

Existence of Continuous Extension of Homeomorphisms in Uniform Spaces *

ERNESTO BRUNO COSSI

Introduction. Some functions, like $f: (-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by $f(x) = \tan x$, where \mathbb{R} is the set of real numbers equipped with the usual metric topology and the domain is a subspace of \mathbb{R} , are homeomorphisms but cannot be extended continuously to the adherence of their domains. Nevertheless this extension is possible for other homeomorphisms, like $f: [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ given by $f(x) = x^2$, where the image is equipped with the usual metric topology and the domain is a subspace of \mathbb{R} equipped with the topology of the pseudo-metric defined by: $d(x, y) = |x - y|$, if $x \geq 0$ and $y \geq 0$; $d(x, y) = 0$, if $x < 0$ and $y < 0$; $d(x, y) = x$, if $x \geq 0$ and $y < 0$; $d(x, y) = y$, if $x < 0$ and $y \geq 0$; a continuous extension of f to the adherence of $[0, +\infty)$, that is, to \mathbb{R} is $g: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ where $g(x) = 0$, for any $x < 0$.

The purpose of this note is to study necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of continuous extension of homeomorphisms $f: A \rightarrow F$ in uniform spaces, not necessarily uniform isomorphisms, where F is a complete locally compact Hausdorff uniform space and A is a subspace of a uniform space; and the result is presented in the theorem of 6. in terms of uniform continuity of restrictions of f on subsets of a special class of subsets of A .

The preliminary propositions are established under weaker assumptions; among them we mention the proposition of 2 which gives a sufficient condition for the existence of a continuous extension of a mapping not necessarily uniformly continuous.

1. Definition. Let E be a topological space and let A and B be subsets of E such that $\bar{A} \supset B \supset A \neq \emptyset$. A family \mathcal{G} of subsets of E is called a *cover of first kind of A adherent to B* if: (a) $G \neq \emptyset$ for any $G \in \mathcal{G}$; (b)

*Recebido pela SBM em 12 de novembro de 1974.

$A = \cup \{G : G \in \mathcal{G}\}$; (c) is a partially ordered set directed to the right by \subset ; (d) for any $x \in B$, there exists some $G \in \mathcal{G}$ such that $\bar{G} \cap B$ is a neighborhood of x in B .

If (c) is changed by: \mathcal{G} is closed under the operation of taking union of a finite non-empty collection of its members, \mathcal{G} is called a *cover of second kind of A adherent to B* .

Notice that if \mathcal{G} is a cover of either first or second kind of A adherent to \bar{A} , (d) can be written as follows: for any $x \in \bar{A}$, there exists some $G \in \mathcal{G}$ such that \bar{G} is a neighborhood of x in \bar{A} . Clearly, every cover of second kind is a cover of first kind.

2. Proposition. *Let E be a uniform space; A and B subspaces of E such that $\bar{A} \supset B \supset A \neq \emptyset$; F a complete Hausdorff uniform space; $f : A \rightarrow F$ a mapping; and \mathcal{G} a cover of first kind of A adherent to B such that $f|G$ is uniformly continuous for any $G \in \mathcal{G}$. Then there exists a unique continuous extension of f to B .*

PROOF. Since $f|G$ is uniformly continuous for any $G \in \mathcal{G}$, there exists a unique uniformly continuous extension f_G of $f|G$ to \bar{G} .

Let $g : B \rightarrow F$ be the mapping defined in the following way: for any $x \in B$, there exists, by (d), some $G \in \mathcal{G}$ such that $x \in \bar{G}$ and let be set $g(x) = f_G(x)$. This mapping is well defined because if $x \in \bar{G} \cap \bar{H}$ with $G, H \in \mathcal{G}$, there exists, by (c), some $K \in \mathcal{G}$ such that $G \cup H \subset K$, then $f_K|G$ is uniformly continuous and $(f_K|G)|G = f_K|G = (f_K|K)|G = (f|K)|G = f|G$; hence, by the uniqueness of the uniformly continuous extension, $f_K|G = f_G$ and $f_G|G \cap H = (f_K|G)|G \cap H = f_K|G \cap H = (f_K|H)|G \cap H = f_H|G \cap H$.

The mapping g is continuous. Indeed, consider any $x \in B$ and any neighborhood V of $g(x)$ in F . Then there exists, by (d), $G \in \mathcal{G}$ such that $\bar{G} \cap B$ is a neighborhood of x in B ; but $g(x) = f_G(x)$, therefore, V is also a neighborhood of $f_G(x)$ in F and, since f_G is continuous, there exists a neighborhood W of x in \bar{G} such that for any $y \in W$, $f_G(y) \in V$ holds; in particular, for any $y \in W \cap B$, it follows that $g(y) \in V$. Let S and T be neighborhoods of x in E such that $W = S \cap \bar{G}$ and $\bar{G} \cap B = T \cap B$, but $W \cap B = S \cap \bar{G} \cap B = S \cap T \cap B$, hence $W \cap B$ is a neighborhood of x in B such that for any $y \in W \cap B$, $g(y) \in V$ holds.

