

A COVARIANT STINESPRING TYPE THEOREM FOR τ -MAPS

Harsh Trivedi

Abstract. Let τ be a linear map from a unital C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} to a von Neumann algebra \mathcal{B} and let \mathcal{C} be a unital C^* -algebra. A map T from a Hilbert \mathcal{A} -module E to a von Neumann \mathcal{C} - \mathcal{B} module F is called a τ -map if

$$\langle T(x), T(y) \rangle = \tau(\langle x, y \rangle) \text{ for all } x, y \in E.$$

A Stinespring type theorem for τ -maps and its covariant version are obtained when τ is completely positive. We show that there is a bijective correspondence between the set of all τ -maps from E to F which are (u', u) -covariant with respect to a dynamical system (G, η, E) and the set of all (u', u) -covariant $\tilde{\tau}$ -maps from the crossed product $E \times_{\eta} G$ to F , where τ and $\tilde{\tau}$ are completely positive.

1 Introduction

A linear mapping τ from a (pre-) C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} to a (pre-) C^* -algebra \mathcal{B} is called *completely positive* if

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^n b_j^* \tau(a_j^* a_i) b_i \geq 0$$

for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n \in \mathcal{B}$ and $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \in \mathcal{A}$. The completely positive maps are used significantly in the theory of measurements, quantum mechanics, operator algebras etc. Paschke's Gelfand-Naimark-Segal (GNS) construction (cf. Theorem 5.2, [13]) characterizes completely positive maps between unital C^* -algebras, which is an abstraction of the Stinespring's theorem for operator valued completely positive maps (cf. Theorem 1, [22]). Now we define Hilbert C^* -modules which are a generalization of Hilbert spaces and C^* -algebras, were introduced by Paschke in the paper mentioned above and were also studied independently by Rieffel in [15].

Definition 1. Let \mathcal{B} be a (pre-) C^* -algebra and E be a vector space which is a right \mathcal{B} -module satisfying $\alpha(xb) = (\alpha x)b = x(\alpha b)$ for $x \in E, b \in \mathcal{B}, \alpha \in \mathbb{C}$. The space

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary: 46L08, 46L55; Secondary: 46L07, 46L53.

Keywords: Stinespring representation; Completely positive maps; Von Neumann modules; Dynamical systems.

This work was supported by CSIR, India.

<http://www.utgjiu.ro/math/sma>

E is called an inner-product \mathcal{B} -module or a pre-Hilbert \mathcal{B} -module if there exists a mapping $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : E \times E \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ such that

- (i) $\langle x, x \rangle \geq 0$ for $x \in E$ and $\langle x, x \rangle = 0$ only if $x = 0$,
- (ii) $\langle x, yb \rangle = \langle x, y \rangle b$ for $x, y \in E$ and for $b \in \mathcal{B}$,
- (iii) $\langle x, y \rangle = \langle y, x \rangle^*$ for $x, y \in E$,
- (iv) $\langle x, \mu y + \nu z \rangle = \mu \langle x, y \rangle + \nu \langle x, z \rangle$ for $x, y, z \in E$ and for $\mu, \nu \in \mathbb{C}$.

An inner-product \mathcal{B} -module E which is complete with respect to the norm

$$\|x\| := \|\langle x, x \rangle\|^{1/2} \text{ for } x \in E$$

is called a Hilbert \mathcal{B} -module or Hilbert C^* -module over \mathcal{B} . It is said to be full if the closure of the linear span of $\{\langle x, y \rangle : x, y \in E\}$ equals \mathcal{B} .

Hilbert C^* -modules are important objects to study the classification theory of C^* -algebras, the dilation theory of semigroups of completely positive maps, and so on. If a completely positive map takes values in any von Neumann algebra, then it gives us a von Neumann module by Paschke's GNS construction (cf. [19]). The von Neumann modules were recently utilized in [3] to explore Bures distance between two completely positive maps. Using the following definition of adjointable maps we define von Neumann modules: Let E and F be (pre-)Hilbert \mathcal{A} -modules, where \mathcal{A} is a (pre-) C^* -algebra. A map $S : E \rightarrow F$ is called *adjointable* if there exists a map $S' : F \rightarrow E$ such that

$$\langle S(x), y \rangle = \langle x, S'(y) \rangle \text{ for all } x \in E, y \in F.$$

S' is unique for each S , henceforth we denote it by S^* . We denote the set of all adjointable maps from E to F by $\mathcal{B}^a(E, F)$ and we use $\mathcal{B}^a(E)$ for $\mathcal{B}^a(E, E)$. Symbols $\mathcal{B}(E, F)$ and $\mathcal{B}^r(E, F)$ represent the set of all bounded linear maps from E to F and the set of all bounded right linear maps from E to F , respectively.

Definition 2. (cf. [18]) Let \mathcal{B} be a von Neumann algebra acting on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , i.e., strongly closed C^* -subalgebra of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ containing the identity operator. Let E be a (pre-)Hilbert \mathcal{B} -module. The Hilbert space $E \odot \mathcal{H}$ is the interior tensor product of E and \mathcal{H} . For each $x \in E$ we get a bounded linear map from \mathcal{H} to $E \odot \mathcal{H}$ defined as

$$L_x(h) := x \odot h \text{ for all } h \in \mathcal{H}.$$

Note that $L_{x_1}^* L_{x_2} = \langle x_1, x_2 \rangle$ for $x_1, x_2 \in E$. So we identify each $x \in E$ with L_x and consider E as a concrete submodule of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}, E \odot \mathcal{H})$. The module E is called a von Neumann \mathcal{B} -module or a von Neumann module over \mathcal{B} if E is strongly closed in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}, E \odot \mathcal{H})$. Let \mathcal{A} be a unital (pre-) C^* -algebra. A von Neumann \mathcal{B} -module E is called a von Neumann \mathcal{A} - \mathcal{B} module if there exists an adjointable left action of \mathcal{A} on E .

An alternate approach to the theory of von Neumann modules is introduced recently in [1] and an analogue of the Stinespring's theorem for von Neumann bimodules is discussed. The comparison of results coming from these two approach is provided by [20].

Let G be a locally compact group and let $M(\mathcal{A})$ denote the multiplier algebra of any C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} . An *action of G on \mathcal{A}* is defined as a group homomorphism $\alpha : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathcal{A})$. If $t \mapsto \alpha_t(a)$ is continuous for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$, then we call (G, α, \mathcal{A}) a *C^* -dynamical system*.

Definition 3. (cf. [11]) Let \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} be unital (pre-) C^* -algebras and G be a locally compact group. Let (G, α, \mathcal{A}) be a C^* -dynamical system and $u : G \rightarrow \mathcal{UB}$ be a unitary representation where \mathcal{UB} is the group of all unitary elements of \mathcal{B} . A completely positive map $\tau : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is called *u -covariant with respect to (G, α, \mathcal{A})* if

$$\tau(\alpha_t(a)) = u_t \tau(a) u_t^* \text{ for all } a \in \mathcal{A} \text{ and } t \in G.$$

The existence of covariant completely positive liftings (cf. [4]) and a covariant version of the Stinespring's theorem for operator-valued u -covariant completely positive maps were obtained by Paulsen in [14], and they were used to provide three groups out of equivalence classes of covariant extensions. Later Kaplan (cf. [11]) extended this covariant version and as an application analyzed the completely positive lifting problem for homomorphisms of the reduced group C^* -algebras.

A map T from a (pre-)Hilbert \mathcal{A} -module E to a (pre-)Hilbert \mathcal{B} -module F is called *τ -map* (cf. [21]) if

$$\langle T(x), T(y) \rangle = \tau(\langle x, y \rangle) \text{ for all } x, y \in E.$$

Recently a Stinespring type theorem for τ -maps was obtained by Bhat, Ramesh and Sumesh (cf. [2]) for any operator valued completely positive map τ defined on a unital C^* -algebra. There are two covariant versions of this Stinespring type theorem see Theorem 3.4 of [9] and Theorem 3.2 of [8]. In Section 2, we give a Stinespring type theorem for τ -maps, when \mathcal{B} is any von Neumann algebra and F is any von Neumann \mathcal{B} -module.

