Chapter 7

Finite fields

7.1 The characteristic of a field

Definition 7.1. The characterisitic of a ring A is the additive order of 1, ie the smallest integer n > 1 such that

$$n \cdot 1 = \underbrace{1 + 1 + \dots + 1}_{n \ terms} = 0.$$

If there is no such integer the ring is said to be of characteristic 0.

Examples: $\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}$ are all of characteristic 0. $\mathbb{F}_p = \mathbb{Z}/(p)$ is of characteristic p.

Proposition 7.1. The characteristic of an integral domain A is either a prime p, or else 0.

In particular, a finite field is of prime characteristic.

Proof. Suppose A has characteristic n = ab where a, b > 1. By the distributive law,

$$\underbrace{1 + \dots + 1}_{n \text{ terms}} = (\underbrace{1 + \dots + 1}_{a \text{ terms}})(\underbrace{1 + \dots + 1}_{b \text{ terms}}).$$

Hence

$$\underbrace{1 + \dots + 1}_{a \text{ terms}} = 0 \text{ or } \underbrace{1 + \dots + 1}_{b \text{ terms}} = 0,$$

contrary to the minimal property of the characteristic.

Proposition 7.2. In a field F of characteristic p the elements $0, 1, \ldots, p-1$ form a subfield isomorphic to $\mathbb{F}_p = \mathbb{Z}/(p)$. This is the only subfield of F isomorphic to \mathbb{F}_p .

Proof. It is easily verified that the map $\theta : \mathbb{F}_p \to F$ sending

$$0 \mapsto 0, 1 \mapsto 1, 2 \mapsto 1+1, \dots, p-1 \mapsto \underbrace{1+1+\dots+1}_{p-1 \text{ terms}}$$

is an injective homomorphism.

Conversely, any homomorphism $\theta : \mathbb{F}_p \to F$ must send $0 \mapsto 0, 1 \mapsto 1, 2 \mapsto 1 + 1$, etc. \Box

Definition 7.2. We call this subfield (which we identify with \mathbb{F}_p) the prime subfield of F.

Proposition 7.3. In a field F of characteristic p

$$(a+b)^p = a^p + b^p.$$

Proof. By the binomial theorem,

$$(a+b)^{p} = a^{p} + {\binom{p}{1}}a^{p-1}b + {\binom{p}{1}}a^{p-2}b^{2} + \dots + {\binom{p}{p-1}}ab^{p-1} + b^{p}.$$

Lemma 7.1. The prime p divides each binomial coefficient $\binom{p}{r}$ for $1 \le r \le p-1$.

Proof. We have

$$\binom{p}{r} = \frac{p(p-1)\cdots(p-r+1)}{1\cdot 2\cdots r}.$$

The result follows (this may require a little thought) since p divides the top but not the bottom.

The Proposition follows at once.

Corollary 7.1 (1). If F is a field of characteristic p the map $\Phi : F \to F$ given by $a \mapsto a^p$ is an injective homomorphism.

Proof. We have seen that Φ preserves addition, and it is evident that it preserves multiplicatioon: $(ab)^p = a^p b^p$. It is injective since $a^p = 0 \implies a = 0$.

Corollary 7.2. If F is a finite field of characteristic p then Φ is an automorphism of F.

Proof. It follows by the Pigeon-Hole Principle that Φ is bijective in this case.

 Φ is known as the Frobenius automorphism. The group of automorphisms of a field k is called the "galois group" of k. It is not hard to see ththe galois group of a finite field is the cyclic group generated by Φ .

Proposition 7.4. A finite field F of characteristic p contains p^e elements, for some $e \ge 1$.

Proof. We can consider F as a vector space over its prime subfield \mathbb{F}_p . Let e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_d be a basis for this vector space. Then each elements of F is uniquely expressible in the form

$$x_1e_1 + x_2e_2 + \dots + x_de_d \qquad (x_i \in \mathbb{F}_p).$$

There are p choices for each coefficient x_i , hence p^d choices in all.

7.2 Our main result

Recall that a finite field must contain p^e elements. We say that the field is of order p^e .

Theorem 7.1. There exists a finite field of each prime-power order p^e , and this field is unique up to isomorphism.

We start by proving an auxiliary result, of some importance on its own account. Then we show that there is at most one field with p^e elements. Finally we prove that this field exists.

7.3 F^{\times} is cyclic

Recall that the multiplicative group A^{\times} of a ring A is the group formed by the invertible elements of A. For example, $\mathbb{Z}^{\times} = \{\pm 1\}$.

