# **Basis-Transitive Matroids**

ANNE DELANDTSHEER

Service de Mathématiques, Faculté des Sciences Appliquées, C.P.165 Université Libre de Bruxelles, Av. Fr. Roosevelt, 50, B-1050 Brussels, Belgium

HUILING LI

Dept. of Applied Mathematics, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, Zhejiang, 310027, People's Republic of China

Received April 6, 1993; Revised August 23, 1993

Abstract. We consider the problem of classifying all finite basis-transitive matroids and reduce it to the classification of the finite basis-transitive and point-primitive simple matroids (or geometric lattices, or dimensional linear spaces). Our main result shows how a basis- and point-transitive simple matroid is decomposed into a so-called supersum. In particular each block of imprimitivity bears the structure of two closely related simple matroids, and the set of blocks of imprimitivity bears the structure of a point- and basis-transitive matroid.

Keywords: matroid, geometric lattice, dimensional linear space, transitivity, automorphism group

### 1. Introduction

Our aim is to investigate finite matroids admitting a basis-transitive automorphism group, which is essentially equivalent to the investigation of finite geometric lattices or of finite DLS's (dimensional linear spaces) admitting a basis-transitive automorphism group. For the definitions of matroid, geometric lattice and DLS, we refer the reader to Welsh [9] or White [10], Birkhoff [1] and Buekenhout [2] or Delandtsheer [5] resp. In 1985 Kantor [6] classified the finite simple matroids whose automorphism group acts transitively on ordered bases and called attention to the analogous problem for unordered bases. In this paper a matroid M will be called *basis-transitive* if its automorphism group AutM acts transitively on the bases of M (i.e. on the maximal independent sets in M). We may neglect the loops of M because they play absolutely no role in this problem. On the other hand if a basis-transitive automorphism group G of M has t orbits  $O_1, \ldots, O_t$  on the point-set  $\mathbb{P}$  of M, then the restriction of M to each  $O_i$  is a matroid M<sub>i</sub> of these matroids. This has been proved in Li [7] (Theorem 5) for simple matroids, but the proof works without change for any matroid. Hence our problem reduces to point- and basis-transitive matroids.

Our next goal is to reduce the problem to simple matroids (or DLS's). To that end, consider a matroid M and call  $\mathbb{P}_1, \ldots, \mathbb{P}_t$  its classes of parallel points (i.e. the maximal cliques of pairwise dependent points). If  $G \leq \text{Aut M}$  acts transitively on the points and bases of M, then all these classes have the same size  $\omega$  and there is a transitive subgroup H of the permutation group Sym  $\omega$  on  $\omega$  points such that for any i, the action on  $\mathbb{P}_i$  of the stabilizer of  $\mathbb{P}_i$  in G is isomorphic to H. On the other hand, the structure induced by M on the set  $\{\mathbb{P}_1, \ldots, \mathbb{P}_t\}$  is precisely that of the simple matroid T canonically associated with

M, and AutM acts on T as a point-and basis-transitive automorphism group K. Moreover G is a subgroup of the wreath product H wr K.

Hence the investigation of basis-transitive matroids reduces to that of point- and basistransitive simple matroids (or DLS's). Since we shall mainly handle simple matroids, we will from now on use the DLS terminology. Point- and basis-transitive DLS's have been classified in dimension 2 and 3 (i.e. rank 3 and 4) by Li [7]. Delandtsheer [3] provides a classification of the 2-transitive and basis-transitive DLS's with line size  $\neq 2$ , and decomposes any point- and basis-transitive DLS with some line of size > 2 into isomorphic 2-transitive and basis-transitive DLS's by means of a "wreath product" involving some point- and basis-transitive matroid M. This "wreath product" generalizes the notion of direct sum of isomorphic DLS's, but is in turn a very special case of the notion of supersum introduced in Delandtsheer [4] and recalled in Section 2 since it is crucial for the statement of our main result.

The present paper generalizes Theorem 1.2 of Delandtsheer [3] in decomposing any pointand basis-transitive DLS into a supersum  $\bigoplus_{M}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}')$  where  $\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}'$  are point-primitive and basis-transitive DLS's and M is a point- and basis-transitive matroid. All the above mentioned results (including Kantor's one) are either reduction theorems and have an elementary proof, or are classification theorems of low dimension or highly transitive basis-transitive DLS's and rely on the classification of 2-transitive permutation groups (and thereby on the classification of finite simple groups). By the present reduction theorem the classification of all finite basis-transitive matroids amounts to that of all point-primitive and basis-transitive DLS's. The point-primitivity hypothesis (although weaker than 2-transitivity) might lead to a final classification. Possible tools are the O'Nan-Scott theorem classifying the primitive permutation groups into five major types together with the classification of finite simple groups.

