

# A note on positivity of elementary operators

Richard M. Timoney

## Abstract

We show that operators on  $n \times n$  matrices which are representable in the form  $T(X) = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} a_i X b_i$  (for  $a_i$  and  $b_i$   $n \times n$  matrices) and are  $k$ -positive for  $k = \lfloor \sqrt{\ell} \rfloor$  must be completely positive. As a consequence, elementary operators on a  $C^*$ -algebra with minimal length  $\ell$  which are  $k$ -positive for  $k = \lfloor \sqrt{\ell} \rfloor$  must be completely positive.

For  $A$  a  $C^*$ -algebra, an operator  $T: A \rightarrow A$  is called an *elementary operator* if  $T$  can be expressed in the form  $Tx = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} a_i x b_i$  with  $a_i$  and  $b_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq \ell$ ) in the multiplier algebra  $M(A)$  of  $A$ . (We will mainly be concerned with the case where  $A$  is the algebra  $M_n(\mathbb{C})$  of  $n \times n$  matrices and then  $M(A) = A$ . Indeed  $M(A) = A$  if  $A$  is unital.) Such representations of  $T$  may not be unique. The smallest  $\ell$  in such representations of  $T$  is called the *minimal length* of  $T$ . If  $A$  is a prime  $C^*$ -algebra ( $M_n(\mathbb{C})$  is prime) and the collections  $\{a_i : 1 \leq i \leq \ell\}$  and  $\{b_i : 1 \leq i \leq \ell\}$  are each linearly independent, then  $\ell$  is the minimal length of  $T$  [4]. If  $A$  is prime and such an elementary operator  $T$  sends the set  $A_h = \{x \in A : x = x^*\}$  of hermitian elements of  $A$  into itself, then  $T$  is representable as

$$Tx = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \lambda_i a_i^* x a_i \quad (1)$$

with  $a_i \in M(A)$  ( $1 \leq i \leq \ell$ ) linearly independent and  $\lambda_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq \ell$ ) nonzero real numbers [4]. (By linear independence of the  $a_i$ , the  $\ell$  in (1) must be minimal.)

An operator  $T: A \rightarrow A$  which preserves the set  $A_h$  of hermitian elements is called *positive* if  $Tx \geq 0$  whenever  $x \in A$  is positive.  $T$  is called  *$n$ -positive* if the operator  $T^{(n)}$  is positive, where  $T^{(n)}$  acts on the  $n \times n$  matrices  $M_n(A)$  with entries in  $A$  and is given by  $T^{(n)}(x_{ij})_{i,j} = (T(x_{ij}))_{i,j}$ . The operator  $T$  is called *completely positive* if it is  $n$ -positive for all  $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ . This terminology goes back to Stinespring [6].

For the case  $A = M_n(\mathbb{C})$  all linear operators on  $M_n(\mathbb{C})$  are elementary operators with length at most  $n^2$ . An example of Choi [1] says that the operator  $Tx = (n-1)\text{trace}(x) - x$  on  $M_n(\mathbb{C})$  is  $(n-1)$ -positive but not  $n$ -positive. It is

not hard to compute that the minimal length of this operator is  $n^2$ . In fact,  $T$  can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} Tx &= \sum_{i,j=1}^n (n-1)e_{ij}^* x e_{ij} - I^* x I \\ &= \sum_{i \neq j} (n-1)e_{ij}^* x e_{ij} - \sum_{i=1}^n ((n-1)e_{ii} - I)^* x e_{ii}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $e_{ij}$  denotes the  $n \times n$  matrix with 1 in the  $(i, j)$  place and zeroes elsewhere. Thus we have expressed  $Tx$  in the form  $\sum_{i=1}^{n^2} a_i x b_i$  with independent  $\{a_i\}$  and  $\{b_i\}$  and so  $T$  has length  $n^2$ .

It is also well known that  $n$ -positive operators on  $M_n(\mathbb{C})$  are completely positive. (We include a proof of this result below.)

