

# TO A NONLOCAL GENERALIZATION OF THE DIRICHLET PROBLEM

GIVI BERIKELASHVILI

*Received 20 August 2004; Accepted 22 September 2004*

A mixed problem with a boundary Dirichlet condition and nonlocal integral condition is considered for a two-dimensional elliptic equation. The existence and uniqueness of a weak solution of this problem are proved in a weighted Sobolev space.

Copyright © 2006 Hindawi Publishing Corporation. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

The first paper devoted to a nonlocal boundary value problem with integral conditions goes back to Cannon [1]. More general nonlocal conditions for different types of partial differential equations were considered later (see, e.g., [2, 4–6, 9, 11, 13, 14]).

In the present paper, a mixed problem with a boundary Dirichlet condition and nonlocal integral condition is considered in a unit square for a second-order elliptic equation. The existence and uniqueness of a weak solution of this problem in the weighted Sobolev space  $W_2^1(\Omega, \rho)$  are proved. The proof is based on the Lax-Milgram lemma. It is shown that a nonlocal problem can be regarded as a generalization of the Dirichlet boundary value problem.

## 2. Statement of the problem

Let  $\Omega = \{(x_1, x_2) : 0 < x_k < 1, k = 1, 2\}$  be a unit square with boundary  $\Gamma$ ,  $\Gamma_1 = \{(0, x_2) : 0 < x_2 < 1\}$ ,  $\Gamma_* = \Gamma \setminus \Gamma_1$ ,  $\Omega_\xi = (0, \xi) \times (0, 1)$ , let  $\xi$  be a fixed point from  $(0, 1]$ .

By  $L_2(\Omega, \rho)$  we denote a weighted Lebesgue space of all real-valued functions  $u(x)$  on  $\Omega$  with the inner product and the norm

$$(u, v)_\rho = \int_\Omega \rho(x) u(x) v(x) dx, \quad \|u\|_\rho = (u, u)_\rho^{1/2}. \quad (2.1)$$

The weighted Sobolev space  $W_2^1(\Omega, \rho)$  is usually defined as a linear set of all functions  $u(x) \in L_2(\Omega, \rho)$  whose derivatives  $\partial u / \partial x_k$ ,  $k = 1, 2$  (in the generalized sense), belong

## 2 To a nonlocal generalization of the Dirichlet problem

to  $L_2(\Omega, \rho)$ . It is a normed linear space if equipped with the norm

$$\|u\|_{1,\rho} = (\|u\|_\rho^2 + |u|_{1,\rho}^2)^{1/2}, \quad |u|_{1,\rho}^2 = \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_1} \right\|_\rho^2 + \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_2} \right\|_\rho^2. \quad (2.2)$$

Let us choose a weight function  $\rho(x)$  as follows:

$$\rho(x) = \begin{cases} (x_1/\xi)^\varepsilon, & x_1 \leq \xi, \\ 1, & x_1 > \xi, \end{cases} \quad \varepsilon \in (0, 1). \quad (2.3)$$

It is well known (see, e.g., [7, page 10], [10, Theorem 3.1]) that  $W_2^1(\Omega, \rho)$  is a Banach space and  $C^\infty(\bar{\Omega})$  is dense in  $W_2^1(\Omega, \rho)$  and in  $L_2(\Omega, \rho)$ . As an immediate consequence, we can define the space  $W_2^1(\Omega, \rho)$  as a closure of  $C^\infty(\bar{\Omega})$  with respect to the norm  $\|\cdot\|_{1,\rho}$  and these both definitions are equivalent.

Define the subspace of the space  $W_2^1(\Omega, \rho)$  which can be obtained by closing the set  $C^\infty_*(\bar{\Omega}) = \{u \in C^\infty(\bar{\Omega}) : \text{supp } u \cap \Gamma_* = \emptyset, l(u) = 0, 0 < x_2 < 1\}$  with the norm  $\|\cdot\|_{1,\rho}$ . Denote it by  $W_2^1(\Omega, \rho)_*$ .

For  $f \in L_\infty(\Omega)$ , denote  $\|f\|_\infty = \text{vrai max}_{x \in \Omega} |f(x)|$ .

We say that the function  $b$  has the *property (P)* if  $b \in L_\infty(\Omega)$  and  $0 \leq x_1^\varepsilon \partial(x_1^{1-\varepsilon} b) / \partial x_1 \in L_\infty(\Omega_\xi)$ .

