REFINEMENTS AND SHARPENINGS OF SOME DOUBLE INEQUALITIES FOR BOUNDING THE GAMMA FUNCTION

BAI-NI GUO

School of Mathematics and Informatics Henan Polytechnic University Jiaozuo City, Henan Province 454010, China EMail: bai.ni.guo@gmail.com

YING-JIE ZHANG

Department of Mathematics Jiaozuo University Jiaozuo City, Henan Province 454003, China

FENG QI

Research Institute of Mathematical Inequality Theory Henan Polytechnic University Jiaozuo City, Henan Province, 454010, China EMail: qifeng618@hotmail.com URL: http://qifeng618.spaces.live.com/

Received:	21 May, 2007
Accepted:	22 January, 2008
Communicated by:	P. Cerone
2000 AMS Sub. Class.:	Primary 33B15; Secondary 26D07.
Key words:	Inequality, Refinement, Sharpening, Generalization, Kečlić-Vasić-Alzer's double inequalities.



journal of inequalities in pure and applied mathematics

Abstract:

In this paper, some sharp inequalities for bounding the gamma function $\Gamma(x)$ and the ratio of two gamma functions are established. From these, several known results are recovered, refined, extended and generalized simply and elegantly.

Acknowledgements: The authors would like to express heartily many thanks to the anonymous referee(s) for careful corrections to the original version of this manuscript. The first and third authors were supported in part by the NSF of Henan University, China. The third author was also supported in part by the China Scholarship Council in 2008.



journal of inequalities in pure and applied mathematics

Close



In [4], it was proved that the function

(1)
$$f(x) = \frac{\ln \Gamma(x+1)}{x \ln x}$$

is strictly increasing from $(1, \infty)$ onto $(1 - \gamma, 1)$, where γ is Euler-Mascheroni's constant. In particular, for $x \in (1, \infty)$,

(2)
$$x^{(1-\gamma)x-1} < \Gamma(x) < x^{x-1}$$

In [1, Theorem 2], inequality (2) was extended and sharpened: If $x \in (0, 1)$, then

(3)
$$x^{\alpha(x-1)-\gamma} < \Gamma(x) < x^{\beta(x-1)-\gamma}$$

with the best possible constants $\alpha = 1 - \gamma$ and $\beta = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\pi^2}{6} - \gamma\right)$. If $x \in (1, \infty)$, then inequality (3) holds with the best possible constants $\alpha = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\pi^2}{6} - \gamma\right)$ and $\beta = 1$.

In [8], by using the convolution theorem for Laplace transforms and other techniques, inequalities (2) and (3) were refined: The double inequality

(4)
$$\frac{x^{x-\gamma}}{e^{x-1}} < \Gamma(x) < \frac{x^{x-1/2}}{e^{x-1}}$$

holds for x > 1 and the constants γ and $\frac{1}{2}$ are the best possible. For 0 < x < 1, the left-hand inequality in (4) still holds, but the right-hand inequality in (4) reverses. *Remark* 1. The double inequality (4) can be verified simply as follows: In [3], the

function

(5)
$$\theta(x) = x[\ln x - \psi(x)]$$

was proved to be decreasing and convex in $(0, \infty)$ with $\theta(1) = \gamma$ and two limits $\lim_{x\to 0^+} \theta(x) = 1$ and $\lim_{x\to\infty} \theta(x) = \frac{1}{2}$. Since the function $g_{\alpha}(x) = \frac{e^x \Gamma(x)}{x^{x-\alpha}}$ for x > 0



Double Inequalities for Bounding the Gamma Function Bai-Ni Guo, Ying-Jie Zhang and Feng Qi vol. 9, iss. 1, art. 17, 2008			
	Title	Page	
	Contents		
	44	••	
	◀	•	
	Page 3 of 9		
	Go Back		
	Full Screen		
	Close		

journal of inequalities in pure and applied mathematics

0 satisfies $\frac{xg'_{\alpha}(x)}{g_{\alpha}(x)} = x[\psi(x) - \ln x] + \alpha$, it increases for $\alpha \ge 1$, decreases for $\alpha \le \frac{1}{2}$, and has a unique minimum for $\frac{1}{2} < \alpha < 1$ in $(0, \infty)$. This implies that the function $g_{\alpha}(x)$ decreases in $(0, x_0)$ and increases in (x_0, ∞) for $\alpha = x_0[\ln x_0 - \psi(x_0)]$ and all $x_0 \in (0, \infty)$. Hence, taking $x_0 = 1$ yields that $\alpha = \gamma$ and $g_{\gamma}(x)$ decreases in (0, 1) and increases in $(1, \infty)$, and taking $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$ gives that the function $g_{1/2}(x)$ is decreasing in $(0, \infty)$. By virtue of $g_{\alpha}(1) = e$, the double inequality (4) follows.

The first main result of this paper is the following theorem which can be regarded as a generalization of inequalities (2), (3) and (4).

