Value Theorem)

Let X be a non-empty closed bounded set in \mathbb{R}^m , and let $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous real-valued function defined on X. Then there exist points \mathbf{u} and \mathbf{v} of X such that $f(\mathbf{u}) \leq f(\mathbf{v})$ for all $\mathbf{x} \in X$.

A proof of Theorem 1.17 is to be found in Appendix A.

1.4. The Glueing Lemma

The following result, together with its generalizations, is sometimes referred to as the *Glueing Lemma*.

Lemma 1.18 (Glueing Lemma)

Let $\varphi \colon X \to \mathbb{R}^n$ be a function mapping a subset X of \mathbb{R}^m into \mathbb{R}^n . Let F_1, F_2, \ldots, F_k be a finite collection of subsets of X such that F_i is closed in X for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, k$ and

$$F_1 \cup F_2 \cup \cdots \cup F_k = X$$
.

Then the function φ is continuous on X if and only if the restriction of φ to F_i is continuous on F_i for i = 1, 2, ..., k.

Proof

Suppose that $\varphi\colon X\to\mathbb{R}^n$ is continuous. Then it follows directly from the definition of continuity that the restriction of φ to each subset of X is continuous on that subset. Therefore the restriction of φ to F_i is continuous on F_i for $i=1,2,\ldots,k$.

Conversely we must prove that if the restriction of the function φ to F_i is continuous on F_i for $i=1,2,\ldots,k$ then the function $\varphi\colon X\to\mathbb{R}^m$ is continuous. Let \mathbf{p} be a point of X, and let some positive real number ε be given. Then there exist positive real numbers $\delta_1,\delta_2,\ldots\delta_k$ satisfying the following conditions:—

- (i) if $\mathbf{p} \in F_i$, where $1 \le i \le k$, and if $\mathbf{x} \in F_i$ satisfies $|\mathbf{x} \mathbf{p}| < \delta_i$ then $|\varphi(\mathbf{x}) \varphi(\mathbf{p})| < \varepsilon$;
- (ii) if $\mathbf{p} \notin F_i$, where $1 \le i \le k$, and if $\mathbf{x} \in X$ satisfies $|\mathbf{x} \mathbf{p}| < \delta_i$ then $\mathbf{x} \notin F_i$.

Indeed the continuity of the function φ on each set F_i ensures that δ_i may be chosen to satisfy (i) for each integer i between 1 and k for which $\mathbf{p} \in F_i$. Also the requirement that F_i be closed in X ensures that $X \setminus F_i$ is open in X and therefore δ_i may be chosen to to satisfy (ii) for each integer i between 1 and k for which $\mathbf{p} \notin F_i$.

Let δ be the minimum of $\delta_1, \delta_2, \ldots, \delta_k$. Then $\delta > 0$. Let $\mathbf{x} \in X$ satisfy $|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{p}| < \delta$. If $\mathbf{p} \not\in F_i$ then the choice of δ_i ensures that if $\mathbf{x} \not\in F_i$. But X is the union of the sets F_1, F_2, \ldots, F_k , and therefore there must exist some integer i between 1 and k for which $\mathbf{x} \in F_i$. Then $\mathbf{p} \in F_i$, and the choice of δ_i ensures that $|\varphi(\mathbf{x}) - \varphi(\mathbf{p})| < \varepsilon$. We have thus shown that $|\varphi(\mathbf{x}) - \varphi(\mathbf{p})| < \varepsilon$ for all points \mathbf{x} of X that satisfy $|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{p}| < \delta$. It follows that $\varphi \colon X \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is continuous, as required.

1.5. Lebesgue Numbers

Definition

Let X be a subset of n-dimensional Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n . A collection of subsets of \mathbb{R}^n is said to *cover* X if and only if every point of X belongs to at least one of these subsets.

Definition

Let X be a subset of n-dimensional Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n . An *open* cover of X is a collection of subsets of X that are open in X and cover the set X.

Proposition 1.19

Let X be a closed bounded set in n-dimensional Euclidean space, and let $\mathcal V$ be an open cover of X. Then there exists a positive real number δ_L with the property that, given any point $\mathbf u$ of X, there exists a member V of the open cover $\mathcal V$ for which

$$\{\mathbf{x} \in X : |\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{u}| < \delta_L\} \subset V.$$

Proof

Let

$$B_X(\mathbf{u}, \delta) = {\mathbf{x} \in X : |\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{u}| < \delta}$$

for all $\mathbf{u} \in X$ and for all positive real numbers δ . Suppose that there did not exist any positive real number δ_L with the stated property.

