

1. (a) Use True/False tables to prove the following

$$\text{S i. } P \vee (Q \wedge R) \equiv (P \vee Q) \wedge (P \vee R).$$

$$\text{S ii. } (P \rightarrow Q) \wedge (R \rightarrow Q) \equiv (P \vee R \rightarrow Q).$$

- (b) Write down the negation of the following statements

S i. If  $f$  is continuous and  $O$  is open then  $f^{-1}(O)$  is open.

S ii.  $\forall x \exists y$  such that  $P(x, y) \rightarrow Q(x, y)$ .

S(c) Define what it means for two sets to have the same cardinal number.

2. (a) Define what it means for a set to be countable. Prove that if  $X$  and  $Y$  are countable sets then the set  $X \times Y$  is countable. Is the set  $\prod_1^\infty \{0, 1\}$  countable? Prove or disprove.

- (b) Define partially ordered set and totally ordered set. State Zorn's Lemma.

- (c) State the Schroeder-Bernstein Theorem and Cantor's Theorem. Prove one of them.

3. (a) State the least upper bound axiom for the real numbers. Use it to prove the Nested Intervals Theorem.

- (b) Define open subset, closed subset and compact subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ . Prove that a subset is compact if and only if it is closed and bounded.

- (c) Define  $A^o$ , and  $\bar{A}$ , for  $A$  a subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ , and prove  $(A^o)^c = \overline{A^c}$ .

4. (a) Prove that every bounded sequence in  $\mathbb{R}$  has a convergent subsequence.

- (b) Prove that every Cauchy sequence in  $\mathbb{R}$  converges in  $\mathbb{R}$ .

- (c) Define uniformly continuous function on a subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ . And prove that any continuous function on a compact subset is uniformly continuous there.

MA1124 May 2014

## Solutions

1(a)(ii)

P	Q	R	$P \vee Q$	$P \vee R$	$(P \vee Q) \wedge (P \vee R)$	$Q \wedge R$	$P \vee (Q \wedge R)$
T	T	T	T	T	T	T	T
T	T	F	T	T	T	F	T
T	F	T	T	T	T	F	T
T	F	F	T	T	T	F	T
F	T	T	T	T	T	T	T
F	T	F	T	F	F	F	F
F	F	T	F	T	F	F	F
F	F	F	F	F	F	F	F
			*			*	*

$$\text{So } P \vee (Q \wedge R) \equiv (P \vee Q) \wedge (P \vee R)$$

P	Q	R	$P \rightarrow Q$	$R \rightarrow Q$	$(P \rightarrow Q) \wedge (R \rightarrow Q)$	$P \vee R$	$(P \vee R) \rightarrow Q$
T	T	T	T	T	T	T	T
T	T	F	T	T	T	T	T
T	F	T	F	F	F	T	F
T	F	F	F	T	F	F	F
F	T	T	T	T	T	T	T
F	T	F	T	T	T	F	F
F	F	T	T	F	F	T	F
F	F	F	*	T	T	F	*
					*		*

$$\text{So } (P \rightarrow Q) \wedge (R \rightarrow Q) \equiv (P \vee R) \rightarrow Q$$

(b) (i) If  $f$  is cont. and  $O$  is open  
then  $f^{-1}(O)$  is open.

The negation is

For some  $f$  cont. and  $O$  open,  
 $f^{-1}(O)$  is not open.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(ii)} \quad & (\forall x \exists y P(x,y) \rightarrow Q(x,y))' \\ &= \exists x \forall y P(x,y) \nrightarrow Q(x,y) \end{aligned}$$

c) Two sets have the same cardinal number means there exists a bijective (1-1 & onto) mapping between them.

2. (a) A set  $X$  is countable if it has the same cardinal number as a subset of  $\mathbb{Z}^+$

If  $X$  and  $Y$  are countable then they can be written  $X = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, \dots\}$  and  $Y = \{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n, \dots\}$  where  $X$  and  $Y$  might be finite.

Then  $X \times Y = \{(x_i, y_j) \mid i, j\}$ , and we may write the elements of our array

$$(x_1, y_1), (x_1, y_2), \dots, (x_1, y_n)$$

$$(x_2, y_1), (x_2, y_2), \dots, (x_2, y_n)$$

$$\vdots$$

$$(x_n, y_1), (x_n, y_2), \dots, (x_n, y_n)$$

We can then list the pairs as indicated.

The set  $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N} \setminus \{0, 1\}}$  is countable.

We can prove this in various ways.

1. We can show that  $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N} \setminus \{0, 1\}}$  is in 1-1 correspondence with the subsets of  $\mathbb{N}$  and then use Cantor's Theorem - see notes OR.