Consider the nonlocal boundary value problem

$$\mathcal{L}u = f(x), \quad x \in \Omega, \quad u(x) = 0, \quad x \in \Gamma_*, \quad l(u) = 0, \quad 0 < x_2 < 1, \quad (2.4)$$

where

$$\mathcal{L}u = \sum_{i,j=1}^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left( a_{ij} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} \right) - a_0 u, \quad l(u) = \int_0^\xi \beta(x) u(x) dx_1, \quad (2.5)$$

$\beta(x) = \varepsilon x_1^{\varepsilon-1} / \xi^\varepsilon$  if  $x_1 \leq \xi$ ,  $\rho(x) = 0$  if  $x_1 > \xi$ .

Let the right-hand side  $f(x)$  in (2.4) be a linear continuous functional on  $W_2^1(\Omega, \rho)_*$  which can be represented as

$$f = f_0 + \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_1} + \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x_2}, \quad f_k(x) \in L_2(\Omega, \rho), \quad k = 0, 1, 2. \quad (2.6)$$

We assume that the coefficients  $a_{ij}$  and  $a_0$  satisfy the following conditions:

$$\begin{aligned} a_{1j} &= a_{1j}(x) \in L_\infty(\Omega) \quad (j = 1, 2) & a_{21} &= \text{const}; \\ & & a_{22} \text{ and } a_0 & \text{ have property (P),} \\ a_0 &\geq 0, \quad \sum_{i,j=1}^2 a_{ij} t_i t_j &\geq \nu(t_1^2 + t_2^2) & \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega, \quad \nu = \text{const} > 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

We say that the function  $u \in W_2^1(\Omega, \rho)$  is a *weak solution* of problem (2.4)–(2.7) if the relation

$$a(u, v) = \langle f, v \rangle, \quad \forall v \in W_2^1(\Omega, \rho) \tag{2.8}$$

holds, where

$$a(u, v) = \left( a_{11} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_1}, \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_1} \right)_\rho + \left( (a_{12} + a_{21}) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_2}, \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_1} \right)_\rho + \left( a_{22} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_2}, K \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_2} \right)_\rho + (a_0 u, K v)_\rho, \tag{2.9}$$

$$\langle f, v \rangle = (f_0, K v)_\rho - \left( f_1, \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_1} \right)_\rho - \left( f_2, K \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_2} \right)_\rho, \tag{2.10}$$

$$K v(x) = v(x) - \frac{1}{\rho(x_1)} \int_0^{x_1} \beta(t) v(t, x_2) dt. \tag{2.11}$$

Equality (2.8) is formally obtained from  $(\mathcal{L}u - f, K v)_\rho = 0$  by integration by parts.

### 3. Solvability of a nonlocal problem

To prove the existence of a unique solution of problem (2.8) (a weak solution of the problem (2.4)–(2.7)), we will apply Lax-Milgram lemma [3]. First we will prove some auxiliary statements.

LEMMA 3.1. *Let  $u \in W_2^1(\Omega, \rho)$ . Then*

$$\|u\|_{1,\rho} \leq \|u\|_{1,\rho} \leq c_2 \|u\|_{1,\rho}, \quad c_2 = \sqrt{5}. \tag{3.1}$$

*Proof.* The first inequality of the lemma is obvious. Integrating by parts, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_\Omega \rho(x) u^2(x) dx &= -\frac{\varepsilon \xi}{\varepsilon + 1} \int_0^1 u^2(\xi, x_2) dx_2 - 2 \int_\xi^1 \int_0^1 x_1 u(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_1} dx \\ &\quad - \frac{2}{\varepsilon + 1} \int_0^\xi \int_0^1 x_1 \rho(x) u(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_1} dx \leq 2 \int_\Omega \rho(x) \left| u \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_1} \right| dx. \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

Therefore

$$\|u\|_\rho \leq 2 \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_1} \right\|_\rho, \tag{3.3}$$

which proves the lemma. □

LEMMA 3.2. *Let  $u, v \in L_2(\Omega, \rho)$  and let  $v$  satisfy the condition  $l(v) = 0$ . Then*

$$\|v\|_\rho \leq \|K v\|_\rho \leq c_1 \|v\|_\rho; \tag{3.4}$$

4 To a nonlocal generalization of the Dirichlet problem

further, if  $b$  belongs to  $L_\infty(\Omega)$ , then

$$|(bu, Kv)_\rho| \leq c_1 \|b\|_\infty \|u\|_\rho \|v\|_\rho, \quad (3.5)$$

where  $c_1 = (1 + \varepsilon)/(1 - \varepsilon)$ .