In [5] the notion of \mathfrak{K} -families is introduced, which is a generalization of the τ -maps, and several results are derived for covariant \mathfrak{K} -families. In [21] different characterizations of the τ -maps were obtained and as an application the dilation theory of semigroups of the completely positive maps was discussed. Extending some of these results for \mathfrak{K} -families, application to the dilation theory of semigroups of completely positive definite kernels is explored in [5].

In this article we get a covariant version of our Stinespring type theorem which requires the following notions: Let \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} be C^* -algebras, E be a Hilbert \mathcal{A} -module, and let F be a Hilbert \mathcal{B} -module. A map $\Psi : E \rightarrow F$ is said to be a

morphism of Hilbert C^* -modules if there exists a C^* -algebra homomorphism $\psi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ such that

$$\langle \Psi(x), \Psi(y) \rangle = \psi(\langle x, y \rangle) \text{ for all } x, y \in E.$$

If E is full, then ψ is unique for Ψ . A bijective map $\Psi : E \rightarrow F$ is called an *isomorphism of Hilbert C^* -modules* if Ψ and Ψ^{-1} are morphisms of Hilbert C^* -modules. We denote the group of all isomorphisms of Hilbert C^* -modules from E to itself by $\text{Aut}(E)$.

Definition 4. Let G be a locally compact group and let \mathcal{A} be a C^* -algebra. Let E be a full Hilbert \mathcal{A} -module. A group homomorphism $t \mapsto \eta_t$ from G to $\text{Aut}(E)$ is called a continuous action of G on E if $t \mapsto \eta_t(x)$ from G to E is continuous for each $x \in E$. In this case we call the triple (G, η, E) a dynamical system on the Hilbert \mathcal{A} -module E . Any C^* -dynamical system (G, α, \mathcal{A}) can be regarded as a dynamical system on the Hilbert \mathcal{A} -module \mathcal{A} .

Let E be a full Hilbert C^* -module over a unital C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} . Let F be a von Neumann \mathcal{C} - \mathcal{B} module, where \mathcal{C} is a unital C^* -algebra and \mathcal{B} is a von Neumann algebra. We define covariant τ -maps with respect to (G, η, E) in Section 2, and develop a covariant version of our Stinespring type theorem. If (G, η, E) is a dynamical system on E , then there exists a crossed product Hilbert C^* -module $E \times_\eta G$ (cf. [6]). In Section 3, we prove that any τ -map from E to F which is (u', u) -covariant with respect to the dynamical system (G, η, E) extends to a (u', u) -covariant $\tilde{\tau}$ -map from $E \times_\eta G$ to F , where τ and $\tilde{\tau}$ are completely positive. As an application we describe how covariant τ -maps on (G, η, E) and covariant $\tilde{\tau}$ -maps on $E \times_\eta G$ are related, where τ and $\tilde{\tau}$ are completely positive maps. The approach in this article is similar to [2] and [9].

2 A Stinespring type theorem and its covariant version

Definition 5. Let \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} be (pre-) C^* -algebras. Let E be a Hilbert \mathcal{A} -module and let F, F' be inner product \mathcal{B} -modules. A map $\Psi : E \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^r(F, F')$ is called quasi-representation if there exists a $*$ -homomorphism $\pi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^a(F)$ satisfying

$$\langle \Psi(y)f_1, \Psi(x)f_2 \rangle = \langle \pi(\langle x, y \rangle)f_1, f_2 \rangle \text{ for all } x, y \in E \text{ and } f_1, f_2 \in F.$$

In this case we say that Ψ is a quasi-representation of E on F and F' , and π is associated to Ψ .

It is clear that Definition 5 generalizes the notion of representations of Hilbert C^* -modules on Hilbert spaces (cf. p.804 of [9]). The following theorem provides a decomposition of τ -maps in terms of quasi-representations. We use the symbol sot-lim for the limit with respect to the strong operator topology. Notation $[S]$ will be used for the norm closure of the linear span of any set S .

Theorem 6. *Let \mathcal{A} be a unital C^* -algebra and let \mathcal{B} be a von Neumann algebra acting on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . Let E be a Hilbert \mathcal{A} -module, E' be a von Neumann \mathcal{B} -module and let $\tau : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be a completely positive map. If $T : E \rightarrow E'$ is a τ -map, then there exist*

- (i) (a) a von Neumann \mathcal{B} -module F and a representation π of \mathcal{A} to $\mathcal{B}^a(F)$,
- (b) a map $V \in \mathcal{B}^a(\mathcal{B}, F)$ such that $\tau(a)b = V^*\pi(a)Vb$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and $b \in \mathcal{B}$,
- (ii) (a) a von Neumann \mathcal{B} -module F' and a quasi-representation $\Psi : E \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^a(F, F')$ such that π is associated to Ψ ,
- (b) a coisometry S from E' onto F' satisfying

$$T(x)b = S^*\Psi(x)Vb \text{ for all } x \in E \text{ and } b \in \mathcal{B}.$$

Proof. Let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ be a \mathcal{B} -valued positive definite semi-inner product on $\mathcal{A} \otimes_{alg} \mathcal{B}$ defined by

$$\langle a \otimes b, c \otimes d \rangle := b^*\tau(a^*c)d \text{ for } a, c \in \mathcal{A} \text{ and } b, d \in \mathcal{B}.$$

Using Cauchy-Schwarz inequality we deduce that $K = \{x \in \mathcal{A} \otimes_{alg} \mathcal{B} : \langle x, x \rangle = 0\}$ is a submodule of $\mathcal{A} \otimes_{alg} \mathcal{B}$. Therefore $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ extends naturally on the quotient module $(\mathcal{A} \otimes_{alg} \mathcal{B}) / K$ as a \mathcal{B} -valued inner product. We get a Stinespring triple (π_0, V, F_0) associated to τ , construction is similar to Proposition 1 of [11], where F_0 is the completion of the inner-product \mathcal{B} -module $(\mathcal{A} \otimes_{alg} \mathcal{B}) / K$, $\pi_0 : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^a(F_0)$ is a $*$ -homomorphism defined by

$$\pi_0(a')(a \otimes b + K) := a'a \otimes b + K \text{ for all } a, a' \in \mathcal{A} \text{ and } b \in \mathcal{B},$$

and a mapping $V \in \mathcal{B}^a(\mathcal{B}, F_0)$ is defined by

$$V(b) = 1 \otimes b + K \text{ for all } b \in \mathcal{B}.$$

Indeed, $[\pi_0(\mathcal{A})V\mathcal{B}] = F_0$. Let F be the strong operator topology closure of F_0 in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}, F_0 \odot \mathcal{H})$. Without loss of generality we can consider $V \in \mathcal{B}^a(\mathcal{B}, F)$. Adjointable left action of \mathcal{A} on F_0 extends to an adjointable left action of \mathcal{A} on F as follows:

$$\pi(a)(f) := \text{sot-}\lim_{\alpha} \pi_0(f_{\alpha}^0) \text{ where } a \in \mathcal{A}, f = \text{sot-}\lim_{\alpha} f_{\alpha}^0 \in F \text{ with } f_{\alpha}^0 \in F_0.$$

For all $a \in \mathcal{A}; f = \text{sot-}\lim_{\alpha} f_{\alpha}^0, g = \text{sot-}\lim_{\beta} g_{\beta}^0 \in F$ with $f_{\alpha}^0, g_{\beta}^0 \in F_0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \pi(a)f, g \rangle &= \text{sot-}\lim_{\beta} \langle \pi(a)f, g_{\beta}^0 \rangle = \text{sot-}\lim_{\beta} (\text{sot-}\lim_{\alpha} \langle g_{\beta}^0, \pi_0(a)f_{\alpha}^0 \rangle)^* \\ &= \text{sot-}\lim_{\beta} (\text{sot-}\lim_{\alpha} \langle \pi_0(a)^*g_{\beta}^0, f_{\alpha}^0 \rangle)^* = \langle f, \pi(a^*)g \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

The triple (π, V, F) satisfies all the conditions of the statement (i).

