

SPECTRAL PERMANENCE

ROBIN HARTE

ABSTRACT. Several kinds of generalized inverse bounce off one another in the proof of a variant of spectral permanence for C^* embeddings.

This represents an expanded version of our talk to the IMS meeting of August 2012, which in turn was based on the work [3] of Dragan Djordjevic and Szezena Zivkovic of Nis, in Serbia.

1. GELFAND PROPERTY

Spectral permanence, for C^* algebras, says that the spectrum of an element $a \in A \subseteq B$ of a C^* algebra is the same whether it is taken relative to the subalgebra A or the whole algebra B : this discussion is sparked by the effort to prove that the same is true of a variant of spectral permanence in which the two-sided inverse, whose presence or not defines “spectrum”, is replaced by a *generalized inverse*. The argument involves a circuitous tour through “group inverses”, “Koliha-Drazin inverses” and “Moore-Penrose inverses”; it turns out that the induced variants of spectral permanence are curiously inter-related.

Suppose $T : A \rightarrow B$ is a *semigroup homomorphism*, where we insist that a semigroup A has an identity 1 , and that a homomorphism $T : A \rightarrow B$ respects that: we might indeed talk about a *functor* between categories. It then follows, writing A^{-1} for the invertible group in A , that

$$T(A^{-1}) \subseteq B^{-1} , \tag{1.1}$$

or equivalently, turning it inside out,

$$A^{-1} \subseteq T^{-1}B^{-1} . \tag{1.2}$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. 46H05, 47A05, 47A53.

Key words and phrases. Semigroup homomorphisms, spectral permanence, generalized permanence, simply polar elements.

Received on 10-3-2011; revised 5-8-2012.

At its most abstract then “spectral permanence” for the homomorphism T says that (1.2) holds with equality:

$$T^{-1}B^{-1} \subseteq A^{-1} . \quad (1.3)$$

In words, it is tempting to describe (1.3) by saying “Fredholm implies invertible”. We shall also describe (1.3) as the *Gelfand property*, since it also holds, famously, when

$$T = \Gamma : A \rightarrow C(X) \subseteq \mathbf{C}^X \quad (1.4)$$

is the *Gelfand representation* of a commutative Banach algebra A ; here of course $X = \sigma(A)$ is the “maximal ideal space” of the algebra A . We might notice a secondary instance of spectral permanence in the embedding

$$C(X) \subseteq \mathbf{C}^X \quad (1.5)$$

of continuous functions among arbitrary functions; similarly, for a Banach space X , the embedding

$$B(X) \subseteq L(X) \quad (1.6)$$

of bounded operators among arbitrary linear operators has spectral permanence, but only thanks to the ministrations of the *open mapping theorem*. Another elementary example is the *left regular representation*

$$L : A \rightarrow A^A \quad (1.7)$$

of the semigroup A as mappings, where, for $a \in A$,

$$L_a(x) = ax \quad (x \in A) . \quad (1.8)$$

Less familiar is a *commutant embedding*

$$J : A = \text{comm}_B(K) \rightarrow B , \quad (1.9)$$

where

$$\text{comm}_B(K) = \{b \in B : a \in K \implies ba = ab\} \quad (1.10)$$

and of course $J(a) = a$: here spectral permanence reflects the fact that two-sided inverses double commute:

$$a \in B^{-1} \implies a^{-1} \in \text{comm}_B^2(a) . \quad (1.11)$$

If in particular the semigroup A is a ring, having therefore a background “addition” and a distributive law, then we can quotient out the *Jacobson radical*

$$\text{Rad}(A) = \{a \in A : 1 - Aa \subseteq A^{-1}\} , \quad (1.12)$$

in which every possible expression $1 - ca$ has an inverse: now it is easily checked that

$$K : a \mapsto a + \text{Rad}(A) \quad (A \rightarrow A/\text{Rad}(A)) \quad (1.13)$$

has spectral permanence. Our final example will be the most familiar, if not by any means the most elementary: it is the *determinant*

$$\det : \mathbf{C}^{n \times n} \rightarrow \mathbf{C} , \quad (1.14)$$

which indeed “determines” whether or not a square matrix is invertible.