Theorem 1. Let a be a positive number. Then the function $\frac{e^x \Gamma(x)}{x^{x-a[\ln a-\psi(a)]}}$ is decreasing in (0, a] and increasing in $[a, \infty)$, and the function $\frac{e^x \Gamma(x)}{x^{x-b}}$ in $(0, \infty)$ is increasing if and only if $b \ge 1$ and decreasing if and only if $b \le \frac{1}{2}$.

Proof. This follows from careful observation of the arguments in Remark 1.

For a > 0 and b > 0 with $a \neq b$, the mean

(6)
$$I(a,b) = \frac{1}{e} \left(\frac{b^b}{a^a}\right)^{1/(b-a)}$$

is called the identric or exponential mean. See [9] and related references therein.

As direct consequences of Theorem 1, several sharp inequalities related to the identric mean and the ratio of gamma functions are established as follows.

Theorem 2. For $y > x \ge 1$,

(7)
$$\frac{\Gamma(x)}{\Gamma(y)} < \frac{x^{x-\gamma}}{y^{y-\gamma}} e^{y-x} \quad or \quad [I(x,y)]^{y-x} < \left(\frac{y}{x}\right)^{\gamma} \frac{\Gamma(y)}{\Gamma(x)}.$$

If $1 \ge y > x > 0$, inequality (7) reverses.



Bounding the Gamma Function Bai-Ni Guo, Ying-Jie Zhang

and Feng Qi

vol. 9, iss. 1, art. 17, 2008		
7.4		
Title Page		
Contents		
44	••	
•	•	
Page <mark>4</mark> of 9		
Go Back		
Full Screen		
Close		

 \square

journal of inequalities in pure and applied mathematics

For y > x > 0, inequality

(8)
$$\frac{\Gamma(x)}{\Gamma(y)} < \frac{x^{x-b}}{y^{y-b}} e^{y-x} \quad or \quad [I(x,y)]^{y-x} < \left(\frac{y}{x}\right)^b \frac{\Gamma(y)}{\Gamma(x)}$$

holds if and only if $b \ge 1$. The reversed inequality (8) is valid if and only if $b \le \frac{1}{2}$.

Proof. Letting a = 1 in Theorem 1 gives that the function $\frac{e^x \Gamma(x)}{x^{x-\gamma}}$ is decreasing in (0, 1] and increasing in $[1, \infty)$. Thus, for $y > x \ge 1$,

(9)
$$\frac{e^x \Gamma(x)}{x^{x-\gamma}} < \frac{e^y \Gamma(y)}{y^{y-\gamma}}.$$

Rearranging (9) leads to the inequalities in (7).

The rest of the proofs are similar, so we shall omit them.

Remark 2. The inequalities in (7) and (8) have been obtained in [7] and [2, Theorem 4]. However, Theorem 2 provides an alternative and concise proof of Kečlić-Vasić-Alzer's double inequalities in [2, 7]. In [5, 6], several new inequalities similar to (7) and (8) were presented.

The third main results of this paper are refinements and sharpenings of the double inequalities (2), (3) and (4), which are stated below.

Theorem 3. The function

(10)
$$h(x) = \frac{e^x \Gamma(x)}{x^{x[1-\ln x + \psi(x)]}}$$

in $(0,\infty)$ has a unique maximum e at x = 1, with the limits

(11)
$$\lim_{x \to 0^+} h(x) = 1 \quad and \quad \lim_{x \to \infty} h(x) = \sqrt{2\pi}$$



Bai-Ni Guo, Ying-Jie Zhang and Feng Qi					
vol. 9, iss. 1, art. 17, 2008					
	Title Page				
	Contents				
	44	••			
	◀	►			
	Page <mark>5</mark> of 9				
	Go Back				
	Full Screen				
	Close				
journal of <mark>inequalities</mark> in pure and applied					

in pure and applied mathematics

Consequently, sharp double inequalities

(12)
$$\frac{x^{x[1-\ln x+\psi(x)]}}{e^x} < \Gamma(x) \le \frac{x^{x[1-\ln x+\psi(x)]}}{e^{x-1}}$$

in (0,1] and

(13)
$$\frac{\sqrt{2\pi} x^{x[1-\ln x+\psi(x)]}}{e^x} < \Gamma(x) \le \frac{x^{x[1-\ln x+\psi(x)]}}{e^{x-1}}$$

in $[1,\infty)$ are valid.

Proof. Direct calculation yields

(14)
$$h'(x) = [\ln x - \psi(x) - x\psi'^x x^{x[\ln x - \psi(x) - 1]} \Gamma(x) \ln x.$$

Since the factor $x\psi'(x) + \psi(x) - \ln x - 1 = -\theta'(x)$ and $\theta(x)$ is decreasing in $(0, \infty)$, the function h(x) has a unique maximum e at x = 1.

