

EXISTENCE OF SOLUTION FOR A CLASS OF SEMILINEAR ELLIPTIC PROBLEMS AT DOUBLE RESONANCE

D. G. COSTA (1) AND A. S. OLIVEIRA (2)

1. Introduction

In this paper we consider a class of "doubly resonant" problems of the form

$$(*) \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta u = f(x, u) + h & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is a bounded smooth domain and we are given a function $h \in L^2(\Omega)$ and a Carathéodory function $f: \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $m_r(x) := \max_{|s| \leq r} |f(x, s)| \in L^2(\Omega)$ for each $r > 0$. We shall assume the conditions below are satisfied, where (f_1) expresses the fact that resonance may occur at two consecutive eigenvalues $\lambda_i < \lambda_{i+1}$ of the problem $-\Delta u = \lambda u$ in Ω , $u = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$:

$$(f_1) \quad \lambda_i \leq \ell(x) := \liminf_{|s| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(x, s)}{s} < \limsup_{|s| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(x, s)}{s} := k(x) \leq \lambda_{i+1},$$

uniformly for a.e. $x \in \Omega$;

$$(F_1) \quad \lambda_i \leq L(x) := \liminf_{|s| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2F(x, s)}{s^2} < \limsup_{|s| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2F(x, s)}{s^2} := K(x) \leq \lambda_{i+1},$$

(1) Work partially supported by CNPq/Brasil.

(2) Work partially supported by CAPES/Brasil.

Recebido em 28/05/88.

References

[1] J.L. Arrau - N.M. dos Santos, *Action of \mathbb{R}^2 on manifolds, Topology and its Applications*, 29 (1988).

[2] G. Hector, U. Hirsch, *Introduction to the Geometry of Foliations - Part B, Aspects of Mathematics*, Friedr. Vieweg & Sohn, Braunschweig/Wiesbaden, 1986.

uniformly for a.e. $x \in \Omega$, with strict inequalities $\lambda_i < L(x)$, $K(x) < \lambda_{i+1}$ holding on subsets of Ω of positive measure, where $F(x, s)$ denotes the primitive

$$F(x, s) = \int_0^s f(x, t) dt.$$

Remark. From the conditions above it follows that there exist constants $\alpha, A > 0$ and functions $b \in L^2(\Omega)$, $B \in L^1(\Omega)$ such that

$$(1) \quad |f(x, s)| \leq \alpha |s| + b(x), \quad x \in \Omega, \quad s \in \mathbb{R},$$

$$(2) \quad |F(x, s)| \leq As^2 + B(x), \quad x \in \Omega, \quad s \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Our main result states that, under hypotheses (f_1) , (F_1) , problem $(*)$ is solvable for any given $h \in L^2(\Omega)$. To our knowledge, this generalizes many of the existing results for doubly resonant problems (cf. [4, 7, 9], e.g.). Corresponding general results for resonance at the first eigenvalue λ_1 have been recently obtained by Mawhin-Ward-Willem [16] and de Figueiredo-Gossez [10].

We remark that there is a rich literature dealing with resonant problems, starting with a very nice result due to Landesman-Lazer [4] on resonance at the first eigenvalue. Besides the above cited papers, we also refer the interested reader to e.g. [1-3, 5, 6, 8, 11, 12, 15, 17, 18] and their references.

Our approach to problem $(*)$ is variational and uses the well-known Saddle Point Theorem of P. Rabinowitz [18]. We recall that, under condition (1), the functional

$$I(u) = \int_{\Omega} \left[\frac{1}{2} |\nabla u|^2 - F(x, u) - hu \right] dx$$

is well-defined and of class C^1 on the Sobolev space $E = H_0^1(\Omega)$, with derivative $I'(u) \in E^* = H^{-1}(\Omega)$ given by

$$I'(u) \cdot \omega = \int_{\Omega} [\nabla u \cdot \nabla \omega - f(x, u)\omega - h\omega] dx$$

for all $u, \omega \in E$. Thus the critical points of I are precisely the weak solutions $u \in E$ of $(*)$. We shall denote the norm in $E = H_0^1(\Omega)$ by $\|u\| = \left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

