Second Meeting on Quaternionic Structures in Mathematics and Physics Roma, 6-10 September 1999

### WEAKENING HOLONOMY

#### ANDREW SWANN

These notes are based on a I talk I gave at the Erwin Schrödinger International Institute for Mathematical Physics, Vienna, on the 20th October, 1999. This is work in progress, partly based on joint work with F. M. Cabrera and M. D. Monar and partly results of my Ph. D. student Richard Cleyton. It is a pleasure to thank the Erwin Schrödinger Institute and the organisers of the program on Holonomy Groups in Differential Geometry for their kind hospitality.

### 1. Introduction

Suppose (M,g) is a Riemannian manifold. One fundamental piece of data determined by g is the restricted holonomy group Hol. If we assume that Hol acts irreducibly on TM, which is the case if M is complete and irreducible, then the main classification theorem implies that either (M,g) is locally isometric to a symmetric space K/Hol or Hol is one of SO(n), U(n), SU(n), Sp(n), Sp(n), Sp(1),  $G_2$  or Spin(7) (see [3]). Studying the geometries determined by these holonomy groups one finds that if  $M \neq SO(n)$  or U(n), then g is automatically Einstein. This may be restated as follows.

Theorem 1.1. Suppose G is a proper connected subgroup of SO(n) that acts irreducibly on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and if n is even suppose that  $G \neq U(n/2)$ . Let (M, g) be an n-dimensional Riemannian manifold with structure group G. If M admits a torsion-free G-connection then g is Einstein.

A natural question is:

Are there weaker conditions than the existence of a torsion-free connection that imply useful restrictions on the curvature?

In 1971, Gray [9] provided one such notion which he called "weak holonomy". He studied this idea for the groups G that act transitively on the sphere. For the groups SO(n), Sp(n), Sp(n) U(1), Sp(n) Sp(1) and Spin(7), the weak holonomy condition implies that the holonomy group reduces and we obtain no new geometries. However,

Group	Geometry
U(n), SU(n)	Nearly Kähler, i.e., $(\nabla_X J)(X) = 0$ Einstein if $n = 3$
$G_2$	$d\varphi = \lambda * \varphi, \ \lambda \neq 0$ Einstein with $s > 0$
Spin(9)	Not Einstein

TABLE 1. The geometries determined by weak holonomy groups acting transitively on a sphere when the holonomy does not reduce to that group.

for U(n), SU(n) and  $G_2$  Gray found that the weak holonomy condition does give new structures. Very recently Th. Friedrich has shown that the group Spin(9) also occurs as a weak holonomy group [7]. These results are summarised in Table 1.

As the table indicates, the only new examples of Einstein structures are provided by nearly Kähler six-manifolds and seven-dimensional manifolds with weak holonomy  $G_2$ . Many examples of the latter are known. For example each Aloff-Wallach space  $SU(3)/U(1)_{k,\ell}$ , given by embedding U(1) in SU(3) via

$$\exp(i\theta) \mapsto \operatorname{diag}(\exp(ik\theta), \exp(i\ell\theta), \exp(-i(k+\ell)\theta)),$$

carries a homogeneous metric with weak holonomy  $G_2$  [1]. Also non-homogeneous examples can be constructed from non-homogeneous 3-Sasakian metrics in dimension 7 by using the results of [8]. In the case of nearly Kähler six-manifolds that are not Kähler, the only examples known are 3-symmetric spaces, so these are homogeneous. Moroianu & Semmelmann have a proof that there are no other homogeneous examples [10].

As far as I know Gray's condition has not been studied for other G-structures. This may be because his definition is not particularly easy to work with. More in the spirit of Gray's other work would be to look for G-structures which admit a connection whose torsion is 'simple'. This is the approach I wish to take.

## 2. Torsion and Curvature

Fix a closed connected Lie subgroup G of SO(n). Suppose that M is an n-dimensional manifold with a reduction of its structure group to G. Let g be the corresponding Riemannian metric and write  $\nabla$  for the Levi-Civita connection.

