Module MAU23203: Analysis in Several Real Variables Michaelmas Term 2022 Section 9: Second Order Partial Derivatives and the Hessian Matrix

D. R. Wilkins

Copyright © Trinity College Dublin 2020–22

Contents

9	Seco	ond Order Partial Derivatives and the Hessian Matrix	69
	9.1	Second Order Partial Derivatives	69
	9.2	Local Maxima and Minima	74

9 Second Order Partial Derivatives and the Hessian Matrix

9.1 Second Order Partial Derivatives

Let X be an open subset of \mathbb{R}^n and let $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$ be a real-valued function on X. We consider the second order partial derivatives of the function fdefined by

$$\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_i \, \partial x_j} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j} \right).$$

We shall show that if the partial derivatives

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}$$
, $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j}$, $\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}$ and $\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_j \partial x_i}$

all exist and are continuous then

$$\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_i \, \partial x_j} = \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_j \, \partial x_i}.$$

Now it would be incorrect to assert that if the second order partial derivatives of a real-valued function f of real variables x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n all exist at some point of the domain of the function then

$$\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_i \, \partial x_j}$$
 and $\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_j \, \partial x_i}$.

are equal for all values of i and j. First though we give a counterexample which demonstrates that there exist functions f for which

$$\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \neq \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_j \partial x_i}.$$

Example Let $f: \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ be the function defined by

$$f(x,y) = \begin{cases} \frac{xy(x^2 - y^2)}{x^2 + y^2} & \text{if } (x,y) \neq (0,0); \\ 0 & \text{if } (x,y) = (0,0). \end{cases}$$

For convenience of notation, let us write

$$f_x(x,y) = \frac{\partial f(x,y)}{\partial x},$$

$$f_y(x,y) = \frac{\partial f(x,y)}{\partial y},$$

$$f_{xy}(x,y) = \frac{\partial^2 f(x,y)}{\partial x \partial y},$$

$$f_{yx}(x,y) = \frac{\partial^2 f(x,y)}{\partial y \partial x}.$$

If $(x, y) \neq (0, 0)$ then

$$f_x = \frac{(3x^2y - y^3)(x^2 + y^2) - 2x^2y(x^2 - y^2)}{(x^2 + y^2)^2}$$
$$= \frac{3x^4y + 3x^2y^3 - x^2y^3 - y^5 - 2x^4y + 2x^2y^3}{(x^2 + y^2)^2}$$
$$= \frac{x^4y + 4x^2y^3 - y^5}{(x^2 + y^2)^2}.$$

Similarly

$$f_y = \frac{-xy^4 - 4x^3y^2 + x^5}{(x^2 + y^2)^2}.$$

(This can be deduced from the formula for f_x on noticing that f(x, y) changes sign on interchanging the variables x and y.)

Differentiating again, when $(x, y) \neq (0, 0)$, we find that

$$f_{xy}(x,y) = \frac{\partial f_y}{\partial x}$$

$$= \frac{(-y^4 - 12x^2y^2 + 5x^4)(x^2 + y^2)}{(x^2 + y^2)^3} + \frac{-4x(-xy^4 - 4x^3y^2 + x^5)}{(x^2 + y^2)^3}$$

$$= \frac{-x^2y^4 - 12x^4y^2 + 5x^6 - y^6 - 12x^2y^4 + 5x^4y^2}{(x^2 + y^2)^3}$$

$$+ \frac{4x^2y^4 + 16x^4y^2 - 4x^6}{(x^2 + y^2)^3}$$

$$= \frac{x^6 + 9x^4y^2 - 9x^2y^4 - y^6}{(x^2 + y^2)^3}.$$

Now the expression just obtained for f_{xy} when $(x, y) \neq (0, 0)$ changes sign when the variables x and y are interchanged. The same is true of the expression defining f(x, y). It follows that f_{yx} . We conclude therefore that if $(x, y) \neq (0, 0)$ then

$$f_{xy} = f_{yx} = \frac{x^6 + 9x^4y^2 - 9x^2y^4 - y^6}{(x^2 + y^2)^3}.$$

Now if $(x, y) \neq (0, 0)$ and if $r = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}$ then