2. Preliminary Lemmas

Let $\{u_n\} \subset E$ be an unbounded sequence. Then, defining

$$v_n = \frac{u_n}{\|u_n\|},$$

we have $\|v_n\| = 1$ and, passing if necessary to a subsequence, we may assume that

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} v_n &\rightharpoonup v \text{ weakly in } E, \\ v_n &\rightarrow v \text{ strongly in } L^2(\Omega), \\ v_n(x) &\rightarrow v(x) \text{ a.e. in } \Omega, \end{aligned}$$

and $|v_n(x)| \leq z(x)$ a.e., where $z \in L^2(\Omega)$.

Now, assuming (f_1) , we obtain that the sequence $\{f(\cdot, u_n)/\|u_n\|\}$ is bounded in $L^2(\Omega)$, so we may assume that

$$(4) \quad \frac{f(\cdot, u_n)}{\|u_n\|} \rightharpoonup \tilde{f} \text{ weakly in } L^2(\Omega).$$

Lemma 1 ([4]). The function \tilde{f} above satisfies

$$(5) \quad \ell(x) \leq \frac{\tilde{f}(x)}{v(x)} \leq k(x) \quad \text{if } v(x) \neq 0,$$

$$(6) \quad \tilde{f}(x) = 0 \quad \text{if } v(x) = 0,$$

where v and ℓ, k are given in (3) and (f_1) , respectively.

Proof. See [4, Lemma 4].

Lemma 2. If $\|u_n\| \rightarrow \infty$ then

$$(7) \quad L(x)v(x)^2 \leq \liminf \frac{2F(x, u_n(x))}{\|u_n\|^2} \leq \limsup \frac{2F(x, u_n(x))}{\|u_n\|^2} \leq K(x)v(x)^2$$

for a.e. $x \in \Omega$, where v and K, L are given in (3) and (F_1) , respectively.

Proof. We shall study the pointwise limits of the sequence

$$(8) \quad \Psi_n(x) = \frac{2F(x, u_n(x))}{\|u_n\|^2}$$

on the sets $\Omega_* = \{x \in \Omega \mid v(x) \neq 0\}$ and $\Omega_0 = \{x \in \Omega \mid v(x) = 0\}$.

(i) On Ω_* . Since $|u_n(x)| = |v_n(x)|\|u_n\| \rightarrow +\infty$ a.e. in Ω_* we can write

$$\Psi_n(x) = \frac{2F(x, u_n(x))}{u_n(x)^2} v_n(x)^2$$

for all n sufficiently large (given $x \in \Omega_*$) and, hence, we obtain

$$\liminf \Psi_n(x) \geq (\liminf \frac{2F(x, u_n(x))}{u_n(x)^2}) v(x)^2 \geq L(x)v(x)^2,$$

$$\limsup \Psi_n(x) \leq (\limsup \frac{2F(x, u_n(x))}{u_n(x)^2}) v(x)^2 \leq K(x)v(x)^2,$$

in view of the definition of $L(x)$ and $K(x)$. The above inequalities prove (7) for a.e. $x \in \Omega_*$.

(ii) On Ω_0 . For $x \in \Omega_0$ we distinguish two cases: if

$$|u_n(x)| \leq 1 \quad \text{then} \quad |F(x, u_n(x))| \leq A|u_n(x)|^2 + B(x) \leq A + B(x)$$

and, since $\|u_n\| \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain $\lim \Psi_n(x) = 0 = L(x)v(x)^2 = K(x)v(x)^2$; if $|u_n(x)| \geq 1$ then

$$\frac{|F(x, u_n(x))|}{u_n(x)^2} \leq A + \frac{B(x)}{u_n(x)^2} \leq A + B(x)$$

and, in view of (8) and the fact that $v_n(x) \rightarrow v(x) = 0$, we obtain $\lim \Psi_n(x) = 0 = L(x)v(x)^2 = K(x)v(x)^2$. Therefore,

$$\lim \Psi_n(x) = 0 = L(x)v(x)^2 = K(x)v(x)^2 \quad \text{for a.e. } x \in \Omega_0$$

and the proof of (7) is complete. \square

The next result is standard (cf. [15] e.g.).