The mapping g is an extension of f . In fact, for any $x \in A$, (b) implies that there exists $G \in \mathcal{G}$ such that $x \in G$, hence

$$g(x) = f_G(x) = (f_G|G)(x) = (f|G)(x) = f(x).$$

3. Example. Notice that f and consequently g are not necessarily uniformly continuous. Indeed, let $E = F = \mathbb{R}$ be the set of real numbers equipped with the usual metric topology; $A = \mathbb{Q}$, the set of rational numbers; $B = E$; $f : A \rightarrow F$ given by $f(x) = x^2$ for any $x \in A$; and \mathcal{G} the family of all subsets $G = (x-r, x+r) \cap \mathbb{Q}$ with $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $r > 0$. Then E is a uniform space; F is a complete Hausdorff uniform space; $A \neq \emptyset$; $B = \bar{A} = E$; and \mathcal{G} is a cover of first kind of A adherent to B such that $f|G$ is uniformly continuous for any $G \in \mathcal{G}$. Hence, by the above proposition, there exists a unique continuous extension g of f to E .

4. Corollary. *Let E be a uniform space and let A and B be subspaces of E such that $\bar{A} \supset B \supset A \neq \emptyset$, being A a complete Hausdorff subspace. Then there exists a unique retraction of B in A .*

PROOF. It is sufficient to put, in the proposition of 2, $F = A$, $f =$ the identity mapping on A and $\mathcal{G} = \{A\}$; hence there exists a unique continuous extension g of f to B . Then g is the unique retraction of B in A .

5. Proposition. *Let E be a uniform space; A and B subspaces of E such that $\bar{A} \supset B \supset A \neq \emptyset$; F a locally compact uniform space; $f : A \rightarrow F$ a homeomorphism; and g a continuous extension of f to B . Then there exists a cover of second kind \mathcal{G} of A adherent to B such that $f|G$ is uniformly continuous for any $G \in \mathcal{G}$.*

PROOF. For any $x \in B$, let us choose a compact neighborhood V_x of $g(x)$. Let \mathcal{G} be the family of subsets of E defined by

$$\mathcal{G} = \{G : G \text{ is a union of a finite non-empty collection of subsets } f^{-1}(V_x)\}.$$

The family \mathcal{G} satisfies the conditions for a cover of second kind: (c) is immediate by the definition of \mathcal{G} ; for (b), since $G \subset A$ for any $G \in \mathcal{G}$, it is sufficient to prove that $A \subset \cup \{G : G \in \mathcal{G}\}$, indeed if $x \in A$ then $g(x) \in V_x$ and $f(x) \in V_x$, hence $x \in f^{-1}(V_x)$; (d) is valid because, for any $x \in B$, $g^{-1}(V_x)$ is a neighborhood of x in B , hence $\overline{g^{-1}(V_x)} \cap A \cap B$ is a neighborhood of x in B , but $f^{-1}(V_x) = g^{-1}(V_x) \cap A$, then $\overline{f^{-1}(V_x)} \cap B$ is a neighborhood of x in B ; (a) holds because $\overline{f^{-1}(V_x)} \cap B \neq \emptyset$.

The uniform continuity of $f|G$, for any $G \in \mathcal{G}$, follows from the facts that f^{-1} is continuous, $f^{-1}(V_x)$ is compact, a finite union of compact subsets is compact and the restriction of a continuous mapping to a compact subset is uniformly continuous in uniform spaces.

6. Theorem. *Let E be a uniform space; A and B subspaces of E such that $\overline{A} \supset B \supset A \neq \emptyset$; F a complete locally compact Hausdorff uniform space; and $f: A \rightarrow F$ a homeomorphism. Then there exists a unique continuous extension of f to B if and only if there exists a cover of first kind \mathcal{G} of A adherent to B such that $f|G$ is uniformly continuous for any $G \in \mathcal{G}$.*

PROOF. Sufficiency: Apply the proposition of 2. Necessity: Apply the proposition of 5.

7. Remark. The theorem of 6. remains valid if \mathcal{G} is a cover of second kind.

Reference

J. L. KELLEY, *General Topology*, Van Nostrand, Princeton, 1955.

Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul
 Instituto de Matemática
 Porto Alegre — BRASIL