Then, given any positive number δ , there would exist a point \mathbf{u} of X for which the set $B_X(\mathbf{u}, \delta)$ would not be wholly contained within any open set V belonging to the open cover \mathcal{V} . Consequently there would exist an infinite sequence

$$\textbf{u}_1,\textbf{u}_2,\textbf{u}_3,\dots$$

of points of X with the property that, for each positive integer j, the set $B_X(\mathbf{u}_j,1/j)$ would not be wholly contained within any open set V belonging to the open cover \mathcal{V} . The multidimensional Bolzano-Weierstrass Theorem (Theorem 1.2) would then ensure the existence of a convergent subsequence

$$\mathbf{u}_{j_1},\mathbf{u}_{j_2},\mathbf{u}_{j_3},\dots$$

of this infinite sequence.

Let ${\bf p}$ be the limit of this convergent subsequence. Then the point ${\bf p}$ would then belong to X, because X is closed (see Lemma 1.8). But then the point ${\bf p}$ would belong to an open set V belonging to the open cover ${\cal V}$. It would then follow from the definition of open sets that there would exist a positive real number δ for which $B_X({\bf p},2\delta)\subset V$. Let $j=j_k$ for a positive integer k large enough to ensure that both $1/j<\delta$ and ${\bf u}_j\in B_X({\bf p},\delta)$. The Triangle Inequality would then ensure that every point of X within a distance 1/j of the point ${\bf u}_j$ would lie within a distance 2δ of the point ${\bf p}$, and therefore

$$B_X(\mathbf{u}_i, 1/j) \subset B_X(\mathbf{p}, 2\delta) \subset V$$
.

But we supposed that the point \mathbf{u}_j was chosen so as to ensure that the set $B_X(\mathbf{u}_j,1/j)$ was not wholly contained within any open set V belonging to the open cover \mathcal{V} . Thus a logical contradiction as resulted from the assumption that there is no positive real number δ_L with the property that, given any point \mathbf{u} of X, the set $B_X(\mathbf{u},\delta_L)$ is not wholly contained within any open set belonging to the open cover \mathcal{V} . Consequently some positive real number δ_L satisfying this property must exist, and thus the required result has been proved.

Definition

Let X be a subset of n-dimensional Euclidean space, and let $\mathcal V$ be an open cover of X. A positive real number δ_L is said to be a *Lebesgue number* for the open cover $\mathcal V$ if, given any point $\mathbf p$ of X, there exists some member V of the open cover $\mathcal V$ for which

$$\{\mathbf{x} \in X : |\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{p}| < \delta_L\} \subset V.$$

Proposition 1.19 ensures that, given any open cover of a closed bounded subset of *n*-dimensional Euclidean space, there exists a positive real number that is a Lebesgue number for that open cover.

Definition

The $diameter \operatorname{diam}(A)$ of a bounded subset A of n-dimensional Euclidean space is defined so that

$$\operatorname{diam}(A) = \sup\{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}| : \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in A\}.$$

It follows from this definition that $\operatorname{diam}(A)$ is the smallest real number K with the property that $|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}| \leq K$ for all $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in A$.

Lemma 1.20

Let X be a bounded subset of n-dimensional Euclidean space, and let δ be a positive real number. Then there exists a finite collection A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_s of subsets of X such that the $\operatorname{diam}(A_i) < \delta$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, s$ and

$$X = A_1 \cup A_2 \cup \cdots \cup A_s$$
.

Proof

Let b be a real number satisfying $0 < \sqrt{n} b < \delta$ and, for each n-tuple (j_1, j_2, \ldots, j_n) of integers, let $H_{(j_1, j_2, \ldots, j_n)}$ denote the hypercube in \mathbb{R}^n defined such that

$$H_{(j_1,j_2,...,j_n)} = \{(x_1,x_2,...,x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n : j_i b \le x_i \le (j_i+1)b \text{ for } i=1,2,...,n\}.$$

Note that if \mathbf{u} and \mathbf{v} are points of $H_{(j_1,j_2,...,j_n)}$ for some n-tuple $(j_1,j_2,...,j_n)$ of integers then $|u_i-v_i|< b$ for i=1,2,...,n, and therefore $|\mathbf{u}-\mathbf{v}|\leq \sqrt{n}\ b<\delta$. Therefore the diameter of each hypercube $H_{(j_1,j_2,...,j_n)}$ is less than δ .