Let F'' be the Hilbert \mathcal{B} -module $[T(E)\mathcal{B}]$. For $x \in E$, define $\Psi_0(x) : F_0 \rightarrow F''$ by

$$\Psi_0(x)\left(\sum_{j=1}^n \pi_0(a_j)Vb_j\right) := \sum_{j=1}^n T(xa_j)b_j \text{ for all } a_j \in \mathcal{A}, b_j \in \mathcal{B}.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle \Psi_0(y)\left(\sum_{j=1}^n \pi_0(a_j)Vb_j\right), \Psi_0(x)\left(\sum_{i=1}^m \pi_0(a'_i)Vb'_i\right) \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^m b_j^* \langle T(ya_j), T(xa'_i) \rangle b'_i \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n b_j^* \tau(\langle ya_j, xa'_i \rangle) b'_i = \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^m \langle \pi_0(a'_i)^* \pi_0(\langle x, y \rangle) \pi_0(a_j) Vb_j, Vb'_i \rangle \\ &= \langle \pi_0(\langle x, y \rangle) \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \pi_0(a_j)Vb_j\right), \sum_{i=1}^m \pi_0(a'_i)Vb'_i \rangle \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in E, a'_i, a_j \in \mathcal{A}, b'_i, b_j \in \mathcal{B}$ where $1 \leq j \leq n$ and $1 \leq i \leq m$. This computation proves that $\Psi_0(x) \in \mathcal{B}^r(F_0, F'')$ for each $x \in E$ and also that $\Psi_0 : E \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^r(F_0, F'')$ is a quasi-representation. We denote by F' the strong operator topology closure of F'' in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}, E' \odot \mathcal{H})$. Let $x \in E$, and let $\Psi(x) : F \rightarrow F'$ be a mapping defined by

$$\Psi(x)(f) := \text{sot-}\lim_{\alpha} \Psi_0(x)f_{\alpha}^0 \text{ where } f = \text{sot-}\lim_{\alpha} f_{\alpha}^0 \in F \text{ for } f_{\alpha}^0 \in F_0.$$

For all $f = \text{sot-}\lim_{\alpha} f_{\alpha}^0 \in F$ with $f_{\alpha}^0 \in F_0$ and for all $x, y \in E$ we have

$$\langle \Psi(x)f, \Psi(y)f \rangle = \text{sot-}\lim_{\alpha} \{ \text{sot-}\lim_{\beta} \langle \Psi_0(y)f_{\alpha}^0, \Psi_0(x)f_{\beta}^0 \rangle \}^* = \langle f, \pi(\langle x, y \rangle)f \rangle.$$

Since F is a von Neumann \mathcal{B} -module, this proves that $\Psi : E \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^a(F, F')$ is a quasi-representation. Since, F' is a von Neumann \mathcal{B} -submodule of E' , there exists an orthogonal projection from E' onto F' (cf. Theorem 5.2 of [18]) which we denote by S . Eventually

$$S^* \Psi(x)Vb = \Psi(x)Vb = \Psi(x)(\pi(1)Vb) = T(x)b \text{ for all } x \in E, b \in \mathcal{B}.$$

□

Let E be a (pre-)Hilbert \mathcal{A} -module, where \mathcal{A} is a (pre-)C*-algebra \mathcal{A} . A map $u \in \mathcal{B}^a(E)$ is said to be *unitary* if $u^*u = uu^* = 1_E$ where 1_E is the identity operator on E . We denote the set of all unitaries in $\mathcal{B}^a(E)$ by $\mathcal{UB}^a(E)$.

Definition 7. Let \mathcal{B} be a (pre-) C^* -algebra, (G, α, \mathcal{A}) be a C^* -dynamical system of a locally compact group G , and let F be a (pre-) Hilbert \mathcal{B} -module. A representation $\pi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^a(F)$ is called v -covariant with respect to (G, α, \mathcal{A}) and with respect to a unitary representation $v : G \rightarrow \mathcal{U}\mathcal{B}^a(F)$ if

$$\pi(\alpha_t(a)) = v_t \pi(a) v_t^* \text{ for all } a \in \mathcal{A}, t \in G.$$

In this case we write (π, v) is a covariant representation of (G, α, \mathcal{A}) .

Let E be a full Hilbert \mathcal{A} -module and let G be a locally compact group. If (G, η, E) is a dynamical system on E , then there exists a unique C^* -dynamical system $(G, \alpha^\eta, \mathcal{A})$ (cf. p.806 of [9]) such that

$$\alpha_t^\eta(\langle x, y \rangle) = \langle \eta_t(x), \eta_t(y) \rangle \text{ for all } x, y \in E \text{ and } t \in G.$$

We denote by $(G, \alpha^\eta, \mathcal{A})$ the C^* -dynamical system coming from the dynamical system (G, η, E) . For all $x \in E$ and $a \in \mathcal{A}$ we infer that $\eta_t(xa) = \eta_t(x)\alpha_t^\eta(a)$, for

$$\begin{aligned} \|\eta_t(xa) - \eta_t(x)\alpha_t^\eta(a)\|^2 &= \|\langle \eta_t(xa), \eta_t(xa) \rangle - \langle \eta_t(xa), \eta_t(x)\alpha_t^\eta(a) \rangle \\ &\quad - \langle \eta_t(x)\alpha_t^\eta(a), \eta_t(xa) \rangle + \langle \eta_t(x)\alpha_t^\eta(a), \eta_t(x)\alpha_t^\eta(a) \rangle\| \\ &= \|\alpha_t^\eta(\langle xa, xa \rangle) - \langle \eta_t(xa), \eta_t(x) \rangle \alpha_t^\eta(a) \\ &\quad - \alpha_t^\eta(a^*) \langle \eta_t(x), \eta_t(xa) \rangle + \alpha_t^\eta(a^*) \langle \eta_t(x), \eta_t(x) \rangle \alpha_t^\eta(a)\| = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Definition 8. Let \mathcal{B} and \mathcal{C} be unital (pre-) C^* -algebras. A (pre-) C^* -correspondence from \mathcal{C} to \mathcal{B} is defined as a (pre-) Hilbert \mathcal{B} -module F together with a $*$ -homomorphism $\pi' : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^a(F)$. The adjointable left action of \mathcal{C} on F induced by π' is defined as

$$cy := \pi'(c)y \text{ for all } c \in \mathcal{C}, y \in F.$$

In the remaining part of this section a covariant version of Theorem 6 is derived, which finds applications in the next section. For that we first define covariant τ -maps using the notion of (pre-) C^* -correspondence. Every von Neumann \mathcal{B} -module E can be considered as a (pre-) C^* -correspondence from $\mathcal{B}^a(E)$ to \mathcal{B} .

Definition 9. (cf. [9]) Let \mathcal{A} be a unital C^* -algebra and let \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C} be unital (pre-) C^* -algebras. Let E be a Hilbert \mathcal{A} -module and let F be a (pre-) C^* -correspondence from \mathcal{C} to \mathcal{B} . Let $u : G \rightarrow \mathcal{U}\mathcal{B}$ and $u' : G \rightarrow \mathcal{U}\mathcal{C}$ be unitary representations on a locally compact group G . A τ -map, $T : E \rightarrow F$, is called (u', u) -covariant with respect to the dynamical system (G, η, E) if

$$T(\eta_t(x)) = u'_t T(x) u_t^* \text{ for all } x \in E \text{ and } t \in G.$$

If E is full and $T : E \rightarrow F$ is a τ -map which is (u', u) -covariant with respect to (G, η, E) , then the map τ is u -covariant with respect to the induced C^* -dynamical system $(G, \alpha^\eta, \mathcal{A})$, because

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(\alpha_t^\eta(\langle x, y \rangle)) &= \tau(\langle \eta_t(x), \eta_t(y) \rangle) = \langle T(\eta_t(x)), T(\eta_t(y)) \rangle = \langle u'_t T(x) u_t^*, u'_t T(y) u_t^* \rangle \\ &= \langle T(x) u_t^*, T(y) u_t^* \rangle = u_t \langle T(x), T(y) \rangle u_t^* = u_t \tau(\langle x, y \rangle) u_t^* \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in E$ and $t \in G$.