If k is a field then its multiplicative group $k^{\times} = k \setminus \{0\}$, since every non-zero element of k is invertible.

Theorem 7.2. The multiplicative group F^{\times} of a finite field F is cyclic.

Interestingly, the proof of this result is no simpler for the prime fields \mathbb{F}_p then it is for general finite fields \mathbb{F}_q with $q = p^e$.

Proof. We suppose throughout the proof that F is a field of order p^e , so that $\mathbb{F}^{\times} = F \setminus \{0\}$ is a group of order $p^e - 1$.

We will show by a counting argument that F^{\times} contains an element of order $p^e - 1$, which must be a generator of this group.

The multiplicative order d of any element $a \in F^{\times}$ must divide $p^e - 1$, by Lagrange's Theorem (in group theory). Let the number of elements of order $d \mid p^e - 1$ in F^{\times} be f(d).

These elements all satisfy the polynomial equation $x^d = 1$ over the field \mathbb{F}_p . It follows that $f(d) \leq d$. (The theorem that a polynomial of degree d has at most d roots holds just as well over finite fields as it does over \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C} .)

But we can do better. If a is one element of order d then the d elements $1, a, a^2, \ldots, a^{d-1}$ all satisfy the equation, and so must give all its roots. These elements form a cyclic group of order d.

Lemma 7.2. If $G = \langle g \rangle$ is a cylic group of order d generated by g then g^r has order d if and only if gcd(d, r) = 1.

Proof. Suppose gcd(d, r) = 1; and suppose a^r has order e. Then $a^{re} = 1 \implies d \mid re \implies d \mid e$ since gcd(r, d) = 1.

Conversely, suppose gcd(d, r) = e > 1. Let d = ef, r = es. Then $e = d/f = r/s \implies rf = ds$. Hence $(a^r)^f = (a^d)^s = 1$, and a^r has order smaller than d.

If follows that f(d) is either 0 (if there are no elements of order d) or else $\phi(d)$. (Recall that $\phi(d)$ is the number of numbers $r \in \{1, \ldots, d-1\}$ coprime to d.)

Now consider the additive group $\mathbb{Z}/(n)$. This is a cyclic group of order n. It certainly has elements of each order $d \mid n$; for if n = de then e has order d. Moreover, if r has order d then $n \mid dr \implies de \mid dr \implies e \mid r$.

Thus the elements of order d are all multiples of e, lying in the cyclic subgroup generated by e. So the Lemma above shows that there are precisely $\phi(d)$ elements in $\mathbb{Z}/(n)$ of order d. Hence

$$\sum_{d|n} \phi(d) = n$$

Returning to the group \mathbb{F}^{\times} , we saw that there were either 0 or $\phi(d)$ elements of order d for each $d \mid p^e - 1$. But from the formula above, to account for $p^e - 1$ elements there must be $\phi(d)$ elements of each order $d \mid p^e - 1$. In particular there must be $\phi(p^e - 1) > 0$ elements of order $p^e - 1$: that is, generators of the group \mathbb{F}^{\times} .

7.3.1 Primitive roots

Definition 7.3. We call a generator of the multiplicative group \mathbb{F}_p^{\times} a primitive root modulo p.

Corollary 7.3. There are exactly $\phi(p-1)$ primitive roots modulo p for each prime p. If π is one primitive root then the others are π^r for r coprime to d.

Example: Suppose p = 23. There are $\phi(22) = 10$ primitive roots modulo 23.

In general there is no better way of finding a primitive root other than trying $2, 3, 5, 6, \ldots$ successively. (There is no need to try 4, since if 2 is not a primitive root then 2^2 certainly cannot be.)

Let us try 2. We know that any element of \mathbb{F}_{23}^{\times} has order $d \mid 22$, ie d = 1, 2, 11 or 22. Evidently 2 does not have order 1 or 2.

Working modulo 23 throughout, $2^5 = 32 \equiv 9$. Hence $2^{10} \equiv 9^2 = 81 \equiv 12$; and so $2^{11} \equiv 24 \equiv 1$. So 2 has order 11 and is not a primitive root modulo 23.

Moving on to 3, we have $3^3 = 27 \equiv 4$. Hence $3^6 \equiv 16 \equiv -7$, and so $3^{12} \equiv 49 \equiv 3 \implies 3^{11} \equiv 1$. So 3 is not a primitive root either.