## 2. Definitions and statement of the theorem

Let us first recall that an *n*-DLS is precisely a simple matroid of rank n + 1 ( $0 \le n < +\infty$ ). We shall call *pre-n*-DLS any matroid of rank n + 1 ( $-1 \le n < +\infty$ ). The *m*-truncation of an *n*-DLS S (with  $m \le n$ ) is the *m*-DLS, denoted by *m*-S, whose independent sets are the independent sets of size  $\le m - 1$  of S.

The flats (or varieties) of dimension *i* will be called *i*-flats. The flats of dimension 0 or 1 (resp. of codimension 1 or 2) will preferably be called points or lines (resp. hyperplanes or colines). An *i*-flat is called *thick* if it has more than i + 1 points. A (d, d - 1)-DLS association is a pair ( $\mathbb{R}$ ,  $\mathbb{R}'$ ) where  $\mathbb{R}$  is a *d*-DLS ( $0 \le d < +\infty$ ) on some point-set  $\mathbb{P}$  and  $\mathbb{R}'$  is a pre-(d - 1)-DLS such that every basis of  $\mathbb{R}'$  is contained in some basis of  $\mathbb{R}$ , every basis of  $\mathbb{R}$  contains a basis of  $\mathbb{R}'$  and the hyperplanes of  $\mathbb{R}'$  are certain colines or hyperplanes of  $\mathbb{R}$ . The simplest possibility is that  $\mathbb{R}'$  is the (d - 1)-truncation of  $\mathbb{R}$ , which means that the bases of  $\mathbb{R}'$  are precisely the independent *d*-sets of  $\mathbb{R}$  (in other words, the hyperplanes of  $\mathbb{R}'$  are the colines of  $\mathbb{R}$ ). There are however other possibilities: for example the hyperplanes of  $\mathbb{R}'$  might be a selection of hyperplanes of  $\mathbb{R}$  together with the colines of  $\mathbb{R}$  which are in none of these selected hyperplanes.

Let T be a pre- $d_0$ -DLS on the point-set  $\{1, \ldots, t\}$ , let  $\mathbb{P}_1, \ldots, \mathbb{P}_t$  be pairwise disjoint sets and, for each  $i = 1, \ldots, t$ , let  $(\mathbb{R}_i, \mathbb{R}'_i)$  be a  $(d_i, d_i - 1)$ -DLS association on the pointset  $\mathbb{P}_i$ . If moreover the pair  $\{i, j\}$  is independent in T whenever  $d_i = d_j = 0$ , then the supersum of the  $(\mathbb{R}_i, \mathbb{R}'_i)$ 's over T is the  $(\sum_{i=0, \ldots, t} d_i)$ -DLS  $\oplus_T(\mathbb{R}_i, \mathbb{R}'_i)$  with point-set  $\cup_{i=1, \ldots, t} \mathbb{P}_i$  and whose bases are the sets B for which there is a basis J of T such that  $B \cap \mathbb{P}_j$  is a basis of  $\mathbb{R}_j$  if  $j \in J$  and  $B \cap \mathbb{P}_j$  is a basis of  $\mathbb{R}'_j$  if  $j \notin J$ . Note that if T is a boolean matroid (i.e. if  $d_0 = t - 1$ ), then the supersum  $\oplus_T(\mathbb{R}_i, \mathbb{R}'_i)$  reduces to the direct sum  $\oplus_{i=1, \ldots, t} \mathbb{R}_i$ . Note also that any pre-DLS M with classes of parallel points  $\mathbb{P}_1, \ldots, \mathbb{P}_t$ can be seen as the supersum  $\oplus_T(\mathbb{R}_i, \mathbb{R}'_i)$  where T is the DLS canonically associated with M and  $\mathbb{R}_i$  (resp.  $\mathbb{R}'_i$ ) is the 0-DLS (resp. pre-(-1)-DLS) on  $\mathbb{P}_i$ .

In this paper, we will only need the special case where all  $(\mathbb{R}_i, \mathbb{R}'_i)$ -associations are isomorphic to a common (d, d-1)-DLS-association. If moreover  $\mathbb{R}'$  is a (d-1)-DLS, then the supersum  $\bigoplus_{\mathbf{T}}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}')$  is the "wreath product" needed in Delandtsheer [3] and already introduced by Lim [8] who calls it "direct product".