Definition 10. Let (G, η, E) be a dynamical system on a Hilbert \mathcal{A} -module E , where \mathcal{A} is a C^* -algebra. Let F and F' be Hilbert \mathcal{B} -modules over a (pre)- C^* -algebra \mathcal{B} . $w : G \rightarrow \mathcal{UB}^a(F')$ and $v : G \rightarrow \mathcal{UB}^a(F)$ are unitary representations on a locally compact group G . A quasi-representation of E on F and F' is called (w, v) -covariant with respect to (G, η, E) if

$$\Psi(\eta_t(x)) = w_t \Psi(x) v_t^* \text{ for all } x \in E \text{ and } t \in G.$$

In this case we say that (Ψ, v, w, F, F') is a covariant quasi-representation of (G, η, E) . Any v -covariant representation of a C^* -dynamical system (G, α, \mathcal{A}) can be regarded as a (v, v) -covariant representation of a dynamical system on the Hilbert \mathcal{A} -module \mathcal{A} .

Let \mathcal{A} be a C^* -algebra and let G be a locally compact group. Let E be a full Hilbert \mathcal{A} -module, and let F and F' be Hilbert \mathcal{B} -modules over a (pre)- C^* -algebra \mathcal{B} . If (Ψ, v, w, F, F') is a covariant quasi-representation with respect to (G, η, E) , then the representation of \mathcal{A} associated to Ψ is v -covariant with respect to $(G, \alpha^\eta, \mathcal{A})$. Moreover, if π is the representation associated to Ψ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \pi(\alpha_t^\eta(\langle x, y \rangle)) f, f' \rangle &= \langle \pi(\langle \eta_t(x), \eta_t(y) \rangle) f, f' \rangle = \langle \Psi(\eta_t(y)) f, \Psi(\eta_t(x)) f' \rangle \\ &= \langle w_t \Psi(y) v_t^* f, w_t \Psi(x) v_t^* f' \rangle = \langle v_t \pi(\langle x, y \rangle) v_t^* f, f' \rangle \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in E$, $t \in G$ and $f, f' \in F$.

Theorem 11. Let \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C} be unital C^* -algebras and let \mathcal{B} be a von Neumann algebra acting on \mathcal{H} . Let $u : G \rightarrow \mathcal{UB}$, $u' : G \rightarrow \mathcal{UC}$ be unitary representations of a locally compact group G . Let E be a full Hilbert \mathcal{A} -module and E' be a von Neumann \mathcal{C} - \mathcal{B} module. If $T : E \rightarrow E'$ is a τ -map which is (u', u) -covariant with respect to (G, η, E) and if $\tau : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is completely positive, then there exists

- (i) (a) a von Neumann \mathcal{B} -module F with a covariant representation (π, v) of $(G, \alpha^\eta, \mathcal{A})$ to $\mathcal{B}^a(F)$,
- (b) a map $V \in \mathcal{B}^a(\mathcal{B}, F)$ such that
 - (1) $\tau(a)b = V^* \pi(a) V b$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$, $b \in \mathcal{B}$,

$$(2) v_t V b = V u_t b \text{ for all } t \in G, b \in \mathcal{B},$$

(ii) (a) a von Neumann \mathcal{B} -module F' and a covariant quasi-representation (Ψ, v, w, F, F') of (G, η, E) such that π is associated to Ψ ,

(b) a coisometry S from E' onto F' such that

$$(1) T(x)b = S^* \Psi(x) V b \text{ for all } x \in E, b \in \mathcal{B},$$

$$(2) w_t S y = S u'_t y \text{ for all } t \in G, y \in E'.$$

Proof. By part (i) of Theorem 6 we obtain the triple (π, V, F) associated to τ . Here F is a von Neumann \mathcal{B} -module, $V \in \mathcal{B}^a(\mathcal{B}, F)$, and π is a representation of \mathcal{A} to $\mathcal{B}^a(F)$ such that

$$\tau(a)b = V^* \pi(a) V b \text{ for all } a \in \mathcal{A}, b \in \mathcal{B}.$$

Recall the proof, using the submodule K we have constructed the triple (π_0, V, F_0) with $[\pi_0(\mathcal{A}) V \mathcal{B}] = F_0$. Define $v^0 : G \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^a(F_0)$ (cf. Theorem 3.1, [7]) by

$$v_t^0(a \otimes b + K) := \alpha_t(a) \otimes u_t(b) + K \text{ for all } a \in \mathcal{A}, b \in \mathcal{B} \text{ and } t \in G.$$

Since τ is u -covariant with respect to $(G, \alpha^\eta, \mathcal{A})$, for $a, a' \in \mathcal{A}, b, b' \in \mathcal{B}$ and $t \in G$ it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle v_t^0 a \otimes b + K, v_t^0 a' \otimes b' + K \rangle &= \langle \alpha_t(a) \otimes u_t b, \alpha_t(a') \otimes u_t b' \rangle = (u_t b)^* \tau(\alpha_t(a^* a')) u_t b' \\ &= b^* \tau(a^* a') b' = \langle a \otimes b + K, a' \otimes b' + K \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

This map v_t^0 extends as a unitary on F_0 for each $t \in G$ and further we get a group homomorphism $v^0 : G \rightarrow \mathcal{U} \mathcal{B}^a(\mathcal{F}_0)$. The continuity of $t \mapsto \alpha_t^\eta(b)$ for each $b \in \mathcal{B}$, the continuity of u and the fact that v_t^0 is a unitary for each $t \in G$ together implies the continuity of v^0 . Thus $v^0 : G \rightarrow \mathcal{U} \mathcal{B}^a(\mathcal{F}_0)$ becomes a unitary representation. For each $t \in G$ define $v_t : F \rightarrow F$ by

$$v_t(\text{sot-}\lim_{\alpha} f_{\alpha}^0) := \text{sot-}\lim_{\alpha} v_t^0(f_{\alpha}^0) \text{ where } f = \text{sot-}\lim_{\alpha} f_{\alpha}^0 \in F \text{ for } f_{\alpha}^0 \in F_0.$$

It is clear that $v : G \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^a(F)$ is a unitary representation of G on F and moreover it satisfies the condition (i)(b)(2) of the statement.

Notation F'' will be used for $[T(E)\mathcal{B}]$ which is a Hilbert \mathcal{B} -module. Let F' be the strong operator topology closure of F'' in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}, E' \odot \mathcal{H})$. For each $x \in E$, define $\Psi_0(x) : F_0 \rightarrow F''$ by

$$\Psi_0(x) \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \pi(a_j) V b_j \right) := \sum_{j=1}^n T(x a_j) b_j \text{ for all } a_j \in \mathcal{A}, b_j \in \mathcal{B}$$

and define $\Psi(x) : F \rightarrow F'$ by

$$\Psi(x)(f) := \text{sot-}\lim_{\alpha} \Psi_0(x) f_{\alpha}^0 \text{ where } f = \text{sot-}\lim_{\alpha} f_{\alpha}^0 \in F \text{ for } f_{\alpha}^0 \in F_0.$$

$\Psi_0 : E \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^r(F_0, F'')$ and $\Psi : E \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^a(F, F')$ are quasi-representations (see part (ii) of Theorem 6). Indeed, there exists an orthogonal projection S from E' onto F' such that

$$T(x)b = S^*\Psi(x)Vb \text{ for all } x \in E \text{ and } b \in \mathcal{B}.$$

Since T is (u', u) -covariant, we have

$$u'_t\left(\sum_{i=1}^n T(x_i)b_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^n T(\eta_t(x_i))u_t b_i \text{ for all } t \in G, x_i \in E, b_i \in \mathcal{B}, i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

From this computation it is clear that F'' is invariant under u' . For each $t \in G$ define $w_t^0 := u'_t|_{F''}$, the restriction of u'_t to F'' . In fact, $t \mapsto w_t^0$ is a unitary representation of G on F'' . Further