Lemma 3. Let $m: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a measurable function satisfying $\lambda_i \leq m(x) \leq \lambda_{i+1}$, with $\lambda_i < m(x)$ and $m(x) < \lambda_{i+1}$ on subsets U_1 and U_2 of positive measure. If $v \in E$ is a weak solution of

$$-\Delta v = m(x)v \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad v = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega,$$

then necessarily $v \equiv 0$.

3. The Main Result

Before we state and prove our main theorem we need to study the functional $I: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined in the introduction. Throughout this section it will be assumed that conditions (f_1) , (F_1) hold.

Proposition 1. The functional I satisfies the Palais-Smale condition (PS), that is, whenever $\{u_n\} \subset E$ is a sequence such that $I(u_n)$ is bounded and $I'(u_n) \rightarrow 0$ then $\{u_n\}$ possesses a convergent subsequence.

Proof. Let $\{u_n\} \subset E$ be such that $|I(u_n)| \leq C$, $I'(u_n) \rightarrow 0$.

Since $\nabla I(u) = u - T(u)$ where $T: E \rightarrow E$ is a compact operator, in order to show that $\{u_n\}$ has a convergent subsequence it suffices to show that $\{u_n\}$ is bounded.

Suppose by contradiction that $\|u_n\| \rightarrow \infty$. Then, as we observed in the previous section, (a subsequence of) $v_n = u_n / \|u_n\|$ is such that $v_n \rightharpoonup v$ weakly in E , $v_n \rightarrow v$ strongly in $L^2(\Omega)$ and $v_n(x) \rightarrow v(x)$ a.e. in Ω , with $|v_n(x)| \leq z(x)$, $z \in L^2(\Omega)$. Moreover, we showed that

$$(4) \quad \frac{f(\cdot, u_n)}{\|u_n\|} \rightharpoonup \tilde{f} \text{ weakly in } L^2(\Omega),$$

where \tilde{f} satisfies

$$(5) \quad \lambda(x) \leq \frac{\tilde{f}(x)}{v(x)} \leq k(x) \text{ if } v(x) \neq 0,$$

$$(6) \quad \tilde{f}(x) = 0 \text{ if } v(x) = 0.$$

Let us define

$$m(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{\tilde{f}(x)}{v(x)}, & \text{if } v(x) \neq 0 \\ \bar{\lambda} = \frac{1}{2} (\lambda_i + \lambda_{i+1}), & \text{if } v(x) = 0. \end{cases}$$

Then $\tilde{f}(x) = m(x)v(x)$ and, by (5), (6), we have

$$(9) \quad \lambda(x) \leq m(x) \leq k(x) \text{ if } v(x) \neq 0,$$

$$(10) \quad m(x) = \bar{\lambda} \text{ if } v(x) = 0,$$

so that $\lambda_i \leq m(x) \leq \lambda_{i+1}$ in view of (f₁).

Now, by hypothesis, we have $|I'(u_n) \cdot \omega| \leq \varepsilon_n \|\omega\|$ for all $\omega \in E$, where $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0$. Therefore

$$\frac{|I'(u_n) \cdot u_n|}{\|u_n\|^2} = \left| 1 - \int \frac{f(x, u_n)}{\|u_n\|} v_n - \frac{1}{\|u_n\|} \int h v_n \right| \leq \frac{\varepsilon_n}{\|u_n\|} \rightarrow 0,$$

so that

$$\int \frac{f(x, u_n)}{\|u_n\|} v_n \rightarrow 1.$$

From this, using (4) and the fact that $v_n \rightarrow v$ in L^2 , we obtain

$$(11) \quad \int \tilde{f} v = 1,$$

so that $v \neq 0$, necessarily.

