

Module MA3484: Transportation Problem  
Hilary Term 2015  
Review of Linear Algebra

D. R. Wilkins

Copyright © David R. Wilkins 2015

## Contents

<b>A</b>	<b>Review of Linear Algebra</b>	<b>1</b>
A.1	Real Vector Spaces . . . . .	1
A.2	Linear Dependence and Bases . . . . .	1
A.3	Subspaces of Real Vector Spaces . . . . .	6
A.4	Linear Transformations . . . . .	7
A.5	Dual Spaces . . . . .	12
A.6	Linear Transformations and Matrices . . . . .	15

# A Review of Linear Algebra

## A.1 Real Vector Spaces

**Definition** A *real vector space* consists of a set  $V$  on which there is defined an operation of vector addition, yielding an element  $\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{w}$  of  $V$  for each pair  $\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}$  of elements of  $V$ , and an operation of multiplication-by-scalars that yields an element  $\lambda \mathbf{v}$  of  $V$  for each  $\mathbf{v} \in V$  and for each real number  $\lambda$ . The operation of vector addition is required to be commutative and associative. There must exist a zero element  $\mathbf{0}_V$  of  $V$  that satisfies  $\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{0}_V = \mathbf{v}$  for all  $\mathbf{v} \in V$ , and, for each  $\mathbf{v} \in V$  there must exist an element  $-\mathbf{v}$  of  $V$  for which  $\mathbf{v} + (-\mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{0}_V$ . The following identities must also be satisfied for all  $\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \in V$  and for all real numbers  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$ :

$$(\lambda + \mu)\mathbf{v} = \lambda\mathbf{v} + \mu\mathbf{v}, \quad \lambda(\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{w}) = \lambda\mathbf{v} + \lambda\mathbf{w},$$

$$\lambda(\mu\mathbf{v}) = (\lambda\mu)\mathbf{v}, \quad 1\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}.$$

Let  $n$  be a positive integer. The set  $\mathbb{R}^n$  consisting of all  $n$ -tuples of real numbers is then a real vector space, with addition and multiplication-by-scalars defined such that

$$(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) + (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n) = (x_1 + y_1, x_2 + y_2, \dots, x_n + y_n)$$

and

$$\lambda(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = (\lambda x_1, \lambda x_2, \dots, \lambda x_n)$$

for all  $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n), (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and for all real numbers  $\lambda$ .

The set  $M_{m,n}(\mathbb{R})$  of all  $m \times n$  matrices is a real vector space with respect to the usual operations of matrix addition and multiplication of matrices by real numbers.

## A.2 Linear Dependence and Bases

Elements  $\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \dots, \mathbf{u}_m$  of a real vector space  $V$  are said to be *linearly dependent* if there exist real numbers  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_m$ , not all zero, such that

$$\lambda_1 \mathbf{u}_1 + \lambda_2 \mathbf{u}_2 + \dots + \lambda_m \mathbf{u}_m = \mathbf{0}_V.$$

If elements  $\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \dots, \mathbf{u}_m$  of real vector space  $V$  are not linearly dependent, then they are said to be *linearly independent*.

Elements  $\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n$  of a real vector space  $V$  are said to *span*  $V$  if, given any element  $\mathbf{v}$  of  $V$ , there exist real numbers  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n$  such that  $\mathbf{v} = \lambda_1 \mathbf{u}_1 + \lambda_2 \mathbf{u}_2 + \dots + \lambda_n \mathbf{u}_n$ .

A vector space is said to be *finite-dimensional* if there exists a finite subset of  $V$  whose members span  $V$ .

Elements  $\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n$  of a finite-dimensional real vector space  $V$  are said to constitute a *basis* of  $V$  if they are linearly independent and span  $V$ .

**Lemma A.1** *Elements  $\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n$  of a real vector space  $V$  constitute a basis of  $V$  if and only if, given any element  $\mathbf{v}$  of  $V$ , there exist uniquely-determined real numbers  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n$  such that*

$$\mathbf{v} = \lambda_1 \mathbf{u}_1 + \lambda_2 \mathbf{u}_2 + \dots + \lambda_n \mathbf{u}_n.$$

**Proof** Suppose that  $\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n$  is a basis of  $V$ . Let  $\mathbf{v}$  be an element  $V$ . The requirement that  $\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n$  span  $V$  ensures that there exist real numbers  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n$  such that

$$v = \lambda_1 \mathbf{u}_1 + \lambda_2 \mathbf{u}_2 + \dots + \lambda_n \mathbf{u}_n.$$

If  $\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_n$  are real numbers for which

$$v = \mu_1 \mathbf{u}_1 + \mu_2 \mathbf{u}_2 + \dots + \mu_n \mathbf{u}_n,$$

then

$$(\mu_1 - \lambda_1) \mathbf{u}_1 + (\mu_2 - \lambda_2) \mathbf{u}_2 + \dots + (\mu_n - \lambda_n) \mathbf{u}_n = \mathbf{0}_V.$$

It then follows from the linear independence of  $\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n$  that  $\mu_i - \lambda_i = 0$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , and thus  $\mu_i = \lambda_i$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . This proves that the coefficients  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n$  are uniquely-determined.

Conversely suppose that  $\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n$  is a list of elements of  $V$  with the property that, given any element  $\mathbf{v}$  of  $V$ , there exist uniquely-determined real numbers  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n$  such that

$$v = \lambda_1 \mathbf{u}_1 + \lambda_2 \mathbf{u}_2 + \dots + \lambda_n \mathbf{u}_n.$$

Then  $\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n$  span  $V$ . Moreover we can apply this criterion when  $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}$ . The uniqueness of the coefficients  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n$  then ensures that if

$$\lambda_1 \mathbf{u}_1 + \lambda_2 \mathbf{u}_2 + \dots + \lambda_n \mathbf{u}_n = \mathbf{0}_V$$

then  $\lambda_i = 0$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Thus  $\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n$  are linearly independent. This proves that  $\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n$  is a basis of  $V$ , as required. ■

**Proposition A.2** *Let  $V$  be a finite-dimensional real vector space, let*

$$\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n$$

*be elements of  $V$  that span  $V$ , and let  $K$  be a subset of  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . Suppose either that  $K = \emptyset$  or else that those elements  $\mathbf{u}_i$  for which  $i \in K$  are linearly independent. Then there exists a basis of  $V$  whose members belong to the list  $\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n$  which includes all the vectors  $\mathbf{u}_i$  for which  $i \in K$ .*

**Proof** We prove the result by induction on the number of elements in the list  $\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n$  of vectors that span  $V$ . The result is clearly true when  $n = 1$ . Thus suppose, as the induction hypothesis, that the result is true for all lists of elements of  $V$  that span  $V$  and that have fewer than  $n$  members.