If  $\nabla'$  is any G-connection on M, then the difference  $\nabla - \nabla'$  is tensorial and is a one-form with values in (the bundle associated to) the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{so}(n)$  of SO(n). If  $\eta$  is any one-form with values in the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  of G, then  $\nabla' + \eta$  is also a G-connection. It is now easy to see:

Lemma 2.1. If G is a subgroup of SO(n) and M is a Riemannian manifold with a G-structure, then there is a unique G-connection  $\tilde{\nabla}$  such that the Levi-Civita connection satisfies

$$\nabla = \tilde{\nabla} + \xi$$

with  $\xi$  an element of  $T^*M \otimes \mathfrak{g}^{\perp} \subset T^*M \otimes \mathfrak{so}(n)$ .

Definition 2.2. We call the connection  $\tilde{\nabla}$  of Lemma 2.1 the natural metric connection of the G-structure.

The torsion of  $\tilde{\nabla}$  is given by  $T^{\tilde{\nabla}}(X,Y) = \xi_Y X - \xi_X Y$ . Moreover, this torsion determines  $\xi$  by

$$g(\xi_X Y, Z) = \frac{1}{2} \left( g(T^{\tilde{\nabla}}(X, Z), Y) - g(T^{\tilde{\nabla}}(X, Y), Z) + g(X, T^{\tilde{\nabla}}(Y, Z)) \right).$$

We will therefore often abuse terminology and refer to  $\xi$  as the torsion of  $\tilde{\nabla}$ .

Write V for the representation of G on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Then  $\xi$  is an element of the bundle associated to the representation  $V \otimes \mathfrak{g}^{\perp}$ . Let us assume that  $\xi$  lies in a subrepresentation  $W \subset V \otimes \mathfrak{g}^{\perp}$ . It is now possible to deduce some restrictions on the Riemann curvature tensor R of M.

The curvature R of the Levi-Civita connection is an element of  $S^2(\mathfrak{so}(n))$ . Using  $\mathfrak{so}(n) = \mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^{\perp}$  we obtain the decomposition

$$S^2(\mathfrak{so}(n)) = S^2(\mathfrak{g}) \oplus S(\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g}^{\perp}) \oplus S^2(\mathfrak{g}^{\perp}),$$

and a corresponding splitting of R:

$$R = R^{\mathfrak{g}} + R^m + R^{\perp}.$$

We can refine this decomposition further. Let  $b: S^2(\Lambda^2 V) \to \Lambda^4 V$  be the map defined by

$$b(\alpha)(X, Y, Z, W) = \alpha(X, Y, Z, W) + \alpha(X, Z, W, Y) + \alpha(X, W, Y, Z).$$

The space  $\mathcal{K}(\mathfrak{g}) := \ker b \cap S^2(\mathfrak{g})$  consists of elements in  $S^2(\mathfrak{g})$  that satisfy the Bianchi identity, and so is the space of algebraic curvature tensors whose holonomy lies in G.

We write  $S^2(\mathfrak{g}) = \mathcal{K}(\mathfrak{g}) \oplus \mathcal{K}(\mathfrak{g})^{\perp}$  and use this to make a splitting  $R^{\mathfrak{g}} = R_0^{\mathfrak{g}} + R_1^{\mathfrak{g}}$ . Note that b is injective on  $\mathcal{K}(\mathfrak{g})^{\perp}$ , so the fact that R satisfies the Bianchi identity b(R) = 0 implies that  $R_1^{\mathfrak{g}}$  is uniquely determined by  $R^m + R^{\perp}$  via

$$b(R_1^{\mathfrak{g}}) = -b(R^m + R^{\perp}).$$

To obtain information on  $R^m$  and  $R^{\perp}$ , let us locally choose a tensor  $\varphi$  on M (not necessarily of pure type) such that

- (a) Lie stab<sub>SO(n)</sub>  $\varphi = \mathfrak{g}$ , and
- (b)  $\tilde{\nabla}\varphi = 0$ ,

where Lie stab<sub>SO(n)</sub>  $\varphi$  denotes the Lie algebra of the stabiliser of  $\varphi$  under the action of SO(n). One can find such a  $\varphi_0$  satisfying condition (a) at a given point. Suppose  $\varphi_0 \in \bigoplus_{i=1}^s V^{\otimes r_i}$ , for a minimal set of integers  $r_1, \ldots, r_s$ . Let  $U_i$  be the trivial submodule of  $V^{\otimes r_i}$ , for  $i = 1, \ldots, s$ . Then  $U_i$  defines a subbundle of tensor algebra of TM and the restriction of  $\tilde{\nabla}$  to  $U_i$  is flat, so we may locally extend  $\varphi_0$  to a tensor  $\varphi$  satisfying (b).