2. SPECTRAL PERMANENCE

Mathematicians are thus prepared to go to a lot of trouble to establish spectral permanence. If we specialise to linear homomorphisms between (complex) linear algebras then we meet the phenomenon of *spectrum*, defining for each $a \in A$,

$$\sigma_A(a) = \{\lambda \in \mathbf{C} : a - \lambda \notin A^{-1}\} ; \quad (2.1)$$

the idea is to harness complex analysis to the theory of invertibility. Now we can rewrite (1.1) to say that, for arbitrary $a \in A$,

$$\sigma_B(Ta) \subseteq \sigma_A(a) , \quad (2.2)$$

while the Gelfand property (1.3) says that (2.2) holds with equality, giving indeed “spectral permanence”.

If we specialise to isometric Banach algebra homomorphisms then there is built in a certain degree of spectral permanence, to the extent that we always get

$$\partial\sigma_A(a) \subseteq \sigma_B(Ta) : \quad (2.3)$$

the topological boundary of the larger spectrum is included in the smaller. Equivalently, it turns out, this means that

$$\sigma_A(a) \subseteq \eta\sigma_B(Ta) , \quad (2.4)$$

where the *connected hull* ηK of a compact subset $K \subseteq \mathbf{C}$ is the complement of the unbounded connected component of the complement $\mathbf{C} \setminus K$. This has spin-off: if for a particular element $a \in A$ either the larger spectrum is all boundary,

$$\sigma_A(a) \subseteq \partial\sigma_A(a) , \quad (2.5)$$

or the smaller spectrum fills out its connected hull,

$$\eta\sigma_B(Ta) \subseteq \sigma_B(Ta) , \quad (2.6)$$

then the homomorphism $T : A \rightarrow B$ has “spectral permanence at” $a \in A$, in the sense of equality in (2.2). This holds if for example the spectrum is either *real* or *finite*.

If more generally the homomorphism $T : A \rightarrow B$ is one-one there is at least inclusion

$$\text{iso } \sigma_A(a) \subseteq \sigma_B(Ta) . \quad (2.7)$$

3. GENERALIZED PERMANENCE

If A is a semigroup we shall write

$$A^\cap = \{a \in A : a \in aAa\} \quad (3.1)$$

for the “regular” or *relatively regular* elements of A , those $a \in A$ which have a *generalized inverse* $c \in A$ for which

$$a = aca : \quad (3.2)$$

we remark that if (3.2) holds the products

$$p = ca = p^2 , \quad q = ac = q^2 \quad (3.3)$$

are both *idempotent*. Generally if $T : A \rightarrow B$ is a homomorphism there is inclusion

$$T(A^\cap) \subseteq B^\cap \subseteq B , \quad (3.4)$$

and hence also

$$A^\cap \subseteq T^{-1}(B^\cap) \subseteq A . \quad (3.5)$$

If there is equality in (3.4) we shall say that T has *generalized permanence*. This happens for example when

$$T^{-1}(0) \subseteq A^\cap , \quad T(A) = B : \quad (3.6)$$

recall the implication

$$(a - aAa) \cap A^\cap \neq \emptyset \implies a \in A^\cap . \quad (3.7)$$

This does not however happen when T is quotienting out the radical as in (1.10), unless the ring A is *semi simple*: for notice

$$\text{Rad}(A) \cap A^\cap = \{0\} . \quad (3.8)$$

It follows that spectral permanence is not in general sufficient for generalized permanence. Indeed by (3.8) spectral and generalized permanence together imply that a homomorphism $T : A \rightarrow B$ is one one; further (1.5) shows that spectral permanence and one one do not together imply generalized permanence. If A is the ring of continuous homomorphisms $a : X \rightarrow X$ on a Hausdorff topological

abelian group X then it is necessary for $a \in A^\cap$ that a have *closed range*

$$a(X) = \text{cl } a(X) \quad ; \quad (3.9)$$

this is because

$$a(X) = ac(X) = (1 - ac)^{-1}(0) \quad (3.10)$$

is the null space of the complementary idempotent. Thus the embedding (1.6) is another example with spectral but not generalized permanence.