On the other hand, for any $\omega \in E$ we have that

$$\frac{|I'(u_n) \cdot \omega|}{\|u_n\|} = \left| \int \nabla v_n \cdot \nabla \omega - \int \frac{f(x, u_n)}{\|u_n\|} \omega - \frac{1}{\|u_n\|} \int h \omega \right| \leq \varepsilon_n \frac{\|\omega\|}{\|u_n\|} \rightarrow 0,$$

from which, using (4) and the fact that $v_n \rightarrow v$ weakly in E , we conclude

$$(12) \quad \int \nabla v \cdot \nabla \omega - \int \tilde{f} \omega = 0 \quad \forall \omega \in E;$$

in other words, $v \in E$ is a weak solution of the problem

$$(13) \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta v = \tilde{f} = mv & \text{in } \Omega \\ v = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases}$$

Now, we have three cases to consider: (i) $m(x) \equiv \lambda_i$; (ii) $m(x) \equiv \lambda_{i+1}$; (iii) $\lambda_i \leq m(x) \leq \lambda_{i+1}$, with $\lambda_i < m(x)$ and $m(x) < \lambda_{i+1}$ on subsets of positive measure.

Case (iii). Since $v \neq 0$, this case can not occur in view of Lemma 3.

Case (i). If $m(x) \equiv \lambda_i$, we obtain $\|v\|_L^2 = \lambda_i \|v\|_L^2$ from (13) and, in fact,

$$(14) \quad \lambda_i \|v\|_L^2 = 1 = \|v\|_L^2,$$

in view of (11). On the other hand, from $|I(u_n)| \leq C$ we obtain

$$\frac{2I(u_n)}{\|u_n\|^2} = 1 - \int \frac{2F(x, u_n)}{\|u_n\|^2} - \frac{2}{\|u_n\|} \int h v_n \rightarrow 0,$$

so that

$$(15) \quad \int \frac{2F(x, u_n)}{\|u_n\|^2} \rightarrow 1.$$

Therefore, combining (14), (15) and Fatou's Lemma yields

$$\lambda_i \int v^2 = \lim \int \frac{2F(x, u_n)}{\|u_n\|^2} \geq \int \liminf \frac{2F(x, u_n)}{\|u_n\|^2},$$

hence

$$\lambda_i \int v^2 \geq \int L(x) v^2,$$

in view of Lemma 2. But then, since $L(x) \geq \lambda_i$, we obtain $L(x) = \lambda_i$ a.e. in Ω which contradicts (F_1) and shows that case (i) can not occur.

Case (ii). Similarly to above, if $m(x) \equiv \lambda_{i+1}$ we obtain

$$1 = \lambda_{i+1} \|v\|_L^2 \text{ and}$$

$$\lambda_{i+1} \int v^2 = \lim \int \frac{2F(x, u_n)}{\|u_n\|^2} \leq \int \limsup \frac{2F(x, u_n)}{\|u_n\|^2},$$

so that

$$\lambda_{i+1} \int v^2 \leq \int K(x) v^2$$

by Lemma 2 and, as $K(x) \leq \lambda_{i+1}$, we conclude that $K(x) = \lambda_{i+1}$ a.e. in Ω , again reaching a contradiction to (F_1) .

