MA3486 Fixed Point Theorems and Economic Equilibria School of Mathematics, Trinity College Hilary Term 2016 Lecture 3 (January 22, 2016)

David R. Wilkins

#### 1.4.

#### Definition

Let  $x_1, x_2, x_3, \ldots$  be an infinite sequence of real numbers. A *subsequence* of this infinite sequence is a sequence of the form  $x_{j_1}, x_{j_2}, x_{j_3}, \ldots$  where  $j_1, j_2, j_3, \ldots$  is an infinite sequence of positive integers with

$$j_1 < j_2 < j_3 < \cdots$$

Let  $x_1, x_2, x_3, ...$  be an infinite sequence of real numbers. The following sequences are examples of subsequences of the above sequence:—

 $x_1, x_3, x_5, x_7, \dots$  $x_1, x_4, x_9, x_{16}, \dots$ 

# 1.5. The Bolzano-Weierstrass Theorem

### **Proposition 1.4**

Let  $x_1, x_2, x_3, ...$  be a bounded infinite sequence of real numbers. Then there exists a real number c with the property that, given any strictly positive real number  $\varepsilon$ , there are infinitely many positive integers j for which  $c - \varepsilon < x_j < c + \varepsilon$ .

### Proof

The infinite sequence  $(x_j : j \in \mathbb{N})$  is bounded, and therefore there exist real numbers A and B such that  $A \leq x_j \leq B$  for all positive integers j.

Let S denote the set of all real numbers s with the property that

 $\{j \in \mathbb{N} : x_j > s\}$ 

is an infinite set. Let  $c = \sup S$  (so that c is the least upper bound of the set S). Let u and v be real numbers satisfying u < c < v. Choose v'satisfying c < v' < v. Then  $v' \notin S$ , and therefore

$$\{j \in \mathbb{N} : x_j > v'\}$$

is a finite set. It follows that

$$\{j \in \mathbb{N} : x_j \ge v\}$$

is also a finite set.

Also u is not an upper bound for the set S (because c is the least upper bound, and therefore there exists  $u' \in S$  satisfying u' > u. It then follows that

$$\{j \in \mathbb{N} : x_j > u'\}$$

is an infinite set, and therefore

$$\{j \in \mathbb{N} : x_j > u\}$$

is an infinite set. But then

$$\{j \in \mathbb{N} : u < x_j < v\}$$

must be an infinite set, since it is obtained by removing from  $\{j \in \mathbb{N} : x_j > u\}$  a finite number of values of j for which  $x_j \ge v$ . The result therefore follows on taking  $u = c - \varepsilon$  and  $v = c + \varepsilon$ .

#### Theorem 1.5 (Bolzano-Weierstrass)

Every bounded sequence of real numbers has a convergent subsequence.

## **First Proof**

Let  $x_1, x_2, x_3, \ldots$  be an bounded infinite sequence of real numbers. It follows from Proposition 1.4 that there exists a real number c with the property that, given any strictly positive real number  $\varepsilon$ , there are infinitely many positive integers j for which  $c - \varepsilon < x_j < c + \varepsilon$ . There then exists some positive integer  $k_1$  such that  $c - 1 < x_{k_1} < c + 1$ . Now suppose that positive integers  $k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_m$  have been determined such that  $k_1 < k_2 < \cdots < k_m$  and

$$c - \frac{1}{j} < x_{k_j} < c + \frac{1}{j}$$

for  $j = 1, 2, \ldots, m$ . The interval

$$\left\{ x \in \mathbb{R} : c - \frac{1}{m+1} < x < c + \frac{1}{m+1} \right\}$$

must then contain infinitely many members of the original sequence, and therefore there exists some positive integer  $k_{m+1}$  for which  $k_m < k_{m+1}$  and

$$c - rac{1}{m+1} < x_{k_{m+1}} < c + rac{1}{m+1}$$

Thus we can construct in this fashion a subsequence  $x_{k_1}, x_{k_2}, x_{k_3}, \ldots$  of the original sequence with the property that

$$c - \frac{1}{j} < x_{k_j} < c + \frac{1}{j}$$

for all positive integers j. This subsequence then converges to c. The given sequence therefore has a convergent subsequence, as required.

## Second Proof

Let  $a_1, a_2, a_3, \ldots$  be a bounded sequence of real numbers, and let

$$S = \{j \in \mathbb{N} : a_j \ge a_k \text{ for all } k \ge j\}$$

(i.e., S is the set of all positive integers j with the property that  $a_j$  is greater than or equal to all the succeeding members of the sequence).

First let us suppose that the set *S* is infinite. Arrange the elements of *S* in increasing order so that  $S = \{j_1, j_2, j_3, j_4, \ldots\}$ , where  $j_1 < j_2 < j_3 < j_4 < \cdots$ . It follows from the manner in which the set *S* was defined that  $a_{j_1} \ge a_{j_2} \ge a_{j_3} \ge a_{j_4} \ge \cdots$ . Thus  $a_{j_1}, a_{j_2}, a_{j_3}, \ldots$  is a non-increasing subsequence of the original sequence  $a_1, a_2, a_3, \ldots$ . This subsequence is bounded below (since the original sequence is bounded). It follows from Theorem 1.3 that  $a_{j_1}, a_{j_2}, a_{j_3}, \ldots$  is a convergent subsequence of the original sequence.

Now suppose that the set S is finite. Choose a positive integer  $i_1$ which is greater than every positive integer belonging to S. Then  $i_1$  does not belong to S. Therefore there must exist some positive integer  $j_2$  satisfying  $j_2 > j_1$  such that  $a_{j_2} > a_{j_1}$ . Moreover  $j_2$  does not belong to S (since  $i_2$  is greater than  $i_1$  and  $i_1$  is greater than every positive integer belonging to S). Therefore there must exist some positive integer  $j_3$  satisfying  $j_3 > j_2$  such that  $a_{j_3} > a_{j_2}$ . We can continue in this way to construct (by induction on j) a strictly increasing subsequence  $a_{i_1}, a_{i_2}, a_{i_3}, \ldots$  of our original sequence. This increasing subsequence is bounded above (since the original sequence is bounded) and thus is convergent, by Theorem 1.3. This completes the proof of the Bolzano-Weierstrass Theorem.