If the elements  $\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n$  are linearly independent, then they constitute the required basis. If not, then there exist real numbers  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n$ , not all zero, such that

$$\lambda_1 \mathbf{u}_1 + \lambda_2 \mathbf{u}_2 + \dots + \lambda_n \mathbf{u}_n = \mathbf{0}_V.$$

Now there cannot exist real numbers  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n$ , not all zero, such that both  $\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \mathbf{u}_i = \mathbf{0}_V$  and also  $\lambda_i = 0$  whenever  $i \neq K$ . Indeed, in the case where  $K = \emptyset$ , this conclusion follows from the requirement that the real numbers  $\lambda_i$  cannot all be zero, and, in the case where  $K \neq \emptyset$ , the conclusion follows from the linear independence of those  $\mathbf{u}_i$  for which  $i \in K$ . Therefore there must exist some integer  $i$  satisfying  $1 \leq i \leq n$  for which  $\lambda_i \neq 0$  and  $i \notin K$ . Without loss of generality, we may suppose that  $\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n$  are ordered so that  $n \notin K$  and  $\lambda_n \neq 0$ . Then

$$\mathbf{u}_n = - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_n} \mathbf{u}_i.$$

Let  $\mathbf{v}$  be an element of  $V$ . Then there exist real numbers  $\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_n$  such that  $\mathbf{v} = \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i \mathbf{u}_i$ , because  $\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n$  span  $V$ . But then

$$\mathbf{v} = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \left( \mu_i - \frac{\mu_n \lambda_i}{\lambda_n} \right) \mathbf{u}_i.$$

We conclude that  $\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \dots, \mathbf{u}_{n-1}$  span the vector space  $V$ . The induction hypothesis then ensures that there exists a basis of  $V$  consisting of members of this list that includes the linearly independent elements  $\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \dots, \mathbf{u}_m$ , as required. ■

**Corollary A.3** *Let  $V$  be a finite-dimensional real vector space, and let*

$$\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n$$

*be elements of  $V$  that span the vector space  $V$ . Then there exists a basis of  $V$  whose elements are members of the list  $\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n$ .*

**Proof** This result is a restatement of Proposition A.2 in the special case where the set  $K$  in the statement of that proposition is the empty set. ■

**Proposition A.4** *Let  $V$  be a finite-dimensional real vector space with basis  $\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n$ , let  $\mathbf{w}$  be an element of  $V$ , and let  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n$  be the unique real numbers for which  $\mathbf{w} = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \mathbf{u}_i$ . Suppose that  $\lambda_j \neq 0$  for some integer  $j$  between 1 and  $n$ . Then the element  $\mathbf{w}$  of  $V$  and those elements  $\mathbf{u}_i$  of the given basis for which  $i \neq j$  together constitute a basis of  $V$ .*

**Proof** We result follows directly when  $n = 1$ . Thus it suffices to prove the result when  $n > 1$ . We may suppose, without loss of generality, that the basis elements  $\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n$  are ordered so that  $j = n$ . We must then show that  $\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \dots, \mathbf{u}_{n-1}$  is a basis of  $V$ . Now

$$\mathbf{w} = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \lambda_i \mathbf{u}_i + \lambda_n \mathbf{u}_n,$$

where  $\lambda_n \neq 0$ , and therefore

$$\mathbf{u}_n = \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \mathbf{w} - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_n} \mathbf{u}_{n-1}.$$

Let  $\mathbf{v}$  be an element of  $V$ . Then there exist real numbers  $\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_n$  such that  $\mathbf{v} = \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i \mathbf{u}_i$ . Then

$$\mathbf{v} = \frac{\mu_n}{\lambda_n} \mathbf{w} + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \left( \mu_i - \frac{\lambda_i \mu_n}{\lambda_n} \right) \mathbf{u}_i.$$

We conclude from this that the vectors  $\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_{n-1}$  span the vector space  $V$ .

Now let  $\rho_0, \rho_1, \dots, \rho_{n-1}$  be real numbers with the property that

$$\rho_0 \mathbf{w} + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \rho_i \mathbf{u}_i = \mathbf{0}_V.$$

Then

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (\rho_i + \rho_0 \lambda_i) \mathbf{u}_i + \rho_0 \lambda_n \mathbf{u}_n = \mathbf{0}_V.$$

It then follows from the linear independence of  $\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n$  that  $\rho_i + \rho_0 \lambda_i = 0$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$  and  $\rho_0 \lambda_n = 0$ . But  $\lambda_n \neq 0$ . It follows that  $\rho_0 = 0$ . But

then  $\rho_i = -\rho_0\lambda_i = 0$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$ . This proves that  $\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_{n-1}$  are linearly independent. These vectors therefore constitute a basis of the vector space  $V$ , as required. ■

**Proposition A.5** *Let  $V$  be a finite-dimensional real vector space. Suppose that elements  $\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n$  of  $V$  span the vector space  $V$  and that elements  $\mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2, \dots, \mathbf{w}_m$  of  $V$  are linearly independent. Then  $m \leq n$ , and there exists a basis of  $V$  consisting of the elements  $\mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2, \dots, \mathbf{w}_m$  together with not more than  $n - m$  elements belonging to the list  $\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n$ .*

**Proof** If the elements  $\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n$  spanning  $V$  are not linearly independent then it follows from Corollary A.3 that we may remove elements from this list so as to obtain a basis for the vector space  $V$ . We may therefore assume, without loss of generality, that the elements  $\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n$  constitute a basis of  $V$  with  $n$  elements.

Suppose that  $m \geq 1$ . It then follows from Proposition A.4 that there exists a basis of  $V$  consisting of  $\mathbf{w}_1$  together with  $n - 1$  members of the list  $\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n$ .

