Course MA346H Sample Exam Paper 1

Dr Timothy Murphy

2012 - 2013

1. Explain carefully what you mean by a Turing machine, and show how such a machine defines a function

$$f: \mathbb{S} \to \mathbb{S} \cup \{\bot\},\$$

where S is the set of finite strings of 0's and 1's, and \perp denotes an undefined result.

Construct two Turing machines implementing the two functions

$$[m][n] \mapsto [m+n]$$
 and $[m][n] \mapsto [mn]$,

where

$$[m] = \underbrace{1 \dots 1}_{m \text{ 1's}} 0.$$

Answer: A Turing machine M (following Chaitin's model) is defined by giving

- A finite set $Q = \{q_0, \ldots, q_{n-1}\}$ of states;
- Two maps

action :
$$\mathbb{B} \times Q \to A$$
, transition : $\mathbb{B} \times Q \to Q$,

where $\mathbb{B} = \{0, 1\}$ and

$$A = \{"Noop", "Swap", "Left", "Right", "Read", "Write"\}$$

is the set of 'actions'.

The machine progresses in discrete steps, which we may label with $t = 0, 1, \ldots$. At each step the machine is in a state $q(t) \in Q$.

Associated to the machine is a doubly-infinite tape T, whose configuration at any moment t is defined by a map

$$T_t:\mathbb{Z}\to\mathbb{B}$$
.

where $T_t(n) = 0$ for all but a finite number of n.

The machine reads from an input string

$$s = s_0 s_1 \dots s_{m-1}$$

and writes to an output string

$$s' = s'_0 s'_1 \dots s'_{n-1}$$

At each moment the configuration of the machine M is determined by its state q(t) the configuration T_t of its tape, the portion of the input string $s_0s_1...s_{i-1}$ which it has read in, and the output $s'_0s'_1...s'_{j-1}$ which it has written out.

The action a = a(t) taken by the machine at time t is completely determined by its state q = q(t) at time t and the bit $b = T_t(0)$ (which we think of as the bit 'under the scanner' at time t), according to the map

action : $(q, b) \mapsto a$

The action a determines the configuration T_{t+1} of the tape at step t+1 as follows:

$$\begin{split} a &= "Noop": T_{t+1} = T_t \quad (ie \ no \ change) \\ a &= "Swap": T_{t+1}(0) = 1 - T_t(0) \\ a &= "Left": T_{t+1}(n) = T_t(n-1) \\ a &= "Right": T_{t+1}(n) = T_t(n+1) \\ a &= "Read": T_{t+1}(0) = s_i \quad (read \ input \ bit) \\ a &= "Write": s'_i = T_t(0) \quad (write \ output \ bit) \end{split}$$

Similarly, the state q' = q(t+1) of the machine at time t+1 is completely determined by its state q = q(t) at time t and the bit $b = T_t(0)$, according to the map

transition :
$$(q, b) \mapsto q'$$

Initially, at step 0, the machine is in state q_0 , and the tape is blank (ie T(n) = 0 for all n). The machine halts if and when it enters state q_0 again.

If the machine reads in (completely) the input string s, writes out the output string s' and halts after a finite number of steps then we set

$$M(s) = s'.$$

Otherwise we set

$$M(s) = \bot$$

First machine. The following rules define a machine implementing the function $[m][n] \mapsto [m+n]$.

First we read [m], and output m 1's.

 $\begin{array}{l} (0,0) \mapsto ("Noop",1) \\ (0,1) \mapsto ("Read",2) \\ (1,1) \mapsto ("Read",2) \\ (0,2) \mapsto ("Noop",3) \\ (1,2) \mapsto ("Write",1) \end{array}$

Now we read [n] and output n 1's followed by a 0.

$$\begin{array}{l} (0,3) \mapsto ("Read",4) \\ (0,4) \mapsto ("Write",0) \\ (1,4) \mapsto (3,"Write") \end{array}$$

Second machine. The following rules define a machine implementing the function $[m][n] \mapsto [mn]$.

First we read [m], and store it on the tape.

 $\begin{array}{l} (0,0) \mapsto ("Noop",1) \\ (0,1) \mapsto ("Read",2) \\ (1,1) \mapsto ("Read",2) \\ (0,2) \mapsto ("Left",3) \\ (1,2) \mapsto ("Right",1) \end{array}$

Now we read a bit, and if it is 0 we output 0 and halt.

$$(0,3) \mapsto ("Read",4)$$
$$(0,4) \mapsto ("Write",0)$$

If it is 1 we output m 1's.

$$\begin{array}{c} (1,4) \mapsto ("Noop",5) \\ (0,5) \mapsto ("Noop",7) \\ (1,5) \mapsto ("Write",6) \\ (1,6) \mapsto ("Left",5) \end{array}$$

Now we return to the right-hand end of the tape

$$(1,7) \mapsto ("Right",7)$$

... and read another bit

$$(0,7)\mapsto ("Left",3)$$

- 2. Given sets X, Y, what is meant by saying that
 - (a) #X = #Y, (b) $\#X \le \#Y$?

Show that

$$\#X \leq \#Y \text{ and } \#Y \leq \#Y \implies \#X = \#Y.$$

Answer:

- (i) (a) #X = #Y means 'there exists a bijection f : X → Y'.
 (b) #X ≤ #Y means 'there exists a injection f : X → Y'.
- (ii) By hypothesis there exist injections

$$f: X \to Y, g: Y \to X.$$

We have to construct a bijection

$$h: X \to Y.$$

For simplicity, we assume that X and Y are disjoint (taking disjoint copies if necessary).