Now consider the action of the curvature R on  $\varphi$ . We have

$$R.\varphi = R^m.\varphi + R^{\perp}.\varphi.$$

Moreover,  $R.\varphi$  determines  $R^m$  and  $R^{\perp}$ . On the other hand

$$R.\varphi = \mathbf{a}(\nabla\nabla\varphi) = \mathbf{a}(\nabla(\tilde{\nabla}\varphi + \xi.\varphi)) = \mathbf{a}(\nabla(\xi.\varphi))$$
$$= \mathbf{a}((\tilde{\nabla}\xi).\varphi) + \mathbf{a}(\xi^2.\varphi),$$

where a denotes the alternation map. From this we see that if  $\xi$  lies in a subrepresentation W of  $V \otimes \mathfrak{g}^{\perp}$ , then  $R^m + R^{\perp}$  lies in the representation  $V \otimes W + W \otimes W$ . Note that  $R_1^{\mathfrak{g}} \in V \otimes W + W \otimes W$  too, since  $R_1^{\mathfrak{g}}$  is determined by  $R^m + R^{\perp}$ .

Write  $S_0^2V$  for the space of trace-free symmetric tensors on V. Then the trace-free Ricci tensor lies in  $S_0^2V$  and the vanishing of this component of R is exactly the Einstein condition. The above discussion now implies that R is Einstein provided  $R_0^{\mathfrak{g}}$  and  $R_0^{\mathfrak{g}} + R^m + R^\perp$  are both Einstein. We thus have:

Theorem 2.3. Suppose M is a manifold with structure group  $G \leq SO(n)$ . Let V denote the representation of G on TM and suppose that the torsion  $\xi$  lies in the subrepresentation  $W \subset V \otimes \mathfrak{g}^{\perp}$ . Then a sufficient condition for M to be Einstein is

- (a)  $(V \otimes W + W \otimes W) \cap S_0^2 V = \{0\}$ , and
- (b) any element of  $\mathcal{K}(\mathfrak{g})$  is Einstein.

The simplest case is when the representation W is trivial. This occurs precisely when  $\xi$  is invariant under the action of G.

Definition 2.4. We say that the M is a Riemannian manifold with invariant torsion if the structure group of M reduces to a proper subgroup G of SO(n) and the torsion  $\xi$  of the natural metric connection for this G-structure is invariant under the action of G.

### 3. Examples

Let us consider some examples of manifolds with invariant torsion and see how they relate to Theorem 2.3. We begin with Gray's weak holonomy structures that are Einstein. 3.1. Weak Holonomy  $G_2$ . We have  $G = G_2$  and V is the irreducible representation on  $\mathbb{R}^7$ . Then

$$\mathfrak{so}(7) = \Lambda^2 V = \mathfrak{g}_2 \oplus V$$

so  $\mathfrak{g}^{\perp}=V$  and  $V\otimes\mathfrak{g}^{\perp}$  certainly contains a trivial representation. In fact

$$V\otimes\mathfrak{g}^{\perp}=V\otimes V=\mathbb{R}\oplus V\oplus\mathfrak{g}_2\oplus S_0^2V$$

as a sum of irreducible modules.

Taking  $\xi \in W = \mathbb{R}$ , we have  $V \otimes W + W \otimes W = V + \mathbb{R}$  which has no subrepresentation in common with  $S_0^2V$ , which is irreducible. Therefore condition (a) of Theorem 2.3 is satisfied.