Suppose that, for some integer  $k$  satisfying  $1 \leq k < m$  and  $k < n$ , there exist distinct integers  $j_1, j_2, \dots, j_k$  between 1 and  $n$  such that the elements  $\mathbf{w}_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq k$  together with the elements  $\mathbf{u}_i$  for  $i \notin \{j_1, j_2, \dots, j_k\}$  together constitute a basis of the vector space  $V$ . Then there exist real numbers  $\rho_1, \rho_2, \dots, \rho_k$  and  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n$  such that

$$\mathbf{w}_{k+1} = \sum_{s=1}^k \rho_s \mathbf{w}_s + \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \mathbf{u}_i$$

and

$$\lambda_i = 0 \text{ for } i = j_1, j_2, \dots, j_k.$$

If it were the case that  $\lambda_i = 0$  for all integers  $i$  satisfying  $1 \leq i \leq n$  then  $\mathbf{w}_{k+1}$  would be expressible as a linear combination of  $\mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2, \dots, \mathbf{w}_k$ , and therefore the elements  $\mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2, \dots, \mathbf{w}_{k+1}$  of  $V$  would be linearly dependent. But these elements are linearly independent. It follows that  $\lambda_{j_{k+1}} \neq 0$  for some integer  $j_{k+1}$  between 1 and  $n$ . Moreover the integers  $j_1, j_2, \dots, j_{k+1}$  are then distinct, and it follows from Proposition A.4 that the elements  $\mathbf{w}_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq k+1$  together with the elements  $\mathbf{u}_i$  for  $i \notin \{j_1, j_2, \dots, j_{k+1}\}$  together constitute a basis of the vector space  $V$ .

It then follows by repeated applications of this result that if  $m_0$  is the minimum of  $m$  and  $n$  then there exists a basis of  $V$  consisting of the elements  $\mathbf{w}_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq m_0$  together with  $n - m_0$  members of the list  $\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n$ .

If it were the case that  $n < m$  then the  $n$  elements  $\mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2, \dots, \mathbf{w}_n$  would be a basis of  $V$ , and thus the elements  $\mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2, \dots, \mathbf{w}_m$  would not be linearly independent. Therefore  $n \geq m$ , and there exists a basis of  $V$  consisting of the elements  $\mathbf{w}_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq m$  together with  $n - m$  members of the list  $\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n$ , as required. ■

**Corollary A.6** *Any two bases of a finite-dimensional real vector space contain the same number of elements.*

**Proof** It follows from Proposition A.5 that the number of members in a list of linearly independent elements of a finite-dimensional real vector space  $V$  cannot exceed the number of members in a list of elements of  $V$  that spans  $V$ . The members of a basis of  $V$  are linearly independent and also span  $V$ . Therefore the number of members of one basis of  $V$  cannot exceed the number of members of another. The result follows. ■

**Definition** The *dimension* of a finite-dimensional real vector space  $V$  is the number of members of any basis of  $V$ .

The dimension of a real vector space  $V$  is denoted by  $\dim V$ .

It follows from Corollary A.3 that every finite-dimensional real vector space  $V$  has a basis. It follows from Corollary A.6 that any two bases of that vector space have the same number of elements. These results ensure that every finite-dimensional real vector space has a well-defined dimension that is equal to the number of members of any basis of that vector space.

### A.3 Subspaces of Real Vector Spaces

**Definition** Let  $V$  be a finite-dimensional vector space. A subset  $U$  of  $V$  is said to be a *subspace* of  $V$  if the following two conditions are satisfied:—

- $\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{w} \in U$  for all  $\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \in U$ ;
- $\lambda \mathbf{v} \in U$  for all  $\mathbf{v} \in U$  and for all real numbers  $\lambda$ .

Every subspace of a real vector space is itself a real vector space.

**Proposition A.7** *Let  $V$  be a finite-dimensional vector space, and let  $U$  be a subspace of  $V$ . Then  $U$  is itself a finite-dimensional vector space, and  $\dim U \leq \dim V$ .*

**Proof** It follows from Proposition A.5 the number of members of any list of linearly independent elements of  $U$  cannot exceed the dimension  $\dim V$  of the real vector space  $V$ . Let  $m$  be the maximum number of members in any list of linearly independent elements of  $U$ , and let  $\mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2, \dots, \mathbf{w}_m$  be a list consisting of  $m$  linearly independent elements of  $U$ . We claim that this list constitutes a basis of  $U$ .

Let  $\mathbf{v} \in U$ . Then the maximality of  $m$  ensures that the members of the list  $\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2, \dots, \mathbf{w}_m$  must be linearly dependent. Therefore there exist a real number  $\rho$  and real numbers  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m$ , where these real numbers  $\rho$  and  $\lambda_i$  are not all zero, such that

$$\rho \mathbf{v} + \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i \mathbf{w}_i = \mathbf{0}_V.$$

But then  $\rho \neq 0$ , because otherwise the elements  $\mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2, \dots, \mathbf{w}_m$  would be linearly dependent. It then follows that

$$\mathbf{v} = - \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{\lambda_i}{\rho} \mathbf{w}_i.$$

This shows that the linearly independent elements  $\mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2, \dots, \mathbf{w}_m$  of  $U$  span  $U$  and therefore constitute a basis of  $U$ . Thus  $U$  is a finite-dimensional vector space, and  $\dim U = m$ . But  $m \leq n$ . It follows that  $\dim U \leq \dim V$ , as required. ■

## A.4 Linear Transformations

**Definition** Let  $V$  and  $W$  be real vector spaces. A function  $\theta: V \rightarrow W$  from  $V$  to  $W$  is said to be a *linear transformation* if it satisfies the following two conditions:—

- $\theta(\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{w}) = \theta(\mathbf{v}) + \theta(\mathbf{w})$  for all  $\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \in V$ ;
- $\theta(\lambda \mathbf{v}) = \lambda \theta(\mathbf{v})$  for all  $\mathbf{v} \in V$  and for all real numbers  $\lambda$ .