Next we try 5. We have

 $5^2 = 25 \equiv 2 \implies 5^{10} = (5^2)^5 \equiv 2^5 = 32 \equiv 9 \implies 2^{11} \equiv 45 \equiv -1.$

So we have found a primitive root mod 23.

From the last Lemma, knowing one primitive root π , the full set is π^d , where d runs over d coprime to p. In this case there are $\phi(22) = 11$ primitive roots, namely 5^d for d = 1, 3, 5, 7, 9, 13, 17, 19, 21. Note that the inverse of 5^d is 5^{22-d} , which may be easier to calculate.

From the work above,

$$5^{3} \equiv 5 \cdot 5^{2} \equiv 5 \cdot 2 = 10,$$

$$5^{5} \equiv 25 \cdot 5^{3} = 250 \equiv 20 \equiv -3,$$

$$5^{7} \equiv -75 \equiv -6,$$

$$5^{9} \equiv 5 \cdot 2^{4} = 80 \equiv 11,$$

$$5^{13} \equiv 11^{-1} \equiv -2,$$

$$5^{15} \equiv -50 \equiv -4,$$

$$5^{17} \equiv -3^{-1} \equiv -8,$$

$$5^{19} \equiv 5^{10} \cdot 5^{9} \equiv 99 \equiv 7,$$

$$5^{21} \equiv 5 \cdot 5^{7} \cdot 5^{13} \equiv 60 \equiv -9.$$

Thus the primitive roots modulo 23 are: -9, -8, -6, -4, -2, 5, 7, 10, 11. (It is a matter of personal preference whether or not to replace remainders > p/2 by ther negative equivalent.)

7.3.2 Uniqueness

First an auxiliary result.

Proposition 7.5. Suppose F is a field of order p^e . Let

$$U(x) = x^{p^e} - x.$$

Then every element $a \in F$ satisfies U(x) = 0; and

$$U(x) = \prod_{a \in F} (x - a).$$

Proof. $F^{\times} = F \setminus \{0\}$ has order $p^e - 1$. So by Lagrange's Theorem every elements $a \in F^{\times}$ satisfies the equation

$$x^{p^e-1} - 1.$$

If we multiply the equation by x then 0 will also satisfy the equation:

$$x(x^{p^e-1}-1) = x^{p^e} - x = U(x).$$

Since this polynomial has degree p^e , and we have p^e roots, it factorizes completely over F into linear terms:

$$U(x) = \prod_{a \in F} (x - a).$$

(A polynomial of degree d over any field k has at most d roots, just like a polynomial over \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C} .)

Note that we can express this result in the form: $\Phi^e(a) = a$ for all $a \in F$. U(x) is sometimes called the *universal polynomial* of the field F.

A little result we shall need later.

Lemma 7.3. The universal polynomial U(x) is separable, it has no multiple roots.

Proof. If α is a multiple root of f(x) then $f'(\alpha) = 0$. But the derivative

$$U'(x) = -1$$

never vanishes.

Theorem 7.3. If F, F' are two fields of the same order p^e then there exists an isomorphism $\Phi: F \to F'$.

Proof. Let π be a generator of F^{\times} ; and let m(x) be the minimal polynomial of π over \mathbb{F}_p . Since $U(\pi) = 0$ it follows that

 $m(x) \mid U(x).$

Note that this is a result in the polynomial ring $\mathbb{F}_p[x]$.

Now pass to F'. Then

$$m(x) \mid U(x) = \prod_{b \in F'} (x - b).$$

Since U(x) factors over F' into linear polynomials, so does m(x), say

$$m(x) = (x - b_1) \cdots (x - b_d)$$

Choose π' to be any of b_1, \ldots, b_d . We define the map $\Theta: F \to F'$ by

$$\pi^r \mapsto \pi'^r \qquad (0 \le r < p^n - 1)$$

and $0 \mapsto 0$. Since π is of order $p^e - 1$, while π' , even if it is not a generator of F'^{\times} , still satisfies the equation $x^{p^e-1} = 1$, the map is well-defined; for

$$\pi^r = \pi^s \implies \pi^{r-s} = 1 \implies (p^e - 1) \mid r - s \implies \pi'^{(r-s)} = 1 \implies \pi'^r = \pi'^s$$

We claim that Θ is a homomorphism. It is easy to see that multiplication is preserved:

$$\pi^r \pi^s = \pi^{r+s} \mapsto \pi^{\prime(r+s)} = \pi^{\prime r} \pi^{\prime s}.$$