For condition (b), we have that  $\mathcal{K}(\mathfrak{g}_2)$  is the algebraic space of curvature tensors of metrics with holonomy  $G_2$ . But all such metrics are Ricci flat and condition (b) holds. (In fact,  $\mathcal{K}(\mathfrak{g}_2)$  is an irreducible representation of dimension 77.) Thus for these  $G_2$ -structures,  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$  implies that  $M^7$  is Einstein.

The tensor  $\varphi$  in the proof of Theorem 2.3 may be taken to be the fundamental 3-form of the  $G_2$ -structure [4]. The condition that  $\xi$  lies in  $\mathbb{R}$  implies that  $\nabla \varphi = \xi \cdot \varphi$  is an invariant tensor in  $V \otimes \Lambda^3 V$ . But

$$\Lambda^3 V = \mathbb{R} \oplus V \oplus S_0^2 V$$

and so  $V \otimes \Lambda^3 V$  contains a unique invariant summand. This is spanned by the fourform  $*\varphi$ , so we have  $d\varphi = \mathbf{a}(\nabla \varphi) = \lambda *\varphi$  and the structure has weak holonomy  $G_2$ . Conversely, for  $\lambda \neq 0$ , a metric with weak holonomy  $G_2$  always has invariant torsion  $\xi = c\varphi$ .

3.2. Nearly Kähler Six-Manifolds. Let U(n) act irreducibly on  $V = \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ . Then V is the real representation underlying  $\Lambda^{1,0}$  and we write  $V = [\Lambda^{1,0}]$ . In this case  $\mathfrak{u}(n)^{\perp} = [\Lambda^{2,0}]$ , so in order for  $V \otimes \mathfrak{u}(n)^{\perp}$  to have a trivial summand we need an isomorphism of  $[\Lambda^{1,0}]$  with  $[\Lambda^{2,0}]$ . For the dimensions of these two representations to be equal we have to have n = 3. However, even in that case the centre of U(3) acts on these two representations with different weights. We therefore conclude that there is a trivial summand only with respect to the action of SU(3).

So we must take G = SU(3) and  $V = [\Lambda^{1,0}]$ . We then have  $V \otimes \mathfrak{g}^{\perp}$  contains  $W = 2\mathbb{R}$ . For this choice of W, condition (a) of Theorem 2.3 is satisfied. Condition (b) is also satisfied, as metrics of holonomy SU(3) are Ricci-flat. Therefore, an SU(3)-structure with invariant torsion  $\xi \in 2\mathbb{R}$  is Einstein.

For  $\xi \neq 0$ , these are exactly the nearly Kähler six-manifolds that are not Kähler. Notice that the structure group of such a manifold always reduces from U(3) to SU(3) as  $d\omega + i*d\omega$  trivialises  $\Lambda^{3,0}$ .

3.3. Holonomy Representations. Suppose G acts irreducibly on V via the holonomy representation of a Riemannian metric. Assume that  $G \neq SO(\dim V)$ . Looking

at each individual case, one can see that the only times where  $\mathfrak{g}^{\perp}$  contains a copy of V are (i) G = SU(3),  $V = [\Lambda^{1,0}]$  and (ii)  $G = G_2$ ,  $V = \mathbb{R}^7$ . We thus have:

Proposition 3.1. Suppose M is a Riemannian manifold with non-zero invariant torsion. If the structure group G acts on TM via a holonomy representation, then M is either a six-dimensional nearly Kähler manifold or M is a seven-dimensional manifold with weak holonomy  $G_2$ .

3.4. Representations of SU(2). Let us consider the case when G = SU(2) and V is an irreducible representation of G. This implies that  $V \otimes \mathbb{C} = S^k \mathbb{C}^2$ , the kth symmetric power of  $\mathbb{C}^2$ , for some integer k. This representation only admits an invariant metric if k is even. The condition that  $V \otimes \mathfrak{su}(2)^{\perp}$  contains a trivial representation then implies that  $k \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$  and that  $k \neq 2$ . One can now check that conditions (a) and (b) of Theorem 2.3 are satisfied. Therefore, if they exist, such structures will give an Einstein metric in dimensions 4r + 3 for r > 0.