$$|f_x(x,y)| = \frac{|x^4y + 4x^2y^3 - y^5|}{r^4} \le \frac{6r^5}{r^4} = 6r.$$

It follows that

$$\lim_{(x,y)\to(0,0)} f_x(x,y) = 0.$$

Similarly

$$\lim_{(x,y)\to(0,0)} f_y(x,y) = 0.$$

However

$$\lim_{(x,y)\to(0,0)}f_{xy}(x,y)$$

does not exist. Indeed

$$\lim_{x \to 0} f_{xy}(x,0) = \lim_{x \to 0} f_{yx}(x,0) = \lim_{x \to 0} \frac{x^6}{x^6} = 1,$$
$$\lim_{y \to 0} f_{xy}(0,y) = \lim_{y \to 0} f_{yx}(0,y) = \lim_{y \to 0} \frac{-y^6}{y^6} = -1$$

Next we show that f_x , f_y , f_{xy} and f_{yx} all exist at (0,0), and thus exist everywhere on \mathbb{R}^2 . Now f(x,0) = 0 for all x, hence $f_x(0,0) = 0$. Also f(0,y) = 0 for all y, hence $f_y(0,0) = 0$. Thus

$$f_y(x,0) = x, \qquad f_x(0,y) = -y$$

for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$. We conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} f_{xy}(0,0) &= \left. \frac{d(f_y(x,0))}{dx} \right|_{x=0} = 1, \\ f_{yx}(0,0) &= \left. \frac{d(f_x(0,y))}{dy} \right|_{y=0} = -1, \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial y} \neq \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y \partial x}$$

at (0, 0).

Observe that in this example the functions f_{xy} and f_{yx} are continuous throughout $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{(0,0)\}$ and are equal to one another there. Although the functions f_{xy} and f_{yx} are well-defined at (0,0), they are not continuous at (0,0) and $f_{xy}(0,0) \neq f_{yx}(0,0)$. **Theorem 9.1** Let X be an open set in \mathbb{R}^2 and let $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$ be a real-valued function on X. Suppose that the partial derivatives

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}$$
, $\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}$ and $\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial y}$

exist and are continuous throughout X. Then the partial derivative

$$\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y \partial x}$$

exists and is continuous on X, and

$$\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial y} = \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y \partial x}$$

Proof Let

$$f_x(x,y) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}, \quad f_y(x,y) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial y},$$
$$f_{xy}(x,y) = \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial y} \text{ and } f_{yx}(x,y) = \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y \partial x}$$

and let (a, b) be a point of X. The set X is open in \mathbb{R}^2 and therefore there exists some positive real number L such that $(a + h, b + k) \in X$ for all $(h, k) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ satisfying |h| < L and |k| < L.

Let

$$S(h,k) = f(a+h,b+k) + f(a,b) - f(a+h,b) - f(a,b+k)$$

for all real numbers h and k satisfying |h| < L and |k| < L. First consider h to be fixed, where |h| < L, and let $q: (b - L, b + L) \to \mathbb{R}$ be defined so that q(t) = f(a+h,t) - f(a,t) for all real numbers t satisfying b - L < t < b + L. Then S(h,k) = q(b+k) - q(b). It then follows from the Mean Value Theorem (Theorem 7.5) that there exists some real number v lying between b and b+k for which q(b+k) - q(b) = kq'(v). But $q'(v) = f_y(a+h,v) - f_y(a,v)$. It follows that

$$S(h,k) = k(f_y(a+h,v) - f_y(a,v)).$$

The Mean Value Theorem can now be applied to the function sending real numbers s in the interval (a - L, a + L) to $f_y(s, v)$ to deduce the existence of a real number u lying between a and a + h for which

$$S(h,k) = k(f_y(a+h,v) - f_y(a,v))$$

= $hkf_{xy}(u,v)$
= $hk \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial y}\Big|_{(x,y)=(u,v)}$.

