MAU23203—Analysis in Several Variables School of Mathematics, Trinity College Michaelmas Term 2022 Section 3: Convergence in Euclidean Spaces

Trinity College Dublin

3.1. Convergence of Infinite Sequences of Real Numbers

An *infinite sequence* x_1, x_2, x_3, \ldots of real numbers associates to each positive integer j a corresponding real number x_j .

Definition

An infinite sequence x_1, x_2, x_3, \ldots of real numbers is said to converge to some real number p if and only if the following criterion is satisfied: given any strictly positive real number ε , there exists some

positive integer N such that $|x_j - p| < \varepsilon$ for all positive integers j satisfying $j \ge N$.

If an infinite sequence x_1, x_2, x_3, \ldots of real numbers converges to some real number p, then p is said to be the *limit* of the sequence, and we can indicate the convergence of the infinite sequence to pby writing ' $x_j \rightarrow p$ as $j \rightarrow +\infty$ ', or by writing ' $\lim_{i \rightarrow +\infty} x_j = p$ '. Let x and p be real numbers, and let ε be a strictly positive real number. Then $|x - p| < \varepsilon$ if and only if both $x - p < \varepsilon$ and $p - x < \varepsilon$. It follows that $|x - p| < \varepsilon$ if and only if $p - \varepsilon < x < p + \varepsilon$. The condition $|x - p| < \varepsilon$ essentially requires that the value of the real number x should agree with p to within an error of at most ε . An infinite sequence x_1, x_2, x_3, \ldots of real numbers converges to some real number p if and only if, given any positive real number ε , there exists some positive integer N such that $p - \varepsilon < x_j < p + \varepsilon$ for all positive integers j satisfying $j \ge N$.

Definition

We say that an infinite sequence x_1, x_2, x_3, \ldots of real numbers is bounded above if there exists some real number B such that $x_j \leq B$ for all positive integers j. Similarly we say that this sequence is bounded below if there exists some real number A such that $x_j \geq A$ for all positive integers j. A sequence is said to be bounded if it is bounded above and bounded below. Thus the sequence x_1, x_2, x_3, \ldots is bounded if and only if there exist real numbers A and B such that $A \leq x_j \leq B$ for all positive integers j.

Lemma 3.1

Every convergent infinite sequence of real numbers is bounded.

Proof

Let x_1, x_2, x_3, \ldots be an infinite sequence of real numbers that converges to some real number p. On applying the formal definition of convergence (with $\varepsilon = 1$), we deduce the existence of some positive integer N such that $p - 1 < x_j < p + 1$ for all $j \ge N$. But then $A \le x_j \le B$ for all positive integers j, where A is the minimum of $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{N-1}$ and p - 1, and B is the maximum of $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{N-1}$ and p + 1.

3.2. Monotonic Sequences

An infinite sequence $x_1, x_2, x_3, ...$ of real numbers is said to be *strictly increasing* if $x_{j+1} > x_j$ for all positive integers *j*, *strictly decreasing* if $x_{j+1} < x_j$ for all positive integers *j*, *non-decreasing* if $x_{j+1} \ge x_j$ for all positive integers *j*, *non-increasing* if $x_{j+1} \le x_j$ for all positive integers *j*. A sequence satisfying any one of these conditions is said to be *monotonic*; thus a monotonic sequence is either non-decreasing or non-increasing.

Theorem 3.2

Any non-decreasing infinite sequence of real numbers that is bounded above is convergent. Similarly any non-increasing infinite sequence of real numbers that is bounded below is convergent.

Proof

Let x_1, x_2, x_3, \ldots be a non-decreasing sequence of real numbers that is bounded above. It follows from the Least Upper Bound Principle that there exists a least upper bound p for the set $\{x_j : j \in \mathbb{N}\}$. We claim that the sequence converges to p. Let some strictly positive real number ε be given. We must show that there exists some positive integer N such that $|x_j - p| < \varepsilon$ whenever $j \ge N$. Now $p - \varepsilon$ is not an upper bound for the set $\{x_j : j \in \mathbb{N}\}$ (because p is the least upper bound), and therefore there must exist some positive integer N such that $x_N > p - \varepsilon$. But then $p - \varepsilon < x_j \le p$ whenever $j \ge N$, since the sequence is non-decreasing and bounded above by the real number p. Thus $|x_j - p| < \varepsilon$ whenever $j \ge N$. Therefore $x_j \to p$ as $j \to +\infty$, as required.

Next we note that if an infinite sequence x_1, x_2, x_3, \ldots is non-increasing and bounded below then the sequence $-x_1, -x_2, -x_3, \ldots$ is non-decreasing and bounded above, and is therefore convergent. It follows that the sequence x_1, x_2, x_3, \ldots is also convergent.

