



## INEQUALITIES RELATED TO THE UNITARY ANALOGUE OF LEHMER PROBLEM

V. SIVA RAMA PRASAD AND UMA DIXIT

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
OSMANIA UNIVERSITY,  
HYDERABAD - 500007.  
vangalaserp@yahoo.co.in

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
BHAVAN'S VIVEKANANDA COLLEGE, SAINIKPURI,  
SECUNDERABAD - 500094.  
umadixit@rediffmail.com

*Received 15 May, 2006; accepted 20 June, 2006*

*Communicated by J. Sándor*

---

ABSTRACT. Observing that  $\phi(n)$  divides  $n - 1$  if  $n$  is a prime, where  $\phi(n)$  is the well known Euler function, Lehmer has asked whether there is any composite number  $n$  with this property. For this unsolved problem, partial answers were given by several researchers. Considering the unitary analogue  $\phi^*(n)$  of  $\phi(n)$ , Subbarao noted that  $\phi^*(n)$  divides  $n - 1$ , if  $n$  is the power of a prime; and sought for integers  $n$  other than prime powers which satisfy this condition. In this paper we improve two inequalities, established by Subbarao and Siva Rama Prasad [5], to be satisfied by  $n$  for  $\phi^*(n)$  which divides  $n - 1$ .

[5] M.V. Subbarao and V. Siva Rama Prasad, Some analogues of a Lehmer problem on the totient function, Rocky Mountain Journal of Mathematics; Vol. 15, Number 2: Spring 1985, 609-619.

---

*Key words and phrases:* Lehmer Problem, Unitary analogue of Lehmer problem.

*2000 Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11A25.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $\phi(n)$  denote, as usual the number of positive integers not exceeding  $n$  that are relatively prime to  $n$ . Noting that  $\phi(n) \mid n - 1$  if  $n$  is a prime, Lehmer [2] asked, in 1932, whether there is a composite number  $n$  for which  $\phi(n) \mid n - 1$ .

Equivalently, if

$$(1.1) \quad S_M = \{n : M\phi(n) = n - 1\} \quad \text{for } M = 1, 2, 3, \dots,$$

then the Lehmer problem seeks composite numbers in  $S = \bigcup_{M>1} S_M$ . For this problem, which has not been settled so far, several partial answers were provided, the details of which can be found in [5]. Lehmer [2] has shown that

$$(1.2) \quad \text{If } n \in S, \text{ then } n \text{ is square free.}$$

It is well known that a divisor  $d > 0$  of a positive integer  $n$  for which  $(d, n/d) = 1$  is called a *unitary divisor* of  $n$ . For positive integers  $a$  and  $b$ , the greatest divisor of  $a$  which is a unitary divisor of  $b$  is denoted by  $(a, b)^*$ .

E. Cohen [1] has defined  $\phi^*(n)$ , the unitary analogue of the Euler totient function, as the number of integers  $a$  with  $1 \leq a \leq n$  and  $(a, n)^* = 1$ . It can be seen that  $\phi^*(1) = 1$  and if  $n > 1$  with  $n = p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2} p_3^{\alpha_3} \cdots p_r^{\alpha_r}$ , then

$$(1.3) \quad \phi^*(n) = (p_1^{\alpha_1} - 1)(p_2^{\alpha_2} - 1) \cdots (p_r^{\alpha_r} - 1)$$

Noting that  $\phi^*(n) \mid n - 1$  whenever  $n$  is a prime power, Subbarao [3] has asked whether non-prime powers  $n$  exist with this property and this is the unitary analogue of the Lehmer problem. If

$$(1.4) \quad S_M^* = \{n : M\phi^*(n) = n - 1\} \quad \text{for } M = 1, 2, 3, \dots,$$

the problem seeks non-prime powers in  $S^* = \bigcup_{M>1} S_M^*$ .

For excellent information on the Lehmer problem, its generalizations and extensions, we refer readers to the book of J. Sandor and B. Crstici ([3, p. 212-215]).

Let  $Q$  denote the set of all square free numbers. Since  $\phi^*(n) = \phi(n)$  for  $n \in Q$ , it follows that  $S_M^* \cap Q = S_M$  for each  $M > 1$  and therefore  $S^* \cap Q = S$ , showing  $S \subset S^*$  and hence a separate study of  $S^*$  is meaningful.