**Definition** The *image* of a linear transformation  $\theta: V \rightarrow W$  between real vector spaces  $V$  and  $W$  is the subspace  $\theta(V)$  of  $W$  defined such that

$$\theta(V) = \{\theta(\mathbf{v}) : \mathbf{v} \in V\}.$$

**Definition** The *rank* of a linear transformation  $\theta: V \rightarrow W$  between real vector spaces  $V$  and  $W$  is the dimension of the image  $\theta(V)$  of  $\theta$ .



A linear transformation  $\theta: V \rightarrow W$  is *surjective* if and only if  $\theta(V) = W$ . Thus the linear transformation  $\theta: V \rightarrow W$  is surjective if and only if its rank is equal to the dimension  $\dim W$  of the codomain  $W$ .

**Definition** The *kernel* of a linear transformation  $\theta: V \rightarrow W$  between real vector spaces  $V$  and  $W$  is the subspace  $\ker \theta$  of  $V$  defined such that

$$\ker \theta = \{\mathbf{v} \in V : \theta(\mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{0}\}.$$

**Definition** The *nullity* of a linear transformation  $\theta: V \rightarrow W$  between real vector spaces  $V$  and  $W$  is the dimension of the kernel  $\ker \theta$  of  $\theta$ .

A linear transformation  $\theta: V \rightarrow W$  is *injective* if and only if  $\ker \theta = \{\mathbf{0}_V\}$ . Indeed let  $\mathbf{v}$  and  $\mathbf{v}'$  be elements of  $V$  satisfying  $\theta(\mathbf{v}) = \theta(\mathbf{v}')$ . Then

$$\theta(\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{v}') = \theta(\mathbf{v}) - \theta(\mathbf{v}') = \mathbf{0}_W,$$

and therefore  $\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{v}' \in \ker \theta$ . It follows that if  $\ker \theta = \{\mathbf{0}_W\}$  and if elements  $\mathbf{v}$  and  $\mathbf{v}'$  of  $V$  satisfy  $\theta(\mathbf{v}) = \theta(\mathbf{v}')$  then  $\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{v}' = \mathbf{0}_V$ , and therefore  $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}'$ . Thus if  $\ker \theta = \{\mathbf{0}_V\}$  then the linear transformation  $\theta: V \rightarrow W$  is injective. The converse is immediate. It follows that  $\theta: V \rightarrow W$  is injective if and only if  $\ker \theta = \{\mathbf{0}_V\}$ .

A linear transformation  $\theta: V \rightarrow W$  between vector spaces  $V$  and  $W$  is an *isomorphism* if and only if it is both injective and surjective.

**Proposition A.8** *Let  $V$  and  $W$  be finite-dimensional real vector spaces, let  $\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n$  be a basis of the vector space  $V$ , let  $\theta: V \rightarrow W$  be a linear transformation from  $V$  to  $W$ , and let  $\theta(V)$  be the image of this linear transformation. Let  $I = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ , and let  $K$  and  $L$  be subsets of  $I$  satisfying  $K \subset L$  that satisfy the following properties:—*

- *the elements  $\theta(\mathbf{u}_i)$  for which  $i \in K$  are linearly independent;*
- *the elements  $\theta(\mathbf{u}_i)$  for which  $i \in L$  span the vector space  $\theta(V)$ .*

*Then there exists a subset  $B$  of  $I$  satisfying  $K \subset B \subset L$  such that the elements  $\theta(\mathbf{u}_i)$  for which  $i \in B$  constitute a basis for the vector space  $\theta(V)$ .*

**Proof** The elements  $\theta(\mathbf{u}_i)$  for which  $i \in L$  span the real vector space  $\theta(V)$ . The result therefore follows immediately on applying Proposition A.2. ■

**Lemma A.9** *Let  $V$  and  $W$  be finite-dimensional real vector spaces, and let  $\theta: V \rightarrow W$  be a linear transformation from  $V$  to  $W$ . Let  $\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n$  be a basis of the vector space  $V$ , let  $I = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ , and let  $B$  be a subset of  $I$ . Suppose that the elements  $\theta(\mathbf{u}_i)$  for which  $i \in B$  constitute a basis of the image  $\theta(V)$  of the linear transformation  $\theta$ . Then, for each  $j \in I \setminus B$ , there exist uniquely-determined real numbers  $\kappa_{i,j}$  for all  $i \in B$  such that*

$$\mathbf{u}_j - \sum_{i \in B} \kappa_{i,j} \mathbf{u}_i \in \ker \theta.$$

**Proof** The elements  $\theta(\mathbf{u}_i)$  of  $\theta(V)$  for which  $i \in B$  constitute a basis of  $\theta(V)$ . Therefore, for each  $j \in I \setminus B$ , the element  $\theta(\mathbf{u}_j)$  may be expressed as a linear combination  $\sum_{i \in B} \kappa_{i,j} \theta(\mathbf{u}_i)$  of the basis elements. Moreover the linear independence of the basis elements ensures that the real numbers  $\kappa_{i,j}$  that occur as coefficients in this expression of  $\theta(\mathbf{u}_j)$  as a linear combination of basis elements are uniquely determined. But then

$$\theta \left( \mathbf{u}_j - \sum_{i \in B} \kappa_{i,j} \mathbf{u}_i \right) = \theta(\mathbf{u}_j) - \sum_{i \in B} \kappa_{i,j} \theta(\mathbf{u}_i) = \mathbf{0}_W,$$

and thus  $\mathbf{u}_j - \sum_{i \in B} \kappa_{i,j} \mathbf{u}_i \in \ker \theta$ , as required.  $\blacksquare$

**Proposition A.10** *Let  $V$  and  $W$  be finite-dimensional real vector spaces, and let  $\theta: V \rightarrow W$  be a linear transformation from  $V$  to  $W$ . Let  $\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n$  be a basis of the vector space  $V$ , and let  $B$  be a subset of  $I$ , where  $I = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ , with the property that the elements  $\theta(\mathbf{u}_i)$  for which  $i \in B$  constitute a basis of the image  $\theta(V)$  of the linear transformation  $\theta$ . Let*

$$\mathbf{g}_j = \mathbf{u}_j - \sum_{i \in B} \kappa_{i,j} \mathbf{u}_i,$$

*for all  $j \in I \setminus B$ , where  $\kappa_{i,j}$  are the unique real numbers for which*

$$\mathbf{u}_j - \sum_{i \in B} \kappa_{i,j} \mathbf{u}_i \in \ker \theta.$$

*Then the elements  $\mathbf{u}_i$  for  $i \in B$  and  $\mathbf{g}_j$  for  $j \in I \setminus B$  together constitute a basis for the vector space  $V$ .*