3.3. Subsequences of Sequences of Real Numbers

Definition

Let x_1, x_2, x_3, \ldots be an infinite sequence of real numbers. A *subsequence* of this infinite sequence is a sequence of the form $x_{j_1}, x_{j_2}, x_{j_3}, \ldots$ where j_1, j_2, j_3, \ldots is an infinite sequence of positive integers with

$$j_1 < j_2 < j_3 < \cdots$$

Let $x_1, x_2, x_3, ...$ be an infinite sequence of real numbers. The following sequences are examples of subsequences of this sequence:—

 $x_1, x_3, x_5, x_7, \ldots$

 $x_1, x_4, x_9, x_{16}, \ldots$

Theorem 3.3 (Bolzano-Weierstrass for the Real Line)

Every bounded infinite sequence of real numbers has a convergent subsequence.

Proof

Let some bounded infinite sequence x_1, x_2, x_3, \ldots of real numbers be given. We define a *peak index* to be a positive integer *j* with the property that $x_j \ge x_k$ for all positive integers *k* satisfying $k \ge j$. Thus a positive integer *j* is a peak index if and only if the *j*th member of the infinite sequence x_1, x_2, x_3, \ldots is greater than or equal to all succeeding members of the sequence. Let *S* be the set consisting of all peak indices. Then

$$S = \{j \in \mathbb{N} : x_j \ge x_k \text{ for all } k \ge j\}.$$

First let us suppose that the set of peak indices is infinite. Arrange the set S of peak indices in increasing order so that $S = \{j_1, j_2, j_3, j_4, \ldots\}$, where $j_1 < j_2 < j_3 < j_4 < \cdots$. It follows from the definition of peak indices that $x_{j_1} \ge x_{j_2} \ge x_{j_3} \ge x_{j_4} \ge \cdots$. Thus $x_{j_1}, x_{j_2}, x_{j_3}, \ldots$ is a non-increasing subsequence of the given infinite sequence x_1, x_2, x_3, \ldots . This subsequence is bounded below (since the given infinite sequence is bounded). It follows from Theorem 3.2 that $x_{j_1}, x_{j_2}, x_{j_3}, \ldots$ is a convergent subsequence of the given infinite sequence.

Now suppose that the set S of peak indices is finite. Choose a positive integer i_1 which is greater than every peak index. Then i_1 is not a peak index. Therefore there must exist some positive integer j_2 satisfying $j_2 > j_1$ such that $x_{j_2} > x_{j_1}$. Moreover j_2 is not a peak index (because j_2 is greater than j_1 and j_1 in turn is greater than every peak index). Therefore there must exist some positive integer j_3 satisfying $j_3 > j_2$ such that $x_{j_3} > x_{j_2}$. We can continue in this fashion to construct (by induction on j) a strictly increasing subsequence $x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, x_{i_3}, \dots$ of our original sequence. This increasing subsequence is bounded above (since the original sequence is bounded) and thus is convergent, by Theorem 3.2. This completes the proof of the one-dimensional case of the Bolzano-Weierstrass Theorem.

3.5. Convergence of Sequences in Euclidean Spaces

Definition

An infinite sequence $\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \mathbf{x}_3, \ldots$ of points in \mathbb{R}^n is said to *converge* to a point \mathbf{p} if and only if, given strictly positive real number ε , there exists some positive integer N such that $|\mathbf{x}_j - \mathbf{p}| < \varepsilon$ whenever $j \ge N$.

Given a convergent infinite sequence $\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \mathbf{x}_3, \ldots$ of points in \mathbb{R}^n , the point \mathbf{p} to which the sequence converges is referred to as the *limit* of the infinite sequence, and may be denoted by $\lim_{j \to +\infty} \mathbf{x}_j$.

Lemma 3.4

Let **p** be a point of \mathbb{R}^n , where $\mathbf{p} = (p_1, p_2, ..., p_n)$. Then an infinite sequence $\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \mathbf{x}_3, ...$ of points in \mathbb{R}^n converges to **p** if and only if the *i*th components of the elements of this sequence converge to p_i for i = 1, 2, ..., n.

Proof

For each positive integer j, let $(\mathbf{x}_j)_i$ denote the *i*th component of \mathbf{x}_j . Then $|(\mathbf{x}_j)_i - p_i| \le |\mathbf{x}_j - \mathbf{p}|$ for i = 1, 2, ..., n and for all positive integers j. It follows directly from the definition of convergence that if $\mathbf{x}_j \to \mathbf{p}$ as $j \to +\infty$ then $(\mathbf{x}_j)_i \to p_i$ as $j \to +\infty$.