In a study of certain analogues of the Lehmer problem, Subbarao and Siva Rama Prasad [5] have proved, among other things, that if  $\omega(n) = r$  is the number of distinct prime factors of  $n \in S^*$  then

$$(1.5) \quad \omega(n) \geq 11$$

and that

$$(1.6) \quad n < (r - 1)^{2^r - 1}$$

The purpose of this paper is to prove Theorems A and B (see Section 3) which improve (1.5) and (1.6) respectively.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

We state below the results proved in [4] which are needed for our purpose.

$$(2.1) \quad \text{If } n \in S^*, \text{ then } n \text{ is odd and is not a powerful number.}$$

A number is said to be powerful if each prime dividing it is of multiplicity at least 2.

$$(2.2) \quad \text{If } n \in S^* \text{ and } p, q \text{ are primes such that } p \text{ divides } n \text{ and } q^\beta \equiv 1 \pmod{p}, \\ \text{then } q^\beta \text{ cannot be a unitary divisor of } n.$$

$$(2.3) \quad \text{If } n \in S^* \text{ and } 3 \mid n \text{ then } \omega(n) \geq 1850.$$

$$(2.4) \quad \text{If } n \in S^*, 3 \nmid n \text{ and } 5 \mid n \text{ then } \omega(n) \geq 11.$$

(2.5) If  $n \in S^*$ ,  $3 \nmid n$  and  $5 \nmid n$  then  $\omega(n) \geq 17$ .

(2.6) If  $n \in S^*$ , with  $2 < \omega(n) \leq 16$  then  $n \in S_2^*$ ,  $3 \nmid n$ ,  $5 \mid n$  and  $7 \mid n$ .

Suppose  $n \in S_M^*$  for some  $M > 1$ . Then  $\frac{n}{\phi^*(n)} > M \geq 2$ , which gives

(2.7)  $2 < \frac{n}{\phi^*(n)}$  for all  $n \in S^*$ .

Also if  $n \in S^*$  is of the form

(2.8)  $n = p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2} p_3^{\alpha_3} \cdots p_r^{\alpha_r}$  with  $p_1 < p_2 < \cdots < p_r$ ,

then by (2.1) at least one  $\alpha_i = 1$

(2.9) ([5, Lemma 5.3]): If  $n \in S_M^*$  and  $n = p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2} p_3^{\alpha_3} \cdots p_r^{\alpha_r}$ , with

$$p_1^{\alpha_1} < p_2^{\alpha_2} < \cdots < p_r^{\alpha_r}, \text{ then } p_i^{\alpha_i} < (r - i + 1) \prod_{j=1}^{i-1} p_j^{\alpha_j} \text{ for } i = 2, 3, \dots, r.$$

(2.10) ([5, Lemma 5.3]): If  $n = p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2} p_3^{\alpha_3} \cdots p_r^{\alpha_r}$ , with

$$p_1^{\alpha_1} < p_2^{\alpha_2} < \cdots < p_r^{\alpha_r} \text{ is such that } \frac{n}{\phi^*(n)} > 2, \text{ then } p_1^{\alpha_1} < 2 + 2 \left(\frac{r}{3}\right).$$

### 3. MAIN RESULTS

**Theorem A.** *If  $n \in S^*$  and 455 is not a unitary divisor of  $n$  then  $\omega(n) \geq 17$ .*

*Proof.* (2.3) and (2.5) respectively prove the theorem in the cases  $3 \mid n$  and  $15 \nmid n$ .

Therefore we assume that  $3 \nmid n$  and  $5 \mid n$ .

Let  $n$  be of the form (2.8) with  $\omega(n) \leq 16$  then by (2.6),  $n \in S_2^*$ ,  $5 \mid n$  and  $7 \mid n$ . That is  $p_1 = 5, p_2 = 7$  and so  $n = 5^{\alpha_1} 7^{\alpha_2} p_3^{\alpha_3} \cdots p_r^{\alpha_r}$ , where  $p_i \not\equiv 1 \pmod{5}$  and  $p_i \not\equiv 1 \pmod{7}$  for  $i \geq 3$ , in view of (2.2).

Suppose  $A$  is a set of primes (in increasing order) containing 5 and 7; and those primes  $p$  with  $p \not\equiv 1 \pmod{5}$  and  $p \not\equiv 1 \pmod{7}$ . Denote the  $i^{\text{th}}$  element of  $A$  by  $a_i$  so that  $a_1 = 5, a_2 = 7, a_3 = 13, a_4 = 17, a_5 = 19, a_6 = 23, a_7 = 37, \dots$

Now since

$$\frac{n}{\phi^*(n)} = \prod_{i=1}^r \frac{p_i^{\alpha_i}}{p_i^{\alpha_i} - 1}$$

increases with  $r$  and  $r \leq 16$ , we consider the case  $r = 16$  and prove that the product on the right is  $< 2$  in this case, which contradicts (2.7).