A result of Choi [1] as generalised by Takasaki and Tomiyama [7] characterises  $k$ -positivity of operators  $T$  on  $M_n(\mathbb{C})$  in terms of properties of an  $n^2 \times n^2$  matrix associated with  $T$ . Let  $\gamma(T)$  denote the block matrix in  $M_n(M_n(\mathbb{C}))$  where the  $(i, j)$  block is  $T(e_{ij})$ . We regard  $\gamma(T)$  as an element of  $M_{n^2}(\mathbb{C})$ . Clearly  $\gamma$  establishes a linear bijection between linear operators on  $M_n(\mathbb{C})$  and  $M_{n^2}(\mathbb{C})$ .

**Proposition 1 ([1] and [7])** *An operator  $T: M_n(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow M_n(\mathbb{C})$  is  $k$ -positive for  $1 \leq k \leq n$  if and only if the matrix  $(I_n \otimes P)\gamma(T)(I_n \otimes P)$  is positive for all rank  $k$  (hermitian) projections  $P \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ .*

If  $P = (p_{ij})_{ij}$ , then the matrix  $I_n \otimes P$  may be viewed as the block matrix in  $M_n(M_n(\mathbb{C}))$  with  $(i, j)$  block equal to  $p_{ij}I_n$ . For positivity of hermitian elements of  $M_{n^2}(\mathbb{C})$ , we regard them as hermitian or quadratic forms on  $\mathbb{C}^{n^2}$ . We choose to identify a row vector  $z = (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n^2}) \in \mathbb{C}^{n^2}$  with the  $n \times n$  matrix  $\rho(z)$  which has  $i^{\text{th}}$  row  $(z_{n(i-1)+1}, z_{n(i-1)+2}, \dots, z_{ni})$ . With this identification, observe that  $\langle z, w \rangle = \text{trace}(\rho(z)\rho(w)^*)$ , where  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  denotes the standard inner product on  $\mathbb{C}^{n^2}$ . In other words  $\rho$  carries the standard inner product on  $\mathbb{C}^{n^2}$  to the Hilbert-Schmidt inner product on  $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ .

**Lemma 2** *For  $z \in \mathbb{C}^{n^2}$  and  $P \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ ,  $\rho(z(I_n \otimes P)) = P^t \rho(z)$  (where  $P^t$  denotes the transpose of  $P$ ). Moreover the subset*

$$\{\rho(z(I_n \otimes P)) : z \in \mathbb{C}^{n^2}, P = P^* = P^2 \in M_n(\mathbb{C}), \text{rank}(P) = k\}$$

*of  $M_n(\mathbb{C})$  is the set of matrices in  $M_n(\mathbb{C})$  of rank at most  $k$ .*

**Proof.** Write  $z \in \mathbb{C}^{n^2}$  as  $z = (z^1, z^2, \dots, z^n)$  where each  $z^i \in \mathbb{C}^n$ , so that the  $z^i$  are the rows of  $\rho(z)$ . If  $P = (p_{ij})_{ij} \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ , then  $w = z(I_n \otimes P)$  has  $w^i = \sum_j z^j p_{ji}$ , taking  $w = (w^1, w^2, \dots, w^n)$  as for  $z$ . The rows of  $P^t \rho(z)$  are again  $\sum_j p_{ji} z^j$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3** Let  $T: M_n(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow M_n(\mathbb{C})$  be the elementary operator  $Tx = a^*xa$  (for  $a \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ ) and let  $P \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$  be a hermitian projection. Then the hermitian form on  $\mathbb{C}^{n^2} = M_n(\mathbb{C})$  associated with  $S = (I_n \otimes P)\gamma(T)(I_n \otimes P)$  is