We can now state our main result:

**Theorem.** Let S be a finite DLS and let  $G \leq AutS$  be point- and basis-transitive. Then S is a supersum  $\bigoplus_{\mathcal{T}}(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{R}')$  where T is a point- and basis-transitive pre-d<sub>0</sub>-DLS with  $d_0 \geq 0$  and  $(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{R}')$  is a (d, d-1)-DLS association sharing a common point-primitive and basis-transitive automorphism group.

## 3. Proof of the theorem

By abuse of language, we will always speak of G and of its G-orbits, no matter G is to be considered as a permutation group acting on points, on bases or on other objects. If G is primitive on the points of S, there is nothing to prove. Suppose now that  $\Delta_1, \Delta_2, \ldots, \Delta_t$ form a complete set of imprimitivity blocks and that  $G_{\Delta_i}$  is primitive on  $\Delta_i$ . Then  $t \ge 2$ ,  $|\Delta_i| \ge 2$  and  $\dim \langle \Delta_i \rangle \ge 1$ . Moreover this cardinality and this dimension are independent of  $i \in I = \{1, \ldots, t\}$ . Let  $d = \dim \langle \Delta_i \rangle$ .

Given any basis B of S, we define  $B_i = B \cap \Delta_i$  and  $\beta_i = |B_i|$ , so that a t-tuple  $(\beta_i)_{i \in I}$ is attached to B. If B' denotes some other basis,  $B'_i$  and  $\beta'_i$  are defined accordingly. Any t-tuple attached to some basis of S will be called a basis-t-tuple. Since G acts transitively on the bases of S, G also acts transitively on the basist-tuples.

The maximal cardinality of the independent sets contained in  $\Delta_i$  is  $d+1 \ge 2$ . Since any independent set assuming this bound can be extended to a basis of S, we get  $\max\{\beta_i; i \in I\} = d+1 \ge 2$ . Let us define  $m := \min\{\beta_i; i \in I\}$ . Since  $(\beta_i)_{i \in I}$  refers to a distinguished basis B, we may arrange the index set I so that  $d+1 = \beta_1 \ge \beta_2 \ge \cdots \ge \beta_t = m$ . Suppose that m < d+1. Since  $1 + \dim(\Delta_t) = d+1 > m = \beta_t$ , there is a point  $y \in \Delta_t$  such that  $B_t \cup y$  is independent. Thus  $B' = (B \setminus x) \cup y$  is a basis for some  $x \in \Delta_i \cap B$ , i < t. Hence  $\beta'_t = m + 1$ , so that  $\beta_j = m + 1$  for some j < t. Now define u and v as follows

$$\beta_i \begin{cases} \geq m+2 & \text{iff} \quad i \leq u \\ = m+1 & \text{iff} \quad u+1 \leq i \leq v \\ = m & \text{iff} \quad v+1 \leq i \leq t, \end{cases}$$

so that  $0 \le u < v < t$ .

**Step 0.** 
$$\Delta_{v+1} \cup \cdots \cup \Delta_t \subseteq \langle B_{u+1} \cup \cdots \cup B_t \rangle$$

**Proof:** Let A be the set of all subsets A of B such that

$$|A \cap \Delta_i| = \begin{cases} m+1 & \text{if } 1 \le i \le v \\ m & \text{if } v+1 \le i \le t \end{cases}$$

Clearly  $A \cap \Delta_i = B_i$  for  $u + 1 \le i \le t$ , and if  $A \ne A'$  are in  $\mathcal{A}$ , then  $A \cap \Delta_j \ne A' \cap \Delta_j$  for some  $j \le u$ . Moreover  $\bigcap_{A \in \mathcal{A}} A = B_{u+1} \cup \cdots \cup B_t$ .