The second limit in (11) follows from standard arguments by using the following two well known formulas: As $x \to \infty$,

(15)
$$\ln \Gamma(x) = \left(x - \frac{1}{2}\right) \ln x - x + \frac{\ln(2\pi)}{2} + \frac{1}{12x} + O\left(\frac{1}{x}\right),$$

(16)
$$\psi(x) = \ln x - \frac{1}{2x} - \frac{1}{12x^2} + O\left(\frac{1}{x^2}\right).$$

Direct computation gives

(17)
$$\lim_{x \to 0^+} \ln h(x) = \lim_{x \to 0^+} [\ln \Gamma(x) - x\psi(x)\ln x] = 0$$

by utilizing the following two well known formulas

(18)
$$-\ln\Gamma(x) = \ln x + \gamma x + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left[\ln\left(1 + \frac{x}{k}\right) - \frac{x}{k}\right]$$



Double Inequalities for Bounding the Gamma Function Bai-Ni Guo, Ying-Jie Zhang and Feng Qi

vol. 9, iss. 1, art. 17, 2008

Title Page		
Contents		
44	**	
◀	►	
Page 6 of 9		
Go Back		
Full Screen		
Close		

journal of inequalities in pure and applied mathematics

and

(19)
$$\psi(x) = -\gamma + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{k+1} - \frac{1}{x+k} \right)$$

for x > 0. The proof is complete.

Remark 3. The graph in Figure 1 plotted by MATHEMATICA 5.2 shows that the left



Figure 1: Graph of $\frac{x^{x-\gamma}}{e^{x-1}} - \frac{\sqrt{2\pi} x^{x[1-\ln x+\psi(x)]}}{e^x}$ in (1,5)

hand sides in double inequalities (4) and (13) for x > 1 do not include each other and that the lower bound in (13) is better than the one in (4) when x > 1 is large enough.

As discussed in Remark 1, the double inequality $\frac{1}{2} < x [\ln x - \psi(x)] < 1$ in $(0,\infty)$ clearly holds. Therefore, the upper bounds in (12) and (13) are better than the corresponding one in (4).





journal of inequalities in pure and applied mathematics

Theorem 4. Inequality

(20)
$$I(x,y) < \left\{ \frac{x^{x[\ln x - \psi(x)]} \Gamma(x)}{y^{y[\ln y - \psi(y)]} \Gamma(y)} \right\}^{1/(x-y)}$$

holds true for $x \ge 1$ and $y \ge 1$ with $x \ne y$. If $0 < x \le 1$ and $0 < y \le 1$ with $x \ne y$, inequality (20) is reversed.

Proof. From Theorem 3, it is clear that the function h(x) is decreasing in $[1, \infty)$ and increasing in (0, 1]. A similar argument to the proof of Theorem 2 straightforwardly leads to inequality (20) and its reversed version.

Remark 4. The inequality (20) is better than those in (7), since the function

(21)
$$q(t) \triangleq t^{t[\psi(t) - \ln t] - \gamma}$$

is decreasing in $(0, \infty)$ with q(1) = 1 and $\lim_{t\to 0^+} q(t) = \infty$, which is shown by the graph of q(t), plotted by MATHEMATICA 5.2.

It is conjectured that the function q(t) is logarithmically completely monotonic in $(0, \infty)$.





journal of inequalities in pure and applied mathematics

References

- [1] H. ALZER, Inequalities for the gamma function, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **128**(1) (1999), 141–147.
- [2] H. ALZER, Some gamma function inequalities, *Math. Comp.*, 60 (1993) 337–346.
- [3] G.D. ANDERSON, R.W. BARNARD, K.C. RICHARDS, M.K. VAMANA-MURTHY AND M. VUORINEN, Inequalities for zero-balanced hypergeometric functions, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 347(5) (1995), 1713–1723.
- [4] G.D. ANDERSON AND S.-L. QIU, A monotonicity property of the gamma function, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **125** (1997), 3355–3362.
- [5] C.-P. CHEN AND F. QI, Logarithmically completely monotonic functions relating to the gamma function, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **321** (2006), 405–411.
- [6] S. GUO, F. QI, AND H.M. SRIVASTAVA, Necessary and sufficient conditions for two classes of functions to be logarithmically completely monotonic, *Integral Transforms Spec. Funct.*, 18(11) (2007), 819–826.
- [7] J. D. KEČLIĆ AND P. M. VASIĆ, Some inequalities for the gamma function, *Publ. Inst. Math.* (Beograd) (N. S.), **11** (1971), 107–114.
- [8] X. LI AND CH.-P. CHEN, Inequalities for the gamma function, J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math., 8(1) (2007), Art. 28. [ONLINE: http://jipam.vu.edu.au/ article.php?sid=842].
- [9] F. QI, The extended mean values: Definition, properties, monotonicities, comparison, convexities, generalizations, and applications, *Cubo Mat. Educ.* 5(3) (2003), 63–90. *RGMIA Res. Rep. Coll.*, 5(1) (2002), Art. 5, 57–80. [ONLINE: http://rgmia.vu.edu.au/v5n1.html].



Double Inequalities for Bounding the Gamma Function Bai-Ni Guo, Ying-Jie Zhang and Feng Qi				
vol. 9, iss. 1, art. 17, 2008				
	Title Page			
	Contents			
	44	••		
	•			
	Page 9 of 9			
	Go Back			
	Full Screen			
	Close			

journal of inequalities in pure and applied mathematics