Now let some positive real number ε be given. The function f_{xy} is continuous. Therefore there exists some real number δ satisfying $0 < \delta < L$ such that $|f_{xy}(a+h,b+k) - f_{xy}(a,b)| \leq \varepsilon$ whenever $|h| < \delta$ and $|k| < \delta$. It follows that

$$\left|\frac{S(h,k)}{hk} - f_{xy}(a,b)\right| \le \varepsilon$$

for all real numbers h and k satisfying $0 < |h| < \delta$ and $0 < |k| < \delta$. Now

$$\lim_{h \to 0} \frac{S(h,k)}{hk} = \frac{1}{k} \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{f(a+h,b+k) - f(a,b+k)}{h}$$
$$-\frac{1}{k} \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{f(a+h,b) - f(a,b)}{h}$$
$$= \frac{f_x(a,b+k) - f_x(a,b)}{k}.$$

It follows that

$$\left|\frac{f_x(a,b+k) - f_x(a,b)}{k} - f_{xy}(a,b)\right| \le \varepsilon$$

whenever $0 < |k| < \delta$.

Thus the difference quotient $\frac{f_x(a, b+k) - f_x(a, b)}{k}$ tends to $f_{xy}(a, b)$ as k tends to zero, and therefore the second order partial derivative f_{yx} exists at the point (a, b) and

$$f_{yx}(a,b) = \lim_{k \to 0} \frac{f_x(a,b+k) - f_x(a,b)}{k} = f_{xy}(a,b),$$

as required.

Corollary 9.2 Let X be an open set in \mathbb{R}^n and let $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$ be a real-valued function on X. Suppose that the partial derivatives

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}$$
 and $\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}$

exist and are continuous on X for all integers i and j between 1 and n. Then

$$\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} = \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_j \partial x_i}$$

for all integers i and j between 1 and n.

9.2 Local Maxima and Minima

Definition A function $\varphi: X \to \mathbb{R}^p$, defined over an open set X in \mathbb{R}^n and mapping that open set into \mathbb{R}^p for some positive integers n and p, is said to be k times continuously differentiable if the partial derivatives of the components of the functions φ of all orders less than or equal to k exist and are continuous throughout the domain X of the function φ .

Let $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$ be a twice continuously differentiable real-valued function defined over some open subset X of \mathbb{R}^n . (In other words, let f be a realvalued function defined on an open set X in \mathbb{R}^n whose first and second order partial derivatives exist and are continuous throughout the domain X of the function f.) Suppose that f has a local minimum at some point **p** of X, where $\mathbf{p} = (p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_n)$. Now for each integer i between 1 and n the map

$$t \mapsto f(p_1, \ldots, p_{i-1}, t, p_{i+1}, \ldots, p_n)$$

has a local minimum at $t = p_i$. It follows that the derivative of this map vanishes there. Thus if f has a local minimum at \mathbf{p} then

$$\left. \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \right|_{\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{p}} = 0.$$

In many situations the values of the second order partial derivatives of a twice continuously differentiable function of several real variables at a stationary point determines the qualitative behaviour of the function around that stationary point, in particular ensuring, in some situations, that the stationary point is a local minimum or a local maximum.

Proposition 9.3 Let f be a twice continuously differentiable real-valued function defined over an open ball in \mathbb{R}^n of radius δ centred on some point \mathbf{p} of \mathbb{R}^n . Then, given any vector \mathbf{h} in \mathbb{R}^n satisfying $|\mathbf{h}| < \delta$, there exists some real number θ satisfying $0 < \theta < 1$ for which

$$f(\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{h}) = f(\mathbf{p}) + \sum_{k=1}^{n} h_k \left. \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_k} \right|_{\mathbf{p}} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j,k=1}^{n} h_j h_k \left. \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_j \partial x_k} \right|_{\mathbf{p} + \theta \mathbf{h}}$$

Proof Let **h** satisfy $|\mathbf{h}| < \delta$, and let $q(t) = f(\mathbf{p} + t\mathbf{h})$ for all real numbers t in some appropriately chosen open interval in the real line that contains the real numbers 0 and 1. The function q is the composition function in which the function f follows the function that sends real numbers t in the domain

of q to the point $\mathbf{p} + t\mathbf{h}$ of \mathbb{R}^n . It follows, on applying the Chain Rule for differentiable functions of several real variables (Theorem 8.20) that

$$q'(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} h_k(\partial_k f)(\mathbf{p} + t\mathbf{h})$$

and

$$q''(t) = \sum_{j,k=1}^{n} h_j h_k (\partial_j \partial_k f) (\mathbf{p} + t\mathbf{h}),$$

where

$$(\partial_j f)(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \frac{\partial f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)}{\partial x_j}$$

and

$$(\partial_j \partial_k f)(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \frac{\partial^2 f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)}{\partial x_j \partial x_k}.$$