If there is a point y such that  $y \in \Delta_{v+1} \cup \cdots \cup \Delta_t$  and  $y \notin \langle A \rangle$ , then  $A \cup y$  is independent and can be extended to a basis B'. But for B' there are at least v + 1 indices j such that  $\beta'_j \ge m + 1$ , which contradicts the basis-transitivity assumption or the definition of v. Hence  $\Delta_{v+1} \cup \cdots \cup \Delta_t \subseteq \langle A \rangle$ . Consequently

$$\Delta_{v+1}\cup\cdots\cup\Delta_t\subseteq\bigcap_{A\in\mathcal{A}}\langle A\rangle=\Big\langle\bigcap_{A\in\mathcal{A}}A\Big\rangle=\langle B_{u+1}\cup\cdots\cup B_t\rangle.$$

**Step 1.**  $m \ge 1$ .

Suppose for a contradiction that m = 0. Note that  $u \ge 1$  since m + 1 < d + 1 = 2. For  $j \in \{u + 1, \ldots, v\}$ , pick a point  $y_j$  in  $\Delta_j \setminus B_j$ . Then the independent set  $B_j \cup y_j$  can be extended to a basis B' by adjoining points in B only. Hence  $B' = (B - x) \cup y_j$  for some  $x \notin B_j$ , and  $\beta'_j = 2$ . By basis-transitivity,  $\beta'_i = 1$  for some  $i \le u$ . Then applying Step 0 to the basis B', we get

$$\Delta_{v+1} \cup \cdots \cup \Delta_t \subseteq \langle B'_i \cup C_j \rangle$$

where  $C_j = B_{u+1} \cup \cdots \cup B_{j-1} \cup B_{j+1} \cup \cdots \cup B_v$ . Since  $\langle B'_i \cup C_j \rangle \cap \langle B_{u+1} \cup \cdots \cup B_v \rangle = \langle C_j \rangle$ , Step 0 implies that

 $\Delta_{v+1}\cup\cdots\cup\Delta_t\subseteq \langle C_j\rangle.$ 

But this holds for any  $j = u + 1, \ldots, v$ , so that

$$\Delta_{v+1}\cup\cdots\cup\Delta_t \subseteq \bigcap_{j=u+1,\ldots,v} \langle C_j \rangle = \left\langle \bigcap_{j=u+1,\ldots,v} C_j \right\rangle = \phi,$$

a contradiction.

**Step 2.** Any union of imprimitivity blocks is a flat of S. In particular each  $\Delta_i$  is a flat of S and dim  $\Delta_i = d$ .

Let us first prove that, for any  $i \in I$ ,  $\bigcup_{j \neq i} \Delta_j$  is a flat of S. Since G acts transitively on the t imprimitivity blocks, there is a basis B having  $\beta_i = m$  points in  $\Delta_i$ . Since  $m \ge 1$  and since  $G_{\Delta_i}$  acts transitively on the points of  $\Delta_i$ , this implies that for any  $x \in \Delta_i$ there is a basis, say B(x), containing x and intersecting  $\Delta_i$  in exactly m points. By the minimality of m, the hyperplane  $\langle B(x) \setminus x \rangle$  contains all other blocks  $\Delta_j \neq \Delta_i$ . Hence  $\bigcup_{j \neq i} \Delta_j = \bigcap_{x \in \Delta_i} \langle B(x) \setminus x \rangle$  is an intersection of hyperplanes, and so is a flat of S. By intersection, any union of blocks is a flat of S. In particular every  $\Delta_i$  is a flat of S and its dimension is  $d = \dim \langle \Delta_i \rangle$ . Let us denote by  $\mathbb{D}_i$  the d-DLS  $(d \ge 1)$  induced by S on  $\Delta_i$ .

**Step 3.**  $G_{\Delta_i}$  acts basis-transitively on the *d*-DLS  $\mathbb{D}_i$ .

Let B be a basis of S such that  $\beta_1 = d + 1$ , so that  $B_1 = B \cap \Delta_1$  is a basis of  $\mathbb{D}_1$ . If  $B'_1$  is some other basis of  $\mathbb{D}_1$ , then  $B' = (B \setminus B_1) \cup B'_1$  is also a basis of S. Since B and B' are in the same G-orbit, the G-orbit of  $B_1$  must contain the same number of  $B_i$ 's and of  $B'_i$ 's. Since  $B_i = B'_i$  for any  $i \neq 1$ , this implies that  $B_1$  and  $B'_1$  are in the same G-orbit, and so  $G_{\Delta_1}$  acts basis-transitively on  $\mathbb{D}_1$ .

**Step 4.**  $\{\beta_i; i \in I\} \subseteq \{d, d+1\}.$ 

If m = d + 1 or d, there is nothing to prove. Hence suppose that m < d. Then there are two points  $y_t$  and  $z_t$  in  $\Delta_t$  such that  $B_t \cup \{y_t, z_t\}$  is independent and can be extended to a basis C of  $\langle B_{u+1} \cup \cdots \cup B_t \rangle$ . Thus  $B' = (B \setminus (B_{u+1} \cup \cdots \cup B_t)) \cup C$ is a basis of S. But for B', there are at least u + 1 indices  $i \in I$  such that  $\beta'_i > m + 1$ , which is impossible.