$$zSz^* = |\text{trace}(a\rho(z(I_n \otimes P))^*)|^2$$

**Proof.** First write  $w = z(I_n \otimes P) \in \mathbb{C}^{n^2}$  and

$$zSz^* = z(I_n \otimes P)\gamma(T)(I_n \otimes P)z^* = w\gamma(T)w^*.$$

Suppose  $a = (a_{ij})_{i,j}$ . Then the blocks of  $\gamma(T)$  are

$$T(e_{ij}) = \sum_{r,s,t,u} \overline{a_{sr}} e_{rs} e_{ij} a_{tu} e_{tu} = \sum_{r,u} \overline{a_{ir}} a_{ju} e_{ru} = (\overline{a_{ir}} a_{ju})_{r,u}.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma(T) &= (a_{11}, a_{12}, \dots, a_{1n}, a_{21}, \dots, a_{nn})^* (a_{11}, a_{12}, \dots, a_{1n}, a_{21}, \dots, a_{nn}) \\ &= \rho^{-1}(a)^* \rho^{-1}(a) \end{aligned}$$

is a rank one hermitian operator and

$$w\gamma(T)w^* = |\langle \rho^{-1}(a), w \rangle|^2.$$

From this it follows that

$$w\gamma(T)w^* = |\text{trace}(a\rho(w))^*|^2.$$

This completes the proof of the Lemma.  $\square$

We can now deduce the following characterization of positivity for elementary operators.

**Theorem 4** Let  $T: M_n(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow M_n(\mathbb{C})$  be an elementary operator of the form  $Tx = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \lambda_i a_i x a_i^*$  (where  $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $a_i \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$  for each  $i$ ). Suppose  $1 \leq k \leq n$ . Then  $T$  is  $k$ -positive if and only if the hermitian form  $Q_T: M_n(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  given by

$$Q_T(z) = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \lambda_i |\text{trace}(a_i z^*)|^2$$

satisfies  $Q_T(z) \geq 0$  whenever  $z \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$  has rank at most  $k$ .

**Proof.** By linearity of  $\gamma$  and Lemma 3 it follows that for  $P$  a rank  $k$  projection on  $\mathbb{C}^n$ ,  $(I_n \otimes P)\gamma(T)(I_n \otimes P)$  gives rise to the hermitian form  $R: \mathbb{C}^{n^2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  with

$$R(w) = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \lambda_i |\text{trace}(a_i \rho(w(I_n \otimes P))^*)|^2$$

and so  $R(w) = Q_T(\rho(w(I_n \otimes P)))$ . The result now follows from Proposition 1 and Lemma 2.  $\square$

Note that the theorem may be viewed in a geometrical light. It states that the cone of matrices which are negative with respect to  $Q_T$  cannot intersect the rank  $k$  matrices if  $T$  is  $k$ -positive.

As an example, we establish the following well-known result via this theorem: *If a linear operator  $T: M_n(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow M_n(\mathbb{C})$  is  $n$ -positive, then it is completely positive.* We know any  $T$  which preserves the hermitian subset of  $M_n(\mathbb{C})$  can be represented in the form (1) with  $\ell \leq n^2$ . If any of the  $\lambda_i$  is negative, we claim that  $T$  cannot be  $n$ -positive. To show this, observe that if (say)  $\lambda_1 < 0$ , then by linear independence, we can find  $z \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$  so that  $\text{trace}(a_1^* z) \neq 0$  but  $\text{trace}(a_i^* z) = 0$  for  $2 \leq i \leq \ell$ . From Theorem 4 it follows that  $Q_T(z) = \lambda_1 |\text{trace}(a_1^* z)|^2 < 0$  and so  $T$  cannot be  $n$ -positive. Once we know that  $\lambda_i > 0$  for all  $i$ , it is easy to see that  $T$  is completely positive.

**Proposition 5** *If an elementary operator  $T: M_n(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow M_n(\mathbb{C})$  ( $n \geq 2$ ) has length at most  $n^2 - 1$  and  $T$  is  $(n - 1)$ -positive, then  $T$  is completely positive.*

**Proof.** For  $T$  to be  $(n - 1)$ -positive, it must preserve Hermitian operators and so must be representable in the form (1) with  $a_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq \ell$ ) independent elements in  $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ ,  $\ell < n^2$  and  $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ . For the sake of arriving at a contradiction, suppose  $\lambda_1 < 0$ .

From Theorem 4 we see that  $Q_T(z) \geq 0$  whenever  $z \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$  has rank at most  $n - 1$  (that is, whenever  $z$  is singular).