Now

$$q(1) = q(0) + q'(0) + \frac{1}{2}q''(\theta)$$

for some real number θ satisfying $0 < \theta < 1$ (see Proposition 7.10). Consequently

$$f(\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{h}) = f(\mathbf{p}) + \sum_{k=1}^{n} h_k (\partial_k f)(\mathbf{p}) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j,k=1}^{n} h_j h_k (\partial_j \partial_k f)(\mathbf{p} + \theta \mathbf{h})$$
$$= f(\mathbf{p}) + \sum_{k=1}^{n} h_k \left. \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_k} \right|_{\mathbf{p}} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j,k=1}^{n} h_j h_k \left. \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_j \partial x_k} \right|_{\mathbf{p} + \theta \mathbf{h}},$$

as required.

Let f be a twice continuously differentiable real-valued function defined over an open ball of radius δ about some given point \mathbf{p} of \mathbb{R}^n . It follows from Proposition 9.3 that if

$$\left. \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j} \right|_{\mathbf{p}} = 0$$

for j = 1, 2, ..., n, and if $|\mathbf{h}| < \delta$ then there exists some real number θ satisfying $0 < \theta < 1$ for which

$$f(\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{h}) = f(\mathbf{p}) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} h_i h_j \left. \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \right|_{\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{p} + \theta \mathbf{h}}.$$

Let f be a real-valued function defined over an open set in \mathbb{R}^n whose second order partial derivative are defined at a point \mathbf{p} of its domain. Let us denote by $(H_{i,j}(\mathbf{p}))$ the *Hessian matrix* at the point \mathbf{p} , defined by

$$H_{i,j}(\mathbf{p}) = \left. \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \right|_{\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{p}}$$

Suppose now that the function f is twice continuously differentiable on its domain. Then $H_{i,j}(\mathbf{p}) = H_{j,i}(\mathbf{p})$ for all integers i and j between 1 and n, by Corollary 9.2, and thus the Hessian matrix is symmetric.

We now recall some facts concerning symmetric matrices.

Let $(c_{i,j})$ be a symmetric $n \times n$ matrix.

The matrix $(c_{i,j})$ is said to be *positive semi-definite* if $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_{i,j} h_i h_j \ge 0$

for all $(h_1, h_2, \ldots, h_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

The matrix $(c_{i,j})$ is said to be *positive definite* if $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_{i,j}h_ih_j > 0$ for all non-zero $(h_1, h_2, \dots, h_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

The matrix $(c_{i,j})$ is said to be *negative semi-definite* if $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_{i,j}h_ih_j \leq 0$

for all $(h_1, h_2, \ldots, h_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

The matrix
$$(c_{i,j})$$
 is said to be *negative definite* if $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_{i,j} h_i h_j < 0$ for

all non-zero $(h_1, h_2, \ldots, h_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

The matrix $(c_{i,j})$ is said to be *indefinite* if it is neither positive semidefinite nor negative semi-definite.

Lemma 9.4 Let $(c_{i,j})$ be a positive definite symmetric $n \times n$ matrix. Then there exists some positive real number ε that is small enough to ensure that any symmetric $n \times n$ matrix $(b_{i,j})$ whose components all satisfy the inequality $|b_{i,j} - c_{i,j}| < \varepsilon$ is positive definite.

Proof Let S^{n-1} be the unit (n-1)-sphere in \mathbb{R}^n defined by

$$S^{n-1} = \{(h_1, h_2, \dots, h_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n : h_1^2 + h_2^2 + \dots + h_n^2 = 1\}.$$

Observe that a symmetric $n \times n$ matrix $(b_{i,j})$ is positive definite if and only if

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} b_{i,j} h_i h_j > 0$$

for all $(h_1, h_2, \ldots, h_n) \in S^{n-1}$. Now the matrix $(c_{i,j})$ is positive definite, by assumption. Therefore

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_{i,j} h_i h_j > 0$$

for all $(h_1, h_2, \dots, h_n) \in S^{n-1}$.