4. SIMPLE PERMANENCE

If in particular there is $c \in A$ for which

$$a - aca = 0 = ac - ca \quad , \quad (4.1)$$

then $a \in A$ is very special; this happens if $a \in A$ is either invertible, or idempotent, or more generally the commuting product of an invertible and an idempotent. When (4.1) holds we shall say that $a \in A$ is *simply polar*: in Banach-algebra-land $0 \in \mathbf{C}$ can be at worst a simple pole of the *resolvent mapping*

$$(z - a)^{-1} : \mathbf{C} \setminus \sigma(a) \rightarrow A \quad . \quad (4.2)$$

In the group theory world the product cac is referred to as the *group inverse* for $a \in A$. We remark that it is necessary and sufficient for $a \in A$ to be simply polar that

$$a \in a^2A \cap Aa^2 \quad ; \quad (4.3)$$

indeed [15],[19],[20] there is implication

$$a^2u = a = va^2 \implies au = va \quad , \quad aua = a = ava \quad , \quad (4.4)$$

giving (4.1) with $c = vau$.

We shall write $\text{SP}(A)$ for the simply polar elements of a semigroup A and observe, for homomorphisms $T : A \rightarrow B$, that

$$T \text{SP}(A) \subseteq \text{SP}(B) \subseteq B \quad , \quad (4.5)$$

and hence

$$\text{SP}(A) \subseteq T^{-1}\text{SP}(B) \subseteq A \quad ; \quad (4.6)$$

when there is equality in (4.5) we shall say that $T : A \rightarrow B$ has *simple permanence*. The counterimage $T^{-1}\text{SP}(B) \subseteq A$ is sometimes known [2],[18],[16] as the “generalized Fredholm” elements of A .

We remark that spectral permanence does not in general, or even together with one-one-ness, imply simple permanence: return to (3.8) and (1.5).

In general

$$\text{SP}(A) \subseteq A^\cup \equiv \{a \in A : a \in aA^{-1}a\} , \quad (4.7)$$

and hence

$$\text{SP}(A) \cap A_{left}^{-1} = A^{-1} = \text{SP}(A) \cap A_{right}^{-1} . \quad (4.8)$$

This will show again that spectral permanence together with one one is not sufficient for generalized permanence:

Theorem 4.1. *If $B_{left}^{-1} \neq B_{right}^{-1}$ then there exist A and $T : A \rightarrow B$ for which T is one one with spectral but not generalized permanence.*

Proof. If A is commutative then $A^\cap = \text{SP}(A)$ and hence

$$T(A^\cap) \subseteq \text{SP}(B) \subseteq B^\cap ,$$

and if

$$T(A^\cap) \cap B_{left}^{-1} \setminus B^{-1} \neq \emptyset$$

then T does not have generalized permanence. Thus find $a \in B_{left}^{-1} \setminus B^{-1}$ and, recalling (1.9), take

$$T = J : \text{comm}_B^2(a) \subseteq B$$

□

The familiar example is to take $B = L(X)$ to be the linear mappings on the space $X = \mathbf{C}^{\mathbf{N}}$ of all complex sequences and $a \in B$ to be the *forward shift*. Conversely however simple permanence together with one-one-ness does imply spectral permanence:

Theorem 4.2. *For semigroup homomorphisms*

$$\text{one one and simple permanence implies spectral permanence} , \quad (4.9)$$

while conversely

$$\text{simple and spectral permanence implies one one} . \quad (4.10)$$

Proof. The last implication is (3.8); conversely observe

$$\text{SP}(A) \cap T^{-1}B_{left}^{-1} \subseteq A^\cup \cap T^{-1}B_{left}^{-1} \subseteq A^{-1} + T^{-1}(0) \quad (4.11)$$

□

When we specialise to rings of mappings then simple polarity is characterized by “ascent” and “descent”:

Theorem 4.3. *If $A = L(X)$ is the additive, or linear, operators on an abelian group, or vector space, X then necessary and sufficient for $a \in A$ to be simply polar is that it has ascent ≤ 1 ,*

$$a^{-2}(0) \subseteq a^{-1}(0) ; \text{ equivalently } a^{-1}(0) \cap a(X) = O \equiv \{0\} , \quad (4.12)$$

and also descent ≤ 1 ,

$$a(X) \subseteq a^2(X) ; \text{ equivalently } a^{-1}(0) + a(X) = X . \quad (4.13)$$

The same characterization is valid when $A = B(X)$ for a Banach space X .