**Proof** Let  $\lambda_i$  for  $i \in B$  and  $\mu_j$  for  $j \in I \setminus B$  are real numbers with the property that

$$\sum_{i \in B} \lambda_i \mathbf{u}_i + \sum_{j \in I \setminus B} \mu_j \mathbf{g}_j = \mathbf{0}_V.$$

Then

$$\sum_{i \in B} \left( \lambda_i - \sum_{j \in I \setminus B} \kappa_{i,j} \mu_j \right) \mathbf{u}_i + \sum_{j \in I \setminus B} \mu_j \mathbf{u}_j = \mathbf{0}_V.$$

It then follows from the linear independence of  $\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n$  that  $\lambda_i - \sum_{j \in I \setminus B} \kappa_{i,j} \mu_j = 0$  for all  $i \in B$  and  $\mu_j = 0$  for all  $j \in I \setminus B$ . But then  $\lambda_i = 0$  for all  $i \in B$ . This shows that the elements  $\mathbf{u}_i$  for  $i \in B$  and  $\mathbf{g}_j$  for  $j \in I \setminus B$  are linearly independent.

Let  $\mathbf{v} \in V$ . Then there exist real numbers  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n$  such that  $\mathbf{v} = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \mathbf{u}_i$ . But then

$$\mathbf{v} = \sum_{i \in B} \left( \lambda_i + \sum_{j \in I \setminus B} \kappa_{i,j} \lambda_j \right) \mathbf{u}_i + \sum_{j \in I \setminus B} \lambda_j \mathbf{g}_j.$$

It follows that the elements  $\mathbf{u}_i$  for  $i \in B$  and  $\mathbf{g}_j$  for  $j \in I \setminus B$  span the vector space  $V$ . We have shown that these elements are linearly independent. It follows that they constitute a basis for the vector space  $V$ , as required. ■

**Corollary A.11** *Let  $V$  and  $W$  be finite-dimensional real vector spaces, let  $\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n$  be a basis of the vector space  $V$ , let  $\theta: V \rightarrow W$  be a linear transformation from  $V$  to  $W$ , let  $B$  be a subset of  $I$ , where  $I = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ , with the property that the elements  $\theta(\mathbf{u}_i)$  for which  $i \in B$  constitute a basis of the image  $\theta(V)$  of the linear transformation  $\theta$ , and let*

$$\mathbf{g}_j = \mathbf{u}_j - \sum_{i \in B} \kappa_{i,j} \mathbf{u}_i,$$

*for all  $j \in I \setminus B$ , where  $\kappa_{i,j}$  are the unique real numbers for which  $\mathbf{u}_j - \sum_{i \in B} \kappa_{i,j} \mathbf{u}_i \in \ker \theta$ . Then the elements  $\mathbf{g}_j$  for  $j \in I \setminus B$  constitute a basis for  $\ker \theta$ .*

**Proof** We have shown that the elements  $\mathbf{u}_i$  for  $i \in B$  and  $\mathbf{g}_j$  for  $j \in I \setminus B$  together constitute a basis for the vector space  $B$  (Proposition A.10). It follows that the elements  $\mathbf{g}_j$  for which  $j \in I \setminus B$  are linearly independent.

Let  $\mathbf{v} \in \ker \theta$ . Then there exist real numbers  $\lambda_i$  for  $i \in B$  and  $\mu_j$  for  $j \in I \setminus B$  such that

$$\mathbf{v} = \sum_{i \in B} \lambda_i \mathbf{u}_i + \sum_{j \in I \setminus B} \mu_j \mathbf{g}_j.$$

Now  $\theta(\mathbf{g}_j) = \mathbf{0}_W$  for all  $j \in I \setminus B$ , because  $\mathbf{g}_j \in \ker \theta$ . Also  $\theta(\mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{0}_W$ , because  $\mathbf{v} \in \ker \theta$ . It follows that

$$\mathbf{0}_W = \theta(\mathbf{v}) = \sum_{i \in B} \lambda_i \theta(\mathbf{u}_i).$$

However the subset  $B$  of  $I$  has the property that the elements  $\theta(\mathbf{u}_i)$  for  $i \in B$  constitute a basis of the vector space  $\theta(V)$ . It follows that  $\lambda_i = 0$  for all  $i \in B$ . Thus

$$\mathbf{v} = \sum_{j \in I \setminus B} \mu_j \mathbf{g}_j.$$

This proves that the elements  $\mathbf{g}_j$  for  $j \in I \setminus B$  span the kernel  $\ker \theta$  of the linear transformation  $\theta: V \rightarrow W$ . These elements have been shown to be linearly independent. It follows that they constitute a basis for  $\ker \theta$ , as required. ■

**Corollary A.12** *Let  $V$  and  $W$  be finite-dimensional vector spaces, let  $\theta: V \rightarrow W$  be a linear transformation from  $V$  to  $W$ , and let  $\text{rank}(\theta)$  and  $\text{nullity}(\theta)$  denote the rank and nullity respectively of the linear transformation  $\theta$ . Then*

$$\text{rank}(\theta) + \text{nullity}(\theta) = \dim V.$$

**Proof** Let  $\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n$  be a basis of the vector space  $V$ . Then there exists a subset  $B$  of  $I$ , where  $I = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ , with the property that the elements  $\theta(\mathbf{u}_i)$  for which  $i \in B$  constitute a basis of the image  $\theta(V)$  of the linear transformation  $\theta$  (see Proposition A.8). Let

$$\mathbf{g}_j = \mathbf{u}_j - \sum_{i \in B} \kappa_{i,j} \mathbf{u}_i,$$

for all  $j \in I \setminus B$ , where  $\kappa_{i,j}$  are the unique real numbers for which

$$\mathbf{u}_j - \sum_{i \in B} \kappa_{i,j} \mathbf{u}_i \in \ker \theta.$$

Then the elements  $\mathbf{g}_j$  for  $j \in I \setminus B$  constitute a basis for  $\ker \theta$ .