But S^{n-1} is a closed bounded set in \mathbb{R}^n , it therefore follows from Theorem 5.10 that there exists some $(k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_n) \in S^{n-1}$ with the property that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_{i,j} h_i h_j \ge \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_{i,j} k_i k_j$$

for all $(h_1, h_2, ..., h_n) \in S^{n-1}$. Let

$$A = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_{i,j} k_i k_j$$

Then A > 0 and

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_{i,j} h_i h_j \ge A$$

for all $(h_1, h_2, \ldots, h_n) \in S^{n-1}$. Set $\varepsilon = A/n^2$.

If $(b_{i,j})$ is a symmetric $n \times n$ matrix all of whose coefficients satisfy the inequality $|b_{i,j} - c_{i,j}| < \varepsilon$ then

$$\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}(b_{i,j}-c_{i,j})h_{i}h_{j}\right|<\varepsilon n^{2}=A,$$

for all $(h_1, h_2, \ldots, h_n) \in S^{n-1}$, hence

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} b_{i,j} h_i h_j > \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_{i,j} h_i h_j - A \ge 0$$

for all $(h_1, h_2, \ldots, h_n) \in S^{n-1}$. Thus the matrix $(b_{i,j})$ is positive definite, as required.

Using the fact that a symmetric $n \times n$ matrix $(c_{i,j})$ is negative definite if and only if the matrix $(-c_{i,j})$ is positive definite, we see that if $(c_{i,j})$ is a negative definite matrix then there exists some $\varepsilon > 0$ with the following property: if all of the components of a symmetric $n \times n$ matrix $(b_{i,j})$ satisfy the inequality $|b_{i,j} - c_{i,j}| < \varepsilon$ then the matrix $(b_{i,j})$ is negative definite. Let $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$ be a twice continuously differentiable real-valued function defined over some open set X in \mathbb{R}^n , and let **p** be a point of the open set X. We have already observed that if the function f has a local maximum or a local minimum at **p** then

$$\left. \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \right|_{\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{p}} = 0 \qquad (i = 1, 2, \dots, n).$$

We now study the behaviour of the function f around a point \mathbf{p} at which the first order partial derivatives vanish. We consider the Hessian matrix $(H_{i,j}(\mathbf{p}))$ defined by

$$H_{i,j}(\mathbf{p}) = \left. \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \right|_{\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{p}}.$$

Lemma 9.5 Let $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$ be a twice continuously differentiable real-valued function defined over an open set X in \mathbb{R}^n , and let **p** be a point of the open set X at which

$$\left. \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \right|_{\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{p}} = 0 \qquad (i = 1, 2, \dots, n).$$

If f has a local minimum at the point **p** then the Hessian matrix $(H_{i,j}(\mathbf{p}))$ at **p** is positive semi-definite.

Proof The first order partial derivatives of f are zero at \mathbf{p} . It follows that, given any vector $\mathbf{h} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ which is sufficiently close to $\mathbf{0}$, there exists some θ satisfying $0 < \theta < 1$ (where θ depends on \mathbf{h}) such that

$$f(\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{h}) = f(\mathbf{p}) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} h_i h_j H_{i,j}(\mathbf{p} + \theta \mathbf{h}),$$

where

$$H_{i,j}(\mathbf{p} + \theta \mathbf{h}) = \left. \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \right|_{\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{p} + \theta \mathbf{h}}$$

(see Proposition 9.3).

It follows from this result that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} h_i h_j H_{i,j}(\mathbf{p}) = \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{2(f(\mathbf{p} + t\mathbf{h}) - f(\mathbf{p}))}{t^2} \ge 0$$

The result follows.