*Proof.* Denote

$$J(v) = \int_{\Omega_\xi} \rho^{-1}(x) \left( \int_0^{x_1} \beta(t)v(t, x_2) dt \right)^2 dx. \quad (3.6)$$

It is not difficult to verify that

$$J(v) = -\frac{2\varepsilon}{1 - \varepsilon} \int_{\Omega_\xi} v(x) \int_0^{x_1} \beta(t)v(t, x_2) dt dx \leq \frac{2\varepsilon}{1 - \varepsilon} \|v\|_\rho J(v). \quad (3.7)$$

Thus

$$(J(v))^{1/2} \leq 2\varepsilon(1 - \varepsilon)^{-1} \|v\|_\rho. \quad (3.8)$$

Since

$$\int_0^{x_1} \beta(t)v(t, x_2) dt = 0, \quad x_1 \geq \xi, \quad (3.9)$$

from (2.11) we get

$$\|Kv\|_\rho^2 = \|v\|_\rho^2 + \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)J(v), \quad (3.10)$$

which using (3.8) yields (3.4).

Further, we can write

$$(bu, Kv)_\rho = (bu, v)_\rho - \int_{\Omega_\xi} bu \int_0^{x_1} \beta(t)v(t, x_2) dt dx, \quad (3.11)$$

and by virtue of the Cauchy inequality we have

$$|(bu, Kv)_\rho| \leq \|b\|_\infty \|u\|_\rho \left( \|v\|_\rho + (J(v))^{1/2} \right). \quad (3.12)$$

This together with (3.8) completes the proof of (3.5).  $\square$

LEMMA 3.3. Let  $v \in L_2(\Omega, \rho)$  and  $l(v) = 0$ . If  $b$  has property (P), then

$$(bv, Kv)_\rho \geq (bv, v)_\rho. \quad (3.13)$$

The proof follows from the easily verifiable identity

$$(bv, Kv)_\rho = (bv, v)_\rho - \int_{\Omega_\xi} bv \int_0^{x_1} \beta(t)v(t, x_2) dt dx = (bv, v)_\rho + \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \tilde{J}, \quad (3.14)$$

where

$$\bar{J} = \int_{\Omega_\xi} x_1^\varepsilon \frac{\partial(x_1^{1-\varepsilon} b)}{\partial x_1} \rho^{-1} \left( \int_0^{x_1} \beta(t) v(t, x_2) dt \right)^2 dx < \infty. \quad (3.15)$$

By applying Lemmas 3.1, 3.2, 3.3, and conditions (2.7), from (2.9) we obtain the continuity

$$|a(u, v)| \leq c_3 \|u\|_{1,\rho} \|v\|_{1,\rho}, \quad c_3 > 0, \quad \forall u, v \in W_2^1(\Omega, \rho) \quad (3.16)$$

and the  $W_2^1$ -ellipticity

$$a(u, u) \geq c_4 \|u\|_{1,\rho}^2, \quad c_4 > 0, \quad \forall u \in W_2^1(\Omega, \rho) \quad (3.17)$$

of the bilinear form  $a(u, v)$ .

Analogously, from (2.10) follows the continuity of the linear form  $\langle f, v \rangle$ :

$$|\langle f, v \rangle| \leq c_5 \|v\|_{1,\rho}, \quad c_5 > 0, \quad \forall v \in W_2^1(\Omega, \rho). \quad (3.18)$$

Thus, all conditions of the Lax-Milgram lemma are fulfilled. Therefore the following theorem is true.

**THEOREM 3.4.** *The problem (2.4)–(2.7) has a unique weak solution from  $W_2^1(\Omega, \rho)$ .*

*Remark 3.5.* If we notice that

$$u(\xi, x_2) - l(u) = \int_0^\xi \rho(x_1) \frac{\partial u(x)}{\partial x_1} dx_1, \quad (3.19)$$

then, applying the Cauchy inequality, we get

$$\left| \int_0^1 |u(\xi, x_2) - l(u)|^2 dx_2 \right| \leq c_6 \|u\|_{1,\rho}^2, \quad c_6 = \frac{\xi}{\varepsilon + 1}. \quad (3.20)$$

Consequently,

$$\lim_{\xi \rightarrow 0} l(u) = u(0, x_2). \quad (3.21)$$

Thus, passing to the limit as  $\xi \rightarrow 0$ , the nonlocal condition  $l(u) = 0$  transforms to  $u(0, x_2) = 0$ , while Theorem 3.4 transforms to the well-known theorem on the existence and uniqueness of a solution of the Dirichlet problem. In this sense, the nonlocal problem (2.4)–(2.7) can be regarded as a generalization of the Dirichlet boundary value problem.