Now the rank of the linear transformation  $\theta$  is by definition the dimension of the real vector space  $\theta(V)$ , and is thus equal to the number of elements in any basis of that vector space. The elements  $\theta(\mathbf{u}_i)$  for  $i \in B$  constitute a basis of that vector space. Therefore  $\text{rank}(\theta) = |B|$ , where  $|B|$  denotes the number of integers belonging to the finite set  $B$ . Similarly the nullity of  $\theta$  is by definition the dimension of the kernel  $\ker \theta$  of  $\theta$ . The elements  $\mathbf{g}_j$  for

$j \in I \setminus B$  constitute a basis of  $\ker \theta$ . Therefore  $\text{nullity}(\theta) = |I \setminus B|$ , where  $|I \setminus B|$  denotes the number of integers belonging to the finite set  $I \setminus B$ .

Now  $|B| + |I \setminus B| = n$ . It follows that

$$\text{rank}(\theta) + \text{nullity}(\theta) = n = \dim V,$$

as required. ■

## A.5 Dual Spaces

**Definition** Let  $V$  be a real vector space. A *linear functional*  $\varphi: V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  on  $V$  is a linear transformation from the vector space  $V$  to the field  $\mathbb{R}$  of real numbers.

Given linear functionals  $\varphi: V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $\psi: V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  on a real vector space  $V$ , and given any real number  $\lambda$ , we define  $\varphi + \psi$  and  $\lambda\varphi$  to be the linear functionals on  $V$  defined such that  $(\varphi + \psi)(\mathbf{v}) = \varphi(\mathbf{v}) + \psi(\mathbf{v})$  and  $(\lambda\varphi)(\mathbf{v}) = \lambda\varphi(\mathbf{v})$  for all  $\mathbf{v} \in V$ .

The set  $V^*$  of linear functionals on a real vector space  $V$  is itself a real vector space with respect to the algebraic operations of addition and multiplication-by-scalars defined above.

**Definition** Let  $V$  be a real vector space. The *dual space*  $V^*$  of  $V$  is the vector space whose elements are the linear functionals on the vector space  $V$ .

Now suppose that the real vector space  $V$  is finite-dimensional. Let  $\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n$  be a basis of  $V$ , where  $n = \dim V$ . Given any  $\mathbf{v} \in V$  there exist uniquely-determined real numbers  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n$  such that  $\mathbf{v} = \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j \mathbf{u}_j$ .

It follows that there are well-defined functions  $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \dots, \varepsilon_n$  from  $V$  to the field  $\mathbb{R}$  defined such that

$$\varepsilon_i \left( \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j \mathbf{u}_j \right) = \lambda_i$$

for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$  and for all real numbers  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n$ . These functions are linear transformations, and are thus linear functionals on  $V$ .

**Lemma A.13** *Let  $V$  be a finite-dimensional real vector space, let*

$$\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n$$

be a basis of  $V$ , and let  $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \dots, \varepsilon_n$  be the linear functionals on  $V$  defined such that

$$\varepsilon_i \left( \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j \mathbf{u}_j \right) = \lambda_i$$

for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$  and for all real numbers  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n$ . Then  $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \dots, \varepsilon_n$  constitute a basis of the dual space  $V^*$  of  $V$ . Moreover

$$\varphi = \sum_{i=1}^n \varphi(\mathbf{u}_i) \varepsilon_i$$

for all  $\varphi \in V^*$ .

**Proof** Let  $\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_n$  be real numbers with the property that  $\sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i \varepsilon_i = \mathbf{0}_{V^*}$ . Then

$$0 = \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i \varepsilon_i \right) (\mathbf{u}_j) = \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i \varepsilon_i(\mathbf{u}_j) = \mu_j$$

for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Thus the linear functionals  $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \dots, \varepsilon_n$  on  $V$  are linearly independent elements of the dual space  $V^*$ .

Now let  $\varphi: V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a linear functional on  $V$ , and let  $\mu_i = \varphi(\mathbf{u}_i)$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Now

$$\varepsilon_i(\mathbf{u}_j) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i = j; \\ 0 & \text{if } i \neq j. \end{cases}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i \varepsilon_i \right) \left( \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j \mathbf{u}_j \right) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \mu_i \lambda_j \varepsilon_i(\mathbf{u}_j) = \sum_{j=1}^n \mu_j \lambda_j \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j \varphi(\mathbf{u}_j) = \varphi \left( \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j \mathbf{u}_j \right) \end{aligned}$$

for all real numbers  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n$ .

It follows that

$$\varphi = \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i \varepsilon_i = \sum_{i=1}^n \varphi(\mathbf{u}_i) \varepsilon_i.$$

We conclude from this that every linear functional on  $V$  can be expressed as a linear combination of  $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \dots, \varepsilon_n$ . Thus these linear functionals span  $V^*$ . We have previously shown that they are linearly independent. It follows that they constitute a basis of  $V^*$ . Moreover we have verified that  $\varphi = \sum_{i=1}^n \varphi(\mathbf{u}_i) \varepsilon_i$  for all  $\varphi \in V^*$ , as required.  $\blacksquare$

**Definition** Let  $V$  be a finite-dimensional real vector space, let  $\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n$  be a basis of  $V$ . The corresponding *dual basis* of the dual space  $V^*$  of  $V$  consists of the linear functionals  $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \dots, \varepsilon_n$  on  $V$ , where

$$\varepsilon_i \left( \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j \mathbf{u}_j \right) = \lambda_i$$

for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$  and for all real numbers  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n$ .

