

# Journal of Inequalities in Pure and Applied Mathematics

http://jipam.vu.edu.au/

Volume 5, Issue 3, Article 70, 2004

# ESTIMATES FOR THE $\bar{\partial}$ -NEUMANN OPERATOR ON STRONGLY PSEUDO-CONVEX DOMAIN WITH LIPSCHITZ BOUNDARY

O. ABDELKADER AND S. SABER

MATHEMATICS DEPARTMENT
FACULTY OF SCIENCE
MINIA UNIVERSITY
EL-MINIA, EGYPT.

MATHEMATICS DEPARTMENT
FACULTY OF SCIENCE
CAIRO UNIVERSITY
BENI-SWEF BRANCH, EGYPT.
sayedkay@yahoo.com

Received 29 February, 2004; accepted 19 April, 2004 Communicated by J. Sándor

ABSTRACT. On a bounded strongly pseudo-convex domain X in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  with a Lipschitz boundary, we prove that the  $\bar{\partial}$ -Neumann operator N can be extended as a bounded operator from Sobolev (-1/2)-spaces to the Sobolev (1/2)-spaces. In particular, N is compact operator on Sobolev (-1/2)-spaces.

Key words and phrases: Sobolev estimate, Neumann problem, Lipschitz domains.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 35N15; Secondary 32W05.

#### 1. Introduction

Let X be a bounded pseudo-convex domain in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  with the standard Hermitian metric. The  $\bar{\partial}-$ Neumann operator N is the (bounded) inverse of the (unbounded) Laplace-Beltrami operator  $\square$ . The  $\bar{\partial}-$ Neumann problem has been studied extensively when the domain X has smooth boundaries (see [12], [1], [3], [18], [19], [21], and [22]). Dahlberg [6] and Jerison and Kenig [17] established the work on the Dirichlet and classical Neumann problem on Lipschitz domains. The compactness of N on Lipschitz pseudo-convex domains is studied in Henkin and Iordan [14]. Let  $W^s_{(p,q)}(X)$  be the Hilbert spaces of (p,q)-forms with  $W^s(X)$ -coefficients. Henkin, Iordan, and Kohn in [15] and Michel and Shaw in [23] showed that N is bounded from  $L^2_{(p,q)}(X)$  to  $W^{1/2}_{(p,q)}(X)$  on domains with piecewise smooth strongly pseudo-convex boundary by two different methods. Also Michel and Shaw in [24] proved that N is bounded on  $W^{1/2}_{(p,q)}(X)$  when the domain is only bounded pseudo-convex Lipschitz with a plurisubharmonic defining

ISSN (electronic): 1443-5756

<sup>© 2004</sup> Victoria University. All rights reserved.

function. Other results in this direction belong to Bonami and Charpentier [4], Straube [26], Engliš [10], and Ehsani [7], [8], and [9]. In fact, the main aim of this work is to establish the following:

**Theorem 1.1.** Let  $X \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  be a bounded strongly pseudo-convex domain with Lipschitz boundary. For each  $0 \leq p \leq n$ ,  $1 \leq q \leq n-1$ , the  $\bar{\partial}$ -Neumann operator

$$N: L^2_{(p,q)}(X) \longrightarrow L^2_{(p,q)}(X)$$

satisfies the following estimate: for any  $\varphi \in L^2_{(p,q)}(X)$ , there exists a constant c>0 such that

where c=c(X) is independent of  $\varphi$ ; i.e., N can be extended as a bounded operator from  $W^{-1/2}_{(p,q)}(X)$  into  $W^{1/2}_{(p,q)}(X)$ . In particular, N is a compact operator on  $L^2_{(p,q)}(X)$  and  $W^{-1/2}_{(p,q)}(X)$ .

## 2. Notations and the $\bar{\partial}$ -Neumann Problem

We will use the standard notation of Hörmander [16] for differential forms. Let X be a bounded domain of  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . We express a (p,q)-form  $\varphi$  on X as follows:

$$\varphi = \sum_{I,I} \varphi_{IJ} dz^I \wedge d\overline{z}^J,$$

where I and J are strictly increasing multi-indices with lengths p and q, respectively. We denote by  $\Lambda_{(p,q)}(X)$  the space of differential forms of class  $C^{\infty}$  and of type (p,q) on X. Let

$$\Lambda_{(p,q)}(\bar{X}) = \{ \varphi |_{\bar{X}}; \varphi \in \Lambda_{(p,q)}(\mathbb{C}^n) \},$$

be the subspace of  $\Lambda_{(p,q)}(X)$  whose elements can be extended smoothly up to the boundary  $\partial X$  of X. For  $\varphi, \psi \in \Lambda_{(p,q)}(\bar{X})$ , the inner product and norm are defined as usual by

$$\langle \varphi, \psi \rangle = \sum_{I,I} \int_X \varphi_{IJ} \overline{\psi}_{IJ} dv, \quad \text{and} \quad \|\varphi\|^2 = \int_X |\varphi|^2 dv,$$

where dv is the Lebesgue measure. Let  $\Lambda_{0,(p,q)}(X)$  be the subspace of  $\Lambda_{(p,q)}(\bar{X})$  whose elements have compact support disjoint from  $\partial X$ .