Two examples can be easily found. For r = 1, the space  $M^7 = Sp(2)/Sp(1)$ , with Sp(1) embedded maximally in Sp(2) has complexified isotropy representation  $S^6\mathbb{C}^2$  and the only invariant metric is a structure with invariant torsion SU(2). Similarly, for r = 2,  $M^{11} = G_2/SU(2)$ , again with SU(2) maximally embedded, is isotropy irreducible and carries an Einstein metric with invariant torsion. We will see later that these are the only examples that arise from this family of representations of SU(2).

3.5. Homogeneous Spaces. Let M = K/G be a reductive homogeneous space with K and G semi-simple and compact. Write  $\mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{g} + \mathfrak{p}$ , then  $T_e M = \mathfrak{p}$  and the negative  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  of the Killing form induces a positive definite  $\mathfrak{g}$ -invariant inner product on  $\mathfrak{p}$  and hence a Riemannian metric on M. The canonical connection on M is a G-connection with torsion  $\xi(X, Y, Z) = \langle [X, Y], Z \rangle$ , for left-invariant vector fields X, Y and Z.

If  $\mathfrak{p}$  is an irreducible  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module then M=K/G is isotropy irreducible. These spaces have been classified by Wolf [13]. One can check directly that condition (b) is satisfied for all these spaces. However, with  $W=\mathbb{R}$ , it is not always the case that  $V=\mathfrak{p}$  satisfies condition (a), even though K/G is well-known to be Einstein.

3.6. **Three-Sasakian Manifolds.** 3-Sasakian manifolds give another class of Einstein manifolds with invariant torsion. However, in this neither condition (a) nor condition (b) is satisfied.

# 4. General Results

Some general results may be obtained by studying conditions (a) and (b) of Theorem 2.3 in more detail.

First we note that for any representation W in  $V \otimes \mathfrak{g}^{\perp}$  will have  $\mathbb{R}$  as a subrepresentation of  $W \otimes W$ . Thus condition (a) implies that  $S_0^2V$  does not contain a trivial representation. It is straightforward to check that V is then forced to be irreducible.

For irreducible representations V, condition (b) is easy to satisfy given the current state of knowledge of the holonomy classification. Let us consider Berger's approach to the holonomy problem [2] as explained by Bryant [5] and Schwachhöfer [11].

Definition 4.1. Let G be a subgroup of SO(n). Define the Berger algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  of G by

$$\underline{\mathfrak{g}} = \{ R(X, Y) : R \in \mathfrak{K}(\mathfrak{g}), \ X, Y \in V \}$$

It is easy to show

Lemma 4.2. The Berger algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  is an ideal of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , i.e.,  $\mathfrak{g} \triangleleft \mathfrak{g}$ , and  $\mathcal{K}(\mathfrak{g}) = \mathcal{K}(\mathfrak{g})$ .

Berger two necessary conditions for  $\mathfrak{g}$  to be a holonomy algebra, if G acts irreducibly on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . The first is that  $\mathcal{K}(\mathfrak{h})$  should be strictly smaller than  $\mathcal{K}(\mathfrak{g})$  for any proper subalgebra  $\mathfrak{h}$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . This may be rephrased as  $\mathfrak{g} = \underline{\mathfrak{g}}$ . The second criteria comes from consideration of the possible covariant derivatives of curvature tensors. It turns out that this second condition merely distinguishes holonomy groups which can only occur for symmetric spaces from the others. The work on existence of metrics with non-symmetric holonomies now implies that each algebra satisfying Berger's first criterion is the holonomy algebra of some torsion-free connection.

Theorem 4.3.  $\mathfrak{g}$  is a holonomy algebra of an irreducible Riemannian manifold if and only if  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}$ .

We may thus calculate the space  $\mathcal{K}(\mathfrak{g})$  by considering all ideals of  $\mathfrak{g}$  and comparing them with holonomy representations.