The boundedness of the set X ensures that there are only finitely many n-tuples (j_1, j_2, \ldots, j_n) of integers for which $X \cap H_{(j_1, j_2, \ldots, j_n)}$ is non-empty. It follows that X is covered by a finite collection A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_k of subsets of X, where each of these subsets is of the form $X \cap H_{(j_1, j_2, \ldots, j_n)}$ for some n-tuple (j_1, j_2, \ldots, j_n) of integers. These subsets all have diameter less than δ . The result follows.

Definition

Let $\mathcal V$ and $\mathcal W$ be open covers of some subset X of a Euclidean space. Then $\mathcal W$ is said to be a *subcover* of $\mathcal V$ if and only if every open set belonging to $\mathcal W$ also belongs to $\mathcal V$.

Definition

A subset X of a Euclidean space is said to be *compact* if and only if every open cover of X possesses a finite subcover.

Theorem 1.21 (The Multidimensional Heine-Borel Theorem)

A subset of n-dimensional Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n is compact if and only if it is both closed and bounded.

Proof

Let X be a compact subset of \mathbb{R}^n and let

$$V_j = \{ \mathbf{x} \in X : |\mathbf{x}| < j \}$$

for all positive integers j. Then the sets V_1, V_2, V_3, \ldots constitute an open cover of X. This open cover has a finite subcover, and therefore there exist positive integers j_1, j_2, \ldots, j_k such that

$$X \subset V_{i_1} \cup V_{i_2} \cup \cdots \cup V_{i_k}$$

Let M be the largest of the positive integers j_1, j_2, \ldots, j_k . Then $|\mathbf{x}| \leq M$ for all $\mathbf{x} \in X$. Thus the set X is bounded.

Let **q** be a point of \mathbb{R}^n that does not belong to X, and let

$$W_j = \left\{ \mathbf{x} \in X : |\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{q}| > \frac{1}{j} \right\}$$

for all positive integers j. Then the sets W_1, W_2, W_3, \ldots constitute an open cover of X. This open cover has a finite subcover, and therefore there exist positive integers j_1, j_2, \ldots, j_k such that

$$X \subset W_{i_1} \cup W_{i_2} \cup \cdots \cup W_{i_k}$$
.

Let $\delta=1/M$, where M is the largest of the positive integers j_1,j_2,\ldots,j_k . Then $|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{q}|\geq \delta$ for all $\mathbf{x}\in X$ and thus the open ball of radius δ about the point \mathbf{q} does not intersect the set X. We conclude that the set X is closed. We have now shown that every compact subset of \mathbb{R}^n is both closed and bounded.

We now prove the converse. Let X be a closed bounded subset of \mathbb{R}^n , and let \mathcal{V} be an open cover of X. It follows from Proposition 1.19 that there exists a Lebesgue number δ_L for the open cover \mathcal{V} . It then follows from Lemma 1.20 that there exist subsets A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_s of X such that $\operatorname{diam}(A_i) < \delta_L$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, s$ and

$$X = A_1 \cup A_2 \cup \cdots \cup A_s$$
.

We may suppose that A_i is non-empty for $i=1,2,\ldots,s$ (because if $A_i=\emptyset$ then A_i could be deleted from the list). Choose $\mathbf{p}_i\in A_i$ for $i=1,2,\ldots,s$. Then $A_i\subset B_X(\mathbf{p}_i,\delta_L)$ for $i=1,2,\ldots,s$. The definition of the Lebesgue number δ_L then ensures that there exist members V_1,V_2,\ldots,V_s of the open cover $\mathcal V$ such that $B_X(\mathbf{p}_i,\delta_L)\subset V_i$ for $i=1,2,\ldots,s$. Then $A_i\subset V_i$ for $i=1,2,\ldots,s$, and therefore

$$X \subset V_1 \cup V_2 \cup \cdots \cup V_s$$
.

Thus V_1, V_2, \ldots, V_s constitute a finite subcover of the open cover \mathcal{V} . We have therefore proved that every closed bounded subset of n-dimensional Euclidean space is compact, as required.