Proof. The complementary subspaces $a^{-1}(0)$ and $a(X)$ determine the idempotent $p : X \rightarrow X$, defined by setting

$$p(\xi) \in a(X) ; \xi - p(\xi) \in a^{-1}(0)$$

for each $\xi \in X$, whose boundedness, together with the closedness of the range $a(X)$, follows ([7] Theorem 4.8.2) from the open mapping theorem; and finally, if $\xi \in X$,

$$c(\xi) = cp(\xi) ; ca(\xi) = p(\xi)$$

□

We remark that, on incomplete spaces, the conditions (4.5) and (4.6) are not sufficient for simple polarity: indeed it is possible for $a \in B(X)$ to be one one and onto but not in $B(X)^\cap$: the obvious example is the “standard weight” $a = w$ on $X = c_{00} \subseteq c_0$ defined by setting

$$w(\xi)_n = (1/n)\xi_n .$$

Even together with the assumption $a \in A^\cap$, however, the conditions (4.5) and (4.6) are ([7] (7.3.6.8)) not sufficient for simple polarity (4.1) when $A = B(X)$ for an incomplete normed space X .

5. DRAZIN PERMANENCE

More generally if there is $n \in \mathbf{N}$ for which a^n is simply polar we shall also say that $a \in A$ is “polar”, or *Drazin invertible*. If $a \in A$ is polar then there is $c \in A$ for which $ac = ca$ and $a - aca$ is *nilpotent*. If we further relax this to “quasinilpotent” we reach the condition that $a \in A$ “quasipolar”. Specifically if we write

$$\text{QN}(A) = \{a \in A : 1 - \mathbf{C}a \subseteq A^{-1}\} \quad (5.1)$$

for the *quasinilpotents* of a Banach algebra A then $a \in \text{QN}(A)$ if and only if

$$\sigma_A(a) \subseteq \{0\} ,$$

while with some complex analysis we can prove that if $a \in \text{QN}(A)$ then

$$\|a^n\|^{1/n} \rightarrow 0 \quad (n \rightarrow \infty) . \quad (5.2)$$

In the ultimate generalization of “group invertibility”, we shall write $\text{QP}(A)$ for the *quasipolar* elements $a \in A$, those which have a *spectral projection* $q \in A$ for which (cf [8])

$$q = q^2 ; \quad aq = qa ; \quad a + q \in A^{-1} ; \quad aq \in \text{QN}(A) . \quad (5.3)$$

Now [17] the spectral projection and the *Koliha-Drazin inverse*

$$a^\bullet = q , \quad a^\times = (a + q)^{-1}(1 - q) \quad (5.4)$$

are uniquely determined and lie in the double commutant of $a \in A$. It is easy to see that if (5.3) is satisfied then

$$0 \notin \text{acc } \sigma_A(a) : \quad (5.5)$$

the origin cannot be an accumulation point of the spectrum; conversely if (5.5) holds then we can display the spectral projection as a sort of “vector-valued winding number”

$$a^\bullet = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_0 (z - a)^{-1} dz , \quad (5.6)$$

where we integrate counter clockwise round a small circle γ centre the origin whose connected hull $\eta\gamma$ is a disc whose intersection with the spectrum is at most the point $\{0\}$. Now generally for a homomorphism $T : A \rightarrow B$ there is inclusion

$$T \text{ QP}(A) \subseteq \text{QP}(B) , \quad (5.7)$$

while if $T : A \rightarrow B$ has spectral permanence in the sense (1.3) then it is clear from (5.5) that there is also “Drazin permanence” in the sense that

$$\text{QP}(A) = T^{-1}\text{QP}(B) \subseteq A : \quad (5.8)$$

Theorem 5.1. *For Banach algebra homomorphisms $T : A \rightarrow B$ there is implication*

$$\text{spectral permanence} \implies \text{Drazin permanence} .$$

Proof. Equality in (2.2), together with (5.5) □

The example of Theorem 4.1 also shows that the left regular representation $L : A \rightarrow B(A)$, with $A = B(X)$ for a normed space X , does not always have generalized permanence; however we do have a sort of “closed range permanence”: there is implication

$$L_a A = \text{cl } L_a A \implies a(X) = \text{cl } a(X) \quad (5.9)$$

indeed if $a\xi_n \rightarrow \eta$ and $\varphi \in X^*$ and $\varphi(\xi) = 1$ then, with $\varphi \odot \eta : \zeta \mapsto \varphi(\zeta)\eta$,