Since neither one of cases (i)-(iii) can occur, this shows that any sequence $\{u_n\} \subset E$ for which $|I(u_n)| \leq C$, $I'(u_n) \rightarrow 0$ must necessarily be bounded, so that the functional I satisfies the Palais-Smale condition. \square

Now, let us decompose the space $E = H_0^1(\Omega)$ as

$$E = V \oplus W,$$

where V is the subspace spanned by the eigenfunctions corresponding to $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_i$, $W = V^\perp$ and let us define the quadratic forms $\mu: V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $\nu: W \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\mu(v) = \|v\|^2 - \int L(x) v^2, \quad v \in V,$$

$$\nu(\omega) = \|\omega\|^2 - \int K(x) \omega^2, \quad \omega \in W.$$

Following the ideas of [16, Lemma 1], we shall prove the following

Proposition 2. There exists $\delta > 0$ such that

- (a) $v(\omega) \geq \delta \|\omega\|^2$ for all $\omega \in W$,
- (b) $\mu(v) \leq -\delta \|v\|^2$ for all $v \in V$; moreover
- (c) $I(\omega) \rightarrow +\infty$ as $\|\omega\| \rightarrow \infty$, $\omega \in W$ and
- (d) $I(v) \rightarrow -\infty$ as $\|v\| \rightarrow \infty$, $v \in V$.

Proof. (a) Since $\|\omega\|^2 \geq \lambda_{i+1} \|\omega\|_{L^2}^2$ for all $\omega \in W$, we have

$$(16) \quad v(\omega) \geq \int [\lambda_{i+1} - K(x)] \omega^2 \geq 0 \quad \forall \omega \in W.$$

Next we show that $v(\omega) = 0$ implies $\omega \equiv 0$. Indeed, if $v(\omega) = 0$ we use (16) to get that $\omega = 0$ on the set $\Omega_2 = \{x \in \Omega \mid K(x) < \lambda_{i+1}\}$. But we also get

$$0 = v(\omega) = \|\omega\|^2 - \int K(x) \omega^2 \geq \|\omega\|^2 - \lambda_{i+1} \|\omega\|_{L^2}^2 \geq 0,$$

hence $\|\omega\|^2 = \lambda_{i+1} \|\omega\|_{L^2}^2$, which shows that ω is a λ_{i+1} -eigenfunction. Therefore, since $\omega = 0$ on the set Ω_2 of positive measure, the unique continuation principle implies that $\omega \equiv 0$.

Now, suppose that (a) is false. Then we can find a sequence $\omega_n \in W$ such that $\|\omega_n\| = 1$ and $v(\omega_n) \rightarrow 0$. Passing, if necessary, to a subsequence we may assume that $\omega_n \rightharpoonup \omega \in W$ weakly and $\omega_n \rightarrow \omega$ in $L^2(\Omega)$, so that

$$v(\omega) \leq \liminf v(\omega_n) = 0$$

by the weak lower semicontinuity of the convex functional v on W . Therefore, we get $v(\omega) = 0$ and, hence, $\omega = 0$. But, then, we have that $\omega_n \rightarrow \omega = 0$ in $L^2(\Omega)$, hence

$$v(\omega_n) = 1 - \int K(x) \omega_n^2 \rightarrow 1,$$

which contradicts $v(\omega_n) \rightarrow 0$. Therefore (a) holds.

(c) Let $0 < \varepsilon < \delta \lambda_{i+1}$ where δ is given above. By (F_1) there exists $B_\varepsilon \in L^1(\Omega)$ such that

$$2F(x, s) \leq (K(x) + \varepsilon) s^2 + B_\varepsilon(x)$$

for a.e. $x \in \Omega$ and all $s \in \mathbb{R}$. Therefore, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 2I(\omega) &= \|\omega\|^2 - 2 \int F(x, \omega) - 2 \int h\omega \geq \|\omega\|^2 - \int (K(x) + \varepsilon) \omega^2 - 2 \int h\omega - \int B_\varepsilon \\ &= v(\omega) - \varepsilon \|\omega\|^2 - 2 \int h\omega - \int B_\varepsilon \geq \delta \|\omega\|^2 - \varepsilon \|\omega\|_{L^2}^2 - 2 \|h\|_{L^2} \|\omega\|_{L^2} - \|B_\varepsilon\|_{L^1} \\ &\geq \delta \|\omega\|^2 - \frac{\varepsilon}{\lambda_{i+1}} \|\omega\|^2 - C_1 \|\omega\| - C_2 \end{aligned}$$