Corollary 4.4. Suppose G is a proper subgroup of SO(n) acting irreducibly on  $V = \mathbb{R}^n$ . Then  $\mathcal{K}(\mathfrak{g})$  consists only of Einstein tensors unless n is even and  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{u}(n/2)$ .

*Proof.* If G is simple then either  $\mathfrak{g}$  is a holonomy algebra or  $\underline{\mathfrak{g}} = \{0\}$  and there is nothing to prove.

Suppose G is not simple and that  $\{0\} \neq \underline{\mathfrak{g}} \neq \mathfrak{g}$ . If  $\underline{\mathfrak{g}}$  acts irreducibly on V then the only possibility we have to rule out is  $\underline{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathfrak{u}(n/2)$ , if n is even. However  $\mathfrak{u}(n/2)$  is maximal in  $\mathfrak{so}(n)$ , and so the fact that the containments  $\underline{\mathfrak{g}} < \mathfrak{g} < \mathfrak{so}(n)$  are strict, rule out this case.

If the representation of  $\mathfrak{h}_1 := \underline{\mathfrak{g}}$  on V is reducible then  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{h}_2$ . Depending on the type of the representation V, we may decompose  $V \otimes \mathbb{C}$  as a sum of 1, 2 or 4 tensor products of irreducible  $\mathfrak{h}_i$ -modules over  $\mathbb{C}$ . If  $\mathfrak{h}_i$  is not Abelian, we find that V is the isotropy representation of a Grassmann symmetric space. The space of curvature tensors for such representations are known and the condition  $\underline{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathfrak{h}_1$  can not be satisfied. If one  $\mathfrak{h}_i$  is Abelian, then a direct calculation shows that there are no non-trivial curvature tensors with values in  $\mathfrak{h}_1$ .

We now return to condition (a) of Theorem 2.3. When W is a trivial representation and V is irreducible, (a) is equivalent to  $S_0^2V$  not containing a copy of V.

Lemma 4.5. If V is irreducible,  $\xi$  lies in a trivial submodule of  $V \otimes \mathfrak{g}^{\perp}$  and  $S_0^2V$  has no submodule isomorphic to V, then  $\xi$  is a three-form  $\xi \subset \Lambda^3V$ .

*Proof.* If  $V \otimes \mathfrak{g}^{\perp}$  contains a trivial summand, then we have  $V \subset \mathfrak{g}^{\perp} \subset \Lambda^{2}V$ . Now, under the action of SO(n), we have

$$(4.1) V \otimes \Lambda^3 V = V + \Lambda^3 V + U,$$

where U is irreducible, and we also have

$$(4.2) V \otimes S_0^2 V = S^3 V + U.$$

Our hypotheses imply that for the action of G, (4.2) contains no trivial submodules. In particular,  $U^G = \{0\}$ . Therefore, any trivial submodule of (4.1) lies in either V or  $\Lambda^3 V$ . But V is irreducible, so any trivial module is in  $\Lambda^3 V$ .

Thus for V irreducible, condition (a) of Theorem 2.3 forces the torsion to be totally skew. This is interesting, as such a totally skew condition on torsion seems to be natural in physical consideration of for example hyperKähler geometries with torsion [6].

Interestingly, Lemma 4.5 has a converse.

Proposition 4.6. If M is a Riemannian manifold with a G-structure whose natural metric connection has torsion  $\xi$  and  $\xi$  is a three-form, then  $\tilde{\nabla}\xi$  does not contribute to the curvature of the Levi-Civita connection and condition (a) of Theorem 2.3 can be replaced by

(a') 
$$W \otimes W \cap S_0^2 V = \{0\}$$

*Proof.* The tensor  $\tilde{\nabla}\xi$  is a sum of four tensors  $\psi(X,Y,Z,W)$  which are totally skew in their last three entries. The corresponding element of  $S^2(\Lambda^2V)$  is

$$\tilde{\psi}(X, Y, Z, W) = \psi(X, Y, Z, W) - \psi(Y, X, Z, W) + \psi(Z, W, X, Y) - \psi(W, Z, X, Y).$$

Now one can check directly that  $\tilde{\psi}$  is skew in its first two indices and  $\tilde{\psi}(Y, Z, W, X) = -\tilde{\psi}(X, Y, Z, W)$ . Therefore  $\tilde{\psi}$  is a four-form and so orthogonal to the kernel of the Bianchi map  $b \colon S^2(\Lambda^2 V) \to \Lambda^4 V$ .