The operator  $\bar{\partial}: \Lambda_{(p,q-1)}(X) \longrightarrow \Lambda_{(p,q)}(X)$  is defined by

$$\bar{\partial}\varphi = \sum_{k} \sum_{IJ} \frac{\partial \varphi_{IJ}}{\partial \bar{z}^{k}} d\bar{z}^{k} \wedge dz^{I} \wedge d\bar{z}^{J}.$$

The formal adjoint operator  $\delta$  of  $\bar{\partial}$  is defined by :

$$\langle \delta \varphi, \psi \rangle = \langle \varphi, \bar{\partial} \psi \rangle$$

for any  $\varphi\in\Lambda_{(p,q)}(X)$  and  $\psi\in\Lambda_{0,(p,q-1)}(X)$ . It is easily seen that  $\bar\partial$  is a closed, linear, densely defined operator, and  $\bar\partial$  forms a complex, i.e.,  $\bar\partial^2=0$ . We denote by  $L^2_{(p,q)}(X)$  the Hilbert space of all (p,q) forms with square integrable coefficients. We denote again by  $\bar\partial:L^2_{(p,q-1)}(X)\longrightarrow L^2_{(p,q)}(X)$  the maximal extension of the original  $\bar\partial$ . Then  $\bar\partial$  is a closed, linear, densely defined operator, and forms a complex, i.e.,  $\bar\partial^2=0$ . Therefore, the adjoint operator  $\bar\partial^\star:L^2_{(p,q)}(X)\longrightarrow L^2_{(p,q-1)}(X)$  of  $\bar\partial$  is also a closed, linear, defined operator. We denote the domain and the range of  $\bar\partial$  in  $L^2_{(p,q)}(X)$  by  $\mathrm{Dom}_{(p,q)}(\bar\partial)$  and  $\mathrm{Range}_{(p,q)}(\bar\partial)$  respectively.

We define the Laplace-Beltrami operator

$$\Box = \bar{\partial}\bar{\partial}^* + \bar{\partial}^*\bar{\partial}: L^2_{(p,q)}(X) \longrightarrow L^2_{(p,q)}(X)$$

on

$$\mathrm{Dom}_{(p,q)}(\Box) = \{ \varphi \in \mathrm{Dom}_{(p,q)}(\bar{\partial}) \cap \mathrm{Dom}_{(p,q)}(\bar{\partial}^{\star}); \bar{\partial}\varphi \in \mathrm{Dom}_{(p,q+1)}(\bar{\partial}^{\star}) \\ \text{and } \bar{\partial}^{\star}\varphi \in \mathrm{Dom}_{(p,q-1)}(\bar{\partial}) \}.$$

Let

$$\operatorname{Ker}_{(p,q)}(\Box) = \{ \varphi \in \operatorname{Dom}_{(p,q)}(\bar{\partial}) \cap \operatorname{Dom}_{(p,q)}(\bar{\partial}^{\star}); \quad \bar{\partial}\varphi = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{\partial}^{\star}\varphi = 0 \}.$$

**Definition 2.1.** A domain  $X \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  is said to be strongly pseudo-convex with  $C^{\infty}$ -boundary if there exist an open neighborhood U of the boundary  $\partial X$  of X and a  $C^{\infty}$  function  $\lambda: U \longrightarrow \Re$  having the following properties:

(i) 
$$X \cap U = \{z \in U; \lambda(z) < 0\}.$$

(ii) 
$$\sum_{\alpha,\beta=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 \lambda(z)}{\partial z^\alpha \partial \bar{z}^\beta} \eta^\alpha \bar{\eta}^\beta \geq L(z) |\eta|^2; z \in U$$
,  $\eta = (\eta^1,\dots,\eta^n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$  and  $L(z) > 0$ .

(iii) The gradient 
$$\nabla \lambda(z) = \left(\frac{\partial \lambda(z)}{\partial x^1}, \frac{\partial \lambda(z)}{\partial y^1}, \dots, \frac{\partial \lambda(z)}{\partial x^n}, \frac{\partial \lambda(z)}{\partial y^n}\right) \neq 0$$

for 
$$z = (z^1, \dots, z^n) \in U$$
;  $z^{\alpha} = x^{\alpha} + iy^{\alpha}$ .

Let  $f: \Re^{2n-1} \longrightarrow \Re$  be a function that satisfies the Lipschitz condition

$$(2.1) |f(x) - f(x')| \le T|x - x'| \text{for all} x, x' \in \Re^{2n-1}.$$

The smallest T in which (2.1) holds is called the bound of the Lipschitz constant. By choosing finitely many balls  $\{V_j\}$  covering  $\partial X$ , the Lipschitz constant for a Lipschitz domain is the smallest T such that the Lipschitz constant is bounded in every ball  $\{V_j\}$ .

**Definition 2.2.** A bounded domain X in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  is called a strongly pseudo-convex domain with Lipschitz boundary  $\partial X$  if there exists a Lipschitz defining function  $\varrho$  in a neighborhood of  $\bar{X}$  such that the following condition holds:

- (i) Locally near every point of the boundary  $\partial X$ , after a smooth change of coordinates,  $\partial X$  is the graph of a Lipschitz function.
- (ii) There exists a constant  $c_1 > 0$  such that,

(2.2) 
$$\sum_{\alpha,\beta}^{n} \frac{\partial^{2} \varrho}{\partial z^{\alpha} \partial \bar{z}^{\beta}} \eta^{\alpha} \bar{\eta}^{\beta} \geq c_{1} |\eta|^{2}, \qquad \eta = (\eta^{1}, \dots, \eta^{n}) \in \mathbb{C}^{n},$$

where (2.2) is defined in the distribution sense.