Therefore  $r \leq 16$  cannot hold, proving the theorem.

If  $r = 16$  and  $p_3 \neq a_3$ , then  $p_i \geq a_{i+1}$  for  $i \geq 3$  so that, in view of the fact that  $x/(x - 1)$  is decreasing, we get

$$\frac{n}{\phi^*(n)} = \frac{5^{\alpha_1}}{5^{\alpha_1} - 1} \cdot \frac{7^{\alpha_2}}{7^{\alpha_2} - 1} \cdot \prod_{i=3}^{16} \frac{p_i^{\alpha_i}}{p_i^{\alpha_i} - 1} < \frac{5}{4} \cdot \frac{7}{6} \prod_{i=3}^{16} \frac{a_{i+1}}{a_{i+1} - 1} < 2$$

Hence  $p_3 = a_3$ . Now since  $13^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{7}$  we get, by (2.2),  $2 \nmid \alpha_3$  and so  $n = 5^{\alpha_1} 7^{\alpha_2} 13^{\alpha_3} \cdots p_{16}^{\alpha_{16}}$ , where  $\alpha_3$  is odd. Further since 455 is not a unitary divisor of  $n$ , we must have  $\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \alpha_3 > 1$ .

If  $\alpha_1\alpha_2 = 1$  or  $\alpha_1\alpha_2 > 1$ , we get contradiction to (2.7). In fact in case  $\alpha_1\alpha_2 = 1$ , we must have  $\alpha_3 \geq 3$  so that

$$\frac{p_3^{\alpha_3}}{p_3^{\alpha_3} - 1} \leq \frac{13^3}{13^3 - 1} = \frac{2197}{2196}$$

and therefore

$$\frac{n}{\phi^*(n)} < \frac{5}{4} \cdot \frac{7}{6} \cdot \frac{2197}{2196} \prod_{i=4}^{16} \frac{a_i}{a_i - 1} < 2$$

and in case  $\alpha_1\alpha_2 > 1$ , it is enough to consider the case  $\alpha_3 = 1$ , so that in this case

$$\frac{n}{\phi^*(n)} < \frac{5}{4} \cdot \frac{7}{6} \cdot \frac{13}{12} \prod_{i=4}^{16} \frac{a_i}{a_i - 1} < 2$$

Finally the case  $\alpha_1 > 1$ ,  $\alpha_2 > 1$ , and  $\alpha_3 > 1$  can be handled similarly.  $\square$

**Theorem B.** *If  $n \in S^*$  with  $\omega(n) = r$  and 455 does not divide  $n$  unitarily then  $n < \left(r - \frac{23}{10}\right)^{2^r - 1}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $n = p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2} p_3^{\alpha_3} \cdots p_r^{\alpha_r}$ , where  $p_1^{\alpha_1} < p_2^{\alpha_2} < \cdots < p_r^{\alpha_r}$ . By (2.10) and Theorem A, we have

$$(3.1) \quad p_1^{\alpha_1} < 2 + 2 \left(\frac{r}{3}\right) < r - \frac{18}{5}, \quad \text{for } r \geq 17.$$

Now by (2.9) and (3.1), we successively have

$$\begin{aligned} p_1^{\alpha_1} &< r - \frac{18}{5} < \left(r - \frac{23}{10}\right) \\ p_2^{\alpha_2} &< (r-1)p_1^{\alpha_1} < (r-1) \left(r - \frac{18}{5}\right) < \left(r - \frac{23}{10}\right)^2 \\ p_3^{\alpha_3} &< (r-2)p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2} < \left(r - \frac{23}{10}\right)^{2^2} \\ &\dots \\ p_r^{\alpha_r} &< \left(r - \frac{23}{10}\right)^{2^r - 1}. \end{aligned}$$

Multiplying all these inequalities we get,  $n < \left(r - \frac{23}{10}\right)^{2^r - 1}$ , proving the theorem.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] E. COHEN, Arithmetical functions associated with the unitary divisors of an integer, *Math. Zeitschr.*, **74** (1960), 66–80.
- [2] D.H. LEHMER, On Euler's totient function, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **38** (1932), 745–751.
- [3] J. SÁNDOR AND B. CRSTICI, *Handbook of Number Theory II*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht/Boston/London, 2004.
- [4] M.V. SUBBARAO, On a problem concerning the Unitary totient function  $\phi^*(n)$ , *Not. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **18** (1971), 940.
- [5] M.V. SUBBARAO AND V. SIVA RAMA PRASAD, Some analogues of a Lehmer problem on the totient function, *Rocky Mountain J. of Math.*, **15**(2) (1985), 609–619.