From linear independence of  $\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_\ell\}$  plus the fact that  $\ell \leq n^2 - 1$ , we can see that we can find a nonzero  $x \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$  with  $\text{trace}(a_i x^*) = 0$  for  $1 \leq i \leq \ell$  and  $y \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$  with  $\text{trace}(a_1 y^*) \neq 0$  but  $\text{trace}(a_i y^*) = 0$  for  $2 \leq i \leq \ell$ . From Theorem 4, we can see that

$$Q_T(\mu x + y) = \lambda_1 |\text{trace}(a_1 y^*)|^2 < 0$$

for all  $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$ . If there is any choice of  $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$  where  $\mu x + y$  has rank strictly less than  $n$  (that is where  $\det(\mu x + y) = 0$ ) then we have shown that  $T$  is not  $(n - 1)$ -positive and obtained the desired contradiction. However, there remains the case where  $\mu x + y$  is always invertible.

As the set  $\{z \in M_n(\mathbb{C}) : Q_T(z) < 0\}$  is open and contains  $y$ , it contains a ball around  $y$ . For any  $z$  in this ball, we have

$$Q_T(\mu x + z) = Q_T(z) < 0$$

(for all  $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$ ) and it is easy to see that there must be a choice of  $z$  where  $\det(\mu x + z)$  is not a constant function of  $\mu$ . (To verify this assertion, suppose that  $x$  has rank  $j$  and choose unitary matrices  $U, V \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$  so that  $UxV$  is diagonal with diagonal entries  $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_j, 0, \dots, 0)$ . Then  $\det(\mu x + z) = \det(\mu UxV - UzV) / \det(UV)$  has degree at most  $j$  in  $\mu$  and the coefficient of  $\mu^j$  is the determinant of the bottom right  $(n-j) \times (n-j)$  block of  $UzV$  times  $(1/\det(UV)) \prod_{i=1}^j \alpha_i$ . We can find  $z$  arbitrarily close to  $y$  where this coefficient is nonzero.)

We can now choose  $\mu$  to be a solution of  $\det(\mu x + z) = 0$  and get the required contradiction to the  $(n-1)$ -positivity of  $T$ .  $\square$

Our main result is the following, which leads to improvements of some results of Li [3], Mathieu [5] and Hou [2].

**Theorem 6** *If an elementary operator  $T: M_n(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow M_n(\mathbb{C})$  has length at most  $(k+1)^2 - 1$  and  $T$  is  $k$ -positive (where  $1 \leq k < n$ ), then  $T$  is completely positive.*

**Proof.** As before, we can assume that  $T$  is given by (1) with  $\ell < (k+1)^2$ ,  $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$  and  $\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_\ell\}$  linearly independent in  $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ . It is enough to show that  $T$  is  $n$ -positive and by induction on  $k$  it is clearly sufficient to show that  $T$  is  $(k+1)$ -positive.

By Theorem 4, if  $T$  is not  $(k+1)$ -positive, then there is some  $w \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$  of rank  $k+1$  with  $Q_T(w) < 0$ . We can find unitary matrices  $U, V \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$  so that  $UwV = r$  is diagonal with diagonal entries  $(r_1, r_2, \dots, r_{k+1}, 0, \dots, 0)$ . Now

$$\begin{aligned} Q_T(w) &= Q_T(U^*rV^*) \\ &= \sum_i \lambda_i |\text{trace}(a_i V r^* U)|^2 \\ &= \sum_i \lambda_i |\text{trace}((U a_i V) r^*)|^2 \\ &= Q_S(r) \end{aligned}$$

for  $S$  the elementary operator  $Sz = \sum_i \lambda_i b_i^* z b_i$  and  $b_i = U a_i V$ . Since  $Q_S(z) = Q_T(U^*zV^*)$ , it is clear from Theorem 4 that  $S$  is also  $k$ -positive.