Let  $W^s(X)$ ,  $s \ge 0$ , be defined as the space of all  $u|_X$  such that  $u \in W^s(\mathbb{C}^n)$ . We define the norm of  $W^s(X)$  by

$$||u||_{s(X)} = \inf\{||v||_{s(\mathbb{C}^n)}, v \in W^s(\mathbb{C}^n), v|_X = u\}.$$

We use  $W^s_{(p,q)}(X)$  to denote Hilbert spaces of (p,q)-forms with  $W^s(X)$  coefficients and their norms are denoted by  $\| \ \|_{s(X)}$ . Let  $W^s_0(X)$  be the completion of  $C^\infty_0(X)$ -functions under the  $W^s(X)$ -norm. Restricting to a small neighborhood U near a boundary point, we shall choose special boundary coordinates  $t_1,\ldots,t_{2n-1},\lambda$  such that  $t_1,\ldots,t_{2n-1}$  restricted to  $\partial X$  are coordinates for  $\partial X$ . Let  $D_{t_j}=\partial/\partial t_j,\ j=1,\ldots,2n-1,$  and  $D_\lambda=\partial/\partial\lambda$ . Thus  $D_{t_j}$ 's are the tangential derivatives on  $\partial X$ , and  $D_\lambda$  is the normal derivative. For a multi-index  $\beta=(\beta_1,\ldots,\beta_{2n-1}),$  where each  $\beta_j$  is a nonnegative integer,  $D^\beta_t$  denotes the product of  $D_{t_j}$ 's with order  $|\beta|=\beta_1+\cdots+\beta_{2n-1},$  i.e.,  $D^\beta_t=D^{\beta_1}_{t_1}\cdots D^{\beta_{2n-1}}_{t_{2n-1}}.$  For any  $\phi\in C^\infty_0(\bar{X})$  with compact support in U, we define the tangential Fourier transform for  $\phi$  in a special boundary chart by

$$\widetilde{\phi}(\nu,\lambda) = \int_{R^{2n-1}} e^{-i\langle t,\nu\rangle} \phi(t,\lambda) dt,$$

where  $\nu=(\nu_1,\ldots,\nu_{2n-1})$  and  $\langle t,\nu\rangle=t_1\nu_1+\cdots+t_{2n-1}\nu_{2n-1}$ . We define the tangential Sobolev norms  $\|\cdot\|_s$  by

$$\||\phi\||_s = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n-1}} \int_{-\infty}^0 (1+|\nu|^2)^s |\widetilde{\phi}(\nu,\lambda)| d\lambda d\nu.$$

We recall the  $L^2$  existence theorem for the  $\bar{\partial}-$ Neumann operator on any bounded pseudoconvex domain  $X\subset \mathbb{C}^n$ . Following Hörmander  $L^2-$  estimates for  $\bar{\partial}$  on any bounded pseudoconvex domains, one can prove that  $\Box$  has closed range and  $\mathrm{Ker}_{(p,q)}(\Box)=\{0\}$ . The  $\bar{\partial}-$ Neumann operator N is the inverse of  $\Box$ . In fact, one can prove

**Proposition 2.1** (Hörmander [16]). Let X be a bounded pseudo-convex domain in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ ,  $n \geq 2$ . For each  $0 \leq p \leq n$  and  $1 \leq q \leq n$ , there exists a bounded linear operator

$$N: L^2_{(p,q)}(X) \longrightarrow L^2_{(p,q)}(X)$$

such that we have the following:

- (i)  $Range_{(p,q)}(N) \subset Dom_{(p,q)}(\square)$  and  $\square N = N\square = I$  on  $Dom_{(p,q)}(\square)$ .
- (ii) For any  $\varphi \in L^2_{(p,q)}(X)$ ,  $\varphi = \bar{\partial}\bar{\partial}^*N\varphi + \bar{\partial}^*\bar{\partial}N\varphi$ .
- (iii) If  $\delta$  is the diameter of X, we have the following estimates:

$$\begin{split} \|N\varphi\| &\leq \frac{e\delta^2}{q} \|\varphi\| \\ \|\bar{\partial}N\varphi\| &\leq \sqrt{\frac{e\delta^2}{q}} \|\varphi\| \\ \|\bar{\partial}^{\star}N\varphi\| &\leq \sqrt{\frac{e\delta^2}{q}} \|\varphi\| \end{split}$$

for any  $\varphi \in L^2_{(p,q)}(X)$ .

For a detailed proof of this proposition see Shaw [25], Proposition 2.3, and Chen and Shaw [5], Theorem 4.4.1.

**Theorem 2.2** (Rellich Lemma). Let X be a bounded domain in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  with Lipschitz boundary. If  $s > t \geq 0$ , the inclusion  $W^s(X) \hookrightarrow W^t(X)$  is compact.

## 3. PROOF OF THE MAIN THEOREM

To prove the main theorem we first obtain the following estimates on each smooth subdomain. As Lemma 2.1 in Michel and Shaw [23], we prove the following lemma:

**Lemma 3.1.** Let  $X \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  be a bounded strongly pseudo-convex domain with Lipschitz boundary. Then, there exists an exhaustion  $\{X_{\mu}\}$  of X with the following conditions:

- (i)  $\{X_{\mu}\}$  is an increasing sequence of relatively compact subsets of X and  $\bigcup_{\mu} X_{\mu} = X$ .
- (ii) Each  $\{X_{\mu}\}$  has a  $C^{\infty}$  plurisubharmonic defining Lipschitz function  $\lambda_{\mu}$  such that

$$\sum_{\alpha,\beta=1}^{n} \frac{\partial^{2} \lambda_{\mu}(z)}{\partial z^{\alpha} \partial \bar{z}^{\beta}} \eta^{\alpha} \bar{\eta}^{\beta} \geq c_{1} |\eta|^{2}$$

for  $z \in \partial X_{\mu}$  and  $\eta \in \mathbb{C}^n$ , where  $c_1 > 0$  is a constant independent of  $\mu$ .

(iii) There exist positive constants  $c_2$ ,  $c_3$  such that  $c_2 \leq |\nabla \lambda_{\mu}| \leq c_3$  on  $\partial X_{\mu}$ , where  $c_2$ ,  $c_3$  are independent of  $\mu$ .