Let $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$ be a twice continuously differentiable real-valued function defined over some open set in \mathbb{R}^n , and let \mathbf{p} be a point of the domain of fat which the first order partial derivatives of f are zero. The above lemma shows that if the function f has a local minimum at \mathbf{p} then the Hessian matrix of f is positive semi-definite at \mathbf{p} . However the fact that the Hessian matrix of f is positive semi-definite at \mathbf{p} is not sufficient to ensure that f is has a local minimum at \mathbf{p} , as the following example shows.

Example Consider the function $f: \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ defined by $f(x, y) = x^2 - y^3$. The first order partial derivatives of f are zero at (0, 0). The Hessian matrix of f at (0, 0) is the matrix

$$\left(\begin{array}{cc} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{array}\right).$$

This matrix is positive semi-definite. However (0,0) is not a local minimum of f because f(0,y) < f(0,0) for all y > 0.

The following theorem shows that if the Hessian matrix of the function f is positive definite at a point at which the first order partial derivatives of f vanish then f has a local minimum at that point.

Theorem 9.6 Let $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$ be a twice continuously differentiable realvalued function defined over some open set X in \mathbb{R}^n , and let **p** be a point of X at which

$$\left. \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \right|_{\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{p}} = 0 \qquad (i = 1, 2, \dots, n).$$

Suppose that the Hessian matrix $(H_{i,j}(\mathbf{p}))$ of the function f at the point \mathbf{p} is positive definite. Then f has a local minimum at \mathbf{p} .

Proof The first order partial derivatives of f take the value zero at \mathbf{p} . It follows that, given any vector \mathbf{h} in \mathbb{R}^n which is sufficiently close to $\mathbf{0}$, there exists some θ satisfying $0 < \theta < 1$ (where θ depends on \mathbf{h}) such that

$$f(\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{h}) = f(\mathbf{p}) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} h_i h_j H_{i,j}(\mathbf{p} + \theta \mathbf{h}),$$

where

$$H_{i,j}(\mathbf{p} + \theta \mathbf{h}) = \left. \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \right|_{\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{p} + \theta \mathbf{h}}$$

(see Proposition 9.3). Suppose that the Hessian matrix $(H_{i,j}(\mathbf{p}))$ is positive definite. Then there exists some positive real number ε small enough to

ensure that if $|H_{i,j}(\mathbf{x}) - H_{i,j}(\mathbf{p})| < \varepsilon$ for all *i* and *j* then $(H_{i,j}(\mathbf{x}))$ is positive definite (see Lemma 9.4).

But it follows from the continuity of the second order partial derivatives of f that there exists some positive real number δ small enough to ensure that $\mathbf{x} \in X$ and $|H_{i,j}(\mathbf{x}) - H_{i,j}(\mathbf{p})| < \varepsilon$ for all integers i and j between 1 and n whenever $|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{p}| < \delta$. Thus if $0 < |\mathbf{h}| < \delta$ then $(H_{i,j}(\mathbf{p} + \theta \mathbf{h}))$ is positive definite for all $\theta \in (0, 1)$ so that $f(\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{h}) > f(\mathbf{p})$. Thus \mathbf{p} is a local minimum of the function f.

A symmetric $n \times n$ matrix C is positive definite if and only if all its eigenvalues are strictly positive. In particular if n = 2 and if λ_1 and λ_2 are the eigenvalues of a symmetric 2×2 matrix C, then

$$\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = \operatorname{trace} C, \qquad \lambda_1 \lambda_2 = \det C.$$

Thus a symmetric 2×2 matrix C is positive definite if and only if its trace and determinant are both positive.

Example Consider the function $f: \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$f(x,y) = 4x^{2} + 3y^{2} - 2xy - x^{3} - x^{2}y - y^{3}.$$

Now

$$\frac{\partial f(x,y)}{\partial x}\Big|_{(x,y)=(0,0)} = 0 \text{ and } \frac{\partial f(x,y)}{\partial y}\Big|_{(x,y)=(0,0)} = 0$$

The Hessian matrix of f at (0,0) is

$$\left(\begin{array}{rrr} 8 & -2 \\ -2 & 6 \end{array}\right).$$

The trace and determinant of this matrix are 14 and 44 respectively. Hence this matrix is positive definite. We conclude from Theorem 9.6 that the function f has a local minimum at (0,0).