2. If  $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N} \setminus \{0, 1\}}$  can be listed

$x_1 = x_{11}, x_{12}, x_{13}, \dots, x_{1n}, \dots$

$x_2 = x_{21}, x_{22}, x_{23}, \dots, x_{2n}, \dots$

$\vdots$

$\ddots$

$x_n = x_{n1}, x_{n2}, \dots, x_{nn}, \dots$

$\vdots$

$\vdots$

where each  $x_{ij} = 0$  or 1.

Let  $y = (\bar{x}_{11}, \bar{x}_{22}, \dots, \bar{x}_{nn})$ ,

where  $\bar{x}_{ij} = 1$  if  $x_{ij} = 0$   
 $= 0$  if  $x_{ij} = 1$ .

then  $y \in T_i^{\sigma(1), 1}$ , but  $y$  is  
not on our list.

A) A set  $X$  and a relation  $\leq$  is

a partially ordered set  $(X, \leq)$  if

(i)  $x \leq x \vee x \in X$

(ii)  $x \leq y$  and  $y \leq z \rightarrow x \leq z$

(iii)  $x \leq y$  and  $y \leq z \rightarrow x \leq z$ .

A partially ordered set is totally ordered if  $\forall x, y \in X$ ,  $x \leq y$  or  $y \leq x$ .

Zorn's Lemma: If  $(X, \leq)$  is a partially ordered set such that each totally ordered subset has an upper bound, then  $X$  has a maximal element.

(c) Schröder-Bernstein: For two sets  $X$  and  $Y$ , if  $\exists f: X \rightarrow Y$  injective and  $g: Y \rightarrow X$  injective, then  $\exists h: X \rightarrow Y$ , bijective.

(d) Cantor's Theorem: For any set  $X$  the cardinal number of the power set (set of all subsets) of  $X$  is greater than that of  $X$ .

For proofs - see text book.

3 (a) Least Upper Bound Axiom for  $\mathbb{R}$ :

If  $A \subset \mathbb{R}$  is bounded then  $A$  has a least upper bound.

Nested Intervals Theorem - see book.

(b)  $A \subset \mathbb{R}$  is open means every point

in  $A$  is an interior point

i.e.  $\forall x \in A \exists \epsilon > 0$  s.t.

$$B_\epsilon(x) \subset A.$$

$A \subset \mathbb{R}$  is closed means that if

$x$  is a limit point of  $A$  then  
 $x \in A$ .

$A \subset \mathbb{R}$  is compact means that

every open cover of  $A$  has a finite subcover.

If  $A$  is closed + bounded then

$A$  is compact = Heine-Borel

[but slightly more general than  
in the book].

Case 1 Let  $A = [a, b] \subseteq \mathbb{I}_1, A \subset \cup O_{d_i}$   
assume no finite subcover.

Consider  $[a, \frac{a+b}{2}] + [\frac{a+b}{2}, b]$ . All  
both of these have a finite subcover  
than so does  $A$ . So one (at  
least) does not have a finite  
subcover call it  $I_2$ . Keep  
going. Get a sequence  
 $I_1 \supset I_2 \supset \dots \supset I_n \supset \dots$ .

If this stops we have a finite  
subcover for  $A$ .

By Nested Intervals Theorem

$\cap I_n \neq \emptyset$ , say  $h \in \cap I_n$ .

then  $h \in$  some  $O_{d_i} - \text{open}$

$\exists B_\epsilon(h) \subset O_{d_i}$ .  $\overbrace{\quad\quad\quad}^{h-c \leq \epsilon \leq h+c}$

Now  $h \in I_n \forall n$ , and  $|I_n| \rightarrow 0$ .

but if  $|I_m| < \epsilon$  then  $I_m \subset B_\epsilon(h)$

and hence  $I_m \subset O_{d_i}$ , contradiction

no finite subcover.

Case 2. If closed & bounded. Then  
 $\exists [a, b] \supseteq A$ . Let add  
 $A^c$  to  $\mathcal{O}_{\alpha_3}$  and we have  
an open cover of  $[a, b]$ . Apply

Case 1  $\exists A^c \cup O_{d_1} \cup O_{d_2} \cup O_{d_3} \supseteq A$ .

But  $A \cap A^c = \emptyset$

$$\therefore A \subset O_{d_1} \cup O_{d_2} \cup O_{d_3}$$

Conversely if  $A$  is not bounded  
e.g. not bounded above  
then  $A \subset U(-\infty, n)$  and it  
cannot have a finite subcover  
and if  $A$  is not closed. Let  
 $x$  be an accumulation point not  
in  $A$ . Then

$$A \subset U(-\infty, x - 1/n) \cup (x + 1/n, \infty)$$

is an open cover with no  
finite subcover.