Conversely suppose that, for each integer *i* between 1 and *n*, $(\mathbf{x}_j)_i \to p_i$ as $j \to +\infty$. Let some positive real number ε be given. Then there exist positive integers N_1, N_2, \ldots, N_n such that $|(\mathbf{x}_j)_i - p_i| < \varepsilon/\sqrt{n}$ whenever $j \ge N_i$. Let *N* be the maximum of N_1, N_2, \ldots, N_n . If $j \ge N$ then $j \ge N_i$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$, and therefore

$$|\mathbf{x}_j - \mathbf{p}|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n ((\mathbf{x}_j)_i - p_i)^2 < n \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\sqrt{n}}\right)^2 = \varepsilon^2.$$

Thus $\mathbf{x}_j \rightarrow \mathbf{p}$ as $j \rightarrow +\infty$, as required.

3.6. The Multidimensional Bolzano-Weierstrass Theorem

Theorem 3.5 (Multidimensional Bolzano-Weierstrass Theorem)

Every bounded sequence of points in a Euclidean space has a convergent subsequence.

Proof

The theorem is proved by induction on the dimension n of the space \mathbb{R}^n within which the points reside. When n = 1, the required result is the one-dimensional case of the Bolzano-Weierstrass Theorem, and the result has already been established in this case (see Theorem 3.3).

When n > 1, the result is proved in dimension n assuming the result in dimensions n - 1 and 1. Consequently the result is established successively in dimensions $2, 3, 4, \ldots$, and therefore is valid for bounded sequences in \mathbb{R}^n for all positive integers n.

It has been shown that every bounded infinite sequence of real numbers has a convergent subsequence (Theorem 3.3). Let *n* be an integer greater than one, and suppose, as an induction hypothesis, that, in cases where n > 2, all bounded sequences of points in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} have convergent subsequences. Let $\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \mathbf{x}_3, \ldots$ be a bounded infinite sequence in \mathbb{R}^n and, for each positive integer *j*, let \mathbf{s}_j denote the point of \mathbb{R}^{n-1} whose *i*th component is equal to the *i*th component $x_{i,i}$ of \mathbf{x}_i for each integer *i* between 1 and n-1.

Let some strictly positive real number ε be given. Now the infinite sequence

 $\textbf{s}_1, \textbf{s}_2, \textbf{s}_3, \dots$

of points of \mathbb{R}^{n-1} is a bounded infinite sequence. In the case when n = 2 we can apply the one-dimensional Bolzano-Weierstrass Theorem (Theorem 3.3) to conclude that this sequence of real numbers has a convergent subsequence. In cases where n > 2, we are supposing as our induction hypothesis that any bounded sequence in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} has a convergent subsequence. Thus, assuming this induction hypothesis in cases where n > 2, we can conclude, in all cases with n > 1, that the bounded infinite sequence $\mathbf{s}_1, \mathbf{s}_2, \mathbf{s}_3, \ldots$ of points in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} has a convergent subsequence. Let that convergent subsequence be

$$S_{m_1}, S_{m_2}, S_{m_3}, \ldots,$$

where m_1, m_2, m_3, \ldots is a strictly increasing infinite sequence of positive integers, and let $\mathbf{q} = \lim_{j \to +\infty} \mathbf{s}_{m_j}$. There then exists some positive integer *L* such that

$$|\mathbf{s}_{m_j} - \mathbf{q}| < rac{1}{2}arepsilon$$

for all positive integers j for which $m_j \ge L$. (Indeed the definition of convergence ensures the existence of a positive integer N that is large enough to ensure that $|\mathbf{s}_{m_j} - \mathbf{q}| < \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon$ whenever $j \ge N$. Taking $L = m_N$ then ensures that $j \ge N$ whenever $m_j \ge L$.) Let t_j denote the *n*th component of the point \mathbf{x}_j of \mathbb{R}^n for each positive integer *j*. The one-dimensional Bolzano-Weierstrass Theorem ensures that the bounded infinite sequence

 $t_{m_1}, t_{m_2}, t_{m_3}, \ldots$

of real numbers has a convergent subsequence. It follows that there is a strictly increasing infinite sequence k_1, k_2, k_3, \ldots of positive integers, where each k_j is equal to one of the positive integers m_1, m_2, m_3, \ldots , such that the infinite sequence

 $t_{k_1}, t_{k_2}, t_{k_3}, \ldots$

is convergent.

Let $r = \lim_{j \to +\infty} t_{k_j}$. There then exists some positive integer M such that $M \ge L$ and

$$|t_{k_j}-r|<\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon$$

for all positive integers j for which $k_j \ge M$. It follows that if $k_j \ge M$ then

$$|\mathbf{s}_{k_j} - \mathbf{q}| < rac{1}{2}arepsilon \quad |t_{k_j} - r| < rac{1}{2}arepsilon.$$

Now there is a point **p** of \mathbb{R}^n , where $\mathbf{p} = (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n)$, determined so that the *i*th components of the point **p** of \mathbb{R}^n is equal to the *i*th component of the point **q** of \mathbb{R}^{n-1} for each integer *i* between 1 and n-1 and also the *n*th component of the point **p** is equal to the real number *t*.