We now consider the compression of  $S$  to the subspace of  $M_n(\mathbb{C})$  consisting of matrices which are zero outside the top left  $(k+1) \times (k+1)$  block. We can identify this subspace with  $M_{k+1}(\mathbb{C})$ . Let  $P: \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{k+1}$  be the projection  $P(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{k+1})$  (or the associated  $(k+1) \times n$  matrix). By the compression we mean the map  $R: M_{k+1}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow M_{k+1}(\mathbb{C})$  given by

$Rz = P(Sz)P^*$ . As the operator on  $M_n(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow M_{k+1}(\mathbb{C})$  given by  $z \mapsto PzP^*$  is completely positive (and so is the inclusion operator  $M_{k+1}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow M_n(\mathbb{C}) : z \mapsto P^*zP$  implicit above),  $R$  is  $k$ -positive. Also  $R(z) = \sum_i \lambda_i P b_i^* z b_i P^* = \sum_i \lambda_i (P b_i P^*)^* z (P b_i P^*)$  and so  $R$  is an elementary operator on  $M_{k+1}(\mathbb{C})$  of length at most  $(k+1)^2 - 1$  and  $k$ -positive.

By Proposition 5  $R$  is  $(k+1)$ -positive and so  $Q_R$  is always nonnegative. But it is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} Q_R(PrP^*) &= \sum_i \lambda_i |\text{trace}(P b_i P^* P r^* P^*)|^2 \\ &= \sum_i \lambda_i |\text{trace}(b_i r^*)|^2 \\ &= Q_S(r) = Q_T(w) < 0. \end{aligned}$$

This contradiction shows that  $T$  must be  $(k+1)$ -positive and completes the proof.  $\square$

Our next task is to extend Theorem 6 to the infinite dimensional case where the  $C^*$ -algebra is  $B(H)$ , the algebra of bounded operators on an infinite-dimensional Hilbert space. As  $B(H)$  is prime, the representation (1) is available for elementary operators on  $A = B(H)$ .

**Lemma 7** *Let  $H$  be a Hilbert space and  $T: B(H) \rightarrow B(H)$  an elementary operator of the form  $Tx = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \lambda_i a_i^* x a_i$  ( $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $a_i \in B(H)$ ). If  $Tx \geq 0$  whenever  $x \in B(H)$  is a rank one positive operator, then  $T$  is positive.*

**Proof.** Every finite-rank positive element of  $B(H)$  can be expressed as a finite sum of rank one positive operators and so  $Tx \geq 0$  whenever  $x \in B(H)$  is positive and finite rank.

For an arbitrary positive  $x \in B(H)$  we have  $x_P = PxP$  positive and finite rank whenever  $P \in B(H)$  is a finite rank hermitian projection. The net  $(x_P)_P$ , indexed by the finite rank projections ordered by inclusion of the ranges, converges to  $x$  in the weak sense. That is, if  $v \in H$  then  $\langle x_P v, v \rangle \rightarrow \langle x v, v \rangle$ . Indeed  $\langle x_P v, v \rangle = \langle x P v, P v \rangle = \langle x v, v \rangle$  as long as  $v \in PH$ . Now,  $\langle T(x_P) v, v \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \lambda_i \langle x_P a_i v, a_i v \rangle \rightarrow \sum_i \lambda_i \langle x a_i v, a_i v \rangle = \langle T(x) v, v \rangle$ . Thus  $\langle T(x) v, v \rangle \geq 0$  since  $T(x_P) \geq 0$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 8** *Let  $H$  be a Hilbert space and  $T: B(H) \rightarrow B(H)$  an elementary operator of minimal length  $\ell$ . If  $T$  is  $k$ -positive for some  $k \geq 1$  with  $(k+1)^2 > \ell$ , then  $T$  is completely positive.*

**Proof.** Let  $T$  be given by (1) with  $a_i \in B(H)$ ,  $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{R}$  ( $1 \leq i \leq \ell$ ). As the finite-dimensional case is covered by Theorem 6, we look at the case where