**Corollary A.14** *Let  $V$  be a finite-dimensional real vector space, and let  $V^*$  be the dual space of  $V$ . Then  $\dim V^* = \dim V$ .*

**Proof** We have shown that any basis of  $V$  gives rise to a dual basis of  $V^*$ , where the dual basis of  $V$  has the same number of elements as the basis of  $V$  to which it corresponds. The result follows immediately from the fact that the dimension of a finite-dimensional real vector space is the number of elements in any basis of that vector space. ■

Let  $V$  be a real-vector space, and let  $V^*$  be the dual space of  $V$ . Then  $V^*$  is itself a real vector space, and therefore has a dual space  $V^{**}$ . Now each element  $\mathbf{v}$  of  $V$  determines a corresponding linear functional  $E_{\mathbf{v}}: V^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  on  $V^*$ , where  $E_{\mathbf{v}}(\varphi) = \varphi(\mathbf{v})$  for all  $\varphi \in V^*$ . It follows that there exists a function  $\iota: V \rightarrow V^{**}$  defined so that  $\iota(\mathbf{v}) = E_{\mathbf{v}}$  for all  $\mathbf{v} \in V$ . Then  $\iota(\mathbf{v})(\varphi) = \varphi(\mathbf{v})$  for all  $\mathbf{v} \in V$  and  $\varphi \in V^*$ .

Now

$$\iota(\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{w})(\varphi) = \varphi(\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{w}) = \varphi(\mathbf{v}) + \varphi(\mathbf{w}) = (\iota(\mathbf{v}) + \iota(\mathbf{w}))(\varphi)$$

and

$$\iota(\lambda \mathbf{v})(\varphi) = \varphi(\lambda \mathbf{v}) = \lambda \varphi(\mathbf{v}) = (\lambda \iota(\mathbf{v}))(\varphi)$$

for all  $\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \in V$  and  $\varphi \in V^*$  and for all real numbers  $\lambda$ . It follows that  $\iota(\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{w}) = \iota(\mathbf{v}) + \iota(\mathbf{w})$  and  $\iota(\lambda \mathbf{v}) = \lambda \iota(\mathbf{v})$  for all  $\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \in V$  and for all real numbers  $\lambda$ . Thus  $\iota: V \rightarrow V^{**}$  is a linear transformation.

**Proposition A.15** *Let  $V$  be a finite-dimensional real vector space, and let  $\iota: V \rightarrow V^{**}$  be the linear transformation defined such that  $\iota(\mathbf{v})(\varphi) = \varphi(\mathbf{v})$  for all  $\mathbf{v} \in V$  and  $\varphi \in V^*$ . Then  $\iota: V \rightarrow V^{**}$  is an isomorphism of real vector spaces.*

**Proof** Let  $\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n$  be a basis of  $V$ , let  $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \dots, \varepsilon_n$  be the dual basis of  $V^*$ , where

$$\varepsilon_i(\mathbf{u}_j) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i = j, \\ 0 & \text{if } i \neq j, \end{cases}$$

and let  $\mathbf{v} \in V$ . Then there exist real numbers  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n$  such that  $\mathbf{v} = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \mathbf{u}_i$ .

Suppose that  $\iota(\mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{0}_{V^{**}}$ . Then  $\varphi(\mathbf{v}) = E_{\mathbf{v}}(\varphi) = 0$  for all  $\varphi \in V^*$ . In particular  $\lambda_i = \varepsilon_i(\mathbf{v}) = 0$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , and therefore  $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}_V$ . We conclude that  $\iota: V \rightarrow V^{**}$  is injective.

Now let  $F: V^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a linear functional on  $V^*$ , let  $\lambda_i = F(\varepsilon_i)$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , let  $\mathbf{v} = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \mathbf{u}_i$ , and let  $\varphi \in V^*$ . Then  $\varphi = \sum_{i=1}^n \varphi(\mathbf{u}_i) \varepsilon_i$  (see Lemma A.13), and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \iota(\mathbf{v})(\varphi) &= \varphi(\mathbf{v}) = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \varphi(\mathbf{u}_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n F(\varepsilon_i) \varphi(\mathbf{u}_i) \\ &= F\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \varphi(\mathbf{u}_i) \varepsilon_i\right) = F(\varphi). \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\iota(\mathbf{v}) = F$ . We conclude that the linear transformation  $\iota: V \rightarrow V^{**}$  is surjective. We have previously shown that this linear transformation is injective. There  $\iota: V \rightarrow V^{**}$  is an isomorphism between the real vector spaces  $V$  and  $V^{**}$  as required. ■

The following corollary is an immediate consequence of Proposition A.15.

**Corollary A.16** *Let  $V$  be a finite-dimensional real vector space, and let  $V^*$  be the dual space of  $V$ . Then, given any linear functional  $F: V^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , there exists some  $\mathbf{v} \in V$  such that  $F(\varphi) = \varphi(\mathbf{v})$  for all  $\varphi \in V^*$ .*

**Definition** Let  $V$  and  $W$  be real vector spaces, and let  $\theta: V \rightarrow W$  be a linear transformation from  $V$  to  $W$ . The *adjoint*  $\theta^*: W^* \rightarrow V^*$  of the linear transformation  $\theta: V \rightarrow W$  is the linear transformation from the dual space  $W^*$  of  $W$  to the dual space  $V^*$  of  $V$  defined such that  $(\theta^* \eta)(\mathbf{v}) = \eta(\theta(\mathbf{v}))$  for all  $\mathbf{v} \in V$  and  $\eta \in W^*$ .

## A.6 Linear Transformations and Matrices

Let  $V$  and  $V'$  be finite-dimensional vector spaces, let  $\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n$  be a basis of  $V$ , and let  $\mathbf{u}'_1, \mathbf{u}'_2, \dots, \mathbf{u}'_{n'}$  be a basis of  $V'$ . Then every linear transformation  $\theta: V \rightarrow V'$  can be represented with respect to these bases by an  $n' \times n$  matrix, where  $n = \dim V$  and  $n' = \dim V'$ . The basic formulae are presented in the following proposition.



**Proposition A.17** Let  $V$  and  $V'$  be finite-dimensional vector spaces, and let  $\theta: V \rightarrow V'$  be a linear transformation from  $V$  to  $V'$ . Let  $\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n$  be a basis of  $V$ , and let  $\mathbf{u}'_1, \mathbf{u}'_2, \dots, \mathbf{u}'_{n'}$  be a basis of  $V'$ . Let  $A$  be the  $n' \times n$  matrix whose coefficients  $(A)_{k,j}$  are determined such that  $\theta(\mathbf{u}_j) = \sum_{k=1}^{n'} (A)_{k,j} \mathbf{u}'_k$  for  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n'$ . Then

$$\theta \left( \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j \mathbf{u}_j \right) = \sum_{k=1}^{n'} \mu_k \mathbf{u}'_k,$$

where  $\mu_k = \sum_{j=1}^n (A)_{k,j} \lambda_j$  for  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n'$ .