Proof. Let  $\aleph = \{z \in X | -\delta_0 < \varrho(z) < 0\}$ , where  $\delta_0 > 0$  is sufficiently small. Thus, there exists a constant  $c_1 > 0$  such that the function  $\sigma_0(z) = \varrho(z) - c_1 |z|^2$  is a plurisubharmonic on  $\aleph$ . Let  $\delta_\mu$  be a decreasing sequence such that  $\delta_\mu \searrow 0$ , and we define  $X_{\delta_\mu} = \{z \in X | \varrho(z) < -\delta_\mu\}$ . Then  $\{X_{\delta_\mu}\}$  is a sequence of relatively compact subsets of X with union equal to X. Let  $\Psi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{C}^n)$  be a function depending only on  $|z_1|, \ldots, |z_n|$  and such that

- (i)  $\Psi > 0$ .
- (ii)  $\Psi = 0$  when |z| > 1.
- (iii)  $\int \Psi d\lambda = 1$ , where  $d\lambda$  is the Lebesgue measure.

We define  $\Psi_{\varepsilon}(z) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2n}} \Psi(\frac{z}{\varepsilon})$  for  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

For each  $z \in X_{\delta_{\mu}}$ ,,  $0 < \varepsilon < \delta_{\mu}$ , we define

$$\varrho_{\varepsilon}(z) = \varrho \star \Psi_{\varepsilon}(z) = \int \varrho(z - \varepsilon \zeta) \Psi(\zeta) d\lambda(\zeta).$$

Then  $\varrho_{\varepsilon} \in C^{\infty}(X_{\delta_{\mu}})$  and  $\varrho_{\varepsilon} \searrow \varrho$  on  $X_{\delta_{\mu}}$  when  $\varepsilon \searrow 0$ . Since

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial^2 \varrho_{\varepsilon}(z)}{\partial z^{\alpha} \partial \bar{z}^{\beta}} &= \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^{\alpha} \partial \bar{z}^{\beta}} \{ (\sigma_0(z) + c_1 |z|^2) \star \Psi_{\varepsilon}(z) \} \\ &= \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^{\alpha} \partial \bar{z}^{\beta}} \{ \sigma_0(z) \star \Psi_{\varepsilon}(z) + c_1 |z|^2 \star \Psi_{\varepsilon}(z) \} \\ &= \frac{\partial^2 \sigma_0(z)}{\partial z^{\alpha} \partial \bar{z}^{\beta}} \star \Psi_{\varepsilon}(z) + c_1 \frac{\partial^2 |z|^2}{\partial z^{\alpha} \partial \bar{z}^{\beta}} \star \Psi_{\varepsilon}(z) \end{split}$$

for  $z \in X_{\delta_n} \cap \aleph$ , and  $\eta \in \mathbb{C}^n$  it follows that

$$\sum_{\alpha,\beta=1}^{n} \frac{\partial^{2} \varrho_{\varepsilon}(z)}{\partial z^{\alpha} \partial \bar{z}^{\beta}} \eta^{\alpha} \bar{\eta}^{\beta} = \sum_{\alpha,\beta=1}^{n} \frac{\partial^{2} \sigma_{0}(z)}{\partial z^{\alpha} \partial \bar{z}^{\beta}} \eta^{\alpha} \bar{\eta}^{\beta} \star \Psi_{\varepsilon}(z) + c_{1} \sum_{\alpha,\beta=1}^{n} \frac{\partial^{2} |z|^{2}}{\partial z^{\alpha} \partial \bar{z}^{\beta}} \eta^{\alpha} \bar{\eta}^{\beta} \star \Psi_{\varepsilon}(z)$$

$$\geq c_{1} |\eta|^{2}.$$

Each  $\varrho_{\varepsilon_{\mu}}$  is well defined if  $0<\varepsilon_{\mu}<\delta_{\mu+1}$  for  $z\in X_{\delta_{\mu+1}}$ . Let  $c_3=\sup_{\bar{X}}|\nabla\varrho|$ , then for  $\varepsilon_{\mu}$  sufficiently small, we have  $\varrho(z)<\varrho_{\varepsilon_{\mu}}(z)<\varrho(z)+c_3\varepsilon_{\mu}$  on  $X_{\delta_{\mu+1}}$ . For each  $\mu$ , we choose  $\varepsilon_{\mu}=\frac{1}{2c_3}(\delta_{\mu-1}-\delta_{\mu})$  and  $\zeta_{\mu}\in(\delta_{\mu+1},\delta_{\mu})$ . We define  $X_{\mu}=\{z\in\mathbb{C}^n|\ \varrho_{\varepsilon_{\mu}}<-\zeta_{\mu}\}$ . Since  $\varrho(z)<\varrho_{\varepsilon_{\mu}}(z)<-\zeta_{\mu}<-\delta_{\mu+1}$ , we have that  $X_{\mu}\subset X_{\delta_{\mu+1}}$ . Also, if  $z\in X_{\delta_{\mu-1}}$ , then  $\varrho_{\varepsilon_{\mu}}(z)<\varrho(z)+c_3\varepsilon_{\mu}<-\delta_{\mu}<-\zeta_{\mu}$ . Thus we have

$$X_{\delta_{\mu+1}} \supset X_{\mu} \supset X_{\delta_{\mu-1}}$$

and (i) is satisfied. Then the function  $\lambda_{\mu} = \varrho_{\varepsilon_{\mu}} + \zeta_{\mu}$  satisfies (ii). Now, we prove (iii). First, since a Lipschitz function is almost everywhere differentiable (see Evans and Gariepy [11] for a proof of this fact), the gradient of a Lipschitz function exists almost everywhere and we have  $|\nabla \varrho| \leq c_3$  a.e. in  $\bar{X}$  and  $|\nabla \lambda_{\mu}| \leq c_3$  on  $\partial X_{\mu}$ . Secondly, we show that  $|\nabla \lambda_{\mu}|$  is uniformly bounded from below. To do that, since  $\partial X$  is Lipschitz from our assumption, then there exists a finite covering  $\{V_j\}_{1\leq j\leq m}$  of  $\partial X$  such that  $\bar{V}_j\subset \bar{U}_j$  for  $1\leq j\leq m$ , a finite set of unit vectors  $\{\chi_j\}_{1\leq j\leq m}$  and  $c_2>0$  such that the inner product  $(\nabla \varrho,\chi_j)\geq c_2>0$  a.e. for  $z\in V_j$ ,  $1\leq j\leq m$ . Since this is preserved by convolution, (iii) is proved. Moreover, we have  $\nabla \lambda_{\mu}\neq 0$  in a small neighborhood of  $\partial X_{\mu}$ . Thus  $\partial X_{\mu}$  is smooth. Then, the proof is complete.  $\Box$ 

We use a subscript  $\mu$  to indicate operators on  $X_{\mu}$ .