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_0(\eta_t(x))\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \pi_0(a_i)Vb_i\right) &= \sum_{i=1}^n T(\eta_t(x)\alpha_t^\eta\alpha_{t-1}^\eta(a_i))b_i = \sum_{i=1}^n T(\eta_t(x\alpha_{t-1}^\eta(a_i)))b_i \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n u'_t T(x\alpha_{t-1}^\eta(a_i))u_{t-1}b_i = w_t^0\Psi_0(x)\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \pi_0(\alpha_{t-1}^\eta(a_i))Vu_{t-1}b_i\right) \\ &= w_t^0\Psi_0(x)v_{t-1}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \pi_0(a_i)Vb_i\right) \end{aligned}$$

for all $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \in \mathcal{A}, b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n \in \mathcal{B}, x \in E, t \in G$. Therefore $(\Psi_0, v^0, w^0, F_0, F'')$ is a covariant quasi-representation of (G, η, E) and π_0 is associated to Ψ_0 . For each $t \in G$ define $w_t : F' \rightarrow F'$ by

$$w_t(\text{sot-}\lim_{\alpha} f''_{\alpha}) := \text{sot-}\lim_{\alpha} u'_t f''_{\alpha} \text{ where all } f''_{\alpha} \in F''.$$

It is evident that the map $t \mapsto w_t$ is a unitary representation of G on F' . S is the orthogonal projection of E' onto F' so we obtain $w_t S = S u'_t$ on F for all $t \in G$. Finally

$$\Psi(\eta_t(x))f = \text{sot-}\lim_{\alpha} \Psi_0(\eta_t(x))f_{\alpha}^0 = \text{sot-}\lim_{\alpha} w_t^0\Psi_0(x)v_{t-1}^0 f_{\alpha}^0 = w_t\Psi(x)v_{t-1}f$$

for all $x \in E, t \in G$ and $f = \text{sot-}\lim_{\alpha} f_{\alpha}^0 \in F$ for $f_{\alpha}^0 \in F_0$. Whence (Ψ, v, w, F, F') is a covariant quasi-representation of (G, η, E) and observe that π is associated to Ψ . □

3 τ -maps from the crossed product of Hilbert C^* -modules

Let (G, η, E) be a dynamical system on E , which is a full Hilbert C^* -module over \mathcal{A} , where G is a locally compact group. The *crossed product* Hilbert C^* -module $E \times_{\eta} G$

(cf. Proposition 3.5, [6]) is the completion of an inner-product $\mathcal{A} \times_{\alpha^\eta} G$ -module $C_c(G, E)$ such that the module action and the $\mathcal{A} \times_{\alpha^\eta} G$ -valued inner product are given by

$$lg(s) = \int_G l(t)\alpha_t^\eta(g(t^{-1}s))dt,$$

$$\langle l, m \rangle_{\mathcal{A} \times_{\alpha^\eta} G}(s) = \int_G \alpha_{t^{-1}}^\eta(\langle l(t), m(ts) \rangle)dt$$

respectively for $s \in G$, $g \in C_c(G, \mathcal{A})$ and $l, m \in C_c(G, E)$. The following lemma shows that any covariant quasi-representation $(\Psi_0, v^0, w^0, F_0, F')$ with respect to (G, η, E) provides a quasi-representation $\Psi_0 \times v^0$ of $E \times_\eta G$ on F_0 and F' satisfying

$$(\Psi_0 \times v^0)(l) = \int_G \Psi_0(l(t))v_t^0 dt \text{ for all } l \in C_c(G, E).$$

Moreover, it says that if π_0 is associated to Ψ_0 , then the integrated form of the covariant representation (π_0, v^0, F_0) with respect to $(G, \alpha^\eta, \mathcal{A})$ is associated to $\Psi_0 \times v^0$.

Lemma 12. *Let (G, η, E) be a dynamical system on a full Hilbert \mathcal{A} -module E , where \mathcal{A} is a unital C^* -algebra and G is a locally compact group. Let F_0 and F' be Hilbert \mathcal{B} -modules, where \mathcal{B} is a von Neumann algebra acting on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . If $(\Psi_0, v^0, w^0, F_0, F')$ is a covariant quasi-representation with respect to (G, η, E) , then $\Psi_0 \times v^0$ is a quasi-representation of $E \times_\eta G$ on F_0 and F' .*

Proof. For $l \in C_c(G, E)$ and $g \in C_c(G, \mathcal{A})$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} (\Psi_0 \times v^0)(lg) &= \int_G \int_G \Psi_0(l(t)\alpha_t^\eta(g(t^{-1}s)))v_s^0 ds dt \\ &= \int_G \int_G \Psi_0(l(t))\pi_0(\alpha_t^\eta(g(t^{-1}s)))v_s^0 ds dt \\ &= \int_G \int_G \Psi_0(l(t))v_t^0 \pi_0(g(t^{-1}s))v_t^{0*} v_s^0 ds dt \\ &= (\Psi_0 \times v^0)(l)(\pi_0 \times v^0)(g). \end{aligned}$$

For $l, m \in C_c(G, E)$ and $f_0, f'_0 \in F_0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (\pi_0 \times v^0)(\langle l, m \rangle) f_0, f'_0 \rangle &= \left\langle \int_G \pi_0(\langle l, m \rangle(s))v_s^0 f_0 ds, f'_0 \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle \int_G \int_G v_t^{0*} \pi_0(\langle l(t), m(ts) \rangle)v_{ts}^0 f_0 dt ds, f'_0 \right\rangle \\ &= \int_G \int_G \langle \Psi_0(m(ts))v_{ts}^0 f_0, \Psi_0(l(t))v_t^0 f'_0 \rangle dt ds \\ &= \left\langle \int_G \Psi_0(m(s))v_s^0 f_0 ds, \int_G \Psi_0(l(t))v_t^0 f'_0 dt \right\rangle \end{aligned}$$

$$= \langle (\Psi_0 \times v^0)(m)f_0, (\Psi_0 \times v^0)(l)f'_0 \rangle. \square$$

Definition 13. (cf. [9]) Let G be a locally compact group with the modular function Δ . Let $u : G \rightarrow \mathcal{UB}$ and $u' : G \rightarrow \mathcal{UC}$ be unitary representations of G on unital (pre-) C^* -algebras \mathcal{B} and \mathcal{C} , respectively. Let F be a (pre-) C^* -correspondence from \mathcal{C} to \mathcal{B} and let (G, η, E) be a dynamical system on a Hilbert \mathcal{A} -module E , where \mathcal{A} is a unital C^* -algebra. A τ -map, $T : E \times_\eta G \rightarrow F$, is called (u', u) -covariant if

- (a) $T(\eta_t \circ m_t^l) = u'_t T(m)$ where $m_t^l(s) = m(t^{-1}s)$ for all $s, t \in G, m \in C_c(G, E)$;
 (b) $T(m_t^r) = T(m)u_t$ where $m_t^r(s) = \Delta(t)^{-1}m(st^{-1})$ for all $s, t \in G, m \in C_c(G, E)$.

Proposition 14. Let \mathcal{B} be a von Neumann algebra acting on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , \mathcal{C} be a unital C^* -algebra, and let F be a von Neumann \mathcal{C} - \mathcal{B} module. Let (G, η, E) be a dynamical system on a full Hilbert \mathcal{A} -module E , where \mathcal{A} is a unital C^* -algebra and G is a locally compact group. Let $u : G \rightarrow \mathcal{UB}$, $u' : G \rightarrow \mathcal{UC}$ be unitary representations and let $\tau : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be a completely positive map. If $T : E \rightarrow F$ is a τ -map which is (u', u) -covariant with respect to (G, η, E) , then there exist a completely positive map $\tilde{\tau} : \mathcal{A} \times_{\alpha^n} G \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ and a (u', u) -covariant map $\tilde{T} : E \times_\eta G \rightarrow F$ which is a $\tilde{\tau}$ -map. Indeed, \tilde{T} satisfies

$$\tilde{T}(l) = \int_G T(l(s))u_s ds \text{ for all } l \in C_c(G, E).$$

Proof. By Theorem 11 there exists the Stinespring type construction $(\Psi, \pi, v, w, V, S, F, F')$, associated to T , based on the construction $(\Psi_0, \pi_0, v^0, T, F_0, F'')$. Define a map $\tilde{T} : E \times_\eta G \rightarrow F$ by

$$\tilde{T}(l) := S^*(\Psi_0 \times v^0)(l)V, \text{ for all } l \in C_c(G, E).$$