Also it follows from the definition of the Euclidean norm that

$$|\mathbf{x}_{k_j} - \mathbf{p}|^2 = |\mathbf{s}_{k_j} - \mathbf{q}|^2 + |t_{k_j} - r|^2 < \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon^2$$

whenever $k_j \ge M$. But then $|\mathbf{x}_{k_j} - \mathbf{p}| < \varepsilon$ for all positive integers j for which $k_j \ge M$. It follows that $\lim_{j \to +\infty} \mathbf{x}_{k_j} = \mathbf{p}$. We conclude therefore that the bounded infinite sequence $\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \mathbf{x}_3, \ldots$ does indeed have a convergent subsequence. This completes the proof of the Bolzano-Weierstrass Theorem in dimension n for all positive integers n.

3.7. Cauchy Sequences in Euclidean Spaces

Definition

An infinite sequence $\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \mathbf{x}_3, \ldots$ of points of *n*-dimensional Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n is said to be a *Cauchy sequence* if, given any strictly positive real number ε , there exists some positive integer *N* such that $|\mathbf{x}_j - \mathbf{x}_k| < \varepsilon$ for all positive integers *j* and *k* satisfying $j \ge N$ and $k \ge N$.

Lemma 3.6

Every Cauchy sequence of points of n-dimensional Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n is bounded.

Proof

Let $\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \mathbf{x}_3, \ldots$ be a Cauchy sequence of points in \mathbb{R}^n . Then there exists some positive integer N such that $|\mathbf{x}_j - \mathbf{x}_k| < 1$ whenever $j \ge N$ and $k \ge N$. In particular, $|\mathbf{x}_j| \le |\mathbf{x}_N| + 1$ whenever $j \ge N$. Therefore $|\mathbf{x}_j| \le R$ for all positive integers j, where R is the maximum of the real numbers $|\mathbf{x}_1|, |\mathbf{x}_2|, \ldots, |\mathbf{x}_{N-1}|$ and $|\mathbf{x}_N| + 1$. Thus the sequence is bounded, as required.

Theorem 3.7 (Cauchy's Criterion for Convergence)

An infinite sequence of points of n-dimensional Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n is convergent if and only if it is a Cauchy sequence.

Proof

First we show that convergent sequences in \mathbb{R}^n are Cauchy sequences. Let $\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \mathbf{x}_3, \ldots$ be a convergent sequence of points in \mathbb{R}^n , and let $\mathbf{p} = \lim_{j \to +\infty} \mathbf{x}_j$. Let some strictly positive real number ε be given. Then there exists some positive integer N such that $|\mathbf{x}_j - \mathbf{p}| < \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon$ for all $j \ge N$. Thus if $j \ge N$ and $k \ge N$ then $|\mathbf{x}_j - \mathbf{p}| < \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon$ and $|\mathbf{x}_k - \mathbf{p}| < \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon$, and hence

$$|\mathbf{x}_j - \mathbf{x}_k| = |(\mathbf{x}_j - \mathbf{p}) - (\mathbf{x}_k - \mathbf{p})| \le |\mathbf{x}_j - \mathbf{p}| + |\mathbf{x}_k - \mathbf{p}| < \varepsilon.$$

Thus the sequence $\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \mathbf{x}_3, \ldots$ is a Cauchy sequence.

Conversely we must show that any Cauchy sequence $\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \mathbf{x}_3, \ldots$ in \mathbb{R}^n is convergent. Now Cauchy sequences are bounded, by Lemma 3.6. The sequence $\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \mathbf{x}_3, \ldots$ therefore has a convergent subsequence $\mathbf{x}_{k_1}, \mathbf{x}_{k_2}, \mathbf{x}_{k_3}, \ldots$, by the multidimensional Bolzano-Weierstrass Theorem (Theorem 3.5). Let $\mathbf{p} = \lim_{j \to +\infty} \mathbf{x}_{k_j}$. We claim that the sequence $\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \mathbf{x}_3, \ldots$ itself converges to \mathbf{p} . Let some strictly positive real number ε be given. Then there exists some positive integer N such that $|\mathbf{x}_j - \mathbf{x}_k| < \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon$ whenever $j \ge N$ and $k \ge N$ (since the sequence is a Cauchy sequence). Let m be chosen large enough to ensure that $k_m \ge N$ and $|\mathbf{x}_{k_m} - \mathbf{p}| < \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon$. Then

$$|\mathbf{x}_j - \mathbf{p}| \le |\mathbf{x}_j - \mathbf{x}_{k_m}| + |\mathbf{x}_{k_m} - \mathbf{p}| < \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon + \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon = \varepsilon$$

whenever $j \ge N$. It follows that $\mathbf{x}_j \to \mathbf{p}$ as $j \to +\infty$, as required.