for all $\omega \in W$, that is,

$$2I(\omega) \geq \left(\delta - \frac{\varepsilon}{\lambda_{i+1}}\right) \|\omega\|^2 - C_1 \|\omega\| - C_2 \quad \forall \omega \in W,$$

where $\delta - \varepsilon/\lambda_{i+1} > 0$. It follows that $I(\omega) \rightarrow +\infty$ as $\|\omega\| \rightarrow \infty$, $\omega \in W$.

(b) Since $\|v\|^2 \leq \lambda_i \|v\|_{L^2}^2$ for all $v \in V$, we have

$$\mu(v) \leq \int [\lambda_i - L(x)] v^2 \leq 0 \quad \forall v \in V.$$

Similarly to (a), we prove that $\mu(v) = 0$ implies $v \equiv 0$, by showing that $v = 0$ on the set $\Omega_1 = \{x \in \Omega \mid \lambda_i < L(x)\}$ of positive measure and that v is a λ_i -eigenfunction. Then, supposing that (b) is false, we obtain $v_n \in V$ such that $\|v_n\| = 1$, $\mu(v_n) \rightarrow 0$, where we may assume that $v_n \rightarrow v \in V$ in H_0^1 since V is of finite dimension. Therefore we obtain $\mu(v) = 0$, so that $v = 0$ and, consequently, $\int L(x)v_n^2 \rightarrow 0$. But, then, it follows that

$$\mu(v_n) = 1 - \int L(x)v_n^2 \rightarrow 1,$$

contradicting $\mu(v_n) \rightarrow 0$. This shows that (b) holds.

Finally, to prove (d), we fix ε such that $0 < \varepsilon < \delta\lambda_1$ and use (F_1) to get the estimate

$$2F(x, s) \geq (L(x) - \varepsilon)s^2 - B_\varepsilon(x)$$

for a.e. $x \in \Omega$ and all $s \in \mathbb{R}$, which implies

$$\begin{aligned} 2I(v) &\leq \mu(v) + \varepsilon \|v\|_{L^2}^2 + 2\|h\|_{L^2} \|v\|_{L^2} + \|B_\varepsilon\|_{L^1} \\ &\leq -(\delta - \frac{\varepsilon}{\lambda_1}) \|v\|^2 + C_1 \|v\| + C_2 \end{aligned}$$

for all $v \in V$. Since $\delta - \varepsilon/\lambda_1 > 0$ it follows that $I(v) \rightarrow -\infty$ as $\|v\| \rightarrow \infty$, $v \in V$. The proof of Proposition 2 is complete. \square

We are now ready to prove our main result.

Theorem 1. Assume hypotheses (f_1) , (F_1) given in the introduction. Then, for any given $h \in L^2(\Omega)$, problem

$$(*) \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta u = f(x, u) + h & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases}$$

has a solution $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$.

Proof. We recall that the space $E = H_0^1(\Omega)$ is being decomposed as $E = V \oplus W$, where V is the subspace spanned by the λ_j -eigenfunctions, $j=1, \dots, i$, and $W = V^\perp$. Also, our pertinent functional

$$I(u) = \frac{1}{2} \|u\|^2 - \int_\Omega [F(x, u) + hu] dx = \frac{1}{2} \|u\|^2 + N(u), \quad u \in E,$$

is weakly lower semicontinuous, being the sum of the weakly lower semicontinuous functional $\|u\|^2/2$ and the weakly continuous functional N . Therefore, since $I|_W$ is coercive by Proposition 2(c), the infimum $\beta := \inf_W I > -\infty$ is attained. Now take $\alpha < \beta$. By Proposition 2(d) there exists $R > 0$ such that $I(v) \leq \alpha$ for all $v \in V$ with $\|v\| \geq R$. Therefore, since I satisfies (PS) by Proposition 1, we can use the Saddle Point Theorem of P. Rabinowitz [18] to conclude the existence of a critical point $u_0 \in E$ of I with $I(u_0) \geq \beta$. \square

