

A Note on Convergence in Probability*

PEDRO FERNANDEZ

We give short proofs of generalizations of the main results contained in [1] and [2]. Let S be a metric space with metric d and balls $B(x, \delta) = \{y : d(y, x) < \delta\}$ and \mathcal{S} the Borel σ -field of S . If X is a random variable defined on a probability space (Ω, \mathcal{A}, P) with values in S we denote with $\mathcal{L}(X)$ the distribution of X , and sometimes with $\mathcal{L}_P(X)$ if more than one probability measure is involved. The symbol \xrightarrow{w} (resp. \xrightarrow{P}) indicates weak converge (resp. convergence in probability). If $A \in \mathcal{A}$ is such that $P(A) > 0$, $P(\cdot | A)$ will indicate the conditional probability given A .

If P and Q are probabilities on \mathcal{A} which are mutually absolutely continuous we will say that they are equivalent and write $P \equiv Q$.

The symbol Δ indicates symmetric difference; ∂C denotes the boundary of the set $C \subseteq S$; A^c indicates the complement of A . For notation and basic properties of weak convergence the reader is referred to [3]. The main results are contained in Theorems 1 and 2. The proof of Theorem 1 is based on the following Lemma.

LEMMA 1 — Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ be a non-atomic finite measure space, S a polish space, ν a Borel measure on \mathcal{S} such that $\mu(\Omega) = \nu(S)$. Then there exists a measurable transformation $X : \Omega \rightarrow S$, such that $\forall B \in \mathcal{S}$

$$\mu[X \in B] = \nu(B).$$

PROOF: It is sufficient to consider the case $\mu(\Omega) = \nu(S) = 1$. In this case construct a random variable Y on Ω such that its distribution is uniform on $[0, 1]$. Then construct a random variable with values in S on the probability space $([0, 1], \mathcal{B}, \lambda)$, (where \mathcal{B} is the Borel σ -field of $[0, 1]$ and λ is the Lebesgue measure) and such that $\mathcal{L}(Z) = \nu$. The composition $X = Z \circ Y$ gives the desired transformation.

In the next Theorem S is a polish space.

*Partially supported by the CNPq.

*Recebido pela SBM em 2 de maio de 1972.

THEOREM 1 — Let (Ω, \mathcal{A}, P) be a non-atomic probability space, X a random variable with values in S . Then $X_n \xrightarrow{P} X$ for all sequences X_n such that $\mathcal{L}(X_n) \xrightarrow{Q} \mathcal{L}(X)$, if and only if X is degenerate.

PROOF: We only prove the “only if” part; the other is well known. If X is not degenerate there exists a Borel set C such that $P[X \in C] > 0$ and $P[X \notin C] > 0$. Let's assume that $P[X \in C] \geq 1/2$. Let $A \subseteq [X \in C]$ be such that $P(A) = P(X \notin C)$. Let X_1 be a measurable transformation on $(A, \mathcal{A} \cap A)$ such that $\forall B \in \mathcal{S}$

$$P[X_1 \in B] = P([X \in B] \cap [X \notin C]).$$

Let X_2 a measurable transformation on $([X \notin C], \mathcal{A} \cap [X \notin C])$ such that $\forall B \in \mathcal{S}$.

$$P[X_2 \in B] = P([X \in B] \cap A).$$

Define
$$Y(\omega) = \begin{cases} X(\omega) & \text{if } \omega \in [X \in C] - A \\ X_1(\omega) & \text{if } \omega \in A \\ X_2(\omega) & \text{if } \omega \in [X \notin C] \end{cases}$$

It is easy to check that $\mathcal{L}(Y) = \mathcal{L}(X)$ but $P[Y \neq X] > 0$. If we define $X_n = Y, \forall n$, we clearly have $\mathcal{L}(X_n) \xrightarrow{Q} \mathcal{L}(X)$ but $X_n \not\xrightarrow{P} X$.