For addition, suppose a + b = c, where

$$a = \pi^r, \ b = \pi^s, \ c = \pi^t.$$

Let $f(x) = x^r + x^s - x^t$. Then

$$f(\pi) = 0 \implies m(x) \mid f(x) \implies f(\pi') = 0 \implies \pi'^r + \pi'^s - \pi'^t = 0.$$

The same argument holds if a + b = 0, with $g(x) = x^r + x^s$:

$$g(\pi) = 0 \implies m(x) \mid g(x) \implies f(\pi') = 0 \implies \pi'^r + \pi'^s = 0.$$

Finally, a non-zero homomorphism $\Theta: F \to F_2$ from one field to another is necessarily injective. For if $x \neq 0$ then x has an inverse y, and then

$$\Theta(x)=0\implies \Theta(1)=\Theta(xy)=\Theta(x)\Theta(y)=0,$$

contrary to fact that $\Theta(1) = 1$. (We are using the fact that Θ is a homomorphism of additive groups, so that ker $\Theta = 0$ implies that Θ is injective.)

Since F and F' contain the same number of elements, we conclude that Θ is bijective, and so an isomorphim. \Box

7.4 Existence

Theorem 7.4. There exists a field F of every prime power p^n .

We give two very different proofs — take your choice. The first constructs \mathbb{F}_{p^e} by a series of smaller extensions. The second uses a counting argument to show that there exist irreducible polynomials over \mathbb{F}_p of every degree.

7.4.1 First proof: a tower of extensions

Proof. The result is trivial if e = 1, so will assume that e > 1. The universal polynomial

$$U_e(x) = x^{p^e} - x$$

(we add the suffix e since we will be considering other extensions of \mathbb{F}_p) has just p linear factors over \mathbb{F}_p , namely $x, x - 1, x - 2, \ldots x - p + 1$. Let f(x)be any other irreducible factor over \mathbb{F}_p . Suppose f(x) is of degree f. Then $\mathbb{F}_p[x]/(f(x))$ is an extension field of degree f over \mathbb{F}_p , containing p^f elements. This field is generated by $\alpha = x \mod f(x)$, ie the elements of the field are polynomials in α with coefficients in \mathbb{F}_p , eg

$$\beta = a_0 + a_1\alpha + \dots + a_{f-1}\alpha^{f-1},$$

with $a_i \in \mathbb{F}_p$.

Now $U(\alpha) = 0$ since $f(\alpha) = 0$ and $f(x) \mid U(x)$. In other words, $\Phi^e(\alpha) = \alpha$. In addition, $\Phi^e(a_i) = a_i$ for $0 \le i < f$. Hence

$$\Phi^e(\beta) = \beta$$

for all elements β of the field, since Φ^e preserves addition and multiplication.

We know there is only one field of order p^f so we can denote it by \mathbb{F}_{p^f} .

Now suppose π is a generator of the multiplicative group $\mathbb{F}_{p^f}^{\times}$. Then π is of order $p^f - 1$. But $\Phi^e(\pi) = \pi$, ie $\pi^{p^e} = \pi \implies \pi^{p^e-1} = 1$ also. Hence

$$p^f - 1 \mid p^e - 1.$$

We need a simple arithmetic result.

Lemma 7.4. $p^f - 1 \mid p^e - 1$ if and only if $f \mid e$.

Proof. Suppose first that $f \mid e$, say e = fd. We have $x - 1 \mid x^d - 1$ in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$. Substituting $x = y^f$,

$$y^{f} - 1 \mid (y^{f})^{d} - 1 = y^{e} - 1.$$

The result follows on setting y = p.

Conversely, suppose $f \nmid e$, say

e = fq + r

where 0 < r < f. Let $h(x) = x^f - 1$. Then

$$x^f \equiv 1 \mod h(x) \implies (x^f)^d \equiv 1 \mod h(x) \implies x^e \equiv x^r \mod h(x).$$

Setting x = p,

$$p^e \equiv p^r \mod p^f - 1 \implies p^e - 1 \equiv p^r - 1 \mod p^f - 1.$$

But $p^f - 1 \mid p^e - 1$, by hypothesis. Hence $p^f - 1 \mid p^r - 1$, which is impossible since $p^r - 1 < p^f - 1$.

We see therefore that

$$f \mid e$$
.