Given $x_0 \in X$, we construct the sequence

$$y_0 = f(x_0) \in Y, \ x_1 = g(y_0) \in X, \ y_1 = f(x_1) \in Y, \dots$$

There are two possibilities:

(i) The sequence continues indefinitely, giving a singly-infinite chain in X:

$$x_0, y_0, x_1, y_1, x_2, \ldots$$

(ii) There is a repetition, say

$$x_r = x_s$$

for some r < s. Since f and g are injective, it follows that the first repetition must be

$$x_0 = x_r,$$

so that we have a loop

$$x_0, y_0, x_1, y_1, \ldots, x_r, y_r, x_0.$$

In case (i), we may be able to extend the chain backwards, if $x_0 \in im(g)$. In that case we set

$$x_0 = gy_{-1},$$

where y_{-1} is unique since g is injective. Then we may be able to go further back:

$$y_{-1} = f x_{-1}, \ x_{-2} = g y_{-1}, \ \dots$$

There are three possibilities:

(A) The process continues indefinitely, giving a doubly-infinite chain

 $\dots, x_{-n}, y_{-n}, x_{-n+1}, y_{-n+1}, \dots, x_0, y_0, x_1, \dots$

(B) The process ends at an element of X, giving a singly-infinite chain

$$x_{-n}, y_{-n}, x_{-n+1}, \ldots$$

(C) The process ends at an element of Y, giving a singly-infinite chain

 $y_{-n}, x_{-n+1}, y_{-n+1}, \dots$

It is easy to see that these chains and loops are disjoint, partitioning the union X + Y into disjoint sets. This allows us to define the map h on each chain and loop separately. Thus in the case of a doubly-infinite chain or a chain starting at an element $x_{-n} \in X$, or a loop, we set

$$hx_r = y_r;$$

while in the case of a chain starting at an element $y_{-n} \in Y$ we set

$$hx_r = y_{r-1}.$$

Putting these maps together gives a bijective map

$$h: X \to Y.$$

3. Define the algorithmic entropy H(s) of a string s (of 0's and 1's). Show that

$$H(s) \le |s| + H(|s|) + O(1).$$

Show conversely that there exists a constant C such that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists a string s of length n such that

$$H(s) \ge n + H(n) - C.$$

Answer: Suppose T is a Turing machine. We set

$$H_T(s) = \min_{p:T(p)=s} |s|;$$

and we set

$$H(s) = H_U(s),$$

where U is a universal machine, chosen once and for all.

In other words, H(s) is the length of the shortest string p which when input into U will output s.

Suppose we chose another universal machine V in place of U. By the definition of a universal machine, there exist strings u, v such that

$$U(vs) = V(s), \quad V(us) = U(s).$$

It follows that

$$H_U(s) \le H_V(s) + |v|, \quad H_V(s) \le H_U(s) + |u|.$$

Thus

$$H_V(s) = H_U(s) + O(1).$$

Now suppose |s| = n. We have to show that

$$H(s) \le |s| + H(n) + O(1),$$

where $H(n) = H(\langle n \rangle)$, the binary encoding for n. Let ν be a string of minimal length such that

$$U(\nu) = B(n).$$

We construct a machine T which outputs s on input νs .

T starts by imitating U. Thus it reads in ν and computes B(n). However, instead of outputting B(n) it saves it; and instead of halting after this computation it passes to the next stage, and which it reads in and outputs n bits, ie the whole of s.

Thus

$$T(\nu s) = s$$

 $and \ so$

$$U(\langle T \rangle \nu s) = s.$$

It follows that

$$H(s) \le |\langle T \rangle| + |\nu| + |s|$$

$$\le O(1) + H(n) + |s|,$$

as required.

To prove the converse, we use the result that

$$H(s,t) = H(s) + H(t|s) + O(1),$$

where H(s,t) is the joint entropy of s and t, and H(s|t) is the conditional entropy of s given t.

We shall show that

(a) For all
$$s \in \mathbb{S}$$
,
$$H(s, B(|s|)) = H(s) + O(1),$$

(b) For each $t \in \mathbb{S}$ we can find a string s of length n such that

$$H(s|t) \ge n.$$

The result will follow on taking t = B(n) in (b). For then

$$H(s) = H(s, B(n)) + O(1)$$

= $H(B(n), s) + O(1)$
= $H(n) + H(s|B(n)) + O(1)$
 $\geq H(n) + n + O(1).$

To prove (a) it is sufficient to observe that we can modify U to construct a machine which outputs $\langle s \rangle \langle |s| \rangle$ when U outputs s.

For (b) recall the definition of H(s|t). Let $\mu = \mu(t)$ be the shortest input for U to output t. Then

$$H(s|t) = \min_{\substack{T, p: T(\mu p) = s \\ T, p: U(\langle T \rangle \mu p) = s}} |\langle T \rangle| + |p|$$

Consider t fixed. Then to each $s \in \mathbb{S}$ we can associate the string $\langle T \rangle p$ of length H(s|t). It is a straightforward matter to verify that the map

 $s \mapsto \langle T \rangle p$

is injective.

Now consider the 2^n strings s of length n. Thus at least one s must map to a string of length $\geq n$, since there are only $2^n - 1$ strings of length < n. It follows that at least one s must have

 $H(s|t) \ge n,$

as claimed.