$$L_a(\varphi \odot \eta) = L_a(b) \implies \eta = a(b\xi) . \quad (5.10)$$

Generally

Theorem 5.2. *If $T : A \rightarrow B$ is arbitrary then*

$$\text{QP}(A) \cap T^{-1}(B^{-1}) \subseteq A^{-1} + T^{-1}(0) \quad (5.11)$$

and if $T : A \rightarrow B$ is one one then

$$\text{QP}(A) \cap T^{-1}\text{SP}(B) = \text{SP}(A) . \quad (5.12)$$

Hence if $a \in B$ and $T = J : A = \text{comm}_B^2(a) \subseteq B$ then

$$A^\cap = T^{-1}\text{SP}(B) . \quad (5.13)$$

It follows that if $T^{-1}(0) = O$ then

$$\text{Drazin} \implies \text{simple} \implies \text{spectral permanence} .$$

Proof. Uniqueness guarantees that the spectral projection $T(a)^\bullet$ of $Ta \in \text{SP}(B) \subseteq \text{QP}(B)$ commutes with $T(a) \in B$, and one-one-ness guarantees the same for $a \in A$ \square

For Banach algebra homomorphisms therefore there is an improved version of Theorem 4.2: of the three conditions

spectral permanence ; simple permanence ; one one ,

any two imply the third.

If we rework Theorem 4.1 with $B = B(\ell_2)$ then it is clear that isometric homomorphisms with spectral permanence need not have generalized permanence: indeed the forward shift $a = u \in B^\cap \setminus \text{QP}(A)$ is not even quasipolar: we recall that the spectrum of u is the closed unit disc, violating (5.5).

Theorem 4.1 was obtained in this way ([3] Theorem 3.2) in [3]. Of course (cf [9],[17]) “quasinilpotents” and “quasipolars” are only available in Banach algebras; Theorem 4.1 above, using “simply polar” elements, is conceptually much simpler.

6. MOORE-PENROSE PERMANENCE

We recall that a “C* algebra” is a Banach algebra which also has an *involution* $a \mapsto a^*$ which is conjugate linear, reverses multiplication, respects the identity and satisfies the “B* condition”

$$\|a^*a\| = \|a\|^2 \quad (a \in A) . \quad (6.1)$$

Historically the term “C* algebra” was reserved for closed *-subalgebras of the algebras $B(X)$ for Hilbert spaces X ; however the *Gelfand-Naimark-Segal* (GNS) representation

$$\Gamma : A \rightarrow B(\Xi_A) \quad (6.2)$$

takes an arbitrary “B* algebra” A isometrically into the algebra of operators on a rather large Hilbert space Ξ_A built from its “states”: a defect of (6.2) would be that if already $A = B(X)$ we do not get back $\Xi_A = X$. In the opinion of this writer these terms “B* algebra” and “C* algebra” could easily ([7] Chapter 8) have been *Hilbert algebra*. When in particular $A = B(X)$ for a Hilbert space X then the closed range condition (3.9) is sufficient for relative regularity $a \in A^\cap$: indeed we can satisfy (2.2) by setting

$$c(\xi) = c(q\xi) ; \quad c(a\xi) = p(\xi) \quad (\xi \in X) , \quad (6.3)$$

where $q^* = q = q^2$ and $p^* = p = p^2$ are the orthogonal projections on the range $a(X)$ and the orthogonal complement $a^{-1}(0)^\perp$ of the null space. The element $c \in A$ given by (6.3) satisfies four conditions:

$$a = aca ; \quad c = cac ; \quad (ca)^* = ca ; \quad (ac)^* = ac , \quad (6.4)$$

and is known as the *Moore-Penrose inverse* of $a \in B(X)$: more generally in a C* algebra A the conditions (6.4) uniquely determine at most one element

$$c = a^\dagger \in A , \quad (6.5)$$

lying ([11] Theorem 5) in the double commutant of $\{a, a^*\}$, and still known as a “Moore-Penrose inverse” for $a \in A$. Now it is a result of Harte and Mbekhta ([11] Theorem 6) that generally there is equality

$$A^\cap = A^\dagger : \quad (6.6)$$

in an arbitrary C* algebra, every relatively regular element has a Moore Penrose inverse. The argument, and a slight generalization, proceeds with the aid of the Drazin inverse.