**Proof** This result is a straightforward calculation, using the linearity of  $\theta: V \rightarrow V'$ . Indeed

$$\begin{aligned} \theta \left( \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j \mathbf{u}_j \right) &= \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j \theta(\mathbf{u}_j) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^{n'} (A)_{k,j} \lambda_j \mathbf{u}'_k. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that  $\theta \left( \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j \mathbf{u}_j \right) = \sum_{k=1}^{n'} \mu_k \mathbf{u}'_k$ , where  $\mu_k = \sum_{j=1}^n (A)_{k,j} \lambda_j$  for  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n'$ , as required. ■

**Corollary A.18** Let  $V$ ,  $V'$  and  $V''$  be finite-dimensional vector spaces, and let  $\theta: V \rightarrow V'$  be a linear transformation from  $V$  to  $V'$  and let  $\psi: V' \rightarrow V''$  be a linear transformation from  $V'$  to  $V''$ . Let  $A$  and  $B$  be the matrices representing the linear transformations  $\theta$  and  $\psi$  respectively with respect to chosen bases of  $V$ ,  $V'$  and  $V''$ . Then the matrix representing the composition  $\psi \circ \theta$  of the linear transformations  $\theta$  and  $\psi$  is the product  $BA$  of the matrices representing those linear transformations.

**Proof** Let  $\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n$  be a basis of  $V$ , let  $\mathbf{u}'_1, \mathbf{u}'_2, \dots, \mathbf{u}'_{n'}$  be a basis of  $V'$ , and let  $\mathbf{u}''_1, \mathbf{u}''_2, \dots, \mathbf{u}''_{n''}$  be a basis of  $V''$ . Let  $A$  and  $B$  be the matrices whose coefficients  $(A)_{k,j}$  and  $(B)_{i,k}$  are determined such that  $\theta(\mathbf{u}_j) = \sum_{k=1}^{n'} (A)_{k,j} \mathbf{u}'_k$

for  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n'$  and  $\psi(\mathbf{u}'_k) = \sum_{i=1}^{n''} (B)_{i,k} \mathbf{u}''_i$ . Then

$$\psi \left( \theta \left( \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j \mathbf{u}_j \right) \right) = \sum_{i=1}^{n''} \nu_i \mathbf{u}''_i,$$

where

$$\nu_i = \sum_{j=1}^n \left( \sum_{k=1}^{n'} (B)_{l,k} (A)_{k,j} \right) \lambda_j$$

for  $l = 1, 2, \dots, p$ . Thus the composition  $\psi \circ \theta$  of the linear transformations  $\theta: V \rightarrow V'$  and  $\psi: V' \rightarrow V''$  is represented by the product  $BA$  of the matrix  $B$  representing  $\psi$  and the matrix  $A$  representing  $\theta$  with respect to the chosen bases of  $V$ ,  $V'$  and  $V''$ , as required. ■

**Lemma A.19** *Let  $V$  and  $W$  be finite-dimensional real vector spaces, and let  $\theta: V \rightarrow W$  be a linear transformation from  $V$  to  $W$ . Let  $\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n$  be a basis of  $V$ , let  $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \dots, \varepsilon_n$  be the corresponding dual basis of the dual space  $V^*$  of  $V$ , let  $\mathbf{u}'_1, \mathbf{u}'_2, \dots, \mathbf{u}'_{n'}$  be a basis of  $W$ , and let  $\varepsilon'_1, \varepsilon'_2, \dots, \varepsilon'_{n'}$  be the corresponding dual basis of the dual space  $W^*$  of  $W$ . Then the matrix representing the adjoint  $\theta^*: W^* \rightarrow V^*$  of  $\theta: V \rightarrow W$  with respect to the dual bases of  $W^*$  and  $V^*$  is the transpose of the matrix representing  $\theta: V \rightarrow W$  with respect to the chosen bases of  $V$  and  $W$ .*

**Proof** Let  $A$  be the  $n' \times n$  matrix representing the linear transformation  $\theta: V \rightarrow W$  with respect to the chosen bases. Then  $\varphi(\mathbf{u}_j) = \sum_{i=1}^{n'} (A)_{i,j} \mathbf{u}'_i$  for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Let  $\mathbf{v} \in V$  and  $\eta \in W^*$ , let  $\mathbf{v} = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \mathbf{v}_i$ , let  $\eta = \sum_{j=1}^{n'} c_j \varepsilon'_j$ , where  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n$  and  $c_1, c_2, \dots, c_{n'}$  are real numbers. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (\theta^* \eta)(\mathbf{v}) &= \eta(\theta(\mathbf{v})) = \eta \left( \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j \theta(\mathbf{u}_j) \right) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j \eta(\theta(\mathbf{u}_j)) = \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j \eta \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n'} (A)_{i,j} \mathbf{u}'_i \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n'} \sum_{j=1}^n (A)_{i,j} \lambda_j \eta(\mathbf{u}'_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{n'} \sum_{j=1}^n (A)_{i,j} \lambda_j c_i. \end{aligned}$$

Thus if

$$\eta = \sum_{j=1}^{n'} c_j \varepsilon'_j,$$

where  $c_1, c_2, \dots, c_{n'}$  are real numbers, then

$$\theta^* \eta = \sum_{j=1}^n h_j \varepsilon_j,$$

where

$$h_j = \sum_{i=1}^{n'} (A)_{i,j} c_i = \sum_{i=1}^{n'} (A^T)_{j,i} c_i$$

for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , and where  $A^T$  is the transpose of the matrix  $A$ , defined so that  $(A^T)_{j,i} = A_{i,j}$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n'$  and  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . It follows from this that the matrix that represents the adjoint  $\theta^*$  with respect to the dual bases on  $W^*$  and  $V^*$  is the transpose of the matrix  $A$  that represents  $\theta$  with respect to the chosen bases on  $V$  and  $W$ , as required. ■