Indeed, for all $l \in C_c(G, E)$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{T}(l) &= S^*(\Psi_0 \times v^0)(l)V = S^* \int_G \Psi_0(l(s))v_s^0 ds V = \int_G S^* \Psi_0(l(s))V u_s ds \\ &= \int_G T(l(s))u_s ds. \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that $(\pi_0 \times v^0, V, F_0)$ is the Stinespring triple (cf. Theorem 6) associated to the completely positive map $\tilde{\tau} : \mathcal{A} \times_{\alpha^n} G \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ defined by

$$\tilde{\tau}(h) := \int_G \tau(f(t))v_t^0 dt \text{ for all } f \in C_c(G, \mathcal{A}); b, b' \in \mathcal{B}.$$

We have

$$\langle \tilde{T}(l), \tilde{T}(m) \rangle b = \langle S^*(\Psi_0 \times v^0)(m)V, S^*(\Psi_0 \times v^0)(l)V \rangle b = \tilde{\tau}(\langle l, m \rangle) b$$

for all $l, m \in E \times_{\eta} G$, $b \in \mathcal{B}$. Hence \tilde{T} is a $\tilde{\tau}$ -map. Further,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{T}(\eta_t \circ m_t^l) &= S^* \int_G \Psi_0(\eta_t(m(t^{-1}s)))v_s^0 dsV = S^* \int_G w_t^0 \Psi_0(m(t^{-1}s))v_{t^{-1}s}^0 dsV \\ &= u_t' \tilde{T}(m); \\ \tilde{T}(m_t^r) &= S^* \int_G \Delta(t)^{-1} \Psi_0(m(st^{-1}))v_s^0 dsV = S^* \int_G \Psi_0(m(g))v_g^0 v_t^0 dgV \\ &= \tilde{T}(m)u_t \text{ where } t \in G, m \in C_c(G, E). \end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 14 gives us a map $T \mapsto \tilde{T}$ where $T : E \rightarrow F$ is a τ -map which is (u', u) -covariant with respect to (G, η, E) and $\tilde{T} : E \times_{\eta} G \rightarrow F$ is (u', u) -covariant $\tilde{\tau}$ -map such that τ and $\tilde{\tau}$ are completely positive. This map is actually a one-to-one correspondence. To prove this result we need the following terminologies:

We identify $M(\mathcal{A})$ with $\mathcal{B}^a(\mathcal{A})$ (cf. Theorem 2.2 of [12]), here \mathcal{A} is considered as a Hilbert \mathcal{A} -module in the natural way. The *strict topology* on $\mathcal{B}^a(E)$ is the topology given by the seminorms $a \mapsto \|ax\|$, $a \mapsto \|a^*y\|$ for each $x, y \in E$. For each C^* -dynamical system (G, α, \mathcal{A}) we get a non-degenerate faithful homomorphism $i_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow M(\mathcal{A} \times_{\alpha} G)$ and an injective strictly continuous homomorphism $i_G : G \rightarrow \mathcal{UM}(\mathcal{A} \times_{\alpha} G)$ (cf. Proposition 2.34 of [23]) defined by

$$\begin{aligned} i_{\mathcal{A}}(a)(f)(s) &:= af(s) \text{ for } a \in \mathcal{A}, s \in G, f \in C_c(G, \mathcal{A}); \\ i_G(r)f(s) &:= \alpha_r(f(r^{-1}s)) \text{ for } r, s \in G, f \in C_c(G, \mathcal{A}). \end{aligned}$$

Let E be a Hilbert C^* -module over a C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} . Define the *multiplier module* $M(E) := \mathcal{B}^a(\mathcal{A}, E)$. $M(E)$ is a Hilbert C^* -module over $M(\mathcal{A})$ (cf. Proposition 1.2 of [16]). For a dynamical system (G, η, E) on E we get a non-degenerate morphism of modules i_E from E to $M(E \times_{\eta} G)$ (cf. Theorem 3.5 of [10]) as follows: For each $x \in E$ define $i_E(x) : C_c(G, \mathcal{A}) \rightarrow C_c(G, E)$ by

$$i_E(x)(f)(s) := xf(s) \text{ for all } f \in C_c(G, \mathcal{A}), s \in G.$$

Note that i_E is an $i_{\mathcal{A}}$ -map.

Theorem 15. *Let \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C} be unital C^* -algebras, and let \mathcal{B} be a von Neumann algebra acting on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . Let $u : G \rightarrow \mathcal{UB}$, $u' : G \rightarrow \mathcal{UC}$ be unitary representations of a locally compact group G . If (G, η, E) is a dynamical system on a full Hilbert \mathcal{A} -module E , and if F is a von Neumann \mathcal{C} - \mathcal{B} module, then there exists a bijective correspondence \mathfrak{J} from the set of all τ -maps, $T : E \rightarrow F$, which are (u', u) -covariant with respect to (G, η, E) onto the set of all maps $\tilde{T} : E \times_{\eta} G \rightarrow F$ which are (u', u) -covariant $\tilde{\tau}$ -maps such that $\tau : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ and $\tilde{\tau} : \mathcal{A} \times_{\alpha_{\eta}} G \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ are completely positive maps.*

Proof. Proposition 14 ensures that the map \mathfrak{J} exists and is well-defined. Let $T : E \times_{\eta} G \rightarrow F$ be a (u', u) -covariant τ -map, where $\tau : \mathcal{A} \times_{\alpha^n} G \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is a completely positive map. Suppose $(\Psi_0, \pi_0, V, F_0, F'')$ and (Ψ, π, V, S, F, F') are the Stinespring type constructions associated to T as in the proof of Theorem 6. Let $\{e_i\}_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$ be an approximate identity for $\mathcal{A} \times_{\alpha^n} G$. Then there exists a representation $\overline{\pi}_0 : M(\mathcal{A} \times_{\alpha^n} G) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^a(F_0)$ (cf. Proposition 2.39 of [23]) defined by

$$\overline{\pi}_0(a)x := \lim_i \pi_0(ae_i)x \text{ for all } a \in M(\mathcal{A} \times_{\alpha^n} G) \text{ and } x \in F_0.$$

A mapping $\overline{\Psi}_0 : M(E \times_{\eta} G) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^r(F_0, F'')$ defined by

$$\overline{\Psi}_0(h)x := \lim_i \Psi_0(he_i)x \text{ for all } h \in M(E \times_{\eta} G) \text{ and } x \in F_0,$$

is a quasi representation and $\overline{\pi}_0$ is associated to $\overline{\Psi}_0$. If $\widetilde{\pi}_0 := \overline{\pi}_0 \circ i_{\mathcal{A}}$, then we further get a quasi-representation $\widetilde{\Psi}_0 : E \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^r(F_0, F'')$ defined as $\widetilde{\Psi}_0 := \overline{\Psi}_0 \circ i_E$ such that $\widetilde{\pi}_0$ is associated to $\widetilde{\Psi}_0$. Define maps $T_0 : E \rightarrow F$ and $\tau_0 : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ by

$$T_0(x)b := S^* \widetilde{\Psi}_0(x) V b \text{ for } b \in \mathcal{B}, x \in E \text{ and}$$

$$\tau_0(a) := V^* \widetilde{\pi}_0(a) V \text{ for all } a \in \mathcal{A}.$$

It follows that τ_0 is a completely positive map and T_0 is a τ_0 -map.