As we have already seen, for W trivial and V irreducible, condition (a') of Proposition 4.6 is satisfied. We therefore have:

Theorem 4.7. Let (M, g) be a Riemannian manifold with structure group G acting irreducibly and for which the natural torsion is invariant and totally-skew. Suppose that the structure group is not SO(n) or U(n/2). Then g is Einstein.

In certain cases we can show uniqueness of the Einstein metric.

Theorem 4.8. Let (M,g) be a complete Riemannian manifold satisfying the hypotheses of Theorem 4.7 with structure group G and tangent representation V. Suppose that the space of invariant three-forms  $(\Lambda^3 V)^G$  on M is one-dimensional and that the scalar curvature of M is non-zero. If  $\mathfrak{g} \neq \mathfrak{g}_2$ , then M is homogeneous and isometric to an isotropy irreducible space.

Sketch Proof. If the Berger algebra  $\underline{\mathfrak{g}}$  is non-trivial then it acts on V preserving  $\xi$ . Proposition 3.1 then implies that  $\underline{\mathfrak{g}} = \{0\}$ , as we have specifically excluded the other cases apart from  $\mathfrak{su}(3)$ . But for  $\mathfrak{su}(3)$  the space of invariant three-forms has dimension 2 rather than 1, so this case does not occur.

Now we see that  $R_0^{\mathfrak{g}} = 0$  and by Proposition 4.6  $R^m = 0$ . Thus R is algebraically determined by the torsion  $\xi$ . Write  $R = R(\xi^2)$ .

As the space of invariant three-forms is one-dimensional, locally the  $\xi$  is proportional to a  $\tilde{\nabla}$ -parallel three-form  $\varphi$ . Write  $\xi = f\varphi$ . Then  $R(\xi^2) = f^2R(\varphi^2)$ , and in particular the scalar curvature  $s(\xi^2) = f^2s(\varphi^2)$ . But  $s(\xi^2)$  is constant, as g is Einstein, and  $s(\varphi^2)$  is constant, since it is parallel for  $\tilde{\nabla}$ . Therefore, f is constant under the hypothesis that  $s(\xi^2) \neq 0$ .

We thus have that  $\tilde{\nabla}\xi = 0$  and  $\tilde{\nabla}R = 0$ . By definition this means that  $\tilde{\nabla}$  is an Ambrose-Singer connection. Results of Tricerri & Vanhecke [12] imply that M is a homogeneous space with isotropy group stab  $R \cap \text{stab } \xi$ . However, this group contains G, and so M is isotropy irreducible.

Example 4.9. One instructive example might be helpful at this point. As mentioned above, the Aloff-Wallach spaces  $M_{k,\ell} = SU(3)/U(1)_{k,\ell}$  carry invariant metrics of weak holonomy  $G_2$ . However, in dimension 7 we also have the isotropy irreducible space  $M^7 = Sp(2)/Sp(1)$  with isotropy representation  $S^6\mathbb{C}^2$ . Theorem 4.8 applies to the SU(2)-structure of  $M^7$  and shows that this is the only complete metric with invariant torsion.

Now  $G_2$  has a subgroup SU(2) that acts on the seven-dimensional representation of  $G_2$  as  $S^6\mathbb{C}^2$ . Remarkably, the space of invariant tensors in  $T\otimes \Lambda^2T^*$  is the same for both groups.