$H$  is infinite dimensional. To show that  $T$  is  $n$ -positive for  $n > k$ , we consider  $T^{(n)}: M_n(B(H)) = B(H^n) \rightarrow B(H^n)$  and observe that  $T^{(n)}X = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \lambda_i (a_i^{(n)})^* X a_i^{(n)}$ , where  $a_i^{(n)} \in B(H^n)$  acts on  $H^n$  by  $a_i$  acting on each ‘coordinate’ separately. By Lemma 7,  $T^{(n)}$  is positive if it maps rank one positive elements of  $B(H^n)$  to positive elements. A rank one positive element of  $B(H^n)$  is of the form  $X(v) = \langle v, w \rangle w$  for some  $w \in H^n$ . Let  $w = (w^1, w^2, \dots, w^n)$  where each  $w^i \in H$ . Choose a finite rank projection  $P \in B(H)$  so that the range of  $P$  contains all the  $w^i$  and all  $a_j^* w^i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq \ell$ ). Then  $P^{(n)} X P^{(n)} = X$  and  $P^{(n)} T^{(n)}(X) P^{(n)} = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \lambda_i P^{(n)} (a_i^{(n)})^* X a_i^{(n)} P^{(n)} = T^{(n)}(X)$ .

Now consider the operator  $S: B(H) \rightarrow B(H)$  given by

$$Sx = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \lambda_i (P a_i P)^* x (P a_i P) = P(T(PxP))P,$$

which is  $k$ -positive because  $T$  is and so is the map  $x \mapsto PxP$ . The above calculations show that  $T^{(n)}(X) = S^{(n)}(X)$ . Let  $K = PH$  denote the (finite-dimensional) range of  $P$  and decompose all elements of  $B(H)$  as  $2 \times 2$  blocks with respect to  $H = K \oplus K^\perp$ . Similarly decompose  $B(H^n) = M_n(B(H))$ .

Let  $Q: H \rightarrow K$  be the orthogonal projection (the same as  $P$  but regarded as having values in  $K$ ). The compression of  $S$  to the  $(K, K)$ -block  $B(K) = QB(H)Q^*$  is a finite-dimensional elementary operator  $R: B(K) \rightarrow B(K)$ ,  $Ry = QS(Q^*yQ)Q^* = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \lambda_i b_i^* y b_i$ , where  $b_i$  is  $Q a_i Q^*$ .  $R$  is covered by Theorem 6. Hence it is  $n$ -positive. It follows that  $S^{(n)}(X) = T^{(n)}(X)$  is positive, because it is equal to  $R^{(n)}((Q^{(n)})X(Q^{(n)})^*)$  with zeroes added symmetrically.  $\square$

Corollary 8 improves on a result of Hou [2] where the same conclusion is proved under the assumption that the length of  $T$  is at most  $2k + 1$ .

**Corollary 9** *Let  $A$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra and  $T$  an elementary operator on  $A$  of minimal length at most  $(k + 1)^2 - 1$ . If  $T$  is  $k$ -positive, then  $T$  is completely positive.*

**Proof.** This follows as in [5], where the same conclusion is proved under the assumption that the length of  $T$  is at most  $2k + 1$ . This result of [5] is also shown in [3].  $\square$

**Acknowledgment.** The author thanks Martin Mathieu for his help during this work.

## References

- [1] M. D. Choi, *Positive linear maps on  $C^*$ -algebras*, Canadian J. Math. **24** (1972) 520-529.

- [2] J.-Ch. Hou, *A characterization of positive elementary operators*, J. Operator Theory, (to appear).
- [3] Jiankui Li, *A remark on complete positivity of elementary operators*, J. Integral Equations and Operator Theory, **28** (1997) 110-115.
- [4] Martin Mathieu, *Elementary operators on prime  $C^*$ -algebras. I*, Math. Ann. **284** (1989) 223-244.
- [5] Martin Mathieu, *Characterising completely positive elementary operators*, Bull. London Math. Soc, (to appear).
- [6] W. Forrest Stinespring, *Positive functions on  $C^*$ -algebras*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **6** (1955) 211–216.
- [7] T. Takasaki and J. Tomiyama, *On the geometry of positive maps in matrix algebras*, Math. Zeit. **184** (1983) 101-108.

School of Mathematics  
Trinity College  
Dublin 2  
Ireland

Email: richardt@maths.tcd.ie