**Proposition 3.2.** Let  $\{X_{\mu}\}$  be the same as in Lemma 3.1. There exists a constant  $c_4 > 0$ , such that for any  $\varphi \in \Lambda_{(p,q)}(\bar{X}_{\mu}) \cap Dom_{(p,q)}(\bar{\partial}_{\mu}^{\star}), 0 \leq p \leq n, 1 \leq q \leq n-1$ ,

(3.1) 
$$\|\varphi\|_{1/2(X_{\mu})}^2 \le c_4 \left( \left\| \bar{\partial}\varphi \right\|_{X_{\mu}}^2 + \left\| \bar{\partial}_{\mu}^{\star}\varphi \right\|_{X_{\mu}}^2 \right),$$

where  $c_4$  is independent of  $\varphi$  and  $\mu$ . If  $\varphi \in \Lambda_{(p,q)}(\bar{X}_{\mu}) \cap Dom_{(p,q)}(\Box_{\mu})$ , then

(3.2) 
$$\|\varphi\|_{1/2(X_{\mu})}^2 \le c_4 \|\Box_{\mu}\varphi\|_{X_{\mu}}^2,$$

where  $c_4$  is independent of  $\varphi$  and  $\mu$ .

*Proof.* Since  $|\nabla \lambda_{\mu}| \neq 0$  on a neighborhood W of  $\partial X_{\mu}$ , then the function  $\eta_{\mu} = \lambda_{\mu}/|\nabla \lambda_{\mu}|$  is defined on W. We extend  $\eta_{\mu}$  to be negative smoothly inside  $X_{\mu}$ . Then  $\eta_{\mu}$  is a defining function in a neighborhood of  $\bar{X}_{\mu}$  such that  $\eta_{\mu} < 0$  on  $X_{\mu}$ ,  $\eta_{\mu} = 0$  on  $\partial X_{\mu}$  and  $|\nabla \eta_{\mu}| = 1$  on W. Then, by simple calculation as in Lemma 2.2 in Michel and Shaw [23] and by using the identity of Morrey-Kohn-Hörmander which was proved in Chen and Shaw [5], Proposition 4.3.1, and from (ii)and (iii) in Lemma 3.1, it follows that there exists a constant  $c_5 > 0$  such that for any  $\varphi \in \Lambda_{(p,q)}(\bar{X}_{\mu}) \cap \mathrm{Dom}_{(p,q)}(\bar{\partial}_{\mu}^{\star})$ ,

(3.3) 
$$\sum_{I,I} \sum_{k} \left| \frac{\partial \varphi_{IJ}}{\partial \bar{z}^k} \right|^2 + \int_{\partial X_{\mu}} |\varphi|^2 ds_{\mu} \le c_5 \left( \|\bar{\partial}\varphi\|_{X_{\mu}}^2 + \|\bar{\partial}_{\mu}^{\star}\varphi\|_{X_{\mu}}^2 \right).$$

Let  $z\in\partial X_{\mu}$  and U be a special boundary chart containing z. From Kohn [20], Proposition 3.10 and Chen and Shaw [5], Lemma 5.2.2, the tangential Sobolev norm  $\sum_{j=1}^n |||D^j\varphi|||_{\epsilon-1}$ , and the ordinary Sobolev norm  $||\varphi||_{\epsilon}$  are equivalent for  $\varphi\in \mathrm{Dom}(\bar\partial)\cap\mathrm{Dom}(\bar\partial^\star)$  where the support of  $\varphi$  lies in  $U\cap \bar X_{\mu}$ ,  $D^j\varphi=\partial\varphi/\partial x_j$ ,  $(j=1,2,\ldots,2n)$ , and  $\epsilon>0$ . Then, from Folland and Kohn [12], Theorems 2.4.4 and 2.4.5, it follows that there exists a neighborhood  $V\subset U$  of z and a positive constant  $c_6$  such that

(3.4) 
$$\|\varphi\|_{1/2(X_{\mu})}^2 \le c_6 \left( \sum_{I,I} \sum_k \left| \frac{\partial \varphi_{IJ}}{\partial \bar{z}^k} \right|^2 + \|\varphi\|_{X_{\mu}}^2 + \int_{\partial X_{\mu}} |\varphi|^2 ds_{\mu} \right),$$

for  $\varphi \in \Lambda_{0,(p,q)}(V \cap \bar{X}_{\mu})$ . Since  $X_{\mu}$  is a Lipschitz domain, then  $c_6$  depends only on the Lipschitz constant. Also from Lemma 3.1, if  $\{X_{\mu}\}_{\mu=1}^{\infty}$  is uniformly Lipschitz, then the constant  $c_6$  can be chosen to depend only on the Lipschitz constant of  $\partial X_{\mu}$ , which is independent of  $\mu$ . Now cover  $\partial X_{\mu}$  by finitely many charts  $\{V_i\}_{i=1}^m$  such that this conclusion holds on each chart, and choose  $V_0$  so that  $X_{\mu} - \bigcup_{1}^m V_i \subset V_0 \subset \bar{V}_0 \subset X_{\mu}$ . Then, the estimate (3.4) holds for all  $\varphi \in \Lambda_{0,(p,q)}(V_0)$ . Using a partition of unity subordinate to  $\{V_i\}_0^m$ , the estimate (3.4) now reads