If we look parameters k and  $\ell$  such that  $M_{k,\ell}$  carries such an SU(2)-structure we find that topologically the only solution is k=1 and  $\ell=4$ . Thus  $M_{1,4}$  has an invariant metric with weak holonomy  $G_2$  and a reduction of the structure group to the seven-dimensional irreducible representation of SU(2). Theorem 4.8 implies that with respect to the structure group SU(2),  $M_{1,4}$  can not be a manifold with invariant torsion, even though it has invariant torsion with respect to  $G_2$ . We can see that this is not a contradiction by considering the relations

$$\begin{split} \nabla &= \tilde{\nabla}^{\mathfrak{g}_2} + \xi^{\mathfrak{g}_2} \\ &= \tilde{\nabla}^{\mathfrak{su}(2)} + \xi^{\mathfrak{su}(2)} \end{split}$$

This implies  $\xi^{\mathfrak{su}(2)} = \xi^{\mathfrak{g}_2} + (\tilde{\nabla}^{\mathfrak{g}_2} - \tilde{\nabla}^{\mathfrak{su}(2)})$ . The last bracket takes values in  $\mathfrak{g}_2 \ominus \mathfrak{su}(2)$  and there is no particular reason for it to vanish. Thus, if  $\xi^{\mathfrak{g}_2}$  is invariant, this will not imply that  $\xi^{\mathfrak{su}(2)}$  is. However, the converse *is* true, and the SU(2)-structure on  $M^7$  is also a metric of weak holonomy  $G_2$ .

Giving this result it is therefore interesting to find representations V of G for which the dimension of  $(\Lambda^3 V)^G$  is at least 2, as these would give some hope of giving non-homogeneous Einstein structures. It is interesting to remark that there are isotropy irreducible spaces that satisfy this condition. For example, if G is a simple group with Lie algebra not equal to  $\mathfrak{su}(2)$  or  $\mathfrak{sp}(2)$  then the isotropy irreducible space

$$\frac{SO(\dim G)}{G}$$

has at each point a two-dimensional family of invariant three-forms if G is not of type  $A_n$ ,  $n \ge 3$ , and a four-dimensional family in these remaining cases. There therefore appears to be a second natural three-form for these representations and it would be interesting to determine that and to see whether non-homogeneous Einstein structures can be constructed.

### REFERENCES

- 1. H. Baum, T. Friedrich, R. Grunewald, and I. Kath, Twistors and Killing spinors on Riemannian manifolds, B. G. Teubner Verlagsgelellschaft, Stuttgart, Leipzig, 1991.
- 2. M. Berger, Sur les groupes d'holonomie des variétés à connexion affine et des variétés riemanniennes, Bull. Soc. Math. France 83 (1955), 279-330.
- 3. A. L. Besse, *Einstein manifolds*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, 3. Folge, vol. 10, Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg and New York, 1987.
- 4. R. L. Bryant, Metrics with exceptional holonomy, Ann. of Math. 126 (1987), 525-576.
- 5. \_\_\_\_\_, Recent advances in the theory of holonomy, eprint math.DG/9910059, October 1999.
- 6. F. Delduc and G. Valent, New geometry from heterotic supersymmetry, Classical Quantum Gravity 10 (1993), 1201–1215.
- 7. Th. Friedrich, Weak Spin(9)-structures on 16-dimensional Riemannian manifolds, preprint, preliminary version, December 1999.
- 8. K. Galicki and S. Salamon, Betti numbers of 3-Sasakian manifolds, Geom. Dedicata 63 (1996), 45–68.
- 9. A. Gray, Weak holonomy groups, Math. Z. 123 (1971), 290–300.
- 10. A. Moroianu and U. Semmelmann, Private communication, October 1999.
- 11. L. J. Schwachhöfer, Connections with irreducible holonomy representations, preprint, September 1999.
- 12. F. Tricerri and L. Vanhecke, Curvature homogeneous Riemannian manifolds, Ann. Scient. Éc. Norm. Sup. 22 (1989), 535–554.
- 13. J. A. Wolf, The geometry and topology of isotropy irreducible homogeneous spaces, Acta Math. 120 (1968), 59–148, see also [14].
- 14. \_\_\_\_\_, Correction to the geometry and topology of isotropy irreducible homogeneous spaces, Acta Math. 152 (1984), no. 1-2, 141–142.

Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, University of Southern Denmark, Odense University, Campusvej 55, 5230 Odense M, Denmark  $E\text{-}mail\ address:}$  swann@imada.sdu.dk