4. Some Examples

Example 1. As a first example, we consider the two-point boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} -u'' = f(u) + h(x), & 0 < x < \pi \\ u(0) = u(\pi) = 0 \end{cases}$$

where $h \in L^2(0, \pi)$ and $f(s) = 6.5s + 2.5s \sin s$. This is a doubly resonant problem since

$$\lambda := \liminf_{|s| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(s)}{s} = 4 = \lambda_2, \quad k := \limsup_{|s| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(s)}{s} = 9 = \lambda_3.$$

It was handled by a different method in [9] by means of a "density condition at infinity" for $f(s)$. Here, we compute $F(s) = 6.5s^2/2 + 2.5(\sin s - s \cos s)$, so that there exists the limit

$$\lim_{|s| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2F(s)}{s^2} = 6.5,$$

with $\lambda_2 < 6.5 < \lambda_3$ and, hence, (F_1) is clearly satisfied. We remark [3] that condition (F_1) and the density condition in [9] seem to be equivalent in the x -independent case $f(x, s) = f(s)$.

Example 2. Now, we consider a PDE example where the nonlinearity $f(x, s)$ depends effectively on x and is such that

$$(f_2) \quad \lambda(x) := \liminf_{|s| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(x, s)}{s} \equiv \lambda_i, \quad k(x) := \limsup_{|s| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(x, s)}{s} \equiv \lambda_{i+1}.$$

Let Ω_1, Ω_2 be measurable subsets of Ω such that $\Omega_1 \cap \Omega_2 = \emptyset$, $\text{meas}(\Omega_1) \cdot \text{meas}(\Omega_2) > 0$ and $\text{meas}(\Omega \setminus \Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2) = 0$. Define

$$f(x, s) = \rho(x)s + \alpha(x, s)s,$$

where

$$\rho(x) := \chi_{\Omega_1}(x)\lambda_i + \chi_{\Omega_2}(x)\lambda_{i+1} = \begin{cases} \lambda_i & \text{if } x \in \Omega_1 \\ \lambda_{i+1} & \text{if } x \in \Omega_2, \end{cases}$$

$$\alpha(x, s) := [\chi_{\Omega_1}(x) - \chi_{\Omega_2}(x)]\theta(s) = \begin{cases} \theta(s) & \text{if } x \in \Omega_1 \\ -\theta(s) & \text{if } x \in \Omega_2, \end{cases}$$

and $\theta: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous functions satisfying

$$\liminf_{|s| \rightarrow \infty} \theta(s) = 0, \quad \limsup_{|s| \rightarrow \infty} \theta(s) = \lambda_{i+1} - \lambda_i,$$

$\lim_{|s| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{A(s)}{s^2} = 0$, with $A(s) = \int_0^s \theta(t)t dt$. Then we obtain

$$\frac{f(x, s)}{s} = \rho(x) + \alpha(x, s) = \begin{cases} \lambda_i + \theta(s) & \text{if } x \in \Omega_1 \\ \lambda_{i+1} - \theta(s) & \text{if } x \in \Omega_2, \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

so that (f_2) is satisfied. On the other hand, we have

$$\frac{2F(x, s)}{s^2} = \rho(x) + \frac{2}{s^2} \int_0^s \alpha(x, t)t dt = \begin{cases} \rho(x) + 2A(s)/s^2 & \text{if } x \in \Omega_1 \\ \rho(x) - 2A(s)/s^2 & \text{if } x \in \Omega_2, \end{cases}$$

hence

$$\lim_{|s| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2F(x, s)}{s^2} = \rho(x) = \begin{cases} \lambda_i & \text{if } x \in \Omega_1 \\ \lambda_{i+1} & \text{if } x \in \Omega_2, \end{cases}$$

so that condition (F_1) is satisfied as $\text{meas}(\Omega_1) \cdot \text{meas}(\Omega_2) > 0$.