(3.5) 
$$\|\varphi\|_{1/2(X_{\mu})}^2 \le c_6 \left( \sum_{I,I} \sum_{k} \left| \frac{\partial \varphi_{IJ}}{\partial \bar{z}^k} \right|^2 + \|\varphi\|_{X_{\mu}}^2 + \int_{\partial X_{\mu}} |\varphi|^2 ds_{\mu} \right),$$

for any  $\varphi \in \Lambda_{(p,q)}(\bar{X}_{\mu}) \cap \mathrm{Dom}_{(p,q)}(\bar{\partial}_{\mu}^{\star})$ . It follows from Proposition 2.1 that

$$\|\varphi\|_{X_{\mu}}^{2} \leq \frac{e\delta^{2}}{q} \left( \|\bar{\partial}\varphi\|_{X_{\mu}}^{2} + \|\bar{\partial}^{*}\varphi\|_{X_{\mu}}^{2} \right).$$

Therefore, by taking  $c_4 = c_6 \left( \frac{e\delta^2}{q} + c_5 \right)$ , and by using (3.3) and (3.5) inequality (3.1) is proved. Also, since

$$\|\bar{\partial}\varphi\|_{X_{\mu}}^{2} + \|\bar{\partial}_{\mu}^{\star}\varphi\|_{X_{\mu}}^{2} \le \|\Box_{\mu}\varphi\|_{X_{\mu}} \|\varphi\|_{X_{\mu}},$$

when  $\varphi \in \Lambda_{(p,q)}(\bar{X}_{\mu}) \cap \mathrm{Dom}_{(p,q)}(\square_{\mu})$ . Then, (3.2) is proved also.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. We shall apply the Michel and Shaw technique in [23] with the suitable modifications required. Let  $\{X_{\mu}\}$  be the same as in Lemma 3.1 and  $N_{\mu}$  denote the  $\bar{\partial}$ -Neumann operator on  $L^2_{(p,q)}(X_{\mu})$ . Since X is a strongly pseudo-convex domain with Lipschitz boundary, then by using Lemma 3.1, it can be approximated by domains with smooth boundary which are uniformly Lipschitz. Then,  $X_{\mu}$  is a Lipschitz domain, and so  $C^{\infty}(\bar{X}_{\mu})$  is dense in  $W^s(X_{\mu})$  in the  $W^s(X_{\mu})$ -norm. Then, to prove this theorem, it suffices to prove (1.1) for any  $\varphi \in \Lambda_{(p,q)}(\bar{X})$ .

By using the boundary regularity for  $N_{\mu}$  which was established by Kohn [19], we have  $N_{\mu}\varphi \in \Lambda_{(p,q)}(\bar{X}_{\mu}) \cap \mathrm{Dom}_{(p,q)}(\Box_{\mu})$ . The  $\bar{\partial}$ -Neumann operator N is the inverse of the operator  $\square$ . By using (iii) in Proposition 2.1, we have

$$||N_{\mu}\varphi||_{X_{\mu}} \le \frac{e\delta^2}{q} ||\varphi||_{X_{\mu}} \le \frac{e\delta^2}{q} ||\varphi||_X,$$

and

$$\|\bar{\partial} N_{\mu}\varphi\|_{X_{\mu}} + \|\bar{\partial}_{\mu}^{\star}N_{\mu}\varphi\|_{X_{\mu}} \leq 2\sqrt{\frac{e\delta^{2}}{q}}\|\varphi\|_{X_{\mu}} \leq 2\sqrt{\frac{e\delta^{2}}{q}}\|\varphi\|_{X}.$$

Then, there is no loss of generality if we assume that

$$N_{\mu}\varphi = 0$$
 in  $X \backslash X_{\mu}$ .

Then there is a subsequence of  $N_{\mu}\varphi$ , still denoted by  $N_{\mu}\varphi$ , converging weakly to some element  $\psi \in L^2_{(p,q)}(X)$  and  $\bar{\partial}\psi \in L^2_{(p,q+1)}(X)$ . This implies that  $\psi \in \mathrm{Dom}_{(p,q)}(\bar{\partial})$ . Now, we show that  $\psi \in \mathrm{Dom}_{(p,q)}(\bar{\partial}^{\star})$  as follows: for any  $u \in \mathrm{Dom}_{(p,q-1)}(\bar{\partial}) \cap L^2_{(p,q-1)}(X)$ ,

$$\begin{split} \left| \left\langle \psi, \bar{\partial} u \right\rangle_{X} \right| &= \lim_{\mu \to \infty} \left| \left\langle N_{\mu} \varphi, \bar{\partial} u \right\rangle_{X_{\mu}} \right| \\ &= \lim_{\mu \to \infty} \left| \left\langle \bar{\partial}_{\mu}^{\star} N_{\mu} \varphi, u \right\rangle_{X_{\mu}} \right| \\ &\leq \|\varphi\|_{X} \|u\|_{X}. \end{split}$$

Thus  $\psi \in \mathrm{Dom}_{(p,q)}(\bar{\partial}_{\mu}^{\star})$ . Also, we show that  $\bar{\partial}\psi \in \mathrm{Dom}_{(p,q+1)}(\bar{\partial}_{\mu}^{\star})$  and  $\bar{\partial}_{\mu}^{\star}\psi \in \mathrm{Dom}_{(p,q-1)}(\bar{\partial})$  as follows: by using (ii) in Proposition 2.1, we have