More generally, on a semigroup A , an involution $a \mapsto a^*$ satisfies

$$(a^*)^* = a ; \quad (ca)^* = a^*c^* ; \quad 1^* = 1 . \quad (6.7)$$

In rings and algebras we also ask that the involution be additive, or conjugate linear. The B^* condition (6.7) implies that, for arbitrary $a, x \in A$,

$$\|ax\|^2 \leq \|x^*\| \|a^*ax\| , \quad (6.8)$$

which in turn gives *cancellation*

$$L_{a^*a}^{-1}(0) \subseteq L_a^{-1}(0) . \quad (6.9)$$

Generally the *hermitian* or “real” elements of A are given by

$$\text{Re}(A) = \{a \in A : a^* = a\} . \quad (6.10)$$

The Moore-Penrose inverse a^\dagger of (6.4), if it exists, is unique and double commutes with a and a^* . We pause to notice the *star polar* elements of a semigroup A :

$$\text{SP}^*(A) = \{a \in A : a^*a \in A^\cap\} ; \quad (6.11)$$

now we claim

Theorem 6.1. *If the involution $*$ on the semigroup A is cancellable then*

$$A^\dagger \subseteq \text{SP}^*(A) \subseteq A^\cap . \quad (6.12)$$

Proof. With cancellation there is implication

$$a \in \text{SP}^*(A) \implies a \in aAa^*a \subseteq Aa^*a \cap aAa ,$$

and equality

$$\text{Re}(A) \cap \text{SP}^*(A) = \text{Re}(A) \cap \text{SP}(A) ,$$

If $a = aca$ with $c = a^\dagger$ then

$$a^*a = a^*(ac)(ac)^*a = a^*acc^*a^*a \in a^*aAa^*a :$$

conversely, by cancellation,

$$a^*a = ada^*a \implies a = ada^*a :$$

hence also

$$a \in Aa^*a ; \iff a^* \in a^*aA .$$

Hence if $a^* = a$ then (4.2) follows \square

It is now clear that an isometric C^* homomorphism has “Moore-Penrose permanence”:

Theorem 6.2. *If $T : A \rightarrow B$ has simple permanence then*

$$T^{-1}B^\dagger \subseteq A^\dagger . \quad (6.13)$$

Proof. We claim

$$A^\dagger = \{a \in A : a^*a \in \text{SP}(A)\} , \quad (6.14)$$

with implication

$$a^*a \in \text{SP}(A) \implies a^\dagger = (a^*a)^\times a^* .$$

If $a \in A^\dagger$ with $a = aca$ and $(ca)^* = ca$ then, with $d = cc^*$, we have

$$a^*ad = a^*acc^* = a^*c^* = a^*c^*a^*c^* = ca$$

and

$$da^*a = cc^*a^*a = ca .$$

Conversely if $a^*a = a^*ada^*a$ with $a^*ad = da^*a$ with (wlog) $d = d^*$ then, using cancellation, with $c = da^*$,

$$aca = ada^*a = a \text{ and } ca = da^*a = a^*ad = a^*c^* .$$

Now if $a \in A$ there is implication

$$Ta \in B^\dagger \implies T(a^*a) \in \text{SP}(B) \implies a^*a \in \text{SP}(A) \implies a \in A^\dagger$$

□

Our main result is a slight generalization, and a new proof, of the Harte/Mbekhta result (6.6), and at the same time “generalized permanence”, equality in (3.4), for isometric C^* homomorphisms. One way to go, thanks to the Gelfand/Naimark/Segal representation, is to look first in the very special algebra $D = B(X)$ of bounded Hilbert space operators:

Theorem 6.3. *If $d \in D = B(X)$ for a Hilbert space X then*

$$(d^*d)^{-1}(0) \subseteq d^{-1}(0) \quad (6.15)$$

and

$$\text{cl } d(X) + d^{*-1}(0) = X ; \quad (6.16)$$

hence if $\text{cl } d(X) = d(X)$ then

$$d^*(X) = d^*d(X) , \text{ and } \text{cl } d^*d(X) = d^*d(X) . \quad (6.17)$$

There is inclusion

$$\text{Re}(D) \cap D^\cap \subseteq \text{SP}(D) ; \quad (6.18)$$

hence

$$d \in D^\cap \implies d \in \text{SP}^*(D) \implies d^*d \in \text{SP}(D) \implies d \in D^\dagger . \quad (6.19)$$

Proof. For arbitrary $\xi \in X$ there is [3] inequality

$$\|d\xi\|^2 \leq \|\xi\| \|d^*d\xi\| ,$$

and also

$$\text{cl } d(X) = d^{*-1}(0)^\perp$$

□

Both of the Harte/Mbekhta observations now follow:

Theorem 6.4. *If $T : A \rightarrow B$ is isometric then*

$$T^{-1}(B^\cap) \subseteq A^\dagger . \quad (6.20)$$

Proof. With $S : B \rightarrow D = B(X)$ a GNS mapping we argue, using again Theorem 4.2, together with “spectral permanence at” a^*a (which has of course real spectrum),

$$Ta \in B^\cap \implies ST(a^*a) \in \text{SP}(D) \implies a^*a \in \text{SP}(A) \implies a \in A^\dagger$$

□

In the situation of (6.14),

$$a = a^* \in A^\cap \implies a^\dagger = a^\times ; 1 - a^\dagger a = a^\bullet . \quad (6.21)$$

Theorem 6.4 has an obvious extension to homomorphisms with closed range:

Theorem 6.5. *If $T : A \rightarrow B$ has closed range then there is implication, for arbitrary $a \in A$,*

$$T(a) \in B^\cap \implies a + T^{-1}(0) \in (A/T^{-1}(0))^\cap . \quad (6.22)$$

Proof. Apply Theorem 6.4 to the bounded below $T^\wedge : A/T^{-1}(0) \rightarrow B$

□

REFERENCES

- [1] SR Caradus, *Generalized inverses and operator theory*, Queens papers Pure Appl. Math. Ontario **50** (1978)
- [2] SR Caradus, WE Pfaffenberg and B Yood, *Calkin algebras and algebras of operators on Banach spaces*, Dekker 1974.
- [3] DS Djordjević, S Zivković-Zlatanović and RE Harte, *Spectral permanence and the Moore-Penrose inverse*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **140** (2012) 8237-45.
- [4] DS Djordjević, JJ Koliha and I Straskraba, *Factorization of EP elements of C^* algebras*, Linear Multilinear Alg. **57** (2009) 587-594.
- [5] DS Djordjević and V Rakocević, *Lectures on generalized inverses*, University of Nis 2008.
- [6] JJ Grobler and H Raubenheimer, *Spectral properties of elements of different Banach algebras*, Glasgow Math. Jour. **33** (1991) 11-20.

- [7] RE Harte, *Invertibility and singularity*, Dekker 1988.
- [8] RE Harte *Spectral projections*, Irish Math. Soc. Newsletter **14** (1984) 10-15.
- [9] RE Harte, *On quasinilpotents in rings*, PanAmerican Jour. Math. **1** (1991) 10-16.
- [10] RE Harte, *Unspectral sets*, Rendiconti Mat. **56** (1998) 69-77.
- [11] RE Harte and M Mbekhta, *On generalized inverses in C^* algebras*, Studia Math. **103** (1992) 71-77.
- [12] RE Harte and M Mbekhta, *On generalized inverses in C^* algebras II*, Studia Math **106** (1993) 129-138.
- [13] RE Harte and M O'Searcoid, *Positive elements and the B^* condition*, Math. Zeit. **193** (1986) 1-9.
- [14] RE Harte and AW Wickstead, *Boundaries, hulls and spectral mapping theorems*, Proc. Royal Irish Acad. **81A** (1981) 201-208.
- [15] R Hartwig and J Lu, *On the group structure of unit regular ring elements*, Pacific Jour. Math. **71** (1977).
- [16] G Kantun-Montiel, SV Djordjević and RE Harte, *On semigroup inverses*, Funct. Anal. Approx. Comp. **1** (2009) 11-18.
- [17] JJ Koliha, *A generalized Drazin inverse*, Glasgow Math. Jour. **38** (1996) 367-381.
- [18] Ch Schmoeger, *On a class of generalized Fredholm operators I-VII*, Demonstratio Math. **30-33** (1997-2000).
- [19] M Xavier, *On the converse of a theorem of Harte and Mbekhta*, Studia Math. **184** (2008) 149-152.
- [20] M Xavier, *On generalized inverses and Green's relations*, Linear Alg. Appl. **434** (2011) 1830-1844.

Robin Harte taught for twenty years at University College, Cork, and retired a long time ago, but somehow never goes away: his ghost can sometimes be seen at the TCD-UCD Analysis Seminar.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, TRINITY COLLEGE DUBLIN
E-mail address: rharte@maths.tcd.ie