*Remark 3.6.* By definition (2.9), for all  $u \in D(\mathcal{L})$  we have  $a(u, u) = (\mathcal{L}u, Ku)_\rho$ . Hence, using (3.4) it follows from (3.17) that

$$(\mathcal{L}u, Ku)_\rho \geq c \|u\|_\rho^2, \quad (\mathcal{L}u, Ku)_\rho \geq c \|Ku\|_\rho^2. \quad (3.22)$$

Thus  $\mathcal{L}$  is a  $K$ -positive definite operator [8, 12].

## References

- [1] J. R. Cannon, *The solution of the heat equation subject to the specification of energy*, Quarterly of Applied Mathematics **21** (1963), no. 2, 155–160.
- [2] M. Chipot and B. Lovat, *Some remarks on nonlocal elliptic and parabolic problems*, Nonlinear Analysis **30** (1997), no. 7, 4619–4627.
- [3] P. G. Ciarlet, *The Finite Element Method for Elliptic Problems*, Studies in Mathematics and Its Applications, vol. 4, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1978.
- [4] H. De Schepper and M. Slodička, *Recovery of the boundary data for a linear second order elliptic problem with a nonlocal boundary condition*, ANZIAM Journal **42** (2000), Part C, C518–C535.
- [5] D. Gordeziani and G. Avalishvili, *Investigation of the nonlocal initial boundary value problems for some hyperbolic equations*, Hiroshima Mathematical Journal **31** (2001), no. 3, 345–366.
- [6] A. K. Gushchin and V. P. Mikhailov, *On the solvability of nonlocal problems for a second-order elliptic equation*, Matematicheskii Sbornik **185** (1994), no. 1, 121–160 (Russian), translated in Russian Academy of Sciences Sbornik Mathematics **81** (1995), no. 1, 101–136.
- [7] A. Kufner and A.-M. Sändig, *Some Applications of Weighted Sobolev Spaces*, Teubner-Texte zur Mathematik, vol. 100, BSB B. G. Teubner, Leipzig, 1987.
- [8] A. E. Martynyuk, *Some new applications of methods of Galerkin type*, Matematicheskii Sbornik **49 (91)** (1959), 85–108 (Russian).
- [9] S. Mesloub, A. Bouziani, and N. Kechkar, *A strong solution of an evolution problem with integral conditions*, Georgian Mathematical Journal **9** (2002), no. 1, 149–159.
- [10] A. Nekvinda and L. Pick, *A note on the Dirichlet problem for the elliptic linear operator in Sobolev spaces with weight  $d_M^c$* , Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae **29** (1988), no. 1, 63–71.
- [11] B. P. Paneyakh, *Some nonlocal boundary value problems for linear differential operators*, Matematicheskie Zametki **35** (1984), no. 3, 425–434 (Russian).
- [12] W. V. Petryshyn, *On a class of  $K$ -p.d. and non  $K$ -p.d. operators and operator equations*, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications **10** (1965), no. 1, 1–24.
- [13] M. P. Sapagovas, *A difference scheme for two-dimensional elliptic problems with an integral condition*, Litovskii Matematicheskii Sbornik **23** (1983), no. 3, 155–159 (Russian).
- [14] A. L. Skubachevskii and G. M. Steblov, *On the spectrum of differential operators with a domain that is not dense in  $L_2(0, 1)$* , Doklady Akademii Nauk SSSR **321** (1991), no. 6, 1158–1163 (Russian), translated in Soviet Mathematics Doklady **44** (1992), no. 3, 870–875.

Givi Berikelashvili: A. Razmadze Mathematical Institute, Georgian Academy of Sciences,  
 1 M. Aleksidze Street, Tbilisi 0193, Georgia, Caucasus  
*E-mail address:* bergi@rmi.acnet.ge