On atomic spaces this result is false as the following easy example shows.

$$\Omega = \{0, 1\} \quad P(\{0\}) = \frac{1}{3} \quad P(\{1\}) = \frac{2}{3} \quad X(0) = 0, \quad X(1) = 1.$$

X is not degenerate and if $\mathcal{L}(X_n) \xrightarrow{Q} \mathcal{L}(X)$, is easy to verify that $X_n \rightarrow X$ at all points.

In the next Theorem S is a separable space.

THEOREM 2 — $X_n \xrightarrow{P} X$ if and only if $\mathcal{L}_Q(X) \xrightarrow{Q} \mathcal{L}_Q(X), \forall Q \equiv P$.

PROOF: The “only if” part follows immediatly. The other implication is a consequence of the following two lemmas.

LEMMA 2 — $\mathcal{L}_Q(X_n) \xrightarrow{Q} \mathcal{L}_Q(X), \forall Q \equiv P$ implies $P([X_n \in C] \Delta [X \in C]) \rightarrow 0 \forall C$ such that $P[X \in \partial C] = 0$.

PROOF: Let C be such that $P[X \in \partial C] = 0$. If $P[X \in C] > 0$ define

$$Q = \frac{P(\cdot | [X \in C]) + P}{2}.$$

$Q \equiv P$ and then by hypothesis $Q[X_n \in Q] \rightarrow Q[X \in C]$.

This last equation is equivalent to

$$P[X_n \in C, X \in C] \rightarrow P[X \in C].$$

We also have

$$P[X_n \in C] \rightarrow P[X \in C].$$

If $P[X \in C] = 0$ this two equations are trivially satisfied. It is easily seen that they imply the result.

LEMMA 3 — If $\forall C$ such that $P[X \in \partial C] = 0, P([X_n \in C] \Delta [X \in C]) \rightarrow 0$, then $X_n \xrightarrow{P} X$.

PROOF: Let $\varepsilon > 0, 0 < \delta < 1$, and $A = \{(x, y) : (x, y) \in S \times S \text{ and } d(x, y) > \varepsilon\}$. Let $\{x_i\}_{i=1,2,\dots}$ be a countable dense subset of S . Select $0 < \gamma < \varepsilon/2$ such that $P[d(X, x_i) = \gamma, i = 1, 2, \dots] = 0$. Select now N such that

$$P[X \in \bigcup_{i=1}^N B(x_i, \gamma)] > 1 - \delta$$

and check that if $K = \bigcup_{i=1}^N B(x_i, \gamma)$

$$A \cap (K \times S) \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^N [B(x_i, \gamma) \times B(x_i, \gamma)^c].$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} P[d(X, X_n) > \varepsilon] &\leq \delta + P[X \in K, d(X, X_n) > \varepsilon] \\ &= \delta + P[(X, X_n) \in A \cap (K \times S)] \\ &\leq \delta + \sum_{i=1}^N P[(X, X_n) \in B(x_i, \gamma) \times B(x_i, \gamma)^c] \\ &= \delta + \sum_{i=1}^N P[X \in B(x_i, \gamma), X_n \notin B(x_i, \gamma)] \\ &\leq \delta + \sum_{i=1}^N P([X \in B(x_i, \gamma)] \Delta [X_n \in B(x_i, \gamma)]). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\lim_n \sup P[d(X, X_n) > \varepsilon] \leq \delta \quad \forall \delta > 0$$

which is the desired result.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. R. PADMANABHAN — (1970) — *Some conditions for convergence in probability and convergence in law to coincide*. *Mathematica Japonicae*, Vol. 15, n.º 2, 105-109.
- [2] A. R. PADMANABHAN — (1970) — *Convergence in probability and allied results*. *Mathematica Japonicae*, Vol. 15, n.º 2, 111-117.
- [3] BILLINGSLEY, Patrick, (1968) — *Convergence of probability measures*. John Wiley and Sons, New York.

Instituto de Matemática Pura e Aplicada — Rio de Janeiro