References

- [1] S. Ahmad, A.C. Lazer, J.L. Paul - *Elementary critical point theory and perturbations of elliptic boundary value problems at resonance*, Indiana Univ. Math. J., 25 (1976), 933-944.
- [2] H. Amann, A. Ambrosetti, G. Mancini - *Elliptic equations with noninvertible Fredholm linear part and bounded nonlinearities*, Math. Zeit., 158 (1978), 179-194.
- [3] H. Amann, G. Mancini - *Some applications of monotone operator theory to resonance problems*, Nonlinear Analysis, T.M.A., 3 (1979), 815-830.
- [4] H. Berestycki, D.G. de Figueiredo - *Double resonance in semilinear elliptic problems*, Comm. Partial Diff. Equations, 6(1), 91-120 (1981).

- [5] H. Brézis, L. Nirenberg - *Characterizations of the ranges of some nonlinear operators and applications to boundary value problems*, Ann. Sc. Norm. Sup. Pisa, Serie IV, 5 (1978), 225-326.
- [6] D.G. Costa, J.V.A. Gonçalves - *Existence and multiplicity results for a class of nonlinear elliptic boundary value problems at resonance*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 84 (1981), 328-337.
- [7] D.G. de Figueiredo - *Resonance of a semilinear elliptic equation at two eigenvalues*, Trab. Mat, nº 133, Univ. Brasília, 1978.
- [8] D.G. de Figueiredo - *Semilinear elliptic equations at resonance: higher eigenvalues and unbounded nonlinearities*, in "Recent Advances in Diff. Equations", Academic Press, 1981.
- [9] D.G. de Figueiredo, J.-P. Gossez - *Conditions de non-résonance pour certains problèmes elliptiques semi-linéaires*, C.R. Acad. Sc. Paris, 302 (1986), 543-545.
- [10] D.G. de Figueiredo, J.-P. Gossez - *Nonresonance below the first eigenvalue for a semilinear elliptic problem*, College on Variational Problems in Analysis (11 Jan/5 Feb 1988), I.C.T.P., Trieste - Italy.
- [11] D.G. de Figueiredo, J.-P. Gossez - *Nonlinear perturbations of a linear elliptic problem near its first eigenvalue*, J. Diff. Equat., 30 (1978), 1-19.
- [12] D.G. de Figueiredo, W.-M. Ni - *Perturbations of second order linear elliptic problems by nonlinearities without Landesman-Lazer condition*, Nonlinear Analysis, T.M.A., 3 (1979), 629-634.
- [13] J.-P. Gossez - *Personal Communication*.
- [14] E.M. Landesman, A.C. Lazer - *Nonlinear perturbations of linear elliptic boundary value problems at resonance*, J. Math. Mech., 19 (1970), 609-623.
- [15] J. Mawhin, J.R. Ward Jr. - *Nonresonance and existence for nonlinear elliptic boundary value problems*, Nonlinear Analysis, T.M.A., 6 (1981), 677-684.

- [16] J. Mawhin, J. Ward Jr., M. Willem - *Variational methods and semilinear elliptic equations*, Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal., 95 (1986), 269-277.
- [17] J. Mawhin, M. Willem - *Critical points of convex perturbations of some indefinite quadratic forms and semilinear boundary value problems at resonance*, Rapport nº 65, Univ. Catholique de Louvain, 1985.
- [18] P.H. Rabinowitz - *Some minimax theorems and applications to nonlinear partial differential equations*, in "Nonlinear Analysis", Cesari, Kannan and Weinberger ed., Academic Press, 1978, 161-177.

Universidade de Brasília
Departamento de Matemática
70.910 - Brasília-DF
Brasil

Universidade Federal da Paraíba
Departamento de Matemática-CCEN
58.059 - João Pessoa-PB
Brasil