Thus  $\bar{\partial}\bar{\partial}_{\mu}^{\star}\psi$  is the  $L^2$  weak limit of some subsequence of  $\bar{\partial}\bar{\partial}_{\mu}^{\star}N_{\mu}\varphi$  and  $\bar{\partial}_{\mu}^{\star}\psi\in \mathrm{Dom}_{(p,q-1)}(\bar{\partial})$ . By using (3.6), we have, for any  $v\in \mathrm{Dom}_{(p,q)}(\bar{\partial})\cap L^2_{(p,q)}(X)$ ,

$$\begin{split} \left| \left\langle \bar{\partial} \psi, \bar{\partial} v \right\rangle_{X} \right| &= \lim_{\mu \longrightarrow \infty} \left| \left\langle \bar{\partial} N_{\mu} \varphi, \bar{\partial} v \right\rangle_{X_{\mu}} \right| \\ &= \lim_{\mu \longrightarrow \infty} \left| \left\langle \bar{\partial}_{\mu}^{\star} \bar{\partial} N_{\mu} \varphi, v \right\rangle_{X_{\mu}} \right| \\ &\leq \|\varphi\|_{X} \|v\|_{X}. \end{split}$$

Thus  $\bar{\partial}\psi\in \mathrm{Dom}_{(p,q+1)}(\bar{\partial}_{\mu}^{\star})$  and  $\bar{\partial}_{\mu}^{\star}\bar{\partial}\psi$  is the weak limit of a subsequence of  $\bar{\partial}_{\mu}^{\star}\bar{\partial}N_{\mu}\varphi$ . This implies that  $\psi\in \mathrm{Dom}_{(p,q)}(\Box_{\mu})$  and  $\Box_{\mu}\psi=\varphi$ . Since N is one to one on  $L^2_{(p,q)}(X)$ , then we conclude that  $\psi=N\varphi$ . Since  $X_{\mu}$  is a Lipschitz domain. Hence  $\Lambda(\bar{X})$  are dense in  $W^s(X)$  in  $W^s(X)$ —norm. If  $s\leq 1/2$ , we can show that  $\Lambda_0(\bar{X})$  are dense in  $W^s(X)$  as in Theorem 1.4.2.4 in Grisvard [13]. Thus

$$W^{1/2}(X) = W_0^{1/2}(X).$$

It follows from the Generalized Schwartz inequality (see Proposition (A.1.1) in Folland and Kohn [12]) that

$$\left| \langle h, f \rangle_{X_{\mu}} \right| \le \|h\|_{1/2(X_{\mu})} \|f\|_{-1/2(X_{\mu})},$$

for any  $h \in W^{1/2}_{(p,q)}(X_\mu)$  and  $f \in W^{-1/2}_{(p,q)}(X_\mu)$ . By using (3.1), there exists a constant  $c_4 > 0$  such that for any  $\varphi \in L^2_{(p,q)}(\bar{X}_\mu) \cap \mathrm{Dom}_{(p,q)}(\Box_\mu)$ ,  $0 \le p \le n$  and  $1 \le q \le n$ ,

(3.7) 
$$\|\varphi\|_{1/2(X_{\mu})}^{2} \leq c_{4}(\|\bar{\partial}\varphi\|_{X_{\mu}}^{2} + \|\bar{\partial}_{\mu}^{\star}\varphi\|_{X_{\mu}}^{2})$$
$$= c_{4} \langle \varphi, \Box_{\mu}\varphi \rangle_{X_{\mu}}$$
$$\leq c_{4} \|\varphi\|_{1/2(X_{\mu})} \|\Box_{\mu}\varphi\|_{-1/2(X_{\mu})},$$

where  $c_4$  is independent of  $\varphi$  and  $\mu$ . Substituting  $N_{\mu}\varphi$  into (3.7), we have

where  $c_4$  is independent of  $\varphi$  and  $\mu$ . By using the extension operator on Euclidean space (see Theorem 1.4.3.1 in Grisvard [13]), it follows that for any Lipschitz domain  $X_{\mu} \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ ,

$$R_{\mu}: W^{1/2}(X_{\mu}) \longrightarrow W^{1/2}(\mathbb{C}^n)$$

such that for each  $\varphi \in W^{1/2}(X_{\mu}), R_{\mu}\varphi = \varphi$  on  $X_{\mu}$  and

(3.9) 
$$||R_{\mu}\varphi||_{1/2(\mathbb{C}^n)} \le c_5 ||\varphi||_{1/2(X_{\mu})},$$

for some positive constant  $c_5$ . The constant  $c_5$  in (3.9) can be chosen independent of  $\mu$  since extension exists for any Lipschitz domain (see Theorem 1.4.3.1 in Grisvard [13]). By applying  $R_{\mu}$  to  $N_{\mu}\varphi$  component-wise, we have, by using (3.8) and (3.9), that

$$||R_{\mu}N_{\mu}\varphi||_{1/2(X)} \le ||R_{\mu}N_{\mu}\varphi||_{1/2(\mathbb{C}^n)} \le c_5||N_{\mu}\varphi||_{1/2(X_{\mu})} \le c||\varphi||_{-1/2(X_{\mu})},$$

where c>0 is independent of  $\mu$ . Since  $W^{1/2}_{(p,q)}(X)$  is a Hilbert space, then from the weak compactness for Hilbert spaces, there exists a subsequence of  $R_{\mu}N_{\mu}\varphi$  which converges weakly in  $W^{1/2}_{(p,q)}(X)$ . Since  $R_{\mu}N_{\mu}\varphi$  converges weakly to  $N\varphi$  in  $L^2_{(p,q)}(X)$ , we conclude that  $N\varphi\in W^{1/2}_{(p,q)}(X)$  and

$$||N\varphi||_{1/2(X)} \le \lim_{\mu \to \infty} ||R_{\mu}N_{\mu}\varphi||_{1/2(X_{\mu})} \le c||\varphi||_{-1/2(X)}.$$

Thus, N can be extended as a bounded operator from  $W_{(p,q)}^{-1/2}(X)$  to  $W_{(p,q)}^{1/2}(X)$ . To prove that N is compact, we note that for any bounded domain X with Lipschitz boundary

To prove that N is compact, we note that for any bounded domain X with Lipschitz boundary there exists a continuous linear operator

$$R: W^{1/2}(X) \longrightarrow W^{1/2}(\mathbb{C}^n)$$

such that  $R\phi|_X = \phi$ . Also, we note that the inclusion map

$$W^{1/2}(X) \longrightarrow L^2(X) = W^0(X)$$

is compact. Thus, by using the Rellich Lemma for  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , we conclude that

$$W^{1/2}(X) \hookrightarrow W^{-1/2}(X)$$

is compact and this proves that N is compact on  $W_{(p,q)}^{-1/2}(X)$  and  $L_{(p,q)}^2(X)$ .