(c)  $A^\circ = \{x \in A : x \text{ is an interior point}\}$

$$\bar{A} = \{x : x \text{ is a limit point of } A\}$$
$$= A \cup \text{acc points of } A.$$

Let  $x \in (A^\circ)^c$ , then  $x \notin A^\circ$

$$\Leftrightarrow \forall \epsilon > 0, B_\epsilon(x) \cap A^c \neq \emptyset.$$

$\Leftrightarrow x$  is a limit point of  $A^c$

$$\Leftrightarrow x \in \overline{A^c},$$

Hence  $(A^\circ)^c = \overline{A^c}$ .

4. (a) Let  $\{x_n\}$  be a bounded sequence

in  $\mathbb{R}$ , then  $\exists I_1 = [a, b] \text{ s.t.}$

$\{x_n\} \subset I_1$ . Consider  $[a, \frac{a+b}{2}]$  and

$[\frac{a+b}{2}, b]$ . One of these (at least)

must contain an infinite number  
of terms of the sequence. Call  
this  $I_2$ . Proceed. We get

$$I_1 \supset I_2 \supset I_3 \supset I_4 \supset \dots$$

and each  $I_n$  contains

an infinite number of the  $x_n$ 's.

In closed nested intervals  $\rightarrow \bigcap I_n \neq \emptyset$ .

Let  $b \in \bigcap I_n$ , choose any  $x_b \in I_1$ .

Choose  $x_{n_2}$  with  $n_2 > n_1$  in  $I_2$

and having chosen  $x_{n_i}$  in  $I_i$ ,

choose  $x_{n_{i+1}}$  in  $I_{n_{i+1}}$  with

$n_{i+1} > n_i$ . We can do

this at each stage since

each  $I_n$  contains infinitely

many  $x_n$ 's.

Since  $|I_n| \rightarrow 0$  we see

that  $x_{n_i} \rightarrow b$  and we  
have our convergent  
subsequence.

(b) every Cauchy sequence in  $\mathbb{R}$  is bounded

Proof:

Let  $\epsilon = 1$ ,  $\exists N$  s.t  $n, m \geq N \rightarrow |x_n - x_m| < 1$ . In particular  $\forall n \geq N \quad |x_n - x_N| < 1$ .

Let  $M = \max\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N, x_{N+1}\}$   
 Then  $x_n \leq M \quad \forall n$ .

Let  $m = \min\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N, x_{N+1}\}$   
 Then  $x_n \geq m \quad \forall n$ .

So our Cauchy sequence is bounded.  
 Apply part (a) to get a

convergent subsequence  $x_{n_k} \rightarrow x$  say.

Next claim all of  $x_n \rightarrow x$ .

Given  $\epsilon > 0 \quad \exists N_1$  s.t

$$n, m \geq N_1 \rightarrow |x_n - x_m| < \epsilon/2$$

this is because  $\{x_n\}$  is a

Cauchy sequence.

$$\begin{aligned} & x_{n_k} \rightarrow x \text{ so } \exists N_2 \text{ s.t } x_{n_k} > N_2 \\ & \rightarrow |x_{n_k} - x| < \epsilon/2 \end{aligned}$$

Let  $N = \max(N_1, N_2)$ , choose  $n_{j_0}$

with  $n_{j_0} > N$  then  $b_n \geq N$

$$\begin{aligned}|x_n - x| &\leq |x_n - x_{n_{j_0}}| + |x_{n_{j_0}} - x| \\&< \epsilon/2 + \epsilon/2 = \epsilon.\end{aligned}$$

Hence  $x_n \rightarrow x$ .

(c) If  $f$  is uniformly continuous on  $A \subset \mathbb{R}$

means  $\forall \epsilon > 0 \exists \delta > 0$  s.t.  $0 < |x_1 - x_2| < \delta$

$$\rightarrow |f(x_1) - f(x_2)| < \epsilon.$$

Let  $A$  be compact,  $f$  continuous on  $A$ .

$\forall \epsilon, \exists \delta_x \neq \delta_y$  s.t.

$$\epsilon < |x - y| < \delta_x \rightarrow |f(x) - f(y)| < \epsilon/2.$$

Now  $\{B_{\delta_x}^{(x_i)}\}_{x_i \in A}$  are an open cover

for  $A$  so we have a finite

subcover  $B_{\delta_x}^{(x_1)}, \dots, B_{\delta_x}^{(x_n)}$ .

Let  $x_1, x_2 \in A$  with  $|x_1 - x_2| < \delta_x \min_{i=1, \dots, n} \delta_i$

$x_1 \in B_{\delta_x}^{(x_{j_0})}$  some  $x_{j_0}$ .

and  $|x_1 - x_2| < \frac{1}{2} \delta_{x_1^c} \rightarrow 0$

$x_2$  also is in  $B_{\frac{1}{2}\delta_{x_1^c}}(x_1)$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned}|f(x_1) - f(x_2)| &\leq |f(x_1) - f(x_1^c)| + |f(x_1^c) - f(x_2)| \\&< \epsilon_1/2 + \epsilon_2 = \epsilon.\end{aligned}$$