Step 5. If  $\{\beta_i; i \in I\} = \{d+1\}$ , then  $S \cong \bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathbb{D}_i$ .

This is obvious, so we only have to investigate the case where  $\{\beta_i; i \in I\} = \{d, d+1\}$ .

**Step 6.** The flats of S containing  $\bigcup_{i \neq 1} \Delta_i$  provide  $\Delta_1$  with the structure of a pre-(d-1)-DLS  $\mathbb{D}'_1$ , so that the pair  $(\mathbb{D}_1, \mathbb{D}'_1)$  is a (d, d-1)-DLS association sharing a common point-primitive and basis-transitive automorphism group  $G_{\Delta_1}$ .

Let B be a basis of S such that  $\beta_1 = d$ . Arguing as in Step 3 shows that a set B' of the form  $(B \setminus B_1) \cup B'_1$  (where  $B'_1 \subset \Delta_1$ ) is a basis of S if and only if  $B'_1$  belongs to the same  $G_{\Delta_1}$ -orbit  $O_1$  as  $B_1$ . Moreover the elements of  $O_1$  are the bases of the pre-(d - 1)-DLS  $\mathbb{D}'_1$  defined on  $\Delta_1$  by the closure operator

$$A \subseteq \Delta_1 \to \overline{A} = \Delta_1 \cap \langle A \cup \Delta_2 \cup \cdots \cup \Delta_t \rangle.$$

Note that the empty set is closed in  $\Delta_1$  since  $\bigcup_{i \neq 1} \Delta_i$  is a flat of S. On the other hand, if  $d \geq 2$ , then  $\mathbb{D}'_1$  is a (d-1)-DLS, because classes of parallel points would contradict our assumption that  $G_{\Delta_1}$  acts primitively on  $\Delta_1$ .

Finally note that every basis of  $\mathbb{D}'_1$  is an independent set of S, so that it can be extended to a basis of  $\mathbb{D}_1$ . Conversely the transitivity properties of G imply that for every basis  $B_1$ 

of  $\mathbb{D}_1$ , the generating set  $B_1 \cup (\Delta_2 \cup \cdots \cup \Delta_t)$  contains a basis B' such that  $B'_1 \in O_1$ . Hence every basis  $B_1$  of  $\mathbb{D}_1$  contains a basis of  $\mathbb{D}'_1$ . Given a basis-t-tuple  $(\beta_i)$ , define  $J = \{i \in I; \beta_i = d+1\}$ . It is then immediate that

Step 7. The bases of S are precisely the sets B such that  $(\beta_i)$  is a basis-t-tuple and  $B_i$  is a basis of  $\mathbb{D}_i$  (resp. of  $\mathbb{D}'_i$ ) iff  $i \in J$ .

Now let  $\mathbb{J}$  be the set of all J's associated to all bases of S. It is easy to check that  $\mathbb{J}$  is the set of bases of some pre-DLS  $\mathbb{T}$  on I and that G acts point-and basis-transitively on  $\mathbb{T}$ . This ends the proof of the Theorem.

### References

- 1. Birkhoff, G., Lattice Theory, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ. (3rd ed.), Providence, 1967.
- 2. Buekenhout, F., "Diagrams for geometries and groups," J. Combin. Theory Ser. A 27 (1979), 121-151.
- 3. Delandtsheer, A., "Basis-homogeneous geometric lattices," J. London Math. Soc. (2) 34 (1986), 385-393.
- 4. Delandtsheer, A., *Classifications of finite highly transitive dimensional linear spaces*, Proc. Int. Conf. on Combinatorial Geom. 91, Capri, 1991, to appear in *Discrete Math*.
- 5. Delandtsheer, A., *Dimensional linear spaces*, to appear in the "Handbook of Incidence Geometry," F. Buekenhout ed., North Holland.
- 6. Kantor, W.M., "Homogeneous designs and geometric lattices," J. Combin. Theory Ser. A 38 (1985), 66-74.
- 7. Li, H., "On basis-transitive geometric lattices," European J. Combin. 10 (1989), 561-573.
- 8. Lim, M.H., "A product of matroids and its automorphism group," J. Combin. Theory Ser. B 23 (1977), 151-163.
- 9. Welsh, D.J.A., Matroid Theory, Academic Press, London, 1976.
- 10. White, N.L., Theory of matroids, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1986.