If f = e we are done. Otherwise we repeat the same construction with $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{F}_{p^f}$ playing the role of \mathbb{F}_p . Thus we start with an irreducible factor f(x) of $U_e(x)$ over \mathbb{F} of degree d > 1 (we know there is such a factor since there are only p^f linear factors), and consider the extension field $\mathbb{F}[x]/(f(x))$ of order p^g , where g = fd. Again, the field is generated by $\alpha = x \mod f(x)$, ie its elements are polynomials in α ,

$$\beta = a_0 + a_1\alpha + \dots + a_{d-1}\alpha^{d-1},$$

with $a_i \in \mathbb{F}$. As before,

$$\Phi^e(\alpha) = \alpha, \ \Phi^e(a_i) = a_i \implies \Phi^e(\beta) = \beta.$$

Now we choose a generator π of \mathbb{F}^{p^g} . This is of order $p^g - 1$, and

$$\Phi^e(\pi) = \pi \implies \pi^{p^e - 1} = 1.$$

Hence

$$p^g - 1 \mid p^e - 1 \implies g \mid e.$$

Thus we have constructed a larger field \mathbb{F}_{p^g} , with $f \mid g \mid e$. Continuing in this way, we must finally reach the field \mathbb{F}_{p^e} .

7.4.2 Second proof: a counting argumeng

Proof. We know that if $f(x) \in \mathbb{F}_p[x]$ is of degree n, then $\mathbb{F}_p[x]/(f(x))$ is a field of order p^n . Thus the result will follow if we can show that there exist irreducible polynomials $f(x) \in \mathbb{F}_p[x]$ of all degrees $n \ge 1$.

[Conversely, if \mathbb{F}_{p^e} exists then there is an irreducible polynomial over \mathbb{F}_p of degree e. For consider the minimial polynomial m(x) of a generator π of $\mathbb{F}_{p^e}^{\times}$. If this has degree d then π generates an extension field of degree d over \mathbb{F}_p , containing p^d elements. But this field must contain all the powers of π , ie all the elements of $\mathbb{F}_{p^e}^{\times}$. Since it also contains 0 it is in fact the whole of \mathbb{F}_{p^e} , so that d = e.]

Möbius inversion

It is convenient at this point to introduce an auxiliary idea, used widely in combinatorics and elsewhere outside of number theory.

Definition 7.4. The Möbius function $\mu(n)$ is defined for positive integers n by

$$\mu(n) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n \text{ has a square factor} \\ (-1)^r & \text{if } n \text{ is square-free and has } r \text{ prime factors} \end{cases}$$

Thus

$$\mu(1) = 1, \ \mu(2) = -1, \ \mu(3) = -1, \ \mu(4) = 0, \ \mu(5) = -1,$$

 $\mu(6) = 1, \ \mu(7) = -1, \ \mu(8) = 0, \ \mu(9) = 0, \ \mu(10) = 1.$

By an arithmetic function we mean a function with values in $\mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$. **Theorem 7.5.** Given an arithmetic function f(n), suppose

$$g(n) = \sum_{d|n} f(n).$$

Then

$$f(n) = \sum_{d|n} \mu(n/d)g(n).$$

Proof.

Lemma 7.5. We have

$$\sum_{d|n} \mu(d) = \begin{cases} 1 & if \ n = 1 \\ 0 & otherwise. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Suppose $n = p_1^{e_1} \cdots p_n^{e_n}$. Then it is clear that only the factors of $p_1 \cdots p_r$ will contribute to the sum, so we may assume that $n = p_1 \cdots p_r$.

But in this case the terms in the sum correspond to the terms in the expansion of

$$\underbrace{(1-1)(1-1)\cdots(1-1)}_{r \text{ products}}$$

giving 0 unless r = 0, ie n = 1.

Given arithmetic functions u(n), v(n) let us define the arithmetic function $u \circ v$ by

$$(u \circ v)(n) = \sum_{d|n} u(d)v(n/d) = \sum_{n=xy} u(x)v(y).$$

$$7 - 10$$

[This is analogous to the convolution operation in analysis.] This operation is commutative and associative, ie $v \circ u = u \circ v$ and $(u \circ v) \circ w = u \circ (v \circ w)$. The latter follows from

$$((u \circ v) \circ w)(n) = \sum_{n=xyz} u(x)v(y)w(z).$$

Let us define $\delta(n)$, $\epsilon(n)$ by

$$\delta(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n = 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$
$$\epsilon(n) = 1 \text{ for all } n$$

It is easy to see that

$$\delta \circ f = f$$

for all arithmetic functions f. Also the Lemma above can be written as

$$\mu \circ \epsilon = \delta,$$

while the result we are trying to prove is

$$g = \epsilon \circ f \implies f = \mu \circ g.$$

This follows since

$$\mu \circ g = \mu \circ (\epsilon \circ f) = (\mu \circ \epsilon) \circ f = \delta \circ f = f.$$

The following multiplicative form of this result can be proved in the same way.