#### REFERENCES

- [1] H.P. BOAS AND E.J. STRAUBE, Equivalence of regularity for the Bergman projection and the  $\bar{\partial}$ -Neumann problem, *Manuscripta Math.*, **67**(1) (1990), 25–33.
- [2] H.P. BOAS AND E.J. STRAUBE, Sobolev estimates for the  $\bar{\partial}$ -Neumann operator on domains in  $C^n$  admitting a defining function that is plurisubharmonic on the boundary, *Math. Z.*, **206** (1991), 81–88.
- [3] H.P. BOAS AND E.J. STRAUBE, Global Regularity of the  $\bar{\partial}$ -Neumann problem: A Survey of the  $L^2$ -Sobolev Theory, Several complex Variables MSRI Publications Volume 37, (1999), 79–111.

- [4] A. BONAMI AND P. CHARPENTIER, Boundary values for the canonical solution to  $\bar{\partial}$ —equation and  $W^{1/2}$  estimates, preprint, Bordeaux, 1990.
- [5] S.-C. CHEN AND M.-C. SHAW, Partial Differential Equations in Several Complex Variables, AMS-International Press, *Studies in Advanced Mathematics*, **19**, Providence, R. I., 2001.
- [6] B.E.J. DAHLBERG, Weighted norm inequalities for the Lusin area integral and the nontangential maximal functions for functions harmonic in a Lipschitz domain, *Studia Math.*, **67** (1980), 297–314.
- [7] D. EHSANI, Analysis of the  $\bar{\partial}$ -Neumann problem along a straight edge (Preprint math. CV/0309169).
- [8] D. EHSANI, Solution of the  $\bar{\partial}$ -Neumann problem on a bi-disc, *Math. Res. Letters*, **10**(4) (2003), 523–533.
- [9] D. EHSANI, Solution of the  $\bar{\partial}$ -Neumann problem on a non-smooth domain, *Indiana Univ. Math.* J., **52**(3) (2003), 629–666.
- [10] M. ENGLIŠ, Pseudolocal estimates for  $\bar{\partial}$  on general pseudoconvex domains, *Indiana Univ. Math.* J., **50** (2001), 1593–1607.
- [11] L.E. EVANS AND R.F. GARIEPY, Measure theory and fine properties of functions, *Stud. Adv. Math.*, CRC, Boca Raton, 1992.
- [12] G.B. FOLLAND AND J.J. KOHN, The Neumann problem for the Cauchy-Riemann Complex, Princeton University Press, Princeton, (1972).
- [13] P. GRISVARD, Elliptic problems in nonsmooth domains, *Monogr. Stud. Math.*, Pitman, Boston, **24** (1985).
- [14] G.M. HENKIN AND A. IORDAN, Compactness of the Neumann operator for hyperconvex domains with non-smooth *B*-regular boundary, *Math. Ann.*, **307** (1997), 151–168.
- [15] G.M. HENKIN, A. IORDAN, AND J.J. KOHN, Estimations sous-elliptiques pour le problème  $\bar{\partial}$ -Neumann dans un domaine strictement pseudoconvexe à frontière lisse par morceaux, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.*, **323** (1996), 17–22.
- [16] L. HÖRMANDER,  $L^2$ -estimates and existence theorems for the  $\bar{\partial}$  operator, *Acta Math.*, **113** (1965), 89–152.
- [17] D. JERISON AND C.E. KENIG, The inhomogeneous Dirichlet problem in Lipschitz domains, *J. Funct. Anal.*, **130** (1995), 161–219.
- [18] J.J. KOHN, Harmonic integrals on strongly pseudo-convex manifolds, I, *Ann. Math.*, (2) **78** (1963), 112–148.
- [19] J.J. KOHN, Global regularity for  $\bar{\partial}$  on weakly pseudoconvex manifolds, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **181** (1973), 273–292.
- [20] J.J. KOHN, Subellipticity of the  $\bar{\partial}$ -Neumann problem on pseudoconvex domains: Sufficient conditions, *Acta Math.*, **142** (1979), 79–122.
- [21] J.J. KOHN, A survey of the  $\bar{\partial}$ -Neumann problem, Complex Analysis of Several Variables (Yum-Tong Siu, ed.), Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics, American Mathematical Society, **41** (1984), 137–145.
- [22] S.G. KRANTZ, Partial Differential Equations and Complex Analysis, CRC press, Boca Raton, (1992).
- [23] J. MICHEL AND M. SHAW, Subelliptic estimates for the  $\bar{\partial}$ -Neumann operator on piecewise smooth strictly pseudoconvex domains, *Duke Math. J.*, **93** (1998), 115–128.

- [24] J. MICHEL AND M. SHAW, The  $\bar{\partial}$ -Neumann operator on Lipschitz pseudoconvex domains with plurisubharmonic defining functions, *Duke Math. J.*, **108**(3) (2001), 421–447.
- [25] M. SHAW, Local existence theorems with estimates for  $\bar{\partial}_b$  on weakly pseudo-convex CR manifolds, *Math. Ann.*, **294** (1992), 677–700.
- [26] E.J. STRAUBE, Plurisubharmonic functions and subellipticity of the  $\bar{\partial}$ -Neumann problem on nonsmooth domains, *Math. Res. Lett.*, **4** (1997), 459–467.