Let $v^0 : G \rightarrow \mathcal{U}\mathcal{B}^a(F_0)$ be a unitary representation defined by $v^0 := \overline{\pi}_0 \circ i_G$ where

$$i_G(t)(f)(s) := \alpha_t(f(t^{-1}s)) \text{ for all } t, s \in G, f \in C_c(G, \mathcal{A}).$$

Observe that $\widetilde{\pi}_0 : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^a(F_0)$ is a v^0 -covariant and $\widetilde{\pi}_0 \times v^0 = \pi_0$ (cf. Proposition 2.39, [23]). We extend v^0 to a unitary representation $v : G \rightarrow \mathcal{U}\mathcal{B}^a(F)$ as in the proof of Theorem 11. It is easy to verify that

$$\alpha_t^n \circ \langle m, m' \rangle_t^l = \langle m_{t^{-1}}, m' \rangle \text{ for all } m, m' \in C_c(G, E).$$

Using the fact that T is (u', u) -covariant we get

$$\tau(\alpha_t^n \circ \langle m, m' \rangle_t^l) = \tau(\langle m_{t^{-1}}, m' \rangle) = \langle T(m)u_{t^{-1}}, T(m') \rangle = u_t \tau(\langle m, m' \rangle),$$

for all $m, m' \in C_c(G, E)$. Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle v_t(\pi_0(f)Vb), Vb' \rangle &= \langle v_t^0((\widetilde{\pi}_0 \times v^0)(f)Vb, Vb') \rangle = \left\langle \int_G \widetilde{\pi}_0(\alpha_t^n(f(s))) v_{ts}^0 V b ds, V b' \right\rangle \\ &= \langle (\widetilde{\pi}_0 \times v^0)(\alpha_t^n \circ f_t^l) V b, V b' \rangle = \langle \tau(\alpha_t^n \circ f_t^l) b, b' \rangle \\ &= \langle (\pi_0(f)Vb), V u_{t^{-1}} b' \rangle \end{aligned}$$

for all $t \in G, b, b' \in \mathcal{B}$ and $f \in C_c(G, \mathcal{A})$. This implies that $v_t V = V u_t$ for each $t \in G$. For each $t \in G$ define $w_t^0 : [\widetilde{\Psi}_0(E)V\mathcal{B}] \rightarrow [\widetilde{\Psi}_0(E)V\mathcal{B}]$ by

$$w_t^0(\widetilde{\Psi}_0(x)Vb) := \widetilde{\Psi}_0(\eta_t(x)) V u_t b \text{ for all } x \in E, b \in \mathcal{B}.$$

Let $t \in G$, $x, y \in E$ and $b, b' \in \mathcal{B}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \widetilde{\Psi}_0(\eta_t(x))Vu_tb, \widetilde{\Psi}_0(\eta_t(y))Vu_tb' \rangle \\ &= \langle \widetilde{\pi}_0(\langle \eta_t(y), \eta_t(x) \rangle)Vu_tb, Vu_tb' \rangle = \langle \widetilde{\pi}_0(\alpha_t^\eta(\langle y, x \rangle))Vu_tb, Vu_tb' \rangle \\ &= \langle v_t^0 \widetilde{\pi}_0(\langle y, x \rangle) v_{t^{-1}}^0 Vu_tb, Vu_tb' \rangle = \langle \widetilde{\pi}_0(\langle y, x \rangle) Vb, Vb' \rangle \\ &= \langle \widetilde{\Psi}_0(x)Vb, \widetilde{\Psi}_0(y)Vb' \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Indeed, for fix $t \in G$, the continuity of the maps $t \mapsto \eta_t(x)$ and $t \mapsto u_tb$ for $b \in \mathcal{B}$, $x \in E$ provides the fact that the map $t \mapsto w_t^0(z)$ is continuous for each $z \in \widetilde{\Psi}_0(E)V\mathcal{B}$. Therefore w^0 is a unitary representation of G on $[\widetilde{\Psi}_0(E)V\mathcal{B}]$ and hence it naturally extends to a unitary representation of G on the strong operator topology closure of $[\widetilde{\Psi}_0(E)V\mathcal{B}]$ in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}, F \odot \mathcal{H})$, which we denote by w .

Note that $E \otimes C_c(G)$ is dense in $E \times_\eta G$ (cf. Theorem 3.5 of [10]). For $x \in E$ and $f \in C_c(G)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\widetilde{\Psi}_0 \times v^0)(x \otimes f) &= \int_G \widetilde{\Psi}_0(xf(t))v_t^0 dt = \int_G \overline{\Psi}_0(i_E(xf(t)))\overline{\pi}_0(i_G(t))dt \\ &= \overline{\Psi}_0(i_E(x)) \int_G f(t)i_G(t)dt = \overline{\Psi}_0(i_E(y))i_A(\langle y, y \rangle) \int_G f(t)i_G(t)dt \\ &= \overline{\Psi}_0(i_E(y)(\langle y, y \rangle \otimes f)) = \overline{\Psi}_0(y\langle y, y \rangle \otimes f) = \Psi_0(x \otimes f) \end{aligned}$$

where $x = y\langle y, y \rangle$ for some $y \in E$ (cf. Proposition 2.31 [17]). Also the 3rd last equality follows from Corollary 2.36 of [23]. This proves $\widetilde{\Psi}_0 \times v^0 = \Psi_0$ on $E \times_\eta G$. Also for all $m \in C_c(G, E)$ and $b \in \mathcal{B}$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} Su'_t(T(m)b) &= ST(\eta_t \circ m_t^l)b = SS^*\Psi_0(\eta_t \circ m_t^l)Vb = \Psi_0(\eta_t \circ m_t^l)Vb \\ &= \int_G \widetilde{\Psi}_0(\eta_t(m(t^{-1}s)))v_s^0 Vb ds = w_t \int_G \widetilde{\Psi}_0(m(t^{-1}s))v_{t^{-1}s}^0 Vb ds \\ &= w_t \Psi_0(m)Vb = w_t ST(m)b. \end{aligned}$$

As T is (u', u) -covariant, it satisfies $T(\eta_t \circ m_t^l) = u'_t T(m)$, where $m_t^l(s) = m(t^{-1}s)$ for all $s, t \in G$, $m \in C_c(G, E)$. Thus the strong operator topology closure of $[T(E \times_\eta G)\mathcal{B}]$ in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}, F \odot \mathcal{H})$, say F_T , is invariant under u' . This together with the fact that S is an orthogonal projection onto F_T provides $Su'_t z = w_t S z$ for all $z \in F_T^\perp$. So we obtain the equality $Su'_t y = w_t S y$ for all $y \in F$. Hence

$$T_0(\eta_t(x))b = S^* \widetilde{\Psi}_0(\eta_t(x))Vb = S^* w_t \widetilde{\Psi}_0(x)Vu_{t^{-1}}b = u'_t T_0(x)u_t^* b$$

for all $t \in G$, $x \in E$ and $b \in \mathcal{B}$. Moreover,

$$\widetilde{T}_0(m)b = S^* \int_G \widetilde{\Psi}_0(m(t))Vu_t b dt = S^* \Psi_0(m)Vb = T(m)b$$

for all $m \in C_c(G, E)$, $b \in \mathcal{B}$. This gives $\widetilde{T}_0 = T$ and proves that the map \mathfrak{J} is onto.

Let $\tau_1 : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be a completely positive map and let $T_1 : E \rightarrow F$ be a (u', u) -covariant τ_1 -map satisfying $\widetilde{T}_1 = T$. If $(\Psi_1, \pi_1, V_1, S_1, F_1, F'_1)$ is the (w_1, v_1) -covariant Stinespring type construction associated to T_1 coming from Theorem 11, then we show that $(\Psi_1 \times v_1, V_1, S_1, F_1, F'_1)$ is unitarily equivalent to the Stinespring type construction associated to T . Indeed, from Proposition 14, there exists a decomposition

$$\widetilde{T}_1(m) = S_1^*(\Psi_1 \times v_1)(m)V_1 \text{ for all } m \in C_c(G, E).$$

This implies that for all $m, m' \in C_c(G, E)$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(\langle m, m' \rangle) &= \langle T(m), T(m') \rangle = \langle \widetilde{T}_1(m), \widetilde{T}_1(m') \rangle \\ &= \langle S_1^*(\Psi \times v_1)(m)V_1, S_1^*(\Psi \times v_1)(m')V_1 \rangle \\ &= \langle (\pi_1 \times v_1)(\langle m, m' \rangle)V_1, V_1 \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