Corollary 7.4. Given an arithmetic function f(n), suppose

$$g(n) = \prod_{d|n} f(n).$$

Then

$$f(n) = \prod_{d|n} g(n)^{\mu(n/d)}.$$

Return to second proof

There are p^n monic polynomials of degree n in $\mathbb{F}_p[x]$. Let us associate to each such polynomial the weight x^n . Then all these terms add up to the generating function

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} p^n x^n = \frac{1}{1 - px}$$

Now consider the factorisation of each polynomial

$$f(x) = f_1(x)^{e_1} \cdots f_r(x)^{e_r}$$

into irreducible polynomials. If the degree of $f_i(x)$ is d_i this product corresponds to the power

$$r^{d_1e_1+\cdots+d_re_r}$$

Putting all these terms together, we obtain a product formula analogous to Euler's formula. Suppose there are $\sigma(n)$ irreducible polynomials of degree n. Let d(f) denote the degree of the polynomial f(x). Then

$$\frac{1}{1-px} = \prod_{\text{irreducible } f(x)} \left(1 + x^{d(f)} + x^{2d(f)} + \cdots\right)$$
$$= \prod_{\text{irreducible } f(x)} \frac{1}{1 - x^{d(f)}}$$
$$= \prod_{d \in \mathbb{N}} (1 - x^d)^{-\sigma(d)}.$$

As we have seen, we can pass from infinite products to infinite series by taking logarithms. When dealing with infinite products of functions it is usually easier to use logarithmic differentiation:

$$f(x) = u_1(x) \cdots u_r(x) \implies \frac{f'(x)}{f(x)} = \frac{u'_1(x)}{u_1(x)} + \cdots + \frac{u'_r(x)}{u_r(x)}.$$

Extending this to infinite products, and applying it to the product formula above,

$$\frac{p}{1-px} = \sum_{d \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{d\sigma(d) x^{d-1}}{1-x^d} = \sum_{d \in \mathbb{N}} d\sigma(d) \sum_{t \ge 1} x^{td-1}$$

(This is justified by the fact that terms on the right after the *n*th only involve powers greater than x^n .)

Comparing the terms in x^{n-1} on each side,

$$p^n = \sum_{d|n} d\sigma(d).$$

7 - 12

Applying Möbius inversion,

$$n\sigma(n) = \sum_{d|n} \mu(n/d)p^d.$$

The leading term p^n (arising when d = 1) will dominate the remaining terms. For these will consist of terms $\pm p^e$ for various different e < n. Thus their absolute sum is

$$\leq \sum_{e \leq n-1} p^e$$
$$= \frac{p^n - 1}{p - 1}$$
$$< p^n.$$

It follows that $\sigma(n) > 0$. is there exists at least one irreducible polynomial of degree n.

Corollary 7.5. The number of irreducible polynomials of degree n over \mathbb{F}_p is

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{d|n}\mu(n/d)p^d$$

Examples: The number of polynomials of degree 3 over \mathbb{F}_2 is

$$\frac{1}{3}\left(\mu(1)2^3 + \mu(3)2\right) = \frac{2^3 - 2}{3} = 2,$$

namely the polynmials $x^3 + x^2 + 1$, $x^3 + x + 1$.

The number of polynomials of degree 4 over \mathbb{F}_2 is

$$\frac{1}{4}\left(\mu(1)2^4 + \mu(3)2^2 + \mu(1)2\right) = \frac{2^4 - 2^2}{4} = 3.$$

(Recall that $\mu(4) = 0$, since 4 has a square factor.)

The number of polynomials of degree 10 over \mathbb{F}_2 is

$$\frac{1}{10} \left(2^{10} - 2^5 - 2^2 + 2 \right) = \frac{990}{10} = 99$$

The number of polynomials of degree 4 over \mathbb{F}_3 is

$$\frac{1}{4}\left(3^4 - 3^2\right) = \frac{72}{8} = 9.$$