E is full gives $E \times_\eta G$ is full (cf. the proof of Proposition 3.5, [6]) and hence $\tau(f) = \langle (\pi_1 \times v_1)(f)V_1, V_1 \rangle$ for all $f \in C_c(G, \mathcal{A})$. Using this fact we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \pi(f)Vb, \pi(f')Vb' \rangle &= \langle \pi(f'^*f)Vb, Vb' \rangle = b^*\tau(f'^*f)b' \\ &= \langle \pi_1 \times v_1(f)V_1b, \pi_1 \times v_1(f')V_1b' \rangle \end{aligned}$$

for all $f, f' \in C_c(G, \mathcal{A})$ and $b, b' \in \mathcal{B}$. Thus we get a unitary $U_1 : F \rightarrow F_1$ defined by

$$U_1(\pi(f)Vb) := \pi_1 \times v_1(f)V_1b \text{ for } f \in C_c(G, \mathcal{A}), b \in \mathcal{B}$$

and which satisfies $V_1 = U_1V$, $\pi_1 \times v_1(f) = U_1\pi(f)U_1^*$ for all $f \in C_c(G, \mathcal{A})$. Another computation

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Psi(m)Vb\|^2 &= \|\langle \Psi(m)Vb, \Psi(m)Vb \rangle\| = \|\langle \pi(\langle m, m \rangle)Vb, Vb \rangle\| = \|b^*\tau(\langle m, m \rangle)b\| \\ &= \|b^*\langle \pi_1 \times v_1(\langle m, m \rangle)V_1, V_1 \rangle b\| = \|\langle \Psi_1 \times v_1(m)V_1b, \Psi_1 \times v_1(m)V_1b \rangle\| \\ &= \|\Psi_1 \times v_1(m)V_1b\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

for all $m \in C_c(G, E)$, $b \in \mathcal{B}$ provides a unitary $U_2 : F' \rightarrow F'_1$ defined as

$$U_2(\Psi(m)Vb) := \Psi_1 \times v_1(m)V_1b \text{ for } m \in C_c(G, E), b \in \mathcal{B}.$$

Further, it satisfies conditions $S_1 = U_2S$ and $U_2\Psi(m) = \Psi_1 \times v_1(m)U_1$ for all $m \in C_c(G, E)$. This implies $U_2\widetilde{\Psi} \times v(z') = \overline{\Psi_1 \times v_1(z')}U_1$ for all $z' \in M(E \times_\eta G)$ and so $U_2\widetilde{\Psi}(x) = \Psi_1 \times v_1(x)U_1$ for all $x \in E$. Using it we have

$$T_0(x) = S^*\widetilde{\Psi}(x)V = S_1^*U_2\widetilde{\Psi}(x)U_1^*V_1 = S_1^*U_2U_2^*(\Psi_1 \times v_1)(x)U_1U_1^*V_1 = T_1(x)$$

for all $x \in E$ and $b \in \mathcal{B}$. Hence \mathfrak{J} is injective. □

Acknowledgement. The author would like to express thanks of gratitude to Santanu Dey for several discussions.

References

- [1] Panchugopal Bikram, Kunal Mukherjee, R. Srinivasan, and V. S. Sunder, *Hilbert von Neumann modules*, Commun. Stoch. Anal. **6** (2012), no. 1, 49–64. [MR2890849](#)
- [2] B. V. Rajarama Bhat, G. Ramesh, and K. Sumesh, *Stinespring's theorem for maps on Hilbert C^* -modules*, J. Operator Theory **68** (2012), no. 1, 173–178. [MR 2966040](#). [Zbl 1265.46091](#).
- [3] B. V. Rajarama Bhat and K. Sumesh, *Bures distance for completely positive maps*, Infin. Dimens. Anal. Quantum Probab. Relat. Top. **16** (2013), no. 4, 1350031, 22. [MR 3192708](#). [Zbl 1295.46043](#).
- [4] Man Duen Choi and Edward G. Effros, *The completely positive lifting problem for C^* -algebras*, Ann. of Math. (2) **104** (1976), no. 3, 585–609. [MR 0417795 \(54 #5843\)](#). [Zbl 0361.46067](#).
- [5] Santanu Dey and Harsh Trivedi, *\mathfrak{K} -families and CPD- H -extendable families*, arXiv:1409.3655v1 (2014). [arXiv:1409.3655v1](#).
- [6] Siegfried Echterhoff, S. Kaliszewski, John Quigg, and Iain Raeburn, *Naturality and induced representations*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. **61** (2000), no. 3, 415–438. [MR 1762638 \(2001j:46101\)](#). [Zbl 0982.46051](#).
- [7] Heo Jaeseong, *Completely multi-positive linear maps and representations on Hilbert C^* -modules*, J. Operator Theory **41** (1999), no. 1, 3–22. [MR 1675235](#). [Zbl 0994.46019](#).
- [8] Heo Jaeseong and Ji Un Cig, *Quantum stochastic processes for maps on Hilbert C^* -modules*, J. Math. Phys. **52** (2011), no. 5, 053501, 16pp. [MR 2839082](#).
- [9] Maria Joița, *Covariant version of the Stinespring type theorem for Hilbert C^* -modules*, Cent. Eur. J. Math. **9** (2011), no. 4, 803–813. [MR 2805314 \(2012f:46110\)](#). [Zbl 1243.46049](#).
- [10] Maria Joița, *Covariant representations of Hilbert C^* -modules*, Expo. Math. **30** (2012), no. 2, 209–220. [MR 2928201](#). [Zbl 1252.46055](#).
- [11] Alexander Kaplan, *Covariant completely positive maps and liftings*, Rocky Mountain J. Math. **23** (1993), no. 3, 939–946. [MR 1245456 \(94k:46139\)](#). [Zbl 0796.46042](#).
- [12] E. C. Lance, *Hilbert C^* -modules*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, vol. 210, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995, A toolkit for operator algebraists. [MR 1325694 \(96k:46100\)](#). [Zbl 0822.46080](#).

- [13] William L. Paschke, *Inner product modules over B^* -algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **182** (1973), 443–468. MR 0355613 (50 #8087). Zbl 0239.46062.
- [14] Vern Paulsen, *A covariant version of Ext*, Michigan Math. J. **29** (1982), no. 2, 131–142. MR 654474 (83f:46076). Zbl 0507.46060.
- [15] Marc A. Rieffel, *Induced representations of C^* -algebras*, Advances in Math. **13** (1974), 176–257. MR 0353003 (50 #5489). Zbl 0284.46040.
- [16] Iain Raeburn and Shaun J. Thompson, *Countably generated Hilbert modules, the Kasparov stabilisation theorem, and frames with Hilbert modules*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **131** (2003), no. 5, 1557–1564 (electronic). MR 1949886 (2003j:46089). Zbl 1015.46034.
- [17] Iain Raeburn and Dana P. Williams, *Morita equivalence and continuous-trace C^* -algebras*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 60, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1998. MR 1634408 (2000c:46108) Zbl 0922.46050.
- [18] Michael Skeide, *Generalised matrix C^* -algebras and representations of Hilbert modules*, Math. Proc. R. Ir. Acad. **100A** (2000), no. 1, 11–38. MR 1882195 (2002k:46155). Zbl 0972.46038.
- [19] Michael Skeide, *Hilbert modules and applications in quantum probability*, Habilitationsschrift (2001). [Habilitationsschrift](#).
- [20] Michael Skeide, *Hilbert von Neumann modules versus concrete von Neumann modules*, arXiv:1205.6413v1 (2012). [arXiv:1205.6413v1](#).
- [21] Skeide Michael and Sumesh K., *CPH-extendable maps between Hilbert modules and CPH-semigroups*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **414** (2014), no. 2, 886–913. [3168002](#).
- [22] W. Forrest Stinespring, *Positive functions on C^* -algebras*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **6** (1955), 211–216. [0069403 \(16,1033b\)](#). Zbl 0064.36703.
- [23] Dana P. Williams, *Crossed products of C^* -algebras*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 134, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2007. [2288954 \(2007m:46003\)](#). Zbl 1119.46002.

Harsh Trivedi

Department of Mathematics, Indian Institute of Technology Bombay,

Powai, Mumbai-400076,

India.

E-mail: harsh@math.iitb.ac.in

Surveys in Mathematics and its Applications **9** (2014), 149 – 166

